

Category Theory for ZFC in HOL II

Elementary Theory of 1-Categories

Mihails Milehins

September 1, 2025

Abstract

This article provides a formalization of the foundations of the theory of 1-categories in the object logic *ZFC in HOL* ([11], also see [9]) of the formal proof assistant *Isabelle* [10]. The methodology chosen for the formalization rests on the ideas that were originally expressed in [5]. Thus, in the context of this work, each category is represented as a term of the type V embedded into a stage of the von Neumann hierarchy [14].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge the assistance that he received from the users of the mailing list of Isabelle in the form of answers given to his general queries. Special thanks go to Andreas Lochbihler for suggesting the use of the combination of unrestricted overloading and locales for structuring mathematical knowledge on the mailing list of Isabelle: the design pattern that is used in this study builds upon this idea. Special thanks also go to Thomas Sewell for suggesting a trick for rewriting expressions modulo associativity on the mailing list of Isabelle, which was used on numerous occasions throughout the development of this work. Furthermore, the author would like to mention that the tool “Sketch-and-Explore” [6] from the standard distribution of Isabelle was used extensively in the development of this work. Moreover, the author would like to acknowledge the positive role that numerous Q&A posted on the Stack Exchange network (especially Mathematics Stack Exchange, Stack Overflow and TeX Stack Exchange) played in the development of this work. Lastly, the author would like to express gratitude to all members of his family and friends for their continuous support.

Contents

1	Introduction	11
1.1	Background	11
1.2	Preliminaries	11
1.3	CS setup for foundations	11
2	Category	12
2.1	Background	12
2.2	Definition and elementary properties	13
2.3	Opposite category	18
2.4	Monic arrow and epic arrow	20
2.5	Right inverse and left inverse of an arrow	20
2.6	Inverse of an arrow	21
2.7	Isomorphism	23
2.8	The inverse arrow	25
2.9	Isomorphic objects	26
2.10	Terminal object and initial object	27
2.11	Null object	28
2.12	Groupoid	28
3	Smallness for categories	29
3.1	Background	29
3.2	Tiny category	29
3.3	Finite category	31
4	Functor	33
4.1	Background	33
4.2	Definition and elementary properties	33
4.3	Opposite functor	38
4.4	Composition of covariant functors	38
4.5	Composition of contravariant functors	40
4.6	Identity functor	42
4.7	Constant functor	43
4.8	Faithful functor	44
4.9	Full functor	46
4.10	Fully faithful functor	47
4.11	Isomorphism of categories	48
4.12	Inverse functor	50
4.13	An isomorphism of categories is an isomorphism in the category <i>CAT</i>	51
4.14	Isomorphic categories	52
5	Smallness for functors	53
5.1	Functor with tiny maps	53
5.2	Tiny functor	55
6	Natural transformation	58
6.1	Background	58
6.2	Definition and elementary properties	58
6.3	Opposite natural transformation	62
6.4	Vertical composition of natural transformations	63
6.5	Horizontal composition of natural transformations	64

6.6	Interchange law	65
6.7	Identity natural transformation	65
6.8	Composition of a natural transformation and a functor	67
6.9	Composition of a functor and a natural transformation	68
6.10	Constant natural transformation	70
6.11	Natural isomorphism	72
6.12	Inverse natural transformation	72
6.13	A natural isomorphism is an isomorphism in the category <i>Funct</i>	74
6.14	Functor isomorphism	75
7	Smallness for natural transformations	77
7.1	Natural transformation of functors with tiny maps	77
7.2	Tiny natural transformation of functors	81
7.3	Tiny natural isomorphisms	83
8	Product category	86
8.1	Background	86
8.2	Product category: definition and elementary properties	86
8.3	Local assumptions for a product category	87
8.4	Further local assumptions for product categories	89
8.5	Local assumptions for a finite product category	90
8.6	Binary union and complement	90
8.7	Projection	91
8.8	Category product universal property functor	92
8.9	Prodfunctor with respect to a fixed argument	94
8.10	Singleton category	95
8.11	Singleton functor	96
8.12	Product of two categories	96
8.13	Projections for the product of two categories	99
8.14	Product of three categories	100
8.15	Conversion of a product of three categories to products of two categories	102
8.16	Bifunctors	105
8.17	Bifunctor flip	108
8.18	Array bifunctor	110
8.19	Composition of a covariant bifunctor and covariant functors	112
8.20	Composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and covariant functors	114
8.21	Composition of a covariant bifunctor and a covariant functor	116
8.22	Composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and a covariant functor	118
8.23	Composition of bifunctors	121
8.24	Binatural transformation	123
8.25	Binatural transformation flip	127
9	Subcategory	130
9.1	Background	130
9.2	Simple subcategory	130
9.3	Inclusion functor	133
9.4	Full subcategory	133
9.5	Wide subcategory	134
9.6	Replete subcategory	135
9.7	Wide replete subcategory	136

10 Simple categories	137
10.1 Background	137
10.2 Empty category \emptyset	137
10.3 Empty functors	137
10.4 Empty natural transformation	139
10.5 1 : category with one object and one arrow	140
11 Discrete category	142
11.1 Abstract discrete category	142
11.2 The discrete category	142
11.3 Discrete functor	144
11.4 Tiny discrete category	146
11.5 Discrete functor with tiny maps	146
12 $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$: cospan and span	148
12.1 Background	148
12.2 Composable arrows in $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$	148
12.3 Categories $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$	150
12.4 Local assumptions for functors from $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$	159
12.5 Functors from $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$	161
13 Categories with parallel arrows between two objects	166
13.1 Background: category with parallel arrows between two objects	166
13.2 Composable arrows for a category with parallel arrows between two objects	166
13.3 Local assumptions for a category with parallel arrows between two objects	167
13.4 \uparrow : category with parallel arrows between two objects	167
13.5 Parallel functor	171
13.6 Background for the definition of a category with two parallel arrows between two objects	174
13.7 Local assumptions for a category with two parallel arrows between two objects	175
13.8 $\uparrow\uparrow$: category with two parallel arrows between two objects	175
13.9 Parallel functor for a category with two parallel arrows between two objects	180
14 Comma categories	184
14.1 Background	184
14.2 Comma category	184
14.3 Opposite comma category functor	191
14.4 Projections for a comma category	194
14.5 Comma categories constructed from a functor and an object	197
14.6 Opposite comma category functors for the comma categories constructed from a functor and an object	205
14.7 Projections for comma categories constructed from a functor and an object	207
14.8 Comma functors	211
15 Rel	216
15.1 Background	216
15.2 Rel as a category	216
15.3 Canonical dagger for Rel	218
15.4 Isomorphism	219
15.5 The inverse arrow	220

16	<i>Par</i>	221
16.1	Background	221
16.2	<i>Par</i> as a category	221
16.3	Isomorphism	223
16.4	The inverse arrow	223
17	<i>Set</i>	224
17.1	Background	224
17.2	<i>Set</i> as a category	224
17.3	Isomorphism	226
17.4	The inverse arrow	227
17.5	Conversion of a single-valued relation to an arrow in <i>Set</i>	228
17.6	Left restriction for <i>Set</i>	228
17.7	Right restriction for <i>Set</i>	229
17.8	Projection arrows for <i>vtimes</i>	230
17.9	Projection arrow for <i>vproduct</i>	235
17.10	Canonical injection arrow for <i>vdunion</i>	235
17.11	Product arrow value for <i>Rel</i>	236
17.12	Product arrow for <i>Rel</i>	237
17.13	Product functor for <i>Rel</i>	239
17.14	Product universal property arrow for <i>Set</i>	240
17.15	Coproduct universal property arrow for <i>Set</i>	241
17.16	Equalizer object for the category <i>Set</i>	242
17.17	Application of a function to a finite sequence as an arrow in <i>Set</i>	243
17.18	An injection from the range of an arrow in <i>Set</i> into its domain	244
17.19	Auxiliary	245
18	<i>GRPH</i>	246
18.1	Background	246
18.2	Definition and elementary properties	246
18.3	Identity	247
18.4	<i>GRPH</i> is a category	247
18.5	Isomorphism	247
18.6	Isomorphic objects	247
19	<i>SemiCAT</i>	249
19.1	Background	249
19.2	Definition and elementary properties	249
19.3	Identity	250
19.4	<i>SemiCAT</i> is a category	250
19.5	Isomorphism	250
19.6	Isomorphic objects	250
20	<i>CAT</i> as a digraph	252
20.1	Background	252
20.2	Definition and elementary properties	252
20.3	Object	252
20.4	Domain and codomain	252
20.5	<i>CAT</i> is a digraph	253
20.6	Arrow with a domain and a codomain	253

21	<i>CAT</i> as a semicategory	254
21.1	Background	254
21.2	Definition and elementary properties	254
21.3	Composable arrows	254
21.4	Composition	255
21.5	<i>CAT</i> is a category	255
21.6	Initial object	255
21.7	Terminal object	255
22	<i>CAT</i>	256
22.1	Background	256
22.2	Definition and elementary properties	256
22.3	Identity	257
22.4	<i>CAT</i> is a category	257
22.5	Isomorphism	257
22.6	Isomorphic objects	257
23	<i>FUNCT</i> and <i>Funct</i> as digraphs	259
23.1	Background	259
23.2	Functor map	259
23.3	Conversion of a functor map to a functor	261
23.4	Natural transformation arrow	262
23.5	Conversion of a natural transformation arrow to a natural transformation	265
23.6	Composition of the natural transformation arrows	266
23.7	Identity natural transformation arrow	267
23.8	<i>FUNCT</i>	267
23.9	<i>Funct</i>	269
24	<i>FUNCT</i> and <i>Funct</i> as semicategories	271
24.1	Background	271
24.2	<i>FUNCT</i>	271
24.3	<i>Funct</i>	272
25	<i>FUNCT</i> and <i>Funct</i>	275
25.1	Background	275
25.2	<i>FUNCT</i>	275
25.3	<i>Funct</i>	277
25.4	Diagonal functor	279
25.5	Diagonal functor for functors with tiny maps	280
25.6	Functor raised to the power of a category	281
25.7	Category raised to the power of a functor	283
25.8	Natural transformation raised to the power of a category	285
25.9	Category raised to the power of the natural transformation	287
26	<i>Hom</i> -functor	290
26.1	<i>hom</i> -function	290
26.2	<i>Hom</i> -functor	292
26.3	Composition of a <i>Hom</i> -functor and two functors	293
26.4	Composition of a <i>Hom</i> -functor and a functor	294
26.5	Projections of the <i>Hom</i> -functor	297

27 Cones and cocones	301
27.1 Cone and cocone	301
27.2 Cone and cocone functors	305
28 Smallness for cones and cocones	308
28.1 Cone with tiny maps and cocone with tiny maps	308
28.2 Small cone and small cocone functors	311
29 Yoneda Lemma	314
29.1 Yoneda map	314
29.2 Yoneda component	314
29.3 Yoneda arrow	315
29.4 Yoneda Lemma	316
29.5 Inverse of the Yoneda map	316
29.6 Component of a composition of a <i>Hom</i> -natural transformation with natural transformations	317
29.7 Component of a composition of a <i>Hom</i> -natural transformation with a natural transformation	320
29.8 Composition of a <i>Hom</i> -natural transformation with two natural transformations .	322
29.9 Composition of a <i>Hom</i> -natural transformation with a natural transformation . . .	324
29.10 Projections of a <i>Hom</i> -natural transformation	326
29.11 Evaluation arrow	330
29.12 <i>HOM</i> -functor	331
29.13 Evaluation functor	334
29.14 <i>N</i> -functor	336
29.15 Yoneda natural transformation arrow	338
29.16 Commutativity law for the Yoneda natural transformation arrow	340
29.17 Yoneda Lemma: naturality	340
29.18 <i>Hom</i> -map	341
29.19 Yoneda map for arbitrary functors	345
29.20 Yoneda arrow for arbitrary functors	345
29.21 The Yoneda Functor	349
30 Orders	351
30.1 Background	351
30.2 Preorder category	351
30.3 Order relation	351
30.4 Partial order category	352
30.5 Linear order category	352
30.6 Preorder functor	353
31 Smallness for orders	354
31.1 Background	354
31.2 Tiny preorder category	354
31.3 Tiny partial order category	355
31.4 Tiny linear order category	355
31.5 Tiny preorder functor	356
32 Ordinal numbers	356
32.1 Background	356
32.2 Arrows associated with an ordinal number	356
32.3 Composable arrows	357

32.4	Ordinal number as a category	358
33	Simplicial category	361
33.1	Background	361
33.2	Composable arrows for simplicial category	361
33.3	Simplicial category	361
34	Example: categories with additional structure	366
34.1	Background	366
34.2	Dagger category	366
34.3	<i>Rel</i> as a dagger category	367
34.4	Monoidal category	367
34.5	Components for $M\alpha$ for <i>Rel</i>	369
34.6	$M\alpha$ for <i>Rel</i>	375
34.7	Ml and Mr for <i>Rel</i>	376
34.8	<i>Rel</i> as a monoidal category	377
34.9	Dagger monoidal categories	378
34.10	<i>Rel</i> as a dagger monoidal category	381
	References	382

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

This article provides a formalization of the elementary theory of 1-categories without an additional structure. For further information see chapter Introduction in [8].

1.2 Preliminaries

named-theorems *cat-op-simps*
named-theorems *cat-op-intros*

named-theorems *cat-cs-simps*
named-theorems *cat-cs-intros*

named-theorems *cat-arrow-cs-intros*

1.3 CS setup for foundations

lemmas (in \mathcal{Z}) [*cat-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}\text{-}\beta$

2 Category

2.1 Background

lemmas [*cat-smc*-*simps*] = *dg-shared-smc*-*simps*
lemmas [*cat-smc*-*intros*] = *dg-shared-smc*-*intros*

definition *CId* :: *V*
where [*dg-field-simps*]: *CId* = $5_{\mathbb{N}}$

2.1.1 Slicing

definition *cat-smc* :: *V* \Rightarrow *V*
where *cat-smc* \mathfrak{C} = [$\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$, $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$, $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$, $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$, $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})$].

Components.

lemma *cat-smc-components*[*slicing-simps*]:
shows *cat-smc* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ = $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and *cat-smc* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$ = $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and *cat-smc* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$ = $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$
and *cat-smc* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$ = $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$
and *cat-smc* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})$ = $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})$
{proof}

Regular definitions.

lemma *cat-smc-is-arr*[*slicing-simps*]:
 $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-smc}} \mathfrak{C} b \longleftrightarrow f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
{proof}

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *cat-smc-is-arr*[THEN *iffD2*]

lemma *cat-smc-composable-arrs*[*slicing-simps*]:
composable-arrs (*cat-smc* \mathfrak{C}) = *composable-arrs* \mathfrak{C}
{proof}

lemma *cat-smc-is-monic-arr*[*slicing-simps*]:
 $f : a \mapsto_{\text{monic}} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} b \longleftrightarrow f : a \mapsto_{\text{monic}} b$
{proof}

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *cat-smc-is-monic-arr*[THEN *iffD2*]

lemma *cat-smc-is-epic-arr*[*slicing-simps*]:
 $f : a \mapsto_{\text{epic}} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} b \longleftrightarrow f : a \mapsto_{\text{epic}} b$
{proof}

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *cat-smc-is-epic-arr*[THEN *iffD2*]

lemma *cat-smc-is-idem-arr*[*slicing-simps*]:
 $f : \mapsto_{\text{idem}} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} b \longleftrightarrow f : \mapsto_{\text{idem}} b$
{proof}

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *cat-smc-is-idem-arr*[THEN *iffD2*]

lemma *cat-smc-obj-terminal*[*slicing-simps*]:
obj-terminal (*cat-smc* \mathfrak{C}) $a \longleftrightarrow \text{obj-terminal } \mathfrak{C} a$
{proof}

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *cat-smc-obj-terminal*[THEN *iffD2*]

```

lemma cat-smc-obj-intial[slicing-simps]:
  obj-initial (cat-smc  $\mathfrak{C}$ )  $a \leftrightarrow$  obj-initial  $\mathfrak{C} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [slicing-intros] = cat-smc-obj-intial[ THEN iffD2]

lemma cat-smc-obj-null[slicing-simps]:
  obj-null (cat-smc  $\mathfrak{C}$ )  $a \leftrightarrow$  obj-null  $\mathfrak{C} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [slicing-intros] = cat-smc-obj-null[ THEN iffD2]

lemma cat-smc-is-zero-arr[slicing-simps]:
   $f : a \mapsto_0 \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} b \leftrightarrow f : a \mapsto_0 \mathfrak{C} b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [slicing-intros] = cat-smc-is-zero-arr[ THEN iffD2]

```

2.2 Definition and elementary properties

The definition of a category that is used in this work is similar to the definition that can be found in Chapter I-2 in [7]. The amendments to the definitions that are associated with size have already been explained in [8].

```

locale category =  $\mathcal{Z} \alpha + \text{vfsequence } \mathfrak{C} + \text{CId: vsv } \langle \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId}) \rangle$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C} +$ 
assumes cat-length[cat-CS-simps]: vcard  $\mathfrak{C} = 6_{\mathbb{N}}$ 
  and cat-semicategory[slicing-intros]: semicategory  $\alpha$  (cat-smc  $\mathfrak{C}$ )
  and cat-CId-vdomain[cat-CS-simps]:  $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ 
  and cat-CId-is-arr[cat-CS-intros]:  $a \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
  and cat-CId-left-left[cat-CS-simps]:
     $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = f$ 
  and cat-CId-right-left[cat-CS-simps]:
     $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \implies f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) = f$ 

```

```

lemmas [cat-CS-simps] =
  category.cat-length
  category.cat-CId-vdomain
  category.cat-CId-left-left
  category.cat-CId-right-left

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-CId-is-arr'[cat-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $a \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$  and  $b = a$  and  $c = a$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} c$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [cat-CS-intros] = category.cat-CId-is-arr'
```

```

lemma (in category) cat-CId-is-arr''[cat-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $a \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$  and  $f = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a)$ 
  shows  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [cat-CS-intros] = category.cat-CId-is-arr''
```

```
lemmas [slicing-intros] = category.cat-semicategory
```

```
lemma (in category) cat-CId-vrange:  $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Rules.

lemma (in category) category-axioms'[cat-cs-intros]:

assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows category $\alpha' \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-ide rf category-def[unfolded category-axioms-def]

|intro categoryI|
|dest categoryD[dest]|
|elim categoryE[elim]|

lemma categoryI':

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
and vfsequence \mathfrak{C}
and vcard $\mathfrak{C} = 6_{\mathbb{N}}$
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$)
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$)
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})$)
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})$)
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\Lambda gf. gf \in_o \mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})) \leftrightarrow$
 $(\exists g f b c a. gf = [g, f]_o \wedge g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \wedge f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b)$
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\Lambda b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b]] \implies g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $\Lambda c d h b g a f. [[h : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} d; g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b]] \implies$
 $(h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f)$
and $\Lambda a. a \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$
and $\Lambda a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = f$
and $\Lambda b c f. f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \implies f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) = f$
and $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \subseteq_o Vset \alpha$
and $\Lambda A B. [[A \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); B \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); A \in_o Vset \alpha; B \in_o Vset \alpha]] \implies$
 $(\bigcup_o a \in_o A. \bigcup_o b \in_o B. Hom \mathfrak{C} a b) \in_o Vset \alpha$
shows category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma categoryD':

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
and vfsequence \mathfrak{C}
and vcard $\mathfrak{C} = 6_{\mathbb{N}}$
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$)
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$)
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})$)
and vsu ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})$)
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\Lambda gf. gf \in_o \mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})) \leftrightarrow$
 $(\exists g f b c a. gf = [g, f]_o \wedge g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \wedge f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b)$
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\Lambda b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b]] \implies g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $\Lambda c d h b g a f. [[h : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} d; g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b]] \implies$

$(h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f)$
and $\wedge a. a \in_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$
and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = f$
and $\wedge b c f. f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \implies f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) = f$
and $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha$
and $\wedge A B. [[A \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); B \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); A \in_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha; B \in_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha]]] \implies$
 $(\bigcup_{a \in_{\mathfrak{C}} A. \bigcup_{b \in_{\mathfrak{C}} B. Hom \mathfrak{C} a b}) \in_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha}$
{proof}

lemma *categoryE'*:

assumes *category* α \mathfrak{C}

obtains $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$

and *vfsequence* \mathfrak{C}

and *vcard* $\mathfrak{C} = 6_{\mathbb{N}}$

and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$)

and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$)

and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})$)

and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})$)

and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and $\wedge gf. gf \in_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})) \iff$
 $(\exists g f b c a. gf = [g, f]_{\mathfrak{C}} \wedge g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \wedge f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b)$

and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and $\wedge b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b]]] \implies g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$

and $\wedge c d h b g a f. [[h : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} d; g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b]]] \implies$
 $(h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f)$

and $\wedge a. a \in_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$

and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \implies \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = f$

and $\wedge b c f. f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \implies f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b) = f$

and $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha$

and $\wedge A B. [[A \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); B \subseteq_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); A \in_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha; B \in_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha]]] \implies$
 $(\bigcup_{a \in_{\mathfrak{C}} A. \bigcup_{b \in_{\mathfrak{C}} B. Hom \mathfrak{C} a b}) \in_{\mathfrak{C}} Vset \alpha}$

{proof}

Slicing.

context *category*
begin

interpretation *smc*: *semicategory* α $\langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ *{proof}*

sublocale *Dom*: *vsv* $\langle \mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom}) \rangle$
{proof}

sublocale *Cod*: *vsv* $\langle \mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod}) \rangle$
{proof}

sublocale *Comp*: *pbinop* $\langle \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}) \rangle$ $\langle \mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp}) \rangle$
{proof}

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps*]:

cat-Dom-vdomain[*cat-CS-simps*] = *smc.smc-Dom-vdomain*

and *cat-Dom-vrange* = *smc.smc-Dom-vrange*

and *cat-Cod-vdomain*[*cat-CS-simps*] = *smc.smc-Cod-vdomain*

and *cat-Cod-vrange* = *smc.smc-Cod-vrange*

and *cat-Obj-vsubset-Vset* = *smc.smc-Obj-vsubset-Vset*

and *cat-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset*[*cat-CS-intros*] = *smc.smc-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset*

and *cat-Obj-if-Dom-vrange* = *smc.smc-Obj-if-Dom-vrange*

and *cat-Obj-if-Cod-vrange* = *smc.smc-Obj-if-Cod-vrange*

```

and cat-is-arrD = smc.smc-is-arrD
and cat-is-arrE[elim] = smc.smc-is-arrE
and cat-in-ArrE[elim] = smc.smc-in-ArrE
and cat-Hom-in-Vset[cat-cs-intros] = smc.smc-Hom-in-Vset
and cat-Arr-vsubset-Vset = smc.smc-Arr-vsubset-Vset
and cat-Dom-vsubset-Vset = smc.smc-Dom-vsubset-Vset
and cat-Cod-vsubset-Vset = smc.smc-Cod-vsubset-Vset
and cat-Obj-in-Vset = smc.smc-Obj-in-Vset
and cat-in-Obj-in-Vset[cat-cs-intros] = smc.smc-in-Obj-in-Vset
and cat-Arr-in-Vset = smc.smc-Arr-in-Vset
and cat-in-Arr-in-Vset[cat-cs-intros] = smc.smc-in-Arr-in-Vset
and cat-Dom-in-Vset = smc.smc-Dom-in-Vset
and cat-Cod-in-Vset = smc.smc-Cod-in-Vset
and cat-semicategory-if-ge-Limit = smc.smc-semicategory-if-ge-Limit
and cat-Dom-app-in-Obj = smc.smc-Dom-app-in-Obj
and cat-Cod-app-in-Obj = smc.smc-Cod-app-in-Obj
and cat-Arr-vempty-if-Obj-vempty = smc.smc-Arr-vempty-if-Obj-vempty
and cat-Dom-vempty-if-Arr-vempty = smc.smc-Dom-vempty-if-Arr-vempty
and cat-Cod-vempty-if-Arr-vempty = smc.smc-Cod-vempty-if-Arr-vempty

```

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = cat-is-arrD(2,3)

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:

```

cat-Comp-vdomain = smc.smc-Comp-vdomain
and cat-Comp-is-arr[cat-cs-intros] = smc.smc-Comp-is-arr
and cat-Comp-assoc[cat-cs-intros] = smc.smc-Comp-assoc
and cat-Comp-vdomainI[cat-cs-intros] = smc.smc-Comp-vdomainI
and cat-Comp-vdomainE[elim!] = smc.smc-Comp-vdomainE
and cat-Comp-vdomain-is-composable-arrs =
    smc.smc-Comp-vdomain-is-composable-arrs
and cat-Comp-vrange = smc.smc-Comp-vrange
and cat-Comp-vsubset-Vset = smc.smc-Comp-vsubset-Vset
and cat-Comp-in-Vset = smc.smc-Comp-in-Vset
and cat-Comp-vempty-if-Arr-vempty = smc.smc-Comp-vempty-if-Arr-vempty
and cat-assoc-helper = smc.smc-assoc-helper
and cat-pattern-rectangle-right = smc.smc-pattern-rectangle-right
and cat-pattern-rectangle-left = smc.smc-pattern-rectangle-left
and is-epic-arrI = smc.is-epic-arrI
and is-epic-arrD[dest] = smc.is-epic-arrD
and is-epic-arrE[elim!] = smc.is-epic-arrE
and cat-comp-is-monnic-arr[cat-arrow-cs-intros] = smc.smc-Comp-is-monnic-arr
and cat-comp-is-epic-arr[cat-arrow-cs-intros] = smc.smc-Comp-is-epic-arr
and cat-comp-is-monnic-arr-is-monnic-arr =
    smc.smc-Comp-is-monnic-arr-is-monnic-arr
and cat-is-zero-arr-comp-right[cat-arrow-cs-intros] =
    smc.smc-is-zero-arr-Comp-right
and cat-is-zero-arr-comp-left[cat-arrow-cs-intros] =
    smc.smc-is-zero-arr-Comp-left

```

lemma cat-Comp-is-arr'[cat-cs-intros]:

```

assumes g : b ↪C c
and f : a ↪C b
and C' = C
shows g ∘A C f : a ↪C' c
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```
lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = is-idem-arrD(2)
```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-Comp-assoc
```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-intros] =  
  category.cat-Comp-vdomainI  
  category.cat-Hom-in-Vset  
  category.cat-is-arrD(1-3)  
  category.cat-Comp-is-arr'  
  category.cat-Comp-is-arr
```

```
lemmas [cat-arrow-cs-intros] =  
  is-monic-arrD(1)  
  is-epic-arr-is-arr  
  category.cat-comp-is-monic-arr  
  category.cat-comp-is-epic-arr  
  category.cat-is-zero-arr-comp-right  
  category.cat-is-zero-arr-comp-left
```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = HomI
```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = in-Hom-iff
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma cat-eqI:
```

```
  assumes category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$   
  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{Dom}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Dom})$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{Cod}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod})$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{Comp}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp})$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{CId}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{CId})$   
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ 
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma cat-smc-eqI:
```

```
  assumes category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$   
  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$   
  and  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{CId}) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{CId})$   
  and cat-smc  $\mathfrak{A} = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$   
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ 
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma (in category) cat-def:
```

```
   $\mathfrak{C} = [\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})]$   
{proof}
```

Size.

```
lemma (in category) cat-CId-vsubset-Vset:  $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId}) \subseteq_{\circ} Vset \alpha$   
{proof}
```

```
lemma (in category) cat-category-in-Vset-4:  $\mathfrak{C} \in_{\circ} Vset (\alpha + 4_N)$   
{proof}
```

```
lemma (in category) cat-CId-in-Vset:
```

```
  assumes  $Z \beta$  and  $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$   
  shows  $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId}) \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$ 
```

```
{proof}
```

lemma (in category) cat-in-Vset:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

shows $\mathfrak{C} \in_0 Vset \beta$

{proof}

lemma (in category) cat-category-if-ge-Limit:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

shows category β \mathfrak{C}

{proof}

lemma tiny-category[*simp*]: small { \mathfrak{C} . category α \mathfrak{C} }

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) categories-in-Vset:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

shows set { \mathfrak{C} . category α \mathfrak{C} } $\in_0 Vset \beta$

{proof}

lemma category-if-category:

assumes category β \mathfrak{C}

and $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$

and $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \subseteq_0 Vset \alpha$

and $\wedge A B. [[A \subseteq_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); B \subseteq_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); A \in_0 Vset \alpha; B \in_0 Vset \alpha]] \implies$

$(\bigcup_{a \in_0 A} \bigcup_{b \in_0 B} \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} a b) \in_0 Vset \alpha$

shows category α \mathfrak{C}

{proof}

Further elementary properties.

sublocale category $\sqsubseteq CId: v11 \langle \mathfrak{C}(CId) \rangle$

{proof}

lemma (in category) cat-CId-vempty-if-Arr-vempty:

assumes $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}) = 0$

shows $\mathfrak{C}(CId) = 0$

{proof}

2.3 Opposite category

2.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-2 in [7].

definition op-cat :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where op-cat $\mathfrak{C} = [\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom}), \text{fflip } (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp})), \mathfrak{C}(CId)]$

Components.

lemma op-cat-components:

shows [cat-op-simps]: op-cat $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and [cat-op-simps]: op-cat $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$

and [cat-op-simps]: op-cat $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom}) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})$

and [cat-op-simps]: op-cat $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod}) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})$

and op-cat $\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp}) = \text{fflip } (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Comp}))$

and [cat-op-simps]: op-cat $\mathfrak{C}(CId) = \mathfrak{C}(CId)$

{proof}

lemma op-cat-component-intros[cat-op-intros]:

shows $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies a \in_0 \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and $f \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}) \implies f \in_0 \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Slicing.

lemma $\text{cat-smc-op-cat}[\text{slicing-commute}]: \text{op-smc } (\text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C}) = \text{cat-smc } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) $\text{op-smc-op-cat}[\text{cat-op-simps}]: \text{op-smc } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{op-cat-is-arr}[\text{cat-op-simps}]: f : b \mapsto_{\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}} a \leftrightarrow f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = $\text{op-cat-is-arr}[\text{THEN iffD2}]$

lemma $\text{op-cat-Hom}[\text{cat-op-simps}]: \text{Hom } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) a b = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} b a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{op-cat-obj-initial}[\text{cat-op-simps}]:$
 $\text{obj-initial } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) a \leftrightarrow \text{obj-terminal } \mathfrak{C} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = $\text{op-cat-obj-initial}[\text{THEN iffD2}]$

lemma $\text{op-cat-obj-terminal}[\text{cat-op-simps}]:$
 $\text{obj-terminal } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) a \leftrightarrow \text{obj-initial } \mathfrak{C} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = $\text{op-cat-obj-terminal}[\text{THEN iffD2}]$

lemma $\text{op-cat-obj-null}[\text{cat-op-simps}]: \text{obj-null } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) a \leftrightarrow \text{obj-null } \mathfrak{C} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = $\text{op-cat-obj-null}[\text{THEN iffD2}]$

context category
begin

interpretation $\text{smc}: \text{semicategory } \alpha \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute*]:
 $\text{op-cat-Comp-vrange}[\text{cat-op-simps}] = \text{smc.op-smc-Comp-vrange}$
and $\text{op-cat-Comp}[\text{cat-op-simps}] = \text{smc.op-smc-Comp}$
and $\text{op-cat-is-epic-arr}[\text{cat-op-simps}] = \text{smc.op-smc-is-epic-arr}$
and $\text{op-cat-is-monnic-arr}[\text{cat-op-simps}] = \text{smc.op-smc-is-monnic-arr}$
and $\text{op-cat-is-zero-arr}[\text{cat-op-simps}] = \text{smc.op-smc-is-zero-arr}$

end

lemmas [cat-op-simps] =
 $\text{category.op-cat-Comp-vrange}$
 $\text{category.op-cat-Comp}$
 $\text{category.op-cat-is-epic-arr}$
 $\text{category.op-cat-is-monnic-arr}$
 $\text{category.op-cat-is-zero-arr}$

context
fixes $\mathfrak{C} :: V$

```

begin

lemmas-with [
  where  $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ , unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute[symmetric]
]
op-cat-Comp-vdomain[cat-op-simps] = op-smc-Comp-vdomain

```

end

Elementary properties.

lemma op-cat-vsv[cat-op-intros]: vsv (op-cat \mathfrak{C}) $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3.2 Further properties

lemma (in category) category-op[cat-cs-intros]: category α (op-cat \mathfrak{C})
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas category-op[cat-op-intros] = category.category-op

lemma (in category) cat-op-cat-op-cat[cat-op-simps]: op-cat (op-cat \mathfrak{C}) = \mathfrak{C}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas cat-op-cat-op-cat[cat-op-simps] = category.cat-op-cat-op-cat

lemma eq-op-cat-iff[cat-op-simps]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A} and category α \mathfrak{B}
shows op-cat $\mathfrak{A} = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Monic arrow and epic arrow

lemma (in category) cat-CId-is-monic-arr[cat-arrow-cs-intros]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\text{monic}} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-arrow-cs-intros] = category.cat-CId-is-monic-arr

lemma (in category) cat-CId-is-epic-arr[cat-arrow-cs-intros]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\text{epic}} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-arrow-cs-intros] = category.cat-CId-is-epic-arr

2.5 Right inverse and left inverse of an arrow

See Chapter I-5 in [7].

definition is-right-inverse :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where is-right-inverse $\mathfrak{C} g f =$
 $(\exists a b. g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a \wedge f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \wedge f \circ_{\mathfrak{C}} g = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b))$

definition is-left-inverse :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where is-left-inverse $\mathfrak{C} \equiv \text{is-right-inverse } (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$

Rules.

lemma is-right-inverseI:

```

assumes  $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$  and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$  and  $f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b)$ 
shows is-right-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma is-right-inverseD[dest]:
assumes is-right-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
shows  $\exists a b. g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a \wedge f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \wedge f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma is-right-inverseE[elim]:
assumes is-right-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
obtains  $a b$  where  $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
    and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
    and  $f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) is-left-inverseI:
assumes  $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$  and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$  and  $g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a)$ 
shows is-left-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) is-left-inverseD[dest]:
assumes is-left-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
shows  $\exists a b. g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a \wedge f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \wedge g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) is-left-inverseE[elim]:
assumes is-left-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
obtains  $a b$  where  $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
    and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
    and  $g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a)$ 
{proof}

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma (in category) op-cat-is-left-inverse[cat-op-simps]:
is-left-inverse (op-cat  $\mathfrak{C}$ )  $g f \leftrightarrow$  is-right-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
{proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-op-simps] = category.op-cat-is-left-inverse
```

```
lemmas [cat-op-intros] = category.op-cat-is-left-inverse[THEN iffD2]
```

```

lemma (in category) op-cat-is-right-inverse[cat-op-simps]:
is-right-inverse (op-cat  $\mathfrak{C}$ )  $g f \leftrightarrow$  is-left-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f$ 
{proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-op-simps] = category.op-cat-is-right-inverse
```

```
lemmas [cat-op-intros] = category.op-cat-is-right-inverse[THEN iffD2]
```

2.6 Inverse of an arrow

See Chapter I-5 in [7].

```

definition is-inverse ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where is-inverse  $\mathfrak{C} g f =$ 
  (
     $\exists a b.$ 

```

```


$$\begin{aligned} g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} a &\wedge \\ f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b &\wedge \\ g \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(a) &\wedge \\ f \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} g = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(b) & \end{aligned}$$

)

```

Rules.

lemma *is-inverseI*:

```

assumes  $g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} a$ 
and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$ 
and  $g \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(a)$ 
and  $f \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} g = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(b)$ 
shows is-inverse  $\mathcal{C} g f$ 
{proof}

```

lemma *is-inverseD[dest]*:

```

assumes is-inverse  $\mathcal{C} g f$ 
shows
(
 $\exists a b.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} a &\wedge \\ f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b &\wedge \\ g \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(a) &\wedge \\ f \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} g = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(b) & \end{aligned}$$

)
{proof}

```

lemma *is-inverseE[elim]*:

```

assumes is-inverse  $\mathcal{C} g f$ 
obtains  $a b$  where  $g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} a$ 
and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$ 
and  $g \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(a)$ 
and  $f \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} g = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(b)$ 
{proof}

```

Elementary properties.

lemma (in category) op-cat-is-inverse[cat-op-simps]:

```

is-inverse (op-cat  $\mathcal{C}$ )  $g f \longleftrightarrow \text{is-inverse } \mathcal{C} g f$ 
{proof}

```

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *category.op-cat-is-inverse*

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *category.op-cat-is-inverse[THEN iffD2]*

lemma *is-inverse-sym*: *is-inverse* $\mathcal{C} g f \longleftrightarrow \text{is-inverse } \mathcal{C} f g$
{proof}

lemma (in category) cat-is-inverse-eq:

```

— See Chapter I-5 in [7].
assumes is-inverse  $\mathcal{C} h f$  and is-inverse  $\mathcal{C} g f$ 
shows  $h = g$ 
{proof}

```

lemma *is-inverse-Comp-CId-left*:

```

— See Chapter I-5 in [7].
assumes is-inverse  $\mathcal{C} g' g$  and  $g : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$ 
shows  $g' \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} g = \mathcal{C}(\text{Id})(a)$ 
{proof}

```

lemma *is-inverse-Comp-CId-right*:
assumes *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g' g$ **and** $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g' = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b)$
{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-is-inverse-Comp*:
— See Chapter I-5 in [7].
assumes *gbc[intro]*: $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and *fab[intro]*: $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
and *g'g[intro]*: *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g' g$
and *f'f[intro]*: *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} f' f$
shows *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} (f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g') (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f)$
{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-is-inverse-Comp'*:
assumes $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
and *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g' g$
and *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} f' f$
and $f'g' = f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g'$
and $gf = g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
shows *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} f'g' gf$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-es-intros*] = *category.cat-is-inverse-Comp'*

lemma *is-inverse-is-right-inverse[dest]*:
assumes *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows *is-right-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-is-inverse-is-left-inverse[dest]*:
assumes *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows *is-left-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-is-right-left-inverse-is-inverse*:
assumes *is-right-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$ *is-left-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
{proof}

2.7 Isomorphism

See Chapter I-5 in [7].

definition *is-iso-arr* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *is-iso-arr* $\mathfrak{C} a b f \leftrightarrow$
 $(f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b \wedge (\exists g. \text{is-inverse } \mathfrak{C} g f))$

syntax *-is-iso-arr* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle \cdot : - \mapsto_{\text{iso1}} \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51)$
syntax-consts *-is-iso-arr* \doteq *is-iso-arr*
translations $f : a \mapsto_{\text{iso}} b \doteq \text{CONST is-iso-arr } \mathfrak{C} a b f$

Rules.

lemma *is-iso-arrI*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$

shows $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-iso-arrD[dest]*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** $\exists g. \text{is-inverse } \mathfrak{C} g f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-iso-arrE[elim]*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
obtains g **where** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-iso-arrE'*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
obtains g **where** $g : b \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} a$
and $g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = \mathfrak{C}(CId)(a)$
and $f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g = \mathfrak{C}(CId)(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elementary properties.

lemma (in category) op-cat-is-iso-arr[cat-op-simps]:
 $f : b \mapsto_{iso\text{op-cat}} a \longleftrightarrow f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *category.op-cat-is-iso-arr*

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *category.op-cat-is-iso-arr[THEN iffD2]*

lemma (in category) is-iso-arrI':
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
and $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$
and $g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = \mathfrak{C}(CId)(a)$
and $f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g = \mathfrak{C}(CId)(b)$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** $g : b \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-is-inverse-is-iso-arr:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows $g : b \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-Comp-is-iso-arr[cat-arrow-cs-intros]:
assumes $g : b \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} c$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-arrow-cs-intros*] = *category.cat-Comp-is-iso-arr*

lemma (in category) cat-CId-is-iso-arr:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
shows $\mathfrak{C}(CId)(a) : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-CId-is-iso-arr'[cat-arrow-cs-intros]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
and $b = a$

and $c = a$
shows $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a) : b \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-arrow-CS-intros*] = *category.cat-CId-is-iso-arr'*

lemma (in category) *cat-is-iso-arr-is-monic-arr*[*cat-arrow-CS-intros*]:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{mon\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-arrow-CS-intros*] = *category.cat-is-iso-arr-is-monic-arr*

lemma (in category) *cat-is-iso-arr-is-epic-arr*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{epi\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-arrow-CS-intros*] = *category.cat-is-iso-arr-is-epic-arr*

lemma (in category) *cat-is-iso-arr-if-is-monic-arr-is-right-inverse*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{mon\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** *is-right-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) *cat-is-iso-arr-if-is-epic-arr-is-left-inverse*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{epi\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** *is-left-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.8 The inverse arrow

See Chapter I-5 in [7].

definition *the-inverse* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle (-^{-1} C_1) \rangle$ [1000] 999)
where $f^{-1} C\mathfrak{C} = (\text{THE } g. \text{ is-inverse } \mathfrak{C} g f)$

Elementary properties.

lemma (in category) *cat-is-inverse-is-inverse-the-inverse*:
assumes *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} (f^{-1} C\mathfrak{C}) f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) *cat-is-inverse-eq-the-inverse*:
assumes *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} g f$
shows $g = f^{-1} C\mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The inverse arrow is an inverse of an isomorphism.

lemma (in category) *cat-the-inverse-is-inverse*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows *is-inverse* $\mathfrak{C} (f^{-1} C\mathfrak{C}) f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) *cat-the-inverse-is-iso-arr*:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $f^{-1} C\mathfrak{C} : b \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma (in category) cat-the-inverse-is-iso-arr':
  assumes  $f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $f^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}} : b \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-the-inverse-is-iso-arr'

lemma (in category) op-cat-the-inverse:
  assumes  $f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows  $f^{-1}{}_{C op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}} = f^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [cat-op-simps] = category.op-cat-the-inverse

lemma (in category) cat-Comp-the-inverse:
  assumes  $g : b \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} c$  and  $f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows  $(g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f)^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}} = f^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}} \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} g^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-Comp-the-inverse

lemma (in category) cat-the-inverse-Comp-CId:
  assumes  $f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows cat-the-inverse-Comp-CId-left:  $f^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}} \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a)$ 
    and cat-the-inverse-Comp-CId-right:  $f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}} = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-the-inverse-Comp-CId

lemma (in category) cat-the-inverse-the-inverse:
  assumes  $f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows  $(f^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}})^{-1}{}_{C\mathfrak{C}} = f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-the-inverse-the-inverse

```

2.9 Isomorphic objects

See Chapter I-5 in [7].

```

definition obj-iso ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where obj-iso  $\mathfrak{C} a b \iff (\exists f. f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b)$ 

```

```

syntax -obj-iso ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $\langle \langle \text{-} / \approx_{obj1} \text{-} \rangle \rangle$  [55, 56] 55)
syntax-consts -obj-iso  $\doteq$  obj-iso
translations  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b \doteq \text{CONST obj-iso } \mathfrak{C} a b$ 

```

Rules.

```

lemma obj-isoI:
  assumes  $f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma obj-isoD[dest]:
  assumes  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows  $\exists f. f : a \leftrightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma obj-isoE[elim!]:
  assumes  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  obtains  $f$  where  $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma (in category) op-cat-obj-iso[cat-op-simps]:

```

```

   $a \approx_{obj} op\text{-}cat\mathfrak{C} b = b \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas [cat-op-simps] = category.op-cat-obj-iso

```

```

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = category.op-cat-obj-iso[THEN iffD2]

```

Equivalence relation.

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-iso-refl:
  assumes  $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$ 
  shows  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-iso-sym[sym]:

```

```

  assumes  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
  shows  $b \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-iso-trans[trans]:

```

```

  assumes  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b$  and  $b \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} c$ 
  shows  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} c$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.10 Terminal object and initial object

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-terminal-CId:

```

```

  — See Chapter I-5 in [7].
  assumes obj-terminal  $\mathfrak{C} a$  and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{C}(CId)(a) = f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-initial-CId:

```

```

  — See Chapter I-5 in [7].
  assumes obj-initial  $\mathfrak{C} a$  and  $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{C}(CId)(a) = f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-terminal-obj-iso:

```

```

  — See Chapter I-5 in [7].
  assumes obj-terminal  $\mathfrak{C} a$  and obj-terminal  $\mathfrak{C} a'$ 
  shows  $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} a'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-obj-initial-obj-iso:

```

```

  — See Chapter I-5 in [7].
  assumes obj-initial  $\mathfrak{C} a$  and obj-initial  $\mathfrak{C} a'$ 
  shows  $a' \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.11 Null object

```
lemma (in category) cat-obj-null-obj-iso:
  — See Chapter I-5 in [7].
  assumes obj-null ℰ z and obj-null ℰ z'
  shows z ≈objℰ z'
  {proof}
```

2.12 Groupoid

See Chapter I-5 in [7].

```
locale groupoid = category α ℰ for α ℰ +
assumes grpd-is-iso-arr: f : a ↪ℰ b ⟹ f : a ↪isoℰ b
```

Rules.

```
mk-ide rf groupoid-def[unfolded groupoid-axioms-def]
| intro groupoidI
| dest groupoidD[dest]
| elim groupoidE[elim]
```

3 Smallness for categories

3.1 Background

An explanation of the methodology chosen for the exposition of all matters related to the size of the categories and associated entities is given in [8].

named-theorems *cat-small-cs-simps*
named-theorems *cat-small-cs-intros*

3.2 Tiny category

3.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
locale tiny-category = Z α + vfsequence ℰ + CId: vsv ⟨ℰ(CId)⟩ for α ℰ +
assumes tiny-cat-length[cat-cs-simps]: vcard ℰ = 6ℕ
and tiny-cat-tiny-semicategory[slicing-intros]:
tiny-semicategory α (cat-smc ℰ)
and tiny-cat-CId-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]: Do (ℰ(CId)) = ℰ(Obj)
and tiny-cat-CId-is-arr[cat-cs-intros]:
a ∈o ℰ(Obj) ==> ℰ(CId)(a) : a ↦ℰ a
and tiny-cat-CId-left-left[cat-cs-simps]:
f : a ↦ℰ b ==> ℰ(CId)(b) ∘Aℰ f = f
and tiny-cat-CId-right-left[cat-cs-simps]:
f : b ↦ℰ c ==> f ∘Aℰ ℰ(CId)(b) = f
```

lemmas [slicing-intros] = tiny-category.tiny-cat-tiny-semicategory

Rules.

```
lemma (in tiny-category) tiny-category-axioms'[cat-small-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α
shows tiny-category α' ℰ
{proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf tiny-category-def[unfolded tiny-category-axioms-def]
|intro tiny-categoryI|
|dest tiny-categoryD[dest]|
|elim tiny-categoryE[elim]|
```

```
lemma tiny-categoryI':
assumes category α ℰ and ℰ(Obj) ∈o Vset α and ℰ(Arr) ∈o Vset α
shows tiny-category α ℰ
{proof}
```

```
lemma tiny-categoryI'':
assumes Z α
and vfsequence ℰ
and vcard ℰ = 6ℕ
and vsv (ℰ(Dom))
and vsv (ℰ(Cod))
and vsv (ℰ(Comp))
and vsv (ℰ(CId))
and Do (ℰ(Dom)) = ℰ(Arr)
and Ro (ℰ(Dom)) ⊆o ℰ(Obj)
and Do (ℰ(Cod)) = ℰ(Arr)
and Ro (ℰ(Cod)) ⊆o ℰ(Obj)
and ∧gf. gf ∈o Do (ℰ(Comp)) ↔
(∃ g f b c a. gf = [g, f]o ∧ g : b ↦ℰ c ∧ f : a ↦ℰ b)
and Do (ℰ(CId)) = ℰ(Obj)
```

```

and  $\wedge b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b]] \implies g \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c$ 
and  $\wedge c d h b g a f. [[h : c \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} d; g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b]] \implies$ 
     $(h \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} g) \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f = h \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} (g \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f)$ 
and  $\wedge a. a \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathcal{C}(\text{CId})(a) : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} a$ 
and  $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b \implies \mathcal{C}(\text{CId})(b) \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} f = f$ 
and  $\wedge b c f. f : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c \implies f \circ_{A\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(\text{CId})(b) = f$ 
and  $\mathcal{C}(\text{Obj}) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
and  $\mathcal{C}(\text{Arr}) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
shows tiny-category  $\alpha$   $\mathcal{C}$ 
{proof}

```

Slicing.

```

context tiny-category
begin

```

```

interpretation smc: tiny-semicategory  $\alpha$  <cat-smc  $\mathcal{C}$ >
{proof}

```

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:

```

tiny-cat-semicategory = smc.semcategory-axioms
and tiny-cat-Obj-in-Vset[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.tiny-smc-Obj-in-Vset
and tiny-cat-Arr-in-Vset[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.tiny-smc-Arr-in-Vset
and tiny-cat-Dom-in-Vset[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.tiny-smc-Dom-in-Vset
and tiny-cat-Cod-in-Vset[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.tiny-smc-Cod-in-Vset
and tiny-cat-Comp-in-Vset[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.tiny-smc-Comp-in-Vset

```

end

Elementary properties.

```

sublocale tiny-category  $\sqsubseteq$  category
{proof}

```

lemmas (in tiny-category) tiny-cat-category = category-axioms

lemmas [cat-small-cs-intros] = tiny-category.tiny-cat-category

Size.

```

lemma (in tiny-category) tiny-cat-CId-in-Vset:  $\mathcal{C}(\text{CId}) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in tiny-category) tiny-cat-in-Vset:  $\mathcal{C} \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma tiny-category[simp]: small { $\mathcal{C}$ . tiny-category  $\alpha$   $\mathcal{C}$ }
{proof}

```

```

lemma small-categories-vsubset-Vset: set { $\mathcal{C}$ . tiny-category  $\alpha$   $\mathcal{C}$ }  $\sqsubseteq_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-tiny-category-if-ge-Limit:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$  and  $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$ 
  shows tiny-category  $\beta$   $\mathcal{C}$ 
{proof}

```

3.2.2 Opposite tiny category

```

lemma (in tiny-category) tiny-category-op: tiny-category  $\alpha$  (op-cat  $\mathcal{C}$ )
{proof}

```

```
lemmas tiny-category-op[cat-op-intros] = tiny-category.tiny-category-op
```

3.3 Finite category

3.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

A definition of a finite category can be found in nLab [1]¹.

```
locale finite-category = Z α + vfsequence ℰ + CId: vsv ⟨ℰ(CId)⟩ for α ℰ +
assumes fin-cat-length[cat-cs-simps]: vcard ℰ = 6_N
and fin-cat-finite-semicategory[slicing-intros]:
finite-semicategory α (cat-smc ℰ)
and fin-cat-CId-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]: D_0 (ℰ(CId)) = ℰ(Obj)
and fin-cat-CId-is-arr[cat-cs-intros]:
a ∈₀ ℰ(Obj) ⟹ ℰ(CId)(a) : a ↦_ℰ a
and fin-cat-CId-left-left[cat-cs-simps]:
f : a ↦_ℰ b ⟹ ℰ(CId)(b) ∘_A ℰ f = f
and fin-cat-CId-right-left[cat-cs-simps]:
f : b ↦_ℰ c ⟹ f ∘_A ℰ ℰ(CId)(b) = f
```

```
lemmas [slicing-intros] = finite-category.fin-cat-finite-semicategory
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in finite-category) fin-category-axioms'[cat-small-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α
shows finite-category α' ℰ
{proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf finite-category-def[unfolded finite-category-axioms-def]
|intro finite-categoryI|
|dest finite-categoryD[dest]|
|elim finite-categoryE[elim]|
```

```
lemma finite-categoryI':
assumes category α ℰ and vfinite (ℰ(Obj)) and vfinite (ℰ(Arr))
shows finite-category α ℰ
{proof}
```

```
lemma finite-categoryI'':
assumes tiny-category α ℰ and vfinite (ℰ(Obj)) and vfinite (ℰ(Arr))
shows finite-category α ℰ
{proof}
```

Slicing.

```
context finite-category
begin
```

```
interpretation smc: finite-semicategory α <cat-smc ℰ>
{proof}
```

```
lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
fin-cat-tiny-semicategory = smc.tiny-semicategory-axioms
and fin-smc-Obj-vfinite[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.fin-smc-Obj-vfinite
and fin-smc-Arr-vfinite[cat-small-cs-intros] = smc.fin-smc-Arr-vfinite
```

```
end
```

¹<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/finite+category>

Elementary properties.

```
sublocale finite-category ⊑ tiny-category
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas (in finite-category) fin-cat-tiny-category = tiny-category-axioms
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-cs-intros] = finite-category.fin-cat-tiny-category
```

```
lemma (in finite-category) fin-cat-in-Vset: ℰ ∈o Vset α
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Size.

```
lemma small-finite-categories[simp]: small {ℰ. finite-category α ℰ}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma small-finite-categories-vsubset-Vset:
  set {ℰ. finite-category α ℰ} ⊆o Vset α
  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.3.2 Opposite finite category

```
lemma (in finite-category) finite-category-op: finite-category α (op-cat ℰ)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas finite-category-op[cat-op-intros] = finite-category.finite-category-op
```

4 Functor

4.1 Background

named-theorems *cf*-*cs*-*simps*

named-theorems *cf*-*cs*-*intros*

named-theorems *cat*-*cn*-*cs*-*simps*

named-theorems *cat*-*cn*-*cs*-*intros*

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *dg*-*shared*-*cs*-*simps*
lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *dg*-*shared*-*cs*-*intros*

4.1.1 Slicing

definition *cf-smcf* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{C} =$

$[\mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap}), \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap}), \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{C}(\text{HomDom})), \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{C}(\text{HomCod}))]$.

Components.

lemma *cf-smcf-components*:

shows [*slicing-simps*]: *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$

and [*slicing-simps*]: *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$

and [*slicing-commute*]: *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) = \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}))$

and [*slicing-commute*]: *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) = \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}))$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

4.2 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7].

locale *is-functor* =

$\mathcal{Z} \alpha + \text{vfsequence } \mathfrak{F} + \text{HomDom}: \text{category } \alpha \mathfrak{A} + \text{HomCod}: \text{category } \alpha \mathfrak{B}$

for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} +$

assumes *cf-length*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]: *vcard* $\mathfrak{F} = 4\mathbb{N}$

and *cf-is-semifunctor*[*slicing-intros*]:

$\text{cf-smcf } \mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC\alpha} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$

and *cf-HomDom*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]: $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A}$

and *cf-HomCod*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]: $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{B}$

and *cf-ObjMap-CId*[*cat*-*cs*-*intros*]:

$c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{A}(CId)(c)) = \mathfrak{B}(CId)(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(c))$

syntax *-is-functor* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(\langle \langle \langle \langle \text{-} / \text{-} \mapsto_{C1} \text{-} \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51)$

syntax-consts *-is-functor* \doteq *is-functor*

translations $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \doteq \text{CONST } \text{is-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$

abbreviation (*input*) *is-cn-cf* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where *is-cn-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \equiv \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

syntax *-is-cn-cf* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(\langle \langle \langle \langle \text{-} / \text{-} \mapsto_{C1} \text{-} \rangle \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51)$

syntax-consts *-is-cn-cf* \doteq *is-cn-cf*

translations $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \doteq \text{CONST } \text{is-cn-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$

abbreviation *all-cfs* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *all-cfs* $\alpha \equiv \text{set } \{\mathfrak{F}. \exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$

abbreviation *cfs* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $cfs \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv set \{\mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] =
is-functor.cf-length
is-functor.cf-HomDom
is-functor.cf-HomCod
is-functor.cf-ObjMap-CId

lemma *cn-cf-ObjMap-CId*[*cat-cn-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{C\mapsto_{\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{A}(CId)(c)) = \mathfrak{B}(CId)(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(c))$
(proof)

lemma (in is-functor) cf-is-semifunctor':
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$
shows *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
(proof)

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *is-functor.cf-is-semifunctor'*

lemma *cn-smcf-comp-is-semifunctor*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{C\mapsto_{\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{SMC\mapsto_{\alpha}} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *cn-smcf-comp-is-semifunctor'*[*slicing-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{C\mapsto_{\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-smc } (\text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A})$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$
shows *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
(proof)

Rules.

lemma (in is-functor) is-functor-axioms'[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
(proof)

mk-ide rf *is-functor-def*[*unfolded is-functor-axioms-def*]
|*intro is-functorI*|
|*dest is-functorD[dest]*|
|*elim is-functorE[elim]*||

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = *is-functorD(3,4)*

lemma *is-functorI'*:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
and *vfsequence* \mathfrak{F}
and *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
and *vcard* $\mathfrak{F} = 4\mathbb{N}$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{B}$
and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$)
and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
and $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$

and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $\wedge b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g \circ_{A\mathfrak{A}} f) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f)$
and $(\wedge c. c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{A}(CId)(c)) = \mathfrak{B}(CId)(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(c)))$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-functorD'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
and *vfsequence* \mathfrak{F}
and *category* α \mathfrak{A}
and *category* α \mathfrak{B}
and *vcard* $\mathfrak{F} = 4\mathbb{N}$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{B}$
and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$)
and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
and $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $\wedge b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g \circ_{A\mathfrak{A}} f) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f)$
and $(\wedge c. c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{A}(CId)(c)) = \mathfrak{B}(CId)(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(c)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-functorE'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
and *vfsequence* \mathfrak{F}
and *category* α \mathfrak{A}
and *category* α \mathfrak{B}
and *vcard* $\mathfrak{F} = 4\mathbb{N}$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{B}$
and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$)
and *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
and $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $\wedge b c g a f. [[g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} c; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g \circ_{A\mathfrak{A}} f) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f)$
and $(\wedge c. c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{A}(CId)(c)) = \mathfrak{B}(CId)(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(c)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A functor is a semifunctor.

context *is-functor*
begin

interpretation *smcf*: *is-semifunctor* α $\langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle$ $\langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle$ $\langle \text{cf-smcf } \mathfrak{F} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *ObjMap*: *vsv* $\langle \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) \rangle$

```

⟨proof⟩
sublocale ArrMap: vsv ⟨F(ArrMap)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
cf-ObjMap-vsv = smcf.smcf-ObjMap-vsv
and cf-ArrMap-vsv = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-vsv
and cf-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps] = smcf.smcf-ObjMap-vdomain
and cf-ObjMap-vrange = smcf.smcf-ObjMap-vrange
and cf-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps] = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-vdomain
and cf-ArrMap-is-arr = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-is-arr
and cf-ArrMap-is-arr''[cat-cs-intros] = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-is-arr''
and cf-ArrMap-is-arr'[cat-cs-intros] = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-is-arr'
and cf-ObjMap-app-in-HomCod-Obj[cat-cs-intros] =
smcf.smcf-ObjMap-app-in-HomCod-Obj
and cf-ArrMap-vrange = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-vrange
and cf-ArrMap-app-in-HomCod-Arr[cat-cs-intros] =
smcf.smcf-ArrMap-app-in-HomCod-Arr
and cf-ObjMap-vsubset-Vset = smcf.smcf-ObjMap-vsubset-Vset
and cf-ArrMap-vsubset-Vset = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-vsubset-Vset
and cf-ObjMap-in-Vset = smcf.smcf-ObjMap-in-Vset
and cf-ArrMap-in-Vset = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-in-Vset
and cf-is-semifunctor-if-ge-Limit = smcf.smcf-is-semifunctor-if-ge-Limit
and cf-is-arr-HomCod = smcf.smcf-is-arr-HomCod
and cf-vimage-dghm-ArrMap-vsubset-Hom =
smcf.smcf-vimage-dghm-ArrMap-vsubset-Hom

```

```

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
cf-ArrMap-Comp = smcf.smcf-ArrMap-Comp

```

end

```

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] =
is-functor.cf-ObjMap-vdomain
is-functor.cf-ArrMap-vdomain
is-functor.cf-ArrMap-Comp

```

```

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] =
is-functor.cf-ObjMap-app-in-HomCod-Obj
is-functor.cf-ArrMap-app-in-HomCod-Arr
is-functor.cf-ArrMap-is-arr'

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma cn-cf-ArrMap-Comp[cat-cn-cs-simps]:
assumes category α ℙ
and ℙ : ℙ ↪ ↪_Cα ℙ
and g : c ↪_ℙ b
and f : b ↪_ℙ a
shows ℙ(F(ArrMap)(f ∘_A ℙ g)) = ℙ(F(ArrMap)(g)) ∘_A ℙ ℙ(F(ArrMap)(f))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cf-eqI:
assumes ℙ : ℙ ↪ ↪_Cα ℙ
and ℙ : ℙ ↪ ↪_Cα ℙ
and ℙ(ObjMap) = ℙ(ObjMap)
and ℙ(ArrMap) = ℙ(ArrMap)
and ℙ = ℙ
and ℙ = ℙ

```

shows $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}$

{proof}

lemma *cf-smcf-eqI*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{D}$

and *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{G} = \text{cf-smcf } \mathfrak{F}$

shows $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}$

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cf-def: $\mathfrak{F} = [\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap), \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap), \mathfrak{F}(HomDom), \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)]$.

{proof}

Size.

lemma (in is-functor) cf-in-Vset:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

shows $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 Vset \beta$

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cf-is-functor-if-ge-Limit:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}$

{proof}

lemma small-all-cfs[simp]: *small* $\{\mathfrak{F}. \exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cf-in-Vset-7: $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 Vset (\alpha + \gamma_{\mathbb{N}})$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) all-cfs-in-Vset:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

shows *all-cfs* $\alpha \in_0 Vset \beta$

{proof}

lemma small-cfs[simp]: *small* $\{\mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$

{proof}

4.2.1 Further properties

lemma (in is-functor) cf-ArrMap-is-iso-arr:

assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{A}} b$

shows $\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f) : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b)$

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cf-ArrMap-is-iso-arr'[cat-arrow-cs-intros]:

assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{A}} b$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}a = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}b = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b)$

shows $\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f) : \mathfrak{F}a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}b$

{proof}

lemmas [cat-arrow-cs-intros] = *is-functor.cf-ArrMap-is-iso-arr'*

4.3 Opposite functor

4.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-2 in [7].

definition $op\text{-}cf :: V \Rightarrow V$

where $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} =$

$[\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap), \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap), op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)), op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))]$.

Components.

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}components[cat\text{-}op\text{-}simps]:$

shows $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap) = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)$

and $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap) = \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)$

and $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom))$

and $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Slicing.

lemma $cf\text{-}smcf\text{-}op\text{-}cf[slicing\text{-}commute]: op\text{-}smcf (cf\text{-}smcf \mathfrak{F}) = cf\text{-}smcf (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elementary properties.

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}op\text{-}intros]: vsv (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}) \langle proof \rangle$

4.3.2 Further properties

lemma (in is-functor) $is\text{-}functor\text{-}op: op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $is\text{-}functor\text{-}op'[cat\text{-}op\text{-}intros]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}$

shows $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $is\text{-}functor\text{-}op[cat\text{-}op\text{-}intros] = is\text{-}functor.is\text{-}functor\text{-}op'$

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-}op\text{-}cf\text{-}op\text{-}cf[cat\text{-}op\text{-}simps]: op\text{-}cf (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $cf\text{-}op\text{-}cf\text{-}op\text{-}cf[cat\text{-}op\text{-}simps] = is\text{-}functor.cf\text{-}op\text{-}cf\text{-}op\text{-}cf$

lemma $eq\text{-}op\text{-}cf\text{-}iff[cat\text{-}op\text{-}simps]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

shows $op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G} = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Composition of covariant functors

4.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

abbreviation (input) $cf\text{-}comp :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (**infixl** \circ_{CF} 55)

where $cf\text{-}comp \equiv dghm\text{-}comp$

Slicing.

lemma $cf\text{-}smcf\text{-}smcf\text{-}comp[slicing\text{-}commute]:$

$cf\text{-}smcf \mathfrak{G} \circ_{SMCF} cf\text{-}smcf \mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-}smcf (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.4.2 Object map

lemma *cf-comp-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows *vsv* $((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap))$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)) = \mathcal{A}(Obj)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)) \subseteq_o \mathcal{C}(Obj)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ and [*simp*]: $a \in_o \mathcal{A}(Obj)$
shows $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)(a) = \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a))$
{proof}

4.4.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-comp-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows *vsv* $((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap))$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)) = \mathcal{A}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)) \subseteq_o \mathcal{C}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ and [*simp*]: $f \in_o \mathcal{A}(Arr)$
shows $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)(f) = \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f))$
{proof}

4.4.4 Further properties

lemma *cf-comp-is-functorI*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-assoc*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
{proof}

The opposite of the covariant composition of functors.

lemma *op-cf-cf-comp*[*cat-op-simps*]: $op\text{-}cf\ (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) = op\text{-}cf\ \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf\ \mathfrak{F}$
{proof}

Composition helper.

```
lemma cf-comp-assoc-helper:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{Q}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) = \mathcal{Q} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$ 
  {proof}
```

4.5 Composition of contravariant functors

4.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

See section 1.2 in [3].

```
definition cf-cn-comp ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$  (infixl  $\circ_{CF}$  55)
  where  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} =$ 
    [
       $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap}) \circ_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}),$ 
       $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap}) \circ_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}),$ 
      op-cat ( $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom})$ ),
       $\mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod})$ 
    ] $\circ$ 
```

Components.

```
lemma cf-cn-comp-components:
  shows  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ObjMap}) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap}) \circ_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$ 
  and  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ArrMap}) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap}) \circ_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$ 
  and [cat-cn-cs-simps]:  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{HomDom}) = \text{op-cat } (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}))$ 
  and [cat-cn-cs-simps]:  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod})$ 
  {proof}
```

Slicing.

```
lemma cf-smcf cf-cn-comp[slicing-commute]:
  cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{SMCF} \mathfrak{F} = cf-smcf (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$ 
  {proof}
```

4.5.2 Object map: two contravariant functors

```
lemma cf-cn-comp-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cn-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows vsv  $((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ObjMap}))$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cf-cn-comp-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cn-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} ((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cf-cn-comp-ObjMap-vrange:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} ((\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cf-cn-comp-ObjMap-app[cat-cn-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ 
  shows  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ObjMap})(a) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a))$ 
  {proof}
```

4.5.3 Arrow map: two contravariant functors

lemma *cf-cn-comp-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cn-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows *vsv* ($(\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-comp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cn-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)) = \mathcal{A}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-comp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)) \subseteq_o \mathcal{C}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-comp-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cn-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ **and** $a \in_o \mathcal{A}(Arr)$
shows $(\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)(a) = \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(a))$
{proof}

4.5.4 Object map: contravariant and covariant functor

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-cn-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows *vsv* ($(\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cn-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)) = \mathcal{A}(Obj)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)) \subseteq_o \mathcal{C}(Obj)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cn-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ **and** $a \in_o \mathcal{A}(Obj)$
shows $(\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ObjMap)(a) = \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a))$
{proof}

4.5.5 Arrow map: contravariant and covariant functors

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cn-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows *vsv* ($(\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cn-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)) = \mathcal{A}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-cn-cov-comp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\mapsto\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)) \subseteq_o \mathcal{C}(Arr)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma cf-cn-cov-comp-ArrMap-app[cat-cn-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathcal{B}$  and  $a \in_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$ 
  shows  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(\text{ArrMap})(a) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(a))$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

4.5.6 Further properties

```
lemma cf-cn-comp-is-functorI[cat-cn-cs-intros]:
  assumes category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

See section 1.2 in [3]).

```
lemma cf-cn-cov-comp-is-functor[cat-cn-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

See section 1.2 in [3].

```
lemma cf-cov-cn-comp-is-functor[cat-cn-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\text{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

The opposite of the contravariant composition of functors.

```
lemma op-cf-cf-cn-comp[cat-op-simps]: op-cf  $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) = \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

4.6 Identity functor

4.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7].

abbreviation (*input*) $cf\text{-id} :: V \Rightarrow V$ **where** $cf\text{-id} \equiv dghm\text{-id}$

Slicing.

```
lemma cf-smcf-cf-id[slicing-commute]: smcf-id (cat-smc  $\mathfrak{C}$ ) = cf-smcf (cf-id  $\mathfrak{C}$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context category
begin
```

interpretation smc : semicategory α ⟨*cat-smc* \mathfrak{C} ⟩ ⟨*proof*⟩

```
lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
  cat-smcf-id-is-semifunctor = smc.smc-smcf-id-is-semifunctor
```

end

4.6.2 Object map

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *dghm-id-ObjMap-app*

4.6.3 Arrow map

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *dghm-id-ArrMap-app*

4.6.4 Opposite of an identity functor.

lemma *op-cf-cf-id*[*cat-op-simps*]: *op-cf* (*cf-id* \mathfrak{C}) = *cf-id* (*op-cat* \mathfrak{C})
{proof}

4.6.5 An identity functor is a functor

lemma (*in category*) *cat-cf-id-is-functor*: *cf-id* \mathfrak{C} : $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma (*in category*) *cat-cf-id-is-functor'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{C}$
shows *cf-id* \mathfrak{C} : $\mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = *category.cat-cf-id-is-functor'*

4.6.6 Further properties

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cf-cf-comp-cf-id-left*[*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-id* $\mathfrak{B} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}$
— See Chapter I-3 in [7]).
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-cf-comp-cf-id-left*

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cf-cf-comp-cf-id-right*[*cat-cs-simps*]: $\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \text{cf-id } \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}$
— See Chapter I-3 in [7]).
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-cf-comp-cf-id-right*

4.7 Constant functor

4.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-3 in [7].

abbreviation *cf-const* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *cf-const* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a \equiv smcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a (\mathfrak{D}(\text{CId})(a))$

Slicing.

lemma *cf-smcf-cf-const*[*slicing-commute*]:
smcf-const (*cat-smc* \mathfrak{C}) (*cat-smc* \mathfrak{D}) $a (\mathfrak{D}(\text{CId})(a)) = cf\text{-}smcf (cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a)$
{proof}

4.7.2 Object map and arrow map

context
fixes $\mathfrak{D} a :: V$
begin

lemmas-with [**where** $\mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D}$ **and** $a=a$ **and** $f=\mathfrak{D}(\text{CId})(a)$, *cat-cs-simps*]:
dghm-const-ObjMap-app
dghm-const-ArrMap-app

end

4.7.3 Opposite constant functor

lemma *op-cf-cf-const*[*cat-op-simps*]:

op-cf (*cf-const* \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a) = *cf-const* (*op-cat* \mathfrak{C}) (*op-cat* \mathfrak{D}) a
(proof)

4.7.4 A constant functor is a functor

lemma *cf-const-is-functor*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} and category α \mathfrak{D} and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
shows *cf-const* \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} $a : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-const-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and category α \mathfrak{D}
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{D}$
and $f = (\mathfrak{D}(\text{CId})(a))$
shows *dghm-const* \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} $a f : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

4.7.5 Further properties

lemma *cf-comp-cf-const-right*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b = \text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b))$
(proof)

lemma *cf-comp-cf-const-right'*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $f = \mathfrak{B}(\text{CId})(b)$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \text{dghm-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b f = \text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b))$
(proof)

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf-comp-cf-const-left*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows *cf-const* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} a \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = \text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} a$
(proof)

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf-comp-cf-const-left'*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $f = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a)$
shows *dghm-const* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} a f \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = \text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} a$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-comp-cf-const-left'*

4.8 Faithful functor

4.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7]).

locale *is-ft-functor* = *is-functor* α \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} for α \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} +
assumes *ft-cf-is-ft-semifunctor*[*slicing-intros*]:

```

cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{F}$  : cat-smc  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\leftrightarrow_{SMC.faithful\alpha}$  cat-smc  $\mathfrak{B}$ 

syntax -is-ft-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle \cdot / \cdot \mapsto_{C.faithful} \cdot \rangle \rangle$  [51, 51, 51] 51)
syntax-consts -is-ft-functor  $\Rightarrow$  is-ft-functor
translations  $\mathfrak{F}$  :  $\mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.faithful\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow \text{CONST is-ft-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

```

```

lemma (in is-ft-functor) ft-cf-is-ft-functor'':
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{F}$  :  $\mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{SMC.faithful\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-ft-functor.ft-cf-is-ft-functor'
```

Rules.

```

lemma (in is-ft-functor) is-ft-functor-axioms'[cf-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C.faithful\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
  {proof}

```

```

mk-ide rf is-ft-functor-def[unfolded is-ft-functor-axioms-def]
|intro is-ft-functorI|
|dest is-ft-functorD[dest]|
|elim is-ft-functorE[elim]|

```

```
lemmas [cf-cs-intros] = is-ft-functorD(1)
```

```

lemma is-ft-functorI'':
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\wedge a b. [\![ a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}); b \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) ]\!] \implies v11(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \uparrow^l \text{Hom } \mathfrak{A} a b)$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.faithful\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma is-ft-functorD'':
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.faithful\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\wedge a b. [\![ a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}); b \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) ]\!] \implies v11(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \uparrow^l \text{Hom } \mathfrak{A} a b)$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma is-ft-functorE'':
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.faithful\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  obtains  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\wedge a b. [\![ a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}); b \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) ]\!] \implies v11(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \uparrow^l \text{Hom } \mathfrak{A} a b)$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma is-ft-functorI'':
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\wedge a b g f.$ 
   $[\![ g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b; f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b; \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) ]\!] \implies g = f$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.faithful\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

Elementary properties.

```

context is-ft-functor
begin

```

```

interpretation smcf: is-ft-semifunctor  $\alpha$  <cat-smc  $\mathfrak{A}$ > <cat-smc  $\mathfrak{B}$ > <cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{F}$ >
  {proof}

```

```

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
  ft-cf-v11-on-Hom = smcf.ft-smcf-v11-on-Hom
  and ft-cf-ArrMap-eqD = smcf.ft-smcf-ArrMap-eqD
end

```

4.8.2 Opposite faithful functor.

```

lemma (in is-ft-functor) is-ft-functor-op':
  op-cf  $\mathfrak{F}$  : op-cat  $\mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{faithful}\alpha} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in is-ft-functor) is-ft-functor-op:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows op-cf  $\mathfrak{F}$  : op-cat  $\mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{faithful}\alpha} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemmas is-ft-functor-op[cat-op-intros] = is-ft-functor.is-ft-functor-op'
```

4.8.3 The composition of faithful functors is a faithful functor

```

lemma cf-comp-is-ft-functor[cf-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{faithful}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{faithful}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{faithful}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.9 Full functor

4.9.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7]).

```

locale is-fl-functor = is-functor α  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$  for α  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$  +
  assumes fl-cf-is-fl-semifunctor:
    cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.full\alpha} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$ 

syntax -is-fl-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \cdot : / - \leftrightarrow_{C.full1} \cdot \rangle$  [51, 51, 51] 51)
syntax-consts -is-fl-functor ⇔ is-fl-functor
translations  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.full\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow \text{CONST is-fl-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

```

```

lemma (in is-fl-functor) fl-cf-is-fl-functor'[slicing-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{SMC.full\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-fl-functor.fl-cf-is-fl-semifunctor
```

Rules.

```

lemma (in is-fl-functor) is-fl-functor-axioms'[cf-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C.full\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

mk-ide rf is-fl-functor-def[unfolded is-fl-functor-axioms-def]
|intro is-fl-functorI|
|dest is-fl-functorD[dest]|
|elim is-fl-functorE[elim]|

```

lemmas [*cf*-*cs*-intros] = *is-fl-functorD*(1)

lemma *is-fl-functorI'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\wedge a b. [[a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}); b \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \circ (\text{Hom } \mathfrak{A} a b) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b))$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-fl-functorD'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\wedge a b. [[a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}); b \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \circ (\text{Hom } \mathfrak{A} a b) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-fl-functorE'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\wedge a b. [[a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}); b \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \circ (\text{Hom } \mathfrak{A} a b) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Elementary properties.

context *is-fl-functor*

begin

interpretation *smcf*: *is-fl-semifunctor* $\alpha \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A}, \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle, \langle \text{cf-smcf } \mathfrak{F} \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps*]:

fl-cf-surj-on-Hom = *smcf.fl-smcf-surj-on-Hom*

end

4.9.2 Opposite full functor

lemma (*in is-fl-functor*) *is-fl-functor-op*[*cat-op-intros*]:

op-cf $\mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *is-fl-functor-op*[*cat-op-intros*] = *is-fl-functor.is-fl-functor-op*

4.9.3 The composition of full functor is a full functor

lemma *cf-comp-is-fl-functor*[*cf*-*cs*-intros]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_C \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C,\text{full}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.10 Fully faithful functor

4.10.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7]).

locale *is-ff-functor* = *is-ft-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ + *is-fl-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$
for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$

```

syntax -is-ff-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle \cdot : / \cdot \mapsto \cdot \rangle \rangle_{C.\text{ff}^1} \cdot \cdot \cdot$ ) [51, 51, 51] 51)
syntax-consts -is-ff-functor  $\Leftarrow$  is-ff-functor
translations  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{C.\text{ff}\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow \text{CONST is-ff-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

```

Rules.

mk-ide rf is-ff-functor-def

- | intro is-ff-functorI |
- | dest is-ff-functorD[dest] |
- | elim is-ff-functorE[elim] |

lemmas [cf-cs-intros] = is-ff-functorD

Elementary properties.

lemma (in is-ff-functor) ff-cf-is-ff-semifunctor:
 cf-smcf $\mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{SMC.\text{ff}\alpha} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in is-ff-functor) ff-cf-is-ff-semifunctor'[slicing-intros]:
 assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$
 shows cf-smcf $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{SMC.\text{ff}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-ff-functor.ff-cf-is-ff-semifunctor'

4.10.2 Opposite fully faithful functor

lemma (in is-ff-functor) is-ff-functor-op:
 op-cf $\mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{C.\text{ff}\alpha} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in is-ff-functor) is-ff-functor-op'[cat-op-intros]:
 assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
 shows op-cf $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{C.\text{ff}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas is-ff-functor-op[cat-op-intros] = is-ff-functor.is-ff-functor-op

4.10.3 The composition of fully faithful functors is a fully faithful functor

lemma cf-comp-is-ff-functor[cf-cs-intros]:
 assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{C.\text{ff}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{C.\text{ff}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{C.\text{ff}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.11 Isomorphism of categories

4.11.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7]).

locale is-iso-functor = is-functor $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} +$
assumes iso-cf-is-iso-semifunctor:
 cf-smcf $\mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \cdot \cdot \cdot_{SMC.\text{iso}\alpha} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$

```

syntax -is-iso-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle \cdot : / \cdot \mapsto \cdot \rangle \rangle_{C.\text{iso}^1} \cdot \cdot \cdot$ ) [51, 51, 51] 51)
syntax-consts -is-iso-functor  $\Leftarrow$  is-iso-functor

```

translations $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow CONST$ is-iso-functor $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$

lemma (in is-iso-functor) iso-cf-is-iso-semifunctor'[slicing-intros]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = cat-smc \mathfrak{A}$ $\mathfrak{B}' = cat-smc \mathfrak{B}$
shows cf-smcf $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{SM C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-iso-semifunctor.iso-smcf-is-iso-dghm'

Rules.

lemma (in is-iso-functor) is-iso-functor-axioms'[cf-cs-intros]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-ide rf is-iso-functor-def[unfolded is-iso-functor-axioms-def]

|intro is-iso-functorI|
|dest is-iso-functorD[dest]|
|elim is-iso-functorE[elim]|

lemma is-iso-functorI':
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $v11(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap))$
and $v11(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap))$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Arr)$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma is-iso-functorD':
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $v11(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap))$
and $v11(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap))$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Arr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma is-iso-functorE':
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $v11(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap))$
and $v11(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap))$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Arr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elementary properties.

context is-iso-functor
begin

interpretation smcf: is-iso-semifunctor $\alpha \langle cat-smc \mathfrak{A} \rangle \langle cat-smc \mathfrak{B} \rangle \langle cf-smcf \mathfrak{F} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
iso-cf-ObjMap-vrange[simp] = smcf.iso-smcf-ObjMap-vrange
and iso-cf-ArrMap-vrange[simp] = smcf.iso-smcf-ArrMap-vrange

```

sublocale ObjMap: v11 ⟨F(ObjMap)⟩
  rewrites Do (F(ObjMap)) = A(Obj) and Ro (F(ObjMap)) = B(Obj)
  {proof}

sublocale ArrMap: v11 ⟨F(ArrMap)⟩
  rewrites Do (F(ArrMap)) = A(Arr) and Ro (F(ArrMap)) = B(Arr)
  {proof}

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
  iso-cf-Obj-HomDom-if-Obj-HomCod[elim] =
    smcf.iso-smcf-Obj-HomDom-if-Obj-HomCod
  and iso-cf-Arr-HomDom-if-Arr-HomCod[elim] =
    smcf.iso-smcf-Arr-HomDom-if-Arr-HomCod
  and iso-cf-ObjMap-eqE[elim] = smcf.iso-smcf-ObjMap-eqE
  and iso-cf-ArrMap-eqE[elim] = smcf.iso-smcf-ArrMap-eqE
end

```

```

sublocale is-iso-functor ⊑ is-ff-functor
{proof}

```

```

lemmas (in is-iso-functor) iso-cf-is-ff-functor = is-ff-functor-axioms
lemmas [cf-cs-intros] = is-iso-functor.iso-cf-is-ff-functor

```

4.11.2 Opposite isomorphism of categories

```

lemma (in is-iso-functor) is-iso-functor-op:
  op-cf F : op-cat A ↠ C.isoα op-cat B
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in is-iso-functor) is-iso-functor-op':
  assumes A' = op-cat A and B' = op-cat B
  shows op-cf F : op-cat A ↠ C.isoα op-cat B
  {proof}

```

```

lemmas is-iso-functor-op[cat-op-intros] =
  is-iso-functor.is-iso-functor-op'

```

4.11.3 The composition of isomorphisms of categories is an isomorphism of categories

```

lemma cf-comp-is-iso-functor[cf-cs-intros]:
  assumes G : B ↠ C.isoα C and F : A ↠ C.isoα B
  shows G ∘C F : A ↠ C.isoα C
  {proof}

```

4.12 Inverse functor

```

abbreviation (input) inv-cf :: V ⇒ V
  where inv-cf ≡ inv-dghm

```

Slicing.

```

lemma dghm-inv-semifunctor[slicing-commute]:
  inv-smcf (cf-smcf F) = cf-smcf (inv-cf F)
  {proof}

```

```

context is-iso-functor
begin

```

interpretation $smcf$: *is-iso-semifunctor* $\alpha \langle cat-smc \mathfrak{A} \rangle \langle cat-smc \mathfrak{B} \rangle \langle cf-smcf \mathfrak{F} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute*]:

- $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}v11 = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}v11$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vrangle = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vrangle$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}v11 = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}v11$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$
- and** $inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vrangle = smcf.inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vrangle$
- and** $iso\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app[cf\text{-}cs\text{-}simps] =$
 $smcf.iso\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$
- and** $iso\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cf\text{-}cs\text{-}simps] =$
 $smcf.iso\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$
- and** $iso\text{-}cf\text{-}HomDom\text{-}is\text{-}arr\text{-}conv = smcf.iso\text{-}smcf\text{-}HomDom\text{-}is\text{-}arr\text{-}conv$
- and** $iso\text{-}cf\text{-}HomCod\text{-}is\text{-}arr\text{-}conv = smcf.iso\text{-}smcf\text{-}HomCod\text{-}is\text{-}arr\text{-}conv$
- and** $iso\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app[cf\text{-}cs\text{-}simps] =$
 $smcf.iso\text{-}inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}smcf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$
- and** $iso\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cf\text{-}cs\text{-}simps] =$
 $smcf.iso\text{-}inv\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}smcf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$

end

lemmas [*cf*-cs-intros] =
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}v11$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}v11$

lemmas [*cf*-cs-simps] =
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vrangle$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vrangle$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.iso\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.iso\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.iso\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}cf\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$
 $is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor.iso\text{-}inv\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}cf\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$

4.13 An isomorphism of categories is an isomorphism in the category *CAT*

lemma *is-iso-arr-is-iso-functor*:

— See Chapter I-3 in [7].

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$

and $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{A}$

and $\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-iso-functor-is-iso-arr*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows [*cf*-cs-intros]: $inv\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$

and [*cf*-cs-simps]: $inv\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{A}$

and [*cf*-cs-simps]: $\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} inv\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{B}$

{proof}

4.13.1 An identity functor is an isomorphism of categories

lemma (in category) cat-cf-id-is-iso-functor: cf-id $\mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{iso\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

4.14 Isomorphic categories

4.14.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7]).

locale iso-category = L: category $\alpha \mathfrak{A} + R: category \alpha \mathfrak{B}$ for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} +$
assumes iso-cat-is-iso-functor: $\exists \mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

notation iso-category (infixl \approx_{C^1} 50)

Rules.

lemma iso-categoryI:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma iso-categoryD[dest]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\exists \mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma iso-categoryE[elim]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains \mathfrak{F} where $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

Isomorphic categories are isomorphic semicategories.

lemma (in iso-category) iso-cat-iso-semicategory:
cat-smc $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{SMC\alpha} cat-smc \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

4.14.2 A category isomorphism is an equivalence relation

lemma iso-category-refl:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
{proof}

lemma iso-category-sym[sym]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{B} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
{proof}

lemma iso-category-trans[trans]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

5 Smallness for functors

5.1 Functor with tiny maps

5.1.1 Definition and elementary properties

locale *is-tm-functor* = *is-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} +$

assumes *tm-cf-is-semifunctor*[*slicing-intros*]:

cf-smcf $\mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.tma} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$

syntax *-is-tm-functor* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(\langle (- :/ - \leftrightarrow_{C.tm^1} -) \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51)$

syntax-consts *-is-tm-functor* \Leftarrow *is-tm-functor*

translations $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow \text{CONST is-tm-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$

abbreviation (*input*) *is-cn-tm-functor* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where *is-cn-tm-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \equiv \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-dg } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$

syntax *-is-cn-tm-functor* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(\langle (- :/ - \xrightarrow{C.tm} \leftrightarrow_1 -) \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51)$

syntax-consts *-is-cn-tm-functor* \Leftarrow *is-cn-tm-functor*

translations $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{C.tm} \leftrightarrow_\alpha \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow \text{CONST is-cn-tm-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$

abbreviation *all-tm-cfs* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *all-tm-cfs* $\alpha \equiv \text{set } \{\mathfrak{F}. \exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\}$

abbreviation *small-tm-cfs* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *small-tm-cfs* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv \text{set } \{\mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\}$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) *tm-cf-is-semifunctor'*:

assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$

and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A}$

and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$

shows *cf-smcf* $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{SMC.tma'} \mathfrak{B}'$

{proof}

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-is-semifunctor'*

Rules.

lemma (in is-tm-functor) *is-tm-functor-axioms'*[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C.tma'} \mathfrak{B}'$

{proof}

mk-ide rf *is-tm-functor-def*[*unfolded is-tm-functor-axioms-def*]

|*intro is-tm-functorI*|

|*dest is-tm-functorD[dest]*|

|*elim is-tm-functorE[elim]*|

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-functorD(1)*

Slicing.

context *is-tm-functor*

begin

interpretation *smcf*: *is-tm-semifunctor* $\alpha \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle \langle \text{cf-smcf } \mathfrak{F} \rangle$
{proof}

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps*]:

```

tm-cf-ObjMap-in-Vset[cat-cs-intros] = smcf.tm-smcf-ObjMap-in-Vset
and tm-cf-ArrMap-in-Vset[cat-cs-intros] = smcf.tm-smcf-ArrMap-in-Vset

```

end

sublocale *is-tm-functor* \subseteq *HomDom*: tiny-category α \mathfrak{A}
{proof}

Further rules.

lemma *is-tm-functorI'*:

```

assumes [simp]:  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and [simp]:  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and [simp]:  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ 

```

{proof}

lemma *is-tm-functorD'*:

```

assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 

```

{proof}

lemmas [cat-small-cs-intros] = *is-tm-functorD'(1)*

lemma *is-tm-functorE'*:

```

assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ 
obtains  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 

```

{proof}

Size.

lemma *small-all-tm-cfs*[simp]: *small* { \mathfrak{F} . $\exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$. $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ }

{proof}

5.1.2 Opposite functor with tiny maps

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *is-tm-functor-op*:

```

op-cf  $\mathfrak{F} : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B}$ 

```

{proof}

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *is-tm-functor-op'*[cat-op-intros]:

```

assumes  $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\alpha' = \alpha$ 
shows op-cf  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}'$ 

```

{proof}

lemmas *is-tm-functor-op*[cat-op-intros] = *is-tm-functor.is-tm-functor-op'*

5.1.3 Composition of functors with tiny maps

lemma *cf-comp-is-tm-functor*[cat-small-cs-intros]:

```

assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$ 

```

{proof}

5.1.4 Finite categories and functors with tiny maps

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf-is-tm-functor-if-HomDom-finite-category*:

```

assumes finite-category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ 
{proof}

```

5.1.5 Constant functor with tiny maps

```

lemma cf-const-is-tm-functor:
  assumes tiny-category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $a \in_0 \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$ 
  shows cf-const  $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a : \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{D}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma cf-const-is-tm-functor'[cat-small-cs-intros]:
  assumes tiny-category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$ 
    and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{D}$ 
    and  $a \in_0 \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{D}' = \mathfrak{D}$ 
  shows cf-const  $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a : \mathfrak{C}' \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{D}'$ 
{proof}

```

5.2 Tiny functor

5.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

locale is-tiny-functor = is-functor  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$  for  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$  +
  assumes tiny-cf-is-tiny-semifunctor[slicing-intros]:
    cf-smcf  $\mathfrak{F} : \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.tiny\alpha} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B}$ 

```

```

syntax -is-tiny-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle \cdot : / \cdot \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny1} \cdot \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51$ )
syntax-consts -is-tiny-functor  $\Leftrightarrow$  is-tiny-functor
translations  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow \text{CONST is-tiny-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

```

```

abbreviation (input) is-cn-tiny-cf ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where is-cn-tiny-cf  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \equiv \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 

```

```

syntax -is-cn-tiny-cf ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle \cdot : / \cdot \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny} \cdot \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51$ )
syntax-consts -is-cn-tiny-cf  $\Leftrightarrow$  is-cn-cf
translations  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow \text{CONST is-cn-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

```

```

abbreviation all-tiny-cfs ::  $V \Rightarrow V$ 
  where all-tiny-cfs  $\alpha \equiv \text{set } \{\mathfrak{F}. \exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$ 

```

```

abbreviation tiny-cfs ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  where tiny-cfs  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv \text{set } \{\mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$ 

```

```

lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-tiny-functor.tiny-cf-is-tiny-semifunctor

```

Rules.

```

lemma (in is-tiny-functor) is-tiny-functor-axioms'[cat-small-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
{proof}

```

```

mk-ide rf is-tiny-functor-def[unfolded is-tiny-functor-axioms-def]
|intro is-tiny-functorI|
|dest is-tiny-functorD[dest]|
|elim is-tiny-functorE[elim]|

```

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tiny-functorD*(1)

Elementary properties.

sublocale *is-tiny-functor* \subseteq *HomDom*: *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{A}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *is-tiny-functor* \subseteq *HomCod*: *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{B}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *is-tiny-functor* \subseteq *is-tm-functor*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Further rules.

lemma *is-tiny-functorI'*:
assumes [simp]: $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{A}
and *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{B}
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-tiny-functorD'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{A}
and *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{B}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tiny-functorD'(2,3)*

lemma *is-tiny-functorE'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{A}
and *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{B}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-tiny-functor-iff*:
 $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow$
 $(\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \wedge \text{tiny-category } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \wedge \text{tiny-category } \alpha \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Size.

lemma (**in** *is-tiny-functor*) *tiny-cf-in-Vset*: $\mathfrak{F} \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *small-all-tiny-cfs*[simp]: *small* { \mathfrak{F} . $\exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *small-tiny-cfs*[simp]: *small* { \mathfrak{F} . $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-tiny-cfs-vssubset-Vset*[simp]:
set { \mathfrak{F} . $\exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ } $\subseteq_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *is-functor*) *cf-is-tiny-functor-if-ge-Limit*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.2 Opposite tiny semifunctor

lemma (in is-tiny-functor) *is-tiny-functor-op*:
op-cf $\mathfrak{F} : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tiny-functor) *is-tiny-functor-op'*[*cat-op-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows *op-cf* $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *is-tiny-functor-op*[*cat-op-intros*] =
is-tiny-functor.is-tiny-functor-op'

5.2.3 Composition of tiny functors

lemma cf-comp-is-tiny-functor[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.4 Tiny constant functor

lemma cf-const-is-tiny-functor:
assumes *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **and** *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
shows *cf-const* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-const-is-tiny-functor':
assumes *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{D}' = \mathfrak{D}$
shows *cf-const* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} a : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *cf-const-is-tiny-functor'*

6 Natural transformation

6.1 Background

named-theorems *ntcf-CS-simps*
named-theorems *ntcf-CS-intros*

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *dg-shared-CS-simps*
lemmas [*cat-CS-intros*] = *dg-shared-CS-intros*

6.1.1 Slicing

definition *ntcf-ntsncf* :: $V \Rightarrow V$
where *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N} =$

$$[\mathfrak{N}(NTMap),$$

$$cf-smcf(\mathfrak{N}(NTDom)),$$

$$cf-smcf(\mathfrak{N}(NTCod)),$$

$$cat-smc(\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom)),$$

$$cat-smc(\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod))]$$

 $]_o$

Components.

lemma *ntcf-ntsncf-components*:
shows [*slicing-simps*]: *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N}(NTMap) = \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)$
and [*slicing-commute*]: *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = cf-smcf(\mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
and [*slicing-commute*]: *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = cf-smcf(\mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
and [*slicing-commute*]: *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = cat-smc(\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom))$
and [*slicing-commute*]: *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = cat-smc(\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Definition and elementary properties

The definition of a natural transformation that is used in this work is similar to the definition that can be found in Chapter I-4 in [7].

locale *is-ntcf* =
 $\mathcal{Z} \alpha +$
 $vfsequence \mathfrak{N} +$
 $NTDom: is-functor \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} +$
 $NTCod: is-functor \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$
for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N} +$
assumes *ntcf-length*[*cat-CS-simps*]: *vcard* $\mathfrak{N} = 5_{\mathbb{N}}$
and *ntcf-is-ntsncf*[*slicing-intros*]: *ntcf-ntsncf* $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $cf-smcf \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{SMCF} cf-smcf \mathfrak{G} : cat-smc \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{SMCF} \alpha} cat-smc \mathfrak{B}$
and *ntcf-NTDom*[*cat-CS-simps*]: $\mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$
and *ntcf-NTCod*[*cat-CS-simps*]: $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{G}$
and *ntcf-NTDGDom*[*cat-CS-simps*]: $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
and *ntcf-NTDGCod*[*cat-CS-simps*]: $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{B}$

syntax *-is-ntcf* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow bool$
 $(\langle \langle - : / - \mapsto_{CF} - : / - \mapsto_{\mapsto_{CF}} - \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$
syntax-consts *-is-ntcf* $\doteq is-ntcf$
translations $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{CF} \alpha} \mathfrak{B} \doteq CONST is-ntcf \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$

abbreviation *all-ntcfs* :: $V \Rightarrow V$
where *all-ntcfs* $\alpha \equiv set \{ \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{CF} \alpha} \mathfrak{B} \}$

abbreviation *ntcfs* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $ntcfs \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv set \{ \mathfrak{N} \mid \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \}$

abbreviation $these-ntcfs :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $these-ntcfs \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \equiv set \{ \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \}$

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] =

- is-ntcf.ntcf-length*
- is-ntcf.ntcf-NTDom*
- is-ntcf.ntcf-NTCod*
- is-ntcf.ntcf-NTDGDom*
- is-ntcf.ntcf-NTDGCod*

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-is-ntsmcf'*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F}' = cf-smcf \mathfrak{F}$
- and** $\mathfrak{G}' = cf-smcf \mathfrak{G}$
- and** $\mathfrak{A}' = cat-smc \mathfrak{A}$
- and** $\mathfrak{B}' = cat-smc \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $ntcf-ntsmcf \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{SMCF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*slicing-intros*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-is-ntsmcf'*

Rules.

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *is-ntcf-axioms'[cat-cs-intros]*:

- assumes** $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-ide rf *is-ntcf-def*[*unfolded is-ntcf-axioms-def*]

- | *intro is-ntcfI*
- | *dest is-ntcfD[dest]*
- | *elim is-ntcfE[elim]*

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] =

is-ntcfD(3,4)

lemma *is-ntcfI'*:

- assumes** $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
- and** *vfsequence* \mathfrak{N}
- and** *vcard* $\mathfrak{N} = 5_{\mathbb{N}}$
- and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- and** $\mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$
- and** $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{G}$
- and** $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
- and** $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{B}$
- and** *vsv* ($\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)$)
- and** $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)) = \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
- and** $\wedge a. a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \implies \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a) : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)$
- and** $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b \implies \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f) = \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a)$
- shows** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-ntcfD'*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
- and** *vfsequence* \mathfrak{N}

and $vcard \ \mathfrak{N} = 5_{\mathbb{N}}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{B}$
and $vsv(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap))$
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)) = \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
and $\wedge a. a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \implies \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a) : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)$
and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f) = \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-ntcfE'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \leftrightarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathcal{Z} \alpha$
and $vfsequence \ \mathfrak{N}$
and $vcard \ \mathfrak{N} = 5_{\mathbb{N}}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{B}$
and $vsv(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap))$
and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)) = \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
and $\wedge a. a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \implies \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a) : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)$
and $\wedge a b f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f) = \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Slicing.

context *is-ntcf*
begin

interpretation *ntsncf*:
 $is-ntsncf \ \alpha \langle cat-smc \ \mathfrak{A} \rangle \langle cat-smc \ \mathfrak{B} \rangle \langle cf-smc \ \mathfrak{F} \rangle \langle cf-smc \ \mathfrak{G} \rangle \langle ntcf-ntsncf \ \mathfrak{N} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps*]:

$ntcf-NTMap-vsv = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-vsv$
and $ntcf-NTMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps] = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-vdomain$
and $ntcf-NTMap-is-arr = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-is-arr$
and $ntcf-NTMap-is-arr'[cat-cs-intros] = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-is-arr'$

sublocale *NTMap*: $vsv \langle \mathfrak{N}(NTMap) \rangle$
rewrites $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)) = \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps*]:

$ntcf-NTMap-app-in-Arr[cat-cs-intros] = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-app-in-Arr$
and $ntcf-NTMap-vrange-vifunction = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-vrange-vifunction$
and $ntcf-NTMap-vrange = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-vrange$
and $ntcf-NTMap-vsubset-Vset = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-vsubset-Vset$
and $ntcf-NTMap-in-Vset = ntsncf.ntsncf-NTMap-in-Vset$
and $ntcf-is-ntsncf-if-ge-Limit = ntsncf.ntsncf-is-ntsncf-if-ge-Limit$

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps*]:
ntcf-Comp-commute[*cat-cs-intros*] = *ntsmcf.ntsmcf-Comp-commute*
and *ntcf-Comp-commute'* = *ntsmcf.ntsmcf-Comp-commute'*
and *ntcf-Comp-commute''* = *ntsmcf.ntsmcf-Comp-commute''*

end

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-NTMap-vdomain*

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] =
is-ntcf.ntcf-NTMap-vsv
is-ntcf.ntcf-NTMap-is-arr'
ntsmcf-hcomp-NTMap-vsv

Elementary properties.

lemma *ntcf-eqI*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
and $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}) = \mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})$
and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}'$
and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}'$
and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}'$
and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}'$
shows $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}'$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-ntsmcf-eqI*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}'$
and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}'$
and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}'$
and $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}'$
and *ntcf-ntsmcf* $\mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-ntsmcf } \mathfrak{N}'$
shows $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}'$

{proof}

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-def*:

$\mathfrak{N} = [\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}), \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}), \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}), \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom}), \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})]$.
{proof}

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-in-Vset*:

assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$

{proof}

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-is-ntcf-if-ge-Limit*:

assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}$

{proof}

lemma *small-all-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }

{proof}

lemma *small-ntcfs[simp]*: *small* { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }

{proof}

lemma *small-these-ntcfs[simp]*: *small { \mathfrak{N} . $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }*
{proof}

Further elementary results.

lemma *these-ntcfs-iff*:
 $\mathfrak{N} \in_0 \text{these-ntcfs } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

6.3 Opposite natural transformation

See section 1.5 in [3].

definition *op-ntcf* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *op-ntcf* \mathfrak{N} =

[
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$,
 $\text{op-cf} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}))$,
 $\text{op-cf} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}))$,
 $\text{op-cat} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom}))$,
 $\text{op-cat} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod}))$
]o.

Components.

lemma *op-ntcf-components[cat-op-simps]*:
shows *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$
and *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}) = \text{op-cf} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}))$
and *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}) = \text{op-cf} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}))$
and *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom}) = \text{op-cat} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom}))$
and *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod}) = \text{op-cat} (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod}))$
{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *ntcf-ntsmcf-op-ntcf[slicing-commute]*:
 $\text{op-ntsmcf} (\text{ntcf-ntsmcf } \mathfrak{N}) = \text{ntcf-ntsmcf} (\text{op-ntcf } \mathfrak{N})$
{proof}

Elementary properties.

lemma *op-ntcf-vsv[cat-op-intros]*: *vsv (op-ntcf \mathfrak{F})*
{proof}

6.3.1 Further properties

lemma (in is-ntcf) is-ntcf-op:

op-ntcf $\mathfrak{N} : \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma (in is-ntcf) is-ntcf-op'[cat-op-intros]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G}' = \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
shows *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *is-ntcf.is-ntcf-op'*

lemma (in is-ntcf) ntcf-op-ntcf-op-ntcf[cat-op-simps]:
 $\text{op-ntcf} (\text{op-ntcf } \mathfrak{N}) = \mathfrak{N}$

{proof}

lemmas *ntcf-op-ntcf-op-ntcf*[*cat-op-simps*] =
is-ntcf.ntcf-op-ntcf-op-ntcf

lemma *eq-op-ntcf-iff*[*cat-op-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
shows *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} = \text{op-ntcf } \mathfrak{N}' \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}'$

{proof}

6.4 Vertical composition of natural transformations

6.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-4 in [7].

abbreviation (*input*) *ntcf-vcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (**infixl** \leftrightarrow_{NTCF} 55)
where *ntcf-vcomp* \equiv *ntsmcf-vcomp*

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *ntsmcf-vcomp-components*(2–5)

Slicing.

lemma *ntcf-ntsmcf-ntcf-vcomp*[*slicing-commute*]:

ntcf-ntsmcf $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTSMCF} \text{ntcf-ntsmcf } \mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-ntsmcf } (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$
{proof}

6.4.2 Natural transformation map

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(\text{NTMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-NTMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows $(\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(\text{NTMap})(a) = \mathfrak{M}(\text{NTMap})(a) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-NTMap-vrange*:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(\text{NTMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

6.4.3 Further properties

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-composable-commute*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

— See Chapter II-4 in [7].
assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and [*intro*]: $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b$
shows
 $(\mathfrak{M}(\text{NTMap})(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(b)) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) =$
 $\mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} (\mathfrak{M}(\text{NTMap})(a) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a))$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-is-ntcf*[*cat-cs-intros*]:

— see Chapter II-4 in [7].

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-assoc*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

— See Chapter II-4 in [7].

assumes $\mathfrak{L} : \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $(\mathfrak{L} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M}) \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{L} \cdot_{NTCF} (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.4.4 The opposite of the vertical composition of natural transformations

lemma *op-ntcf-ntcf-vcomp*[*cat-op-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $op\text{-}ntcf}(\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) = op\text{-}ntcf} \mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} op\text{-}ntcf} \mathfrak{M}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.5 Horizontal composition of natural transformations

6.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-5 in [7].

abbreviation (*input*) *ntcf-hcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (*infixl* \circ_{NTCF} 55)

where *ntcf-hcomp* \equiv *ntsmcf-hcomp*

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *ntsmcf-hcomp-components*(2–5)

Slicing.

lemma *ntcf-ntsmcf-ntcf-hcomp*[*slicing-commute*]:

$ntcf\text{-}ntsmcf} \mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTSMCF} ntcf\text{-}ntsmcf} \mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}ntsmcf} (\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.5.2 Natural transformation map

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $D_\circ ((\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)) = \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-NTMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $a \in \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$

shows $(\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)(a) =$

$\mathfrak{G}'(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a)) \circ_{AC} \mathfrak{M}(NTMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-NTMap-vrange*:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $R_\circ ((\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}(Arr)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.5.3 Further properties

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-composable-commute*:

— See Chapter II-5 in [7].

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} b$

shows

$$(\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{F}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})(ArrMap)(f) = \\ (\mathfrak{G}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G})(ArrMap)(f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)(a)$$

(is $\langle \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}b \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} ?\mathfrak{F}'f = ?\mathfrak{G}'\mathfrak{G}f \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}a \rangle$)

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-is-ntcf*:

— See Chapter II-5 in [7].

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-is-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{F}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

and $\mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{G}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$

shows $\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-associativ [cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{L} : \mathfrak{F}'' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}'' : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $(\mathfrak{L} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M}) \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{L} \circ_{NTCF} (\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$

{proof}

6.5.4 The opposite of the horizontal composition of natural transformations

lemma *op-ntcf-ntcf-hcomp [cat-op-simps]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows *op-ntcf* ($\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}$) = *op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF}$ *op-ntcf* \mathfrak{N}

{proof}

6.6 Interchange law

lemma *ntcf-comp-interchange-law*:

— See Chapter II-5 in [7].

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{M}' : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $((\mathfrak{M}' \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}') \circ_{NTCF} (\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})) = (\mathfrak{M}' \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M}) \circ_{NTCF} (\mathfrak{N}' \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$

{proof}

6.7 Identity natural transformation

6.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-4 in [7].

definition *ntcf-id* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F} = [\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(CId) \circ_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap), \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}(HomDom), \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)]_{\circ}$

Components.

lemma *ntcf-id-components*:
shows *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTMap) = \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(CId) \circ \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)$
and [*dg-shared-cs-simps*, *cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$
and [*dg-shared-cs-simps*, *cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{F}$
and [*dg-shared-cs-simps*, *cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{F}(HomDom)$
and [*dg-shared-cs-simps*, *cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$
{proof}

lemma (*in is-functor*) *is-functor-ntcf-id-components*:
shows *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTMap) = \mathfrak{B}(CId) \circ \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)$
and *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$
and *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{F}$
and *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
and *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

6.7.2 Natural transformation map

lemma (*in is-functor*) *ntcf-id-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ(nDCF(\mathfrak{F}(NTMap))) = \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.ntcf-id-NTMap-vdomain*

lemma (*in is-functor*) *ntcf-id-NTMap-app-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes [*simp*]: $a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTMap)(a) = \mathfrak{B}(CId)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a))$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.ntcf-id-NTMap-app-vdomain*

lemma (*in is-functor*) *ntcf-id-NTMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
vsv (*ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F}(NTMap)$)
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.ntcf-id-NTMap-vsv*

lemma (*in is-functor*) *ntcf-id-NTMap-vrange*:
 $\mathcal{R}_\circ(nDCF(\mathfrak{F}(NTMap))) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{A}rr)$
{proof}

6.7.3 Further properties

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cf-ntcf-id-is-ntcf*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
ntcf-id $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cf-ntcf-id-is-ntcf'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{H}' = \mathfrak{F}$
shows *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cf-ntcf-id-is-ntcf'*

lemma (*in is-ntcf*) *ntcf-ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-id-left-left*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
— See Chapter II-4 in [7].
ntcf-id $\mathfrak{G} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-id-left-left*

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-id-right-left*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

— See Chapter II-4 in [7].

$\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-id-right-left*

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-ntcf-hcomp-ntcf-id-left-left*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

— See Chapter II-5 in [7].

$ntcf\text{-}id (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{B}) \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-ntcf-hcomp-ntcf-id-left-left*

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-ntcf-hcomp-ntcf-id-right-left*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

— See Chapter II-5 in [7].

$\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}id (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{N}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-ntcf-hcomp-ntcf-id-right-left*

6.7.4 The opposite identity natural transformation

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf-ntcf-id-op-cf*: *ntcf-id (op-cf* \mathfrak{F} *) = op-ntcf (ntcf-id* \mathfrak{F} *)*

{proof}

6.7.5 Identity natural transformation of a composition of functors

lemma *ntcf-id-cf-comp*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $ntcf\text{-}id (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{G} \circ_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *ntcf-id-cf-comp*[*symmetric*]

6.8 Composition of a natural transformation and a functor

6.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

abbreviation (input) *ntcf-cf-comp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (**infixl** $\circ_{NTCF-CF}$ 55)

where *ntcf-cf-comp* \equiv *tdghm-dghm-comp*

Slicing.

lemma *ntsmcf-tdghm-ntsmcf-smcf-comp*[*slicing-commute*]:

$ntcf\text{-}ntsmcf \mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTSMCF-SMCF} cf\text{-}smcf \mathfrak{H} = ntcf\text{-}ntsmcf (\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H})$

{proof}

6.8.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda (in *is-functor*)

tdghm-dghm-comp-components(1)[**where** $\mathfrak{H}=\mathfrak{F}$, unfolded cf-HomDom]

|*vdomain ntcf-cf-comp-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]|

|*app ntcf-cf-comp-NTMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]|

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] =
is-functor.ntcf-cf-comp-NTMap-vdomain

is-functor.ntcf-cf-comp-NTMap-app

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-NTMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o((\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H})(NTMap)) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(Arr)$
{proof}

6.8.3 Opposite of the composition of a natural transformation and a functor

lemma *op-ntcf-ntcf-cf-comp[cat-op-simps]*:
 $op\text{-}ntcf(\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H}) = op\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H}$
{proof}

6.8.4 Composition of a natural transformation and a functor is a natural transformation

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-is-ntcf*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-is-ntcf'[cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

6.8.5 Further properties

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-ntcf-cf-comp-assoc*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $(\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{G}) \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
{proof}

lemma (in is-ntcf) ntcf-ntcf-cf-comp-cf-id[cat-cs-simps]:
 $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{N}$
{proof}

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-ntcf-cf-comp-cf-id*

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-cf-comp[cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $(\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K}) \cdot_{NTCF} (\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K}) = (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K}$
{proof}

6.9 Composition of a functor and a natural transformation

6.9.1 Definition and elementary properties

abbreviation (*input*) *cf-ntcf-comp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (**infixl** $\langle \circ_{CF-NTCF} \rangle$ 55)
where *cf-ntcf-comp* \equiv *dghm-tdghm-comp*

Slicing.

lemma *ntcf-ntsmcf-cf-ntcf-comp*[*slicing-commute*]:
cf-smcf $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{SMCF-NTSMCF}$ *ntcf-ntsmcf* $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}ntsmcf (\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$
(proof)

6.9.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda (in *is-ntcf*)
dghm-tdghm-comp-components(1)[**where** $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{N}$, *unfolded ntcf-NTDGDom*]
|vdomain cf-ntcf-comp-NTMap-vdomain|
|app cf-ntcf-comp-NTMap-app|

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] =
is-ntcf.cf-ntcf-comp-NTMap-vdomain
is-ntcf.cf-ntcf-comp-NTMap-app

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-NTMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(Arr)$
(proof)

6.9.3 Opposite of the composition of a functor and a natural transformation

lemma *op-ntcf-cf-ntcf-comp*[*cat-op-simps*]:
op-ntcf ($\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N}$) = *op-cf* $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF}$ *op-ntcf* \mathfrak{N}
(proof)

6.9.4 Composition of a functor and a natural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-is-ntcf*:
assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

6.9.5 Further properties

lemma *cf-comp-cf-ntcf-comp-assoc*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
shows $(\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} (\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$
(proof)

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-cf-ntcf-comp-cf-id*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
cf-id $\mathfrak{B} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-cf-ntcf-comp-cf-id*

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-ntcf-cf-comp-assoc*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
 and $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 shows $(\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} (\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-ntcf-id*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F} \circ_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{K}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-ntcf-vcomp*:
assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF-NTCF} (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) = (\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{M}) \cdot_{NTCF} (\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.10 Constant natural transformation

6.10.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III in [7].

definition *ntcf-const* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f =$

$$[\begin{aligned} & vconst\text{-}on (\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{Obj})) f, \\ & cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Dom})(f)), \\ & cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Cod})(f)), \\ & \mathfrak{J}, \\ & \mathfrak{C} \end{aligned}] \circ$$

Components.

lemma *ntcf-const-components*:
shows *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTMap) = vconst\text{-}on (\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{Obj})) f$
and *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTDom) = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Dom})(f))$
and *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTCod) = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Cod})(f))$
and *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{J}$
and *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.10.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda *ntcf-const-components*(1)[*folded VLambda-vconst-on*]
 $|vsv ntcf\text{-}const\text{-}ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|$
 $|vdomain ntcf\text{-}const\text{-}ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|$
 $|app ntcf\text{-}const\text{-}ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|$

lemma *ntcf-const-NTMap-ne-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{Obj}) \neq 0$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTMap)) = \text{set } \{f\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-const-NTMap-vempty-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{J}(\mathfrak{Obj}) = 0$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f(NTMap)) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.10.3 Constant natural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma *ntcf-const-is-ntcf*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f : cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a \mapsto_{CF} cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} b : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-const-is-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
and $\mathfrak{A} = cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a$
and $\mathfrak{B} = cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} b$
and $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}'$
{proof}

6.10.4 Opposite constant natural transformation

lemma *op-ntcf-ntcf-const [cat-op-simps]*:
 $op\text{-}ntcf (ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f) = ntcf\text{-}const (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{J}) (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}) f$
{proof}

6.10.5 Further properties

lemma *ntcf-const-ntcf-vcomp [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} g \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f = ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} (g \circ_{AC} f)$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-id-cf-const [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ and $c \in_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows *ntcf-id* (*cf-const* $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c) = ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(CId)(c))$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-cf-const-right [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and $b \in_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b = ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(b))$
{proof}

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-ntcf-id [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{G} \circ_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}$
{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-ntcf-cf-comp-ntcf-const [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows *ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} f \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{F} = ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} f$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-ntcf-cf-comp-ntcf-const*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-ntcf-comp-cf-ntcf-const [cat-cs-simps]*:

```

assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$ 
  and  $f : r' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} r$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF-NTCF} ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{A} f = ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = is-functor.cf-ntcf-comp-cf-ntcf-const
```

6.11 Natural isomorphism

See Chapter I-4 in [7].

```

locale is-iso-ntcf = is-ntcf +
assumes iso-ntcf-is-iso-arr[cat-arrow-cs-intros]:
 $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \implies \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a) : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)$ 

```

```

syntax -is-iso-ntcf ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow bool$ 
 $(\langle \langle - : - \mapsto_{CF.iso} - : - \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}1} - \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$ 

```

```
syntax-consts -is-iso-ntcf  $\Leftarrow$  is-iso-ntcf
```

```

translations  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow$ 
 $CONST\ is\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf\ \alpha\ \mathfrak{A}\ \mathfrak{B}\ \mathfrak{F}\ \mathfrak{G}\ \mathfrak{N}$ 

```

```

lemma (in is-iso-ntcf) iso-ntcf-is-iso-arr':
assumes  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$ 
  and  $A = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)$ 
  and  $B = \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a) : A \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}} B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [cat-arrow-cs-intros] =
  is-iso-ntcf.iso-ntcf-is-iso-arr'
```

```

lemma (in is-iso-ntcf) iso-ntcf-is-iso-arr'':
assumes  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$ 
  and  $A = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)$ 
  and  $B = \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)$ 
  and  $F = \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a)$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ 
shows  $F : A \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}'} B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Rules.

```

lemma (in is-iso-ntcf) is-iso-ntcf-axioms'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
mk-ide rf is-iso-ntcf-def[unfolded is-iso-ntcf-axioms-def]
```

```
|intro is-iso-ntcfI|
|dest is-iso-ntcfD[dest]|
|elim is-iso-ntcfE[elim]|
```

```
lemmas [ntcf-cs-intros] = is-iso-ntcfD(1)
```

6.12 Inverse natural transformation

6.12.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

definition inv-ntcf ::  $V \Rightarrow V$ 
where inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N} =$ 

```

```

[  

  ( $\lambda a \in \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom})(\text{Obj})$ . SOME  $g$ . is-inverse ( $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$ )  $g$  ( $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$ )),  

   $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})$ ,  

   $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})$ ,  

   $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom})$ ,  

   $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$   

].

```

Slicing.

lemma *inv-ntcf-components*:

```

shows inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$  =  

  ( $\lambda a \in \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom})(\text{Obj})$ . SOME  $g$ . is-inverse ( $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$ )  $g$  ( $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$ ))  

and [cat-cs-simps]: inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})$  =  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})$   

and [cat-cs-simps]: inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})$  =  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})$   

and [cat-cs-simps]: inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom})$  =  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom})$   

and [cat-cs-simps]: inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$  =  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$   

{proof}

```

Components.

lemma (**in** *is-iso-ntcf*) *is-iso-ntcf-inv-ntcf-components*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

```

inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})$  =  $\mathfrak{G}$   

inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})$  =  $\mathfrak{F}$   

inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGDom})$  =  $\mathfrak{A}$   

inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$  =  $\mathfrak{B}$   

{proof}

```

6.12.2 Natural transformation map

lemma *inv-ntcf-NTMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]: *vsv* (*inv-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$)

{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-iso-ntcf*) *iso-ntcf-inv-ntcf-NTMap-app-is-inverse*[*cat-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $a \in \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$   

shows is-inverse  $\mathfrak{B}$  (inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$ ) ( $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$ )  

{proof}

```

lemma (**in** *is-iso-ntcf*) *iso-ntcf-inv-ntcf-NTMap-app-is-the-inverse*[*cat-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $a \in \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$   

shows inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$  = ( $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$ ) $^{-1}$   $C \mathfrak{B}$   

{proof}

```

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-iso-ntcf.iso-ntcf-inv-ntcf-NTMap-app-is-the-inverse*

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *inv-ntcf-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

```

 $\mathcal{D}_o$  (inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$ ) =  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$   

{proof}

```

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-ntcf.inv-ntcf-NTMap-vdomain*

lemma (**in** *is-iso-ntcf*) *inv-ntcf-NTMap-vrange*:

```

 $\mathcal{R}_o$  (inv-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$ )  $\subseteq_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$   

{proof}

```

6.12.3 Opposite natural isomorphism

lemma (**in** *is-iso-ntcf*) *is-iso-ntcf-op*:

```

op-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N}$  : op-cf  $\mathfrak{G}$   $\mapsto_{CF.iso}$  op-cf  $\mathfrak{F}$  : op-cat  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mapsto_{\mapsto_{C\alpha}}$  op-cat  $\mathfrak{B}$   

{proof}

```

lemma (in *is-iso-ntcf*) *is-iso-ntcf-op*[*cat-op-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G}' = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}$
shows $op\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *is-iso-ntcf-op*[*cat-op-intros*] = *is-iso-ntcf.is-iso-ntcf-op*

6.13 A natural isomorphism is an isomorphism in the category *Funct*

The results that are presented in this subsection can be found in nLab (see [1]²).

lemma *is-iso-arr-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-ntcf-is-iso-arr*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows [*ntcf-cs-intros*]: $inv\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} inv\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{G}$
and $inv\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}$
⟨proof⟩

6.13.1 The operation of taking the inverse natural transformation is an involution

lemma (in *is-iso-ntcf*) *iso-ntcf-inv-ntcf-inv-ntcf*[*ntcf-cs-simps*]:

$inv\text{-}ntcf (inv\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N}) = \mathfrak{N}$
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [*ntcf-cs-simps*] = *is-iso-ntcf.iso-ntcf-inv-ntcf-inv-ntcf*

6.13.2 Natural isomorphisms from natural transformations

lemma *iso-ntcf-if-is-inverse*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\wedge a. a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) \implies is\text{-}inverse \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{M}(NTMap)(a)) (\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a))$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} = inv\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = inv\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{M}$
⟨proof⟩

6.13.3 Vertical composition of natural isomorphisms

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-is-iso-ntcf*[*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
⟨proof⟩

²<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/natural+isomorphism>

6.13.4 Horizontal composition of natural isomorphisms

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma *ntcf-hcomp-is-iso-ntcf'*[*ntcf-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{H}' = \mathfrak{F}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{H}'' = \mathfrak{G}' \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \circ_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{H}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{H}'' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

6.13.5 Composition of a natural isomorphism and a functor

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-is-iso-ntcf'*[*cat-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

6.13.6 An identity natural transformation is a natural isomorphism

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf-ntcf-id-is-iso-ntcf*:

ntcf-id $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf-ntcf-id-is-iso-ntcf'*[*ntcf-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{H}' = \mathfrak{F}$
shows *ntcf-id* $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemmas [*ntcf-CS-intros*] = *is-functor.cf-ntcf-id-is-iso-ntcf'*

6.14 Functor isomorphism

6.14.1 Definition and elementary properties

See subsection 1.5 in [3].

locale *iso-functor* =
fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$
assumes *iso-cf-is-iso-ntcf*: $\exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

notation *iso-functor* (infixl \approx_{CF1} 50)

Rules.

lemma *iso-functorI*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-functorD[dest]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$

shows $\exists \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{n} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-functorE[elim]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$

obtains $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}$ where $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.14.2 A functor isomorphism is an equivalence relation

lemma iso-functor-refl:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{F}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-functor-sym[sym]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$

shows $\mathfrak{G} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{F}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-functor-trans[trans, intro]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{G} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{H}$

shows $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{H}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.14.3 Opposite functor isomorphism

lemma (in iso-functor) iso-functor-op: op-cf $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas iso-functor-op[cat-op-intros] = iso-functor.iso-functor-op

7 Smallness for natural transformations

7.1 Natural transformation of functors with tiny maps

7.1.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
locale is-tm-ntcf = is-ntcf α A B F G N for α A B F G N +
assumes tm-ntcf-is-tm-ntsncf: ntsncf-ntsncf N :
  cf-smcf F ↪S M C F . t m cf-smcf G : cat-smc A ↪S M C . t m α cat-smc B
```

```
syntax -is-tm-ntcf :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ bool
  ((- :/ - ↪C F . t m - :/ - ↪C . t m 1 -) ) [ 51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)
```

```
syntax-consts -is-tm-ntcf ≡ is-tm-ntcf
```

```
translations N : F ↪C F . t m G : A ↪C . t m α B ≈
  CONST is-tm-ntcf α A B F G N
```

```
abbreviation all-tm-ntcfs :: V ⇒ V
```

```
where all-tm-ntcfs α ≡
```

```
set {N. ∃ F G A B. N : F ↪C F . t m G : A ↪C . t m α B}
```

```
abbreviation tm-ntcfs :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V
```

```
where tm-ntcfs α A B ≡
```

```
set {N. ∃ F G. N : F ↪C F . t m G : A ↪C . t m α B}
```

```
abbreviation these-tm-ntcfs :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V
```

```
where these-tm-ntcfs α A B F G ≡
```

```
set {N. N : F ↪C F . t m G : A ↪C . t m α B}
```

```
lemma (in is-tm-ntcf) tm-ntcf-is-tm-ntsncf':
```

```
assumes F' = cf-smcf F
```

```
and G' = cf-smcf G
```

```
and A' = cat-smc A
```

```
and B' = cat-smc B
```

```
shows ntsncf-ntsncf N : F' ↪S M C F . t m G' : A' ↪S M C . t m α B'
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-tm-ntcf tm-ntcf-is-tm-ntsncf'
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in is-tm-ntcf) is-tm-ntcf-axioms'[cat-small-cs-intros]:
```

```
assumes α' = α and A' = A and B' = B and F' = F and G' = G
```

```
shows N : F' ↪C F . t m G' : A' ↪C . t m α B'
```

```
{proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf is-tm-ntcf-def[unfolded is-tm-ntcf-axioms-def]
```

```
| intro is-tm-ntcfI |
```

```
| dest is-tm-ntcfD[dest] |
```

```
| elim is-tm-ntcfE[elim] |
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-cs-intros] = is-tm-ntcfD(1)
```

```
context is-tm-ntcf
```

```
begin
```

```
interpretation ntsncf: is-tm-ntsncf
```

```
α ⟨cat-smc A⟩ ⟨cat-smc B⟩ ⟨cf-smcf F⟩ ⟨cf-smcf G⟩ ⟨ntsncf-ntsncf N⟩
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
```

tm-ntcf-NTMap-in-Vset = *ntsmcf.tm-ntsmcf-NTMap-in-Vset*

end

sublocale *is-tm-ntcf* \subseteq *NTDom*: *is-tm-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$
(proof)

sublocale *is-tm-ntcf* \subseteq *NTCod*: *is-tm-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$
(proof)

Further rules.

lemma *is-tm-ntcfI'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$

(proof)

lemma *is-tm-ntcfD'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$

(proof)

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-ntcfD'(2,3)*

lemma *is-tm-ntcfE'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$

(proof)

The set of all natural transformations with tiny maps is small.

lemma *small-all-tm-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ }
(proof)

lemma *small-tm-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ }
(proof)

lemma *small-these-tm-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ }
(proof)

Further elementary results.

lemma *these-tm-ntcfs-iff*:

$\mathfrak{N} \in_0 \text{these-tm-ntcfs } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

7.1.2 Opposite natural transformation of functors with tiny maps

lemma (in is-tm-ntcf) *is-tm-ntcf-op: op-ntcf* \mathfrak{N} :
op-cf $\mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tm}$ *op-cf* \mathfrak{F} : *op-cat* $\mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma}$ *op-cat* \mathfrak{B}
(proof)

lemma (in *is-tm-ntcf*) *is-tm-ntcf-op*'[*cat-op-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G}' = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}$
shows $op\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
(proof)

lemmas *is-tm-ntcf-op*[*cat-op-intros*] = *is-tm-ntcf.is-tm-ntcf-op*'

7.1.3 Vertical composition of natural transformations of functors with tiny maps

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-is-tm-ntcf*[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

7.1.4 Identity natural transformation of a functor with tiny maps

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-ntcf-id-is-tm-ntcf*:
ntcf-id $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-ntcf-id-is-tm-ntcf'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{F}$
shows $ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-ntcf-id-is-tm-ntcf'*

7.1.5 Constant natural transformation of functors with tiny maps

lemma *ntcf-const-is-tm-ntcf*:
assumes *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$ **and** *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f :$
 $cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a \mapsto_{CF.tm} cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} b : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $(is \langle ?Cf : ?Ca \mapsto_{CF.tm} ?Cb : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C} \rangle)$
(proof)

lemma *ntcf-const-is-tm-ntcf'*[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:
assumes *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$
and *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
and $\mathfrak{A} = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a$
and $\mathfrak{B} = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} b$
and $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows $ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C}'$
(proof)

7.1.6 Natural isomorphisms of functors with tiny maps

**locale *is-tm-iso-ntcf* = *is-iso-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$ + *is-tm-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$
for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$**

syntax $-is\text{-}tm\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow bool$
 $(\langle \langle - : - \mapsto_{CF.tm.iso} - : - \mapsto_{C.tm^1} - \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$
syntax-consts $-is\text{-}tm\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf \doteq is\text{-}tm\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf$

translations $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B} \Leftrightarrow$
 $CONST\ is-tm-iso-ntcf\ \alpha\ \mathfrak{A}\ \mathfrak{B}\ \mathfrak{F}\ \mathfrak{G}\ \mathfrak{N}$

Rules.

mk-ide rf *is-tm-iso-ntcf-def*

- | *intro is-tm-iso-ntcfI*
- | *dest is-tm-iso-ntcfD[dest]*
- | *elim is-tm-iso-ntcfE[elim]*

lemmas [*ntcf-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-iso-ntcfD*

lemma *iso-tm-ntcf-is-iso-arr*:

assumes category α \mathfrak{B} and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
shows [*ntcf-cs-intros*]: *inv-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tm.iso} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{inv-ntcf } \mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{G}$
and *inv-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}$

{proof}

lemma *is-iso-arr-is-tm-iso-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and [*simp*]: $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M} = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{G}$
and [*simp*]: $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$

{proof}

7.1.7 Composition of a natural transformation of functors with tiny maps and a functor with tiny maps

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-is-tm-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-cf-comp-is-tm-ntcf'* [*cat-small-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

7.1.8 Composition of a functor with tiny maps and a natural transformation of functors with tiny maps

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-is-tm-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-is-tm-ntcf'* [*cat-small-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

7.2 Tiny natural transformation of functors

7.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

locale is-tiny-ntcf = is-ntcf α A B F G N for α A B F G N +
assumes tiny-ntcf-is-tiny-ntsncf:
  ntsncf-ntsncf N :
    cf-smcf F ↪S M C F . tiny cf-smcf G : cat-smc A ↪S M C . tinyα cat-smc B

syntax -is-tiny-ntcf :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ bool
  ((- / - ↪C F . tiny - / - ↪C . tiny1 -) ) [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)
syntax-consts -is-tiny-ntcf ≡ is-tiny-ntcf
translations N : F ↪C F . tiny G : A ↪C . tinyα B ≈
  CONST is-tiny-ntcf α A B F G N

abbreviation all-tiny-ntcfs :: V ⇒ V
where all-tiny-ntcfs α ≡
  set {N. ∃ A B F G. N : F ↪C F . tiny G : A ↪C . tinyα B}

abbreviation tiny-ntcfs :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V
where tiny-ntcfs α A B ≡
  set {N. ∃ F G. N : F ↪C F . tiny G : A ↪C . tinyα B}

abbreviation these-tiny-ntcfs :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V
where these-tiny-ntcfs α A B F G ≡
  set {N. N : F ↪C F . tiny G : A ↪C . tinyα B}

lemma (in is-tiny-ntcf) tiny-ntcf-is-tiny-ntsncf':
assumes α' = α
  and F' = cf-smcf F
  and G' = cf-smcf G
  and A' = cat-smc A
  and B' = cat-smc B
shows ntsncf-ntsncf N : F' ↪S M C F . tiny G' : A' ↪S M C . tinyα' B'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas [slicing-intros] = is-tiny-ntcf.tiny-ntcf-is-tiny-ntsncf'

Rules.

lemma (in is-tiny-ntcf) is-tiny-ntcf-axioms'[cat-small-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α and A' = A and B' = B and F' = F and G' = G
shows N : F ↪C F . tiny G : A ↪C . tinyα B
  ⟨proof⟩

mk-ide rf is-tiny-ntcf-def[unfolded is-tiny-ntcf-axioms-def]
| intro is-tiny-ntcfI |
| dest is-tiny-ntcfD[dest] |
| elim is-tiny-ntcfE[elim] |

Elementary properties.

sublocale is-tiny-ntcf ⊑ NTDom: is-tiny-functor α A B F
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale is-tiny-ntcf ⊑ NTCod: is-tiny-functor α A B G
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale is-tiny-ntcf ⊑ is-tm-ntcf
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas (in *is-tiny-ntcf*) *tiny-ntcf-is-tm-ntcf[cat-small-cs-intros]* =
is-tm-ntcf-axioms

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tiny-ntcf.tiny-ntcf-is-tm-ntcf*

Further rules.

lemma *is-tiny-ntcfI'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *is-tiny-ntcfD'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tiny-ntcfD'(2,3)*

lemma *is-tiny-ntcfE'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *is-tiny-ntcf-iff*:

$\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow$
 $($
 $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \wedge$
 $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \wedge$
 $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $)$
(proof)

lemma (in *is-tiny-ntcf*) *tiny-ntcf-in-Vset*: $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
(proof)

lemma *small-all-tiny-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }
(proof)

lemma *small-tiny-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }
(proof)

lemma *small-these-tiny-ntcfs[simp]*:

small { $\mathfrak{N} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ }
(proof)

lemma *tiny-ntcfs-vsubset-Vset[simp]*:

set { $\mathfrak{N} . \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} . \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ } $\subseteq_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
(is <set ?ntcfs \subseteq_{\circ} ->)
(proof)

Further elementary results.

lemma *these-tiny-ntcfs-iff*:

$\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{these-tiny-ntcfs } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Size.

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-is-tiny-ntcf-if-ge-Limit*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\beta}} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.2.2 Opposite natural transformation of tiny functors

lemma (in is-tiny-ntcf) *is-tm-ntcf-op: op-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tiny-ntcf) *is-tiny-ntcf-op'[cat-op-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G}' = \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\text{op-ntcf } \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *is-tiny-ntcf-op[cat-op-intros] = is-tiny-ntcf.is-tiny-ntcf-op'*

7.2.3 Vertical composition of tiny natural transformations

lemma *ntsmcf-vcomp-is-tiny-ntsmcf[cat-small-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.2.4 Tiny identity natural transformation

lemma (in is-tiny-functor) *tiny-cf-ntcf-id-is-tiny-ntcf*:
 $\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tiny-functor) *tiny-cf-ntcf-id-is-tiny-ntcf'[cat-small-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha}} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *[cat-small-cs-intros] = is-tiny-functor.tiny-cf-ntcf-id-is-tiny-ntcf'*

7.3 Tiny natural isomorphisms

7.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

locale *is-tiny-iso-ntcf = is-iso-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$ + *is-tiny-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$
for $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$

syntax *-is-tiny-iso-ntcf :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow bool*
 $(\langle - : - \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} - : - \mapsto_{\mapsto_{C.tiny^1}} - \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$
syntax-consts *-is-tiny-iso-ntcf \Leftarrow is-tiny-iso-ntcf*

translations $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow$
 $CONST \text{ is-tiny-iso-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$

Rules.

mk-ide rf *is-tiny-iso-ntcf-def*

- | *intro is-tiny-iso-ntcfI*
- | *dest is-tiny-iso-ntcfD[dest]*
- | *elim is-tiny-iso-ntcfE[elim]*

lemmas [*ntcf-cs-intros*] = *is-tiny-iso-ntcfD(2)*

Elementary properties.

sublocale *is-tiny-iso-ntcf* \subseteq *is-tm-iso-ntcf*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas (in is-tiny-iso-ntcf) *is-tm-iso-ntcf-axioms' = is-tm-iso-ntcf-axioms*

lemmas [*ntcf-cs-intros*] = *is-tiny-iso-ntcf.is-tm-iso-ntcf-axioms'*

Further rules.

lemma *is-tiny-iso-ntcfI'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-tiny-iso-ntcfD'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-tiny-iso-ntcfE'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-tiny-iso-ntcf-iff*:

$\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow$
 $($
 $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \wedge$
 $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \wedge$
 $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3.2 Further properties

lemma *iso-tiny-ntcf-is-iso-arr*:

assumes category α \mathfrak{B} and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows [*ntcf-cs-intros*]: *inv-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{inv-ntcf } \mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{G}$
and *inv-ntcf* $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-iso-arr-is-tiny-iso-ntcf*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and [*simp*]: $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{G}$
and [*simp*]: $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto\mapsto_{C.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

{*proof*}

8 Product category

8.1 Background

See Chapter II-3 in [7].

named-theorems *cat-prod-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-prod-CS-intros*

8.2 Product category: definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-prod* :: $V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-prod* $I \mathfrak{A} =$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\\ & (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Obj})), \\ & (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})), \\ & (\lambda f \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})). (\lambda i \in I. \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Dom})(f(i))), \\ & (\lambda f \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})). (\lambda i \in I. \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Cod})(f(i))), \\ & (\\ & \quad \lambda g \in \text{composable-arrs} (\text{dg-prod } I \mathfrak{A}). \\ & \quad (\lambda i \in I. \text{vpfst } gf(i) \circ_A \mathfrak{A} i \text{ vpsnd } gf(i)) \\ &), \\ & (\lambda a \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Obj})). (\lambda i \in I. \mathfrak{A} i(\text{CId})(a(i)))) \\ &]_o \end{aligned}$$

syntax *-PCATEGORY* :: *pttrn* $\Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V$
 $((\exists \prod_{C \in \cdot} \cdot / \cdot), [0, 0, 10] 10)$

syntax-consts *-PCATEGORY* \Leftarrow *cat-prod*

translations $\prod_{C \in \cdot} \cdot \Leftarrow \text{CONST cat-prod } I (\lambda i. \mathfrak{A})$

Components.

lemma *cat-prod-components*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{shows } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Obj})) = (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Obj})) \\ & \text{and } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})) = (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})) \\ & \text{and } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Dom})) = \\ & \quad (\lambda f \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})). (\lambda i \in I. \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Dom})(f(i)))) \\ & \text{and } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Cod})) = \\ & \quad (\lambda f \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Arr})). (\lambda i \in I. \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Cod})(f(i)))) \\ & \text{and } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Comp})) = \\ & \quad (\\ & \quad \quad \lambda g \in \text{composable-arrs} (\text{dg-prod } I \mathfrak{A}). \\ & \quad \quad (\lambda i \in I. \text{vpfst } gf(i) \circ_A \mathfrak{A} i \text{ vpsnd } gf(i)) \\ & \quad), \\ & \text{and } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{CId})) = \\ & \quad (\lambda a \in (\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A} i(\text{Obj})). (\lambda i \in I. \mathfrak{A} i(\text{CId})(a(i)))) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-cat-prod*[*slicing-commute*]:

$$\text{smc-prod } I (\lambda i. \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{A} i)) = \text{cat-smc } (\prod_{C \in \cdot} \mathfrak{A} i)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes $\mathfrak{A} \varphi :: V \Rightarrow V$

and $\mathfrak{C} :: V$

begin

lemmas-with [

where $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \lambda i. \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{A} i) \rangle$, *unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute*
 $]$:

and $\text{cat-prod-ObjI} = \text{smc-prod-ObjI}$
and $\text{cat-prod-ObjD} = \text{smc-prod-ObjD}$
and $\text{cat-prod-ObjE} = \text{smc-prod-ObjE}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Obj-cong} = \text{smc-prod-Obj-cong}$
and $\text{cat-prod-ArrI} = \text{smc-prod-ArrI}$
and $\text{cat-prod-ArrD} = \text{smc-prod-ArrD}$
and $\text{cat-prod-ArrE} = \text{smc-prod-ArrE}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Arr-cong} = \text{smc-prod-Arr-cong}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Dom-vsv}[\text{cat-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-prod-Dom-vsv}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Dom-vdomain}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-Dom-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Dom-app} = \text{smc-prod-Dom-app}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Dom-app-component-app}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] =$
 $\quad \text{smc-prod-Dom-app-component-app}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Cod-vsv}[\text{cat-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-prod-Cod-vsv}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Cod-app} = \text{smc-prod-Cod-app}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Cod-vdomain}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-Cod-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Cod-app-component-app}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] =$
 $\quad \text{smc-prod-Cod-app-component-app}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Comp} = \text{smc-prod-Comp}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Comp-vdomain}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-Comp-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Comp-app} = \text{smc-prod-Comp-app}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Comp-app-component}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] =$
 $\quad \text{smc-prod-Comp-app-component}$
and $\text{cat-prod-Comp-app-vdomain} = \text{smc-prod-Comp-app-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-prod-vunion-Obj-in-Obj} = \text{smc-prod-vunion-Obj-in-Obj}$
and $\text{cat-prod-vdiff-vunion-Obj-in-Obj} = \text{smc-prod-vdiff-vunion-Obj-in-Obj}$
and $\text{cat-prod-vunion-Arr-in-Arr} = \text{smc-prod-vunion-Arr-in-Arr}$
and $\text{cat-prod-vdiff-vunion-Arr-in-Arr} = \text{smc-prod-vdiff-vunion-Arr-in-Arr}$

end

8.3 Local assumptions for a product category

locale $\text{pcategory-base} = \mathcal{Z} \alpha \text{ for } \alpha : I \mathfrak{A} +$
assumes $\text{pcat-categories}: i \in_0 I \implies \text{category } \alpha (\mathfrak{A} i)$
and $\text{pcat-index-in-Vset}[\text{cat-CS-intros}]: I \in_0 \text{Vset } \alpha$

lemma (in pcategory-base) $\text{pcat-categories}'[\text{cat-prod-CS-intros}]$:
assumes $i \in_0 I \text{ and } \alpha' = \alpha$
shows $\text{category } \alpha' (\mathfrak{A} i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Rules.

lemma (in pcategory-base) $\text{pcategory-base-axioms}'[\text{cat-prod-CS-intros}]$:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha \text{ and } I' = I$
shows $\text{pcategory-base } \alpha' I' \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

mk-ide rf $\text{pcategory-base-def}[\text{unfolded pccategory-base-axioms-def}]$
|intro $\text{pcategory-base} I$ |
|dest $\text{pcategory-base} D[\text{dest}]$ |
|elim $\text{pcategory-base} E[\text{elim}]$ ||

lemma $\text{pcategory-base-psemicategory-base} I$:
assumes $\text{psemicategory-base } \alpha I (\lambda i. \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{A} i))$
and $\wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \text{category } \alpha (\mathfrak{A} i)$

shows *pcategory-base* $\alpha I \mathfrak{A}$
(proof)

Product category is a product semicategory.

context *pcategory-base*
begin

lemma *pcat-psemicategory-base*: *psemicategory-base* $\alpha I (\lambda i. \text{cat-smc}(\mathfrak{A} i))$
(proof)

interpretation *psmc*: *psemicategory-base* $\alpha I \langle \lambda i. \text{cat-smc}(\mathfrak{A} i) \rangle$
(proof)

lemmas-with [*unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute*]:

pcat-Obj-in-Vset = *psmc.psmc-Obj-in-Vset*
and *pcat-Arr-in-Vset* = *psmc.psmc-Arr-in-Vset*
and *pcat-smc-prod-Obj-in-Vset* = *psmc.psmc-smc-prod-Obj-in-Vset*
and *pcat-smc-prod-Arr-in-Vset* = *psmc.psmc-smc-prod-Arr-in-Vset*
and *cat-prod-Dom-app-in-Obj[cat-cs-intros]* = *psmc.smc-prod-Dom-app-in-Obj*
and *cat-prod-Cod-app-in-Obj[cat-cs-intros]* = *psmc.smc-prod-Cod-app-in-Obj*
and *cat-prod-is-arrI* = *psmc.smc-prod-is-arrI*
and *cat-prod-is-arrD[dest]* = *psmc.smc-prod-is-arrD*
and *cat-prod-is-arrE[elim]* = *psmc.smc-prod-is-arrE*

end

lemma *cat-prod-dg-prod-is-arr*:
 $g : b \mapsto_{dg\text{-prod } I \mathfrak{A}} c \longleftrightarrow g : b \mapsto_{(\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)^c} c$
(proof)

lemma *smc-prod-composable-arrs-dg-prod*:
 $\text{composable-arrs } (dg\text{-prod } I \mathfrak{A}) = \text{composable-arrs } (\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)$
(proof)

Elementary properties.

lemma (*in pcategory-base*) *pcat-vsubset-index-pcategory-base*:
assumes $J \subseteq \circ I$
shows *pcategory-base* $\alpha J \mathfrak{A}$
(proof)

8.3.1 Identity

lemma *cat-prod-CId-vsv[cat-cs-intros]*: *vsv* $((\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(CId))$
(proof)

lemma *cat-prod-CId-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ ((\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(CId)) = (\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)$
(proof)

lemma *cat-prod-CId-app*:
assumes $a \in_\circ (\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)$
shows $(\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(CId)(a) = (\lambda i \in \circ I. \mathfrak{A} i)(CId)(a(i))$
(proof)

lemma *cat-prod-CId-app-component[cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes $a \in_\circ (\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)$ **and** $i \in_\circ I$
shows $(\prod_{C i \in \circ I} \mathfrak{A} i)(CId)(a)(i) = \mathfrak{A} i(CId)(a(i))$
(proof)

```

lemma (in pcategory-base) cat-prod-CId-vrange:
   $\mathcal{R}_o ((\prod_{C} i \in_o I. \mathfrak{A} i)(\|CId\|)) \subseteq_o (\prod_o i \in_o I. \mathfrak{A} i(\|Arr\|))$ 
  {proof}

```

8.3.2 A product α -category is a tiny β -category

```

lemma (in pcategory-base) pcat-tiny-category-cat-prod:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$  and  $\alpha \in_o \beta$ 
  shows tiny-category  $\beta$  ( $\prod_{C} i \in_o I. \mathfrak{A} i$ )
  {proof}

```

8.4 Further local assumptions for product categories

8.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

locale pcategory = pcategory-base  $\alpha$  I  $\mathfrak{A}$  for  $\alpha$  I  $\mathfrak{A}$  +
  assumes pcat-Obj-vsubset-Vset:  $J \subseteq_o I \implies (\prod_{C} i \in_o J. \mathfrak{A} i)(\|Obj\|) \subseteq_o Vset \alpha$ 
  and pcat-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset:
    [[
       $J \subseteq_o I;$ 
       $A \subseteq_o (\prod_{C} i \in_o J. \mathfrak{A} i)(\|Obj\|);$ 
       $B \subseteq_o (\prod_{C} i \in_o J. \mathfrak{A} i)(\|Obj\|);$ 
       $A \in_o Vset \alpha;$ 
       $B \in_o Vset \alpha$ 
    ]]  $\implies (\bigcup_o a \in_o A. \bigcup_o b \in_o B. Hom (\prod_{C} i \in_o J. \mathfrak{A} i) a b) \in_o Vset \alpha$ 

```

Rules.

```

lemma (in pcategory) pcategory-axioms'[cat-prod-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $I' = I$ 
  shows pcategory  $\alpha' I' \mathfrak{A}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

mk-ide rf pcategory-def[unfolded pcategory-axioms-def]
|intro pcategoryI|
|dest pcategoryD[dest]|
|elim pcategoryE[elim]|

```

```
lemmas [cat-prod-cs-intros] = pcategoryD(1)
```

```

lemma pcategory-psemicategoryI:
  assumes psemicategory  $\alpha I (\lambda i. cat-smc (\mathfrak{A} i))$ 
  and  $\wedge i. i \in_o I \implies category \alpha (\mathfrak{A} i)$ 
  shows pcategory  $\alpha I \mathfrak{A}$ 
  {proof}

```

Product category is a product semicategory.

```

context pcategory
begin

```

```

lemma pcat-psemicategory: psemicategory  $\alpha I (\lambda i. cat-smc (\mathfrak{A} i))$ 
  {proof}

```

```

interpretation psmc: psemicategory  $\alpha I \langle \lambda i. cat-smc (\mathfrak{A} i) \rangle$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
  pcat-Obj-vsubset-Vset' = psmc.psmc-Obj-vsubset-Vset'

```

```

and pcat-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset' = psmc.psmc-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset'
and pcat-cat-prod-vunion-is-arr = psmc.psmc-smc-prod-vunion-is-arr
and pcat-cat-prod-vdiff-vunion-is-arr = psmc.psmc-smc-prod-vdiff-vunion-is-arr

```

```

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
  pcat-cat-prod-vunion-Comp = psmc.psmc-smc-prod-vunion-Comp
  and pcat-cat-prod-vdiff-vunion-Comp = psmc.psmc-smc-prod-vdiff-vunion-Comp

end

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma (in pcategory) pcat-vsubset-index-pcategory:
  assumes  $J \subseteq_{\circ} I$ 
  shows pcategory  $\alpha|J \cong \alpha$ 
  {proof}

```

8.4.2 A product α -category is an α -category

```

lemma (in pcategory) pcat-category-cat-prod: category  $\alpha|(\prod_{C:i \in_{\circ} I} \mathcal{A}|i)$ 
  {proof}

```

8.5 Local assumptions for a finite product category

8.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

locale finite-pcategory = pcategory-base  $\alpha|I \cong \alpha$  for  $\alpha|I \cong \alpha$  +
  assumes fin-pcat-index-vfinite: vfinite  $I$ 

```

Rules.

```

lemma (in finite-pcategory) finite-pcategory-axioms[cat-prod-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $I' = I$ 
  shows finite-pcategory  $\alpha'|I' \cong \alpha|I$ 
  {proof}

```

```

mk-ide rf finite-pcategory-def[unfolded finite-pcategory-axioms-def]
  |intro finite-pcategoryI|
  |dest finite-pcategoryD[dest]|
  |elim finite-pcategoryE[elim]|

```

```

lemmas [cat-prod-cs-intros] = finite-pcategoryD(1)

```

```

lemma finite-pcategory-finite-psemicategoryI:
  assumes finite-psemicategory  $\alpha|I (\lambda i. \text{cat-smc } (\mathcal{A}|i))$ 
    and  $\wedge i. i \in_{\circ} I \implies \text{category } \alpha (\mathcal{A}|i)$ 
  shows finite-pcategory  $\alpha|I \cong \alpha$ 
  {proof}

```

8.5.2 Local assumptions for a finite product semicategory and local assumptions for an arbitrary product semicategory

```

sublocale finite-pcategory  $\subseteq$  pcategory  $\alpha|I \cong \alpha$ 
  {proof}

```

8.6 Binary union and complement

```

lemma (in pcategory) pcat-cat-prod-vunion-CId:
  assumes vdisjnt  $J K$ 
  and  $J \subseteq_{\circ} I$ 

```

and $K \subseteq_o I$
and $a \in_o (\prod_{Cj \in_o J} \mathfrak{A} j)(Obj)$
and $b \in_o (\prod_{Cj \in_o K} \mathfrak{A} j)(Obj)$
shows
 $(\prod_{Cj \in_o J} \mathfrak{A} j)(CId)(a) \cup_o (\prod_{Cj \in_o K} \mathfrak{A} j)(CId)(b) =$
 $(\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)(CId)(a \cup_o b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in pcategory) pcat-cat-prod-vdiff-vunion-CId:
assumes $J \subseteq_o I$
and $a \in_o (\prod_{Cj \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} j)(Obj)$
and $b \in_o (\prod_{Cj \in_o J} \mathfrak{A} j)(Obj)$
shows
 $(\prod_{Cj \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} j)(CId)(a) \cup_o (\prod_{Cj \in_o J} \mathfrak{A} j)(CId)(b) =$
 $(\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)(CId)(a \cup_o b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.7 Projection

8.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-3 in [7].

definition cf-proj :: $V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \langle \pi_C \rangle$
where $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i =$
 $[$
 $(\lambda a \in_o (\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)). a(i),$
 $(\lambda f \in_o (\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Arr)). f(i),$
 $(\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i),$
 $\mathfrak{A} i$
 $]_o$

Components.

lemma cf-proj-components:
shows $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i(ObjMap) = (\lambda a \in_o (\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)). a(i)$
and $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i(ArrMap) = (\lambda f \in_o (\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)(Arr)). f(i)$
and $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i(HomDom) = (\prod_{Ci \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)$
and $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i(HomCod) = \mathfrak{A} i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Slicing

lemma cf-smcf-cf-proj[slicing-commute]:
 $\pi_{SMC} I (\lambda i. cat-smc(\mathfrak{A} i)) i = cf-smcf(\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context pcategory
begin

interpretation $psmc$: psemicategory $\alpha I \langle \lambda i. cat-smc(\mathfrak{A} i) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
 $pcat-cf-proj-is-semifunctor = psmc.psmc-smcf-proj-is-semifunctor$

end

8.7.2 Projection functor is a functor

lemma (in pcategory) pcat-cf-proj-is-functor:

```

assumes  $i \in_o I$ 
shows  $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i : (\prod_{C^i \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i) \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$ 
{proof}

lemma (in pcategory) pcat-cf-proj-is-functor'
assumes  $i \in_o I$  and  $\mathfrak{C} = (\prod_{C^i \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)$  and  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{A} i$ 
shows  $\pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ 
{proof}

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = pcategory.pcat-cf-proj-is-functor'

```

8.8 Category product universal property functor

8.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

The functor that is presented in this section is used in the proof of the universal property of the product category later in this work.

```

definition cf-up ::  $V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V$ 
where cf-up  $I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi =$ 
[  

   $(\lambda a \in_o \mathfrak{C}[\text{Obj}]). (\lambda i \in_o I. \varphi i[\text{ObjMap}](a)),$   

   $(\lambda f \in_o \mathfrak{C}[\text{Arr}]). (\lambda i \in_o I. \varphi i[\text{ArrMap}](f)),$   

   $\mathfrak{C},$   

   $(\prod_{C^i \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)$   

]
 $]_o$ 

```

Components.

```

lemma cf-up-components:
shows cf-up  $I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi[\text{ObjMap}] = (\lambda a \in_o \mathfrak{C}[\text{Obj}]. (\lambda i \in_o I. \varphi i[\text{ObjMap}](a)))$ 
and cf-up  $I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi[\text{ArrMap}] = (\lambda f \in_o \mathfrak{C}[\text{Arr}]. (\lambda i \in_o I. \varphi i[\text{ArrMap}](f)))$ 
and cf-up  $I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi[\text{HomDom}] = \mathfrak{C}$ 
and cf-up  $I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi[\text{HomCod}] = (\prod_{C^i \in_o I} \mathfrak{A} i)$ 
{proof}

```

Slicing.

```

lemma smcf-dghm-cf-up[slicing-commute]:
smcf-up  $I (\lambda i. \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{A} i)) (\text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C}) (\lambda i. \text{cf-smcf } (\varphi i)) =$ 
   $\text{cf-smcf } (\text{cf-up } I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi)$ 
{proof}

```

```

context
fixes  $\mathfrak{A} \varphi :: V \Rightarrow V$ 
and  $\mathfrak{C} :: V$ 
begin

```

lemmas-with

```

[
  where  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \lambda i. \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{A} i) \rangle$  and  $\varphi = \langle \lambda i. \text{cf-smcf } (\varphi i) \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ ,  

  unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute
]:
cf-up-ObjMap-vdomain[simp] = smcf-up-ObjMap-vdomain
and cf-up-ObjMap-app = smcf-up-ObjMap-app
and cf-up-ObjMap-app-vdomain[simp] = smcf-up-ObjMap-app-vdomain
and cf-up-ObjMap-app-component = smcf-up-ObjMap-app-component
and cf-up-ArrMap-vdomain[simp] = smcf-up-ArrMap-vdomain
and cf-up-ArrMap-app = smcf-up-ArrMap-app
and cf-up-ArrMap-app-vdomain[simp] = smcf-up-ArrMap-app-vdomain

```

and $cf\text{-}up\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app\text{-}component = smcf\text{-}up\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app\text{-}component$

lemma $cf\text{-}up\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vrange$:

assumes $\wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi(\mathbb{O}bjMap)) \subseteq_o (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} \mathfrak{A} i)(\mathbb{O}bj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}up\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app\text{-}vrange$:

assumes $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj) \text{ and } \wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi(\mathbb{O}bjMap)(a)) \subseteq_o (\cup_{i \in_0 I} \mathfrak{A} i)(\mathbb{O}bj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}up\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vrange$:

assumes $\wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi(\mathbb{A}rrMap)) \subseteq_o (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} \mathfrak{A} i)(\mathbb{A}rr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}up\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app\text{-}vrange$:

assumes $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{A}rr) \text{ and } \wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi(\mathbb{A}rrMap)(a)) \subseteq_o (\cup_{i \in_0 I} \mathfrak{A} i)(\mathbb{A}rr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context $pcategory$

begin

interpretation $psmc: psemicategory \alpha I \langle \lambda i. cat-smc (\mathfrak{A} i) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:

$pcat-smcf-comp-smcf-proj-smcf-up = psmc.psmc-Comp-smcf-proj-smcf-up$
and $pcat-smcf-up-eq-smcf-proj = psmc.psmc-smcf-up-eq-smcf-proj$

end

8.8.2 Category product universal property functor is a functor

lemma (in $pcategory$) $pcat\text{-}cf\text{-}up\text{-}is\text{-}functor$:

assumes category α \mathfrak{C} and $\wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$
shows $cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} \mathfrak{A} i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.8.3 Further properties

lemma (in $pcategory$) $pcat\text{-}Comp\text{-}cf\text{-}proj\text{-}cf\text{-}up$:

assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} i$
and $i \in_0 I$
shows $\varphi i = \pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i \circ_{CF} (cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $pcategory$) $pcat\text{-}cf\text{-}up\text{-}eq\text{-}cf\text{-}proj$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} \mathfrak{A} i)$
and $\wedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i = \pi_C I \mathfrak{A} i \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $cf\text{-}up I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \varphi = \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.9 Prodfunctor with respect to a fixed argument

A prodfunctor is a functor whose domain is a product category. It is a generalization of the concept of the bifunctor, as presented in Chapter II-3 in [7].

definition *prodfunctor-proj* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *prodfunctor-proj* $\mathfrak{S} I \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{D} J c =$

[
 $(\lambda b \in_0 (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)). \mathfrak{S}(ObjMap)(b \cup_0 c),$
 $(\lambda f \in_0 (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i)(Arr)). \mathfrak{S}(ArrMap)(f \cup_0 (\prod_{C j \in_0 J} \mathfrak{A} j)(CId)(c)),$
 $(\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i),$
 \mathfrak{D}
].
 $]_0$

syntax *-PPRODFUNCTOR-PROJ* :: $V \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

$((\neg(\beta \prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i), /'(/-, /')) [51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$

syntax-consts *-PPRODFUNCTOR-PROJ* \Leftarrow *prodfunctor-proj*

translations $\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c) \Leftarrow$

CONST prodfunctor-proj $\mathfrak{S} I (\lambda i. \mathfrak{A}) \mathfrak{D} J c$

Components.

lemma *prodfunctor-proj-components*:

shows $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c))(ObjMap) =$
 $(\lambda b \in_0 (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i)(Obj)). \mathfrak{S}(ObjMap)(b \cup_0 c)$
and $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c))(ArrMap) =$
 $(\lambda f \in_0 (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i)(Arr)). \mathfrak{S}(ArrMap)(f \cup_0 (\prod_{C j \in_0 J} \mathfrak{A} j)(CId)(c))$
and $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c))(HomDom) = (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i)$
and $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c))(HomCod) = \mathfrak{D}$
(proof)

8.9.1 Object map

mk-VLambda *prodfunctor-proj-components(1)*

|*vsv prodfunctor-proj-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]|
|*vdomain prodfunctor-proj-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]|
|*app prodfunctor-proj-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]|

8.9.2 Arrow map

mk-VLambda *prodfunctor-proj-components(2)*

|*vsv prodfunctor-proj-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]|
|*vdomain prodfunctor-proj-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]|
|*app prodfunctor-proj-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]|

8.9.3 Prodfunctor with respect to a fixed argument is a functor

lemma (*in pcategory*) *pcat-prodfunctor-proj-is-functor*:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : (\prod_{C i \in_0 I. \mathfrak{A} i} \mapsto_{C \alpha} \mathfrak{D})$
and $c \in_0 (\prod_{C j \in_0 J. \mathfrak{A} j} Obj)$
and $J \subseteq_0 I$
shows $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c)) : (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i) \mapsto_{C \alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
(proof)

lemma (*in pcategory*) *pcat-prodfunctor-proj-is-functor'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : (\prod_{C i \in_0 I. \mathfrak{A} i} \mapsto_{C \alpha} \mathfrak{D})$
and $c \in_0 (\prod_{C j \in_0 J. \mathfrak{A} j} Obj)$
and $J \subseteq_0 I$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = (\prod_{C i \in_0 I} -_0 J. \mathfrak{A} i)$

```

and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{D}$ 
shows ( $\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} I \multimap_{\circ} J. \mathfrak{A}_{i, \mathfrak{D}}(-, c)} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$ )
{proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = pcategory.pcat-profunctor-proj-is-functor'
```

8.10 Singleton category

8.10.1 Slicing

```
context
```

```
  fixes  $\mathfrak{C} :: V$ 
```

```
begin
```

```

lemmas-with [where  $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ , unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
  cat-singleton-ObjI = smc-singleton-ObjI
  and cat-singleton-ObjE = smc-singleton-ObjE
  and cat-singleton-ArrI = smc-singleton-ArrI
  and cat-singleton-ArrE = smc-singleton-ArrE

```

```
end
```

```
context category
```

```
begin
```

```
interpretation smc: semicategory  $\alpha \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$  {proof}
```

```
lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
```

```
  cat-finite-psemicategory-cat-singleton =
    smc.smc-finite-psemicategory-smc-singleton
  and cat-singleton-is-arrI = smc.smc-singleton-is-arrI
  and cat-singleton-is-arrD = smc.smc-singleton-is-arrD
  and cat-singleton-is-arrE = smc.smc-singleton-is-arrE
```

```
end
```

8.10.2 Identity

```

lemma cat-singleton-CId-app:
  assumes set  $\{\langle j, a \rangle\} \in_{\circ} (\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} \text{set} \{j\}. \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$ 
  shows  $(\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} \text{set} \{j\}. \mathfrak{C})(CId)(\text{set} \{\langle j, a \rangle\}) = \text{set} \{\langle j, \mathfrak{C}(CId)(a) \rangle\}$ 
{proof}

```

8.10.3 Singleton category is a category

```

lemma (in category) cat-finite-pcategory-cat-singleton:
  assumes  $j \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  shows finite-pcategory  $\alpha (\text{set} \{j\}) (\lambda i. \mathfrak{C})$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-category-cat-singleton:
  assumes  $j \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  shows category  $\alpha (\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} \text{set} \{j\}. \mathfrak{C})$ 
{proof}

```

8.11 Singleton functor

8.11.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cf-singleton* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-singleton j* $\mathfrak{C} =$

[
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}). \text{set } \{\langle j, a \rangle\}),$
 $(\lambda f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}). \text{set } \{\langle j, f \rangle\}),$
 $\mathfrak{C},$
 $(\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} \text{set } \{j\}. \mathfrak{C})$
].

Components.

lemma *cf-singleton-components*:

shows *cf-singleton j* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap}) = (\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}). \text{set } \{\langle j, a \rangle\})$
and *cf-singleton j* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap}) = (\lambda f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}). \text{set } \{\langle j, f \rangle\})$
and *cf-singleton j* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{C}$
and *cf-singleton j* $\mathfrak{C}(\text{HomCod}) = (\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} \text{set } \{j\}. \mathfrak{C})$
{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *cf-smcf-cf-singleton* [*slicing-commute*]:
smcf-singleton j (*cat-smc* \mathfrak{C}) = *cf-smcf* (*cf-singleton j* \mathfrak{C})
{proof}

context

fixes $\mathfrak{C} :: V$

begin

lemmas-with [**where** $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$, *unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute*]:
cf-singleton-ObjMap-vsv [*cat-cs-intros*] = *smcf-singleton-ObjMap-vsv*
and *cf-singleton-ObjMap-vdomain* [*cat-cs-simps*] = *smcf-singleton-ObjMap-vdomain*
and *cf-singleton-ObjMap-vrange* = *smcf-singleton-ObjMap-vrange*
and *cf-singleton-ObjMap-app* [*cat-prod-cs-simps*] = *smcf-singleton-ObjMap-app*
and *cf-singleton-ArrMap-vsv* [*cat-cs-intros*] = *smcf-singleton-ArrMap-vsv*
and *cf-singleton-ArrMap-vdomain* [*cat-cs-simps*] = *smcf-singleton-ArrMap-vdomain*
and *cf-singleton-ArrMap-vrange* = *smcf-singleton-ArrMap-vrange*
and *cf-singleton-ArrMap-app* [*cat-prod-cs-simps*] = *smcf-singleton-ArrMap-app*

end

8.11.2 Singleton functor is an isomorphism of categories

lemma (*in category*) *cat-cf-singleton-is-functor*:

assumes $j \in_{\circ} \text{Vset } \alpha$
shows *cf-singleton j* $\mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C. \text{iso} \alpha} (\prod_{C} i \in_{\circ} \text{set } \{j\}. \mathfrak{C})$
{proof}

8.12 Product of two categories

8.12.1 Definition and elementary properties.

See Chapter II-3 in [7].

definition *cat-prod-2* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (**infixr** $\langle \times_C \rangle$ 80)
where $\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \equiv \text{cat-prod } (\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{N}}) (\lambda i. \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \mathfrak{A} \text{ else } \mathfrak{B})$

Slicing.

```

lemma cat-smc-cat-prod-2[slicing-commute]:
  cat-smc  $\mathfrak{A} \times_{SMC} \mathfrak{B}$  = cat-smc  $(\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})$ 
  {proof}

context
  fixes  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
begin

  interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  {proof}
  interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$  {proof}

  lemmas-with
  [
    where  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle$ ,
    unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute,
    OF  $\mathfrak{A}.\text{cat-semicategory}$   $\mathfrak{B}.\text{cat-semicategory}$ 
  ]:
    cat-prod-2-ObjI = smc-prod-2-ObjI
    and cat-prod-2-ObjI[cat-prod-CS-intros] = smc-prod-2-ObjI'
    and cat-prod-2-ObjE = smc-prod-2-ObjE
    and cat-prod-2-ArrI = smc-prod-2-ArrI
    and cat-prod-2-ArrI[cat-prod-CS-intros] = smc-prod-2-ArrI'
    and cat-prod-2-ArrE = smc-prod-2-ArrE
    and cat-prod-2-is-arrI = smc-prod-2-is-arrI
    and cat-prod-2-is-arrI[cat-prod-CS-intros] = smc-prod-2-is-arrI'
    and cat-prod-2-is-arrE = smc-prod-2-is-arrE
    and cat-prod-2-Dom-vsv = smc-prod-2-Dom-vsv
    and cat-prod-2-Dom-vdomain[cat-CS-simps] = smc-prod-2-Dom-vdomain
    and cat-prod-2-Dom-app[cat-prod-CS-simps] = smc-prod-2-Dom-app
    and cat-prod-2-Dom-vrange = smc-prod-2-Dom-vrange
    and cat-prod-2-Cod-vsv = smc-prod-2-Cod-vsv
    and cat-prod-2-Cod-vdomain[cat-CS-simps] = smc-prod-2-Cod-vdomain
    and cat-prod-2-Cod-app[cat-prod-CS-simps] = smc-prod-2-Cod-app
    and cat-prod-2-Cod-vrange = smc-prod-2-Cod-vrange
    and cat-prod-2-op-cat-cat-Obj[cat-op-simps] = smc-prod-2-op-smc-smc-Obj
    and cat-prod-2-cat-op-cat-Obj[cat-op-simps] = smc-prod-2-smc-op-smc-Obj
    and cat-prod-2-op-cat-cat-Arr[cat-op-simps] = smc-prod-2-op-smc-smc-Arr
    and cat-prod-2-cat-op-cat-Arr[cat-op-simps] = smc-prod-2-smc-op-smc-Arr

```

```

  lemmas-with
  [
    where  $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle$ ,
    unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute,
    OF  $\mathfrak{A}.\text{cat-semicategory}$   $\mathfrak{B}.\text{cat-semicategory}$ 
  ]:
    cat-prod-2-Comp-app[cat-prod-CS-simps] = smc-prod-2-Comp-app

```

end

8.12.2 Product of two categories is a category

```

context
  fixes  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
begin

```

interpretation \mathcal{Z} α *{proof}*

```

interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$  {proof}

lemma finite-pcategory-cat-prod-2: finite-pcategory  $\alpha$  ( $\mathbb{2}_{\mathbb{N}}$ ) {if2  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$ }
{proof}

interpretation finite-pcategory  $\alpha$  { $\mathbb{2}_{\mathbb{N}}$ } {if2  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$ }
{proof}

lemma category-cat-prod-2[cat-cs-intros]: category  $\alpha$  ( $\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$ )
{proof}

end

```

8.12.3 Identity

```

lemma cat-prod-2-CId-vsv[cat-cs-intros]: vsv (( $\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$ )({CId}))
{proof}

```

```

lemma cat-prod-2-CId-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:
 $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})({CId})) = (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})({Obj})$ 
{proof}

```

```

context
fixes  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
begin

```

```

interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$  {proof}

```

```

interpretation finite-pcategory  $\alpha$  { $\mathbb{2}_{\mathbb{N}}$ } { $(\lambda i. \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \mathfrak{A} \text{ else } \mathfrak{B})$ }
{proof}

```

```

lemma cat-prod-2-CId-app[cat-prod-cs-simps]:
assumes  $[a, b]_o \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})({Obj})$ 
shows  $(\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})({CId})([a, b]_o) = [\mathfrak{A}({CId})(a), \mathfrak{B}({CId})(b)]_o$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma cat-prod-2-CId-vrange:  $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})({CId})) \subseteq_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})({Arr})$ 
{proof}

```

```
end
```

8.12.4 Opposite product category

```

context
fixes  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
begin

```

```

interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$  {proof}

```

```

lemma op-smc-smc-prod-2[smc-op-simps]:
op-cat ( $\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$ ) = op-cat  $\mathfrak{A} \times_C$  op-cat  $\mathfrak{B}$ 
{proof}

```

end

8.12.5 Flip

context

fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$

assumes \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$

begin

interpretation \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ $\langle proof \rangle$
interpretation \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cat-prod-2-Obj-fconverse[cat-cs-simps]:

$((\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Obj))^{-1}_\bullet = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cat-prod-2-Arr-fconverse[cat-cs-simps]:

$((\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Arr))^{-1}_\bullet = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(Arr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

8.13 Projections for the product of two categories

8.13.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-3 in [7].

definition cf-proj-fst :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \pi_{C.1} \rangle)$

where $\pi_{C.1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} = cf\text{-proj } (2_N) (\lambda i. if i = 0 then \mathfrak{A} else \mathfrak{B}) 0$

definition cf-proj-snd :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \pi_{C.2} \rangle)$

where $\pi_{C.2} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} = cf\text{-proj } (2_N) (\lambda i. if i = 0 then \mathfrak{A} else \mathfrak{B}) (1_N)$

Slicing

lemma cf-smcf-cf-proj-fst[slicing-commute]:

$\pi_{SM C.1} (cat\text{-smc } \mathfrak{A}) (cat\text{-smc } \mathfrak{B}) = cf\text{-smcf } (\pi_{C.1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-smcf-cf-proj-snd[slicing-commute]:

$\pi_{SM C.2} (cat\text{-smc } \mathfrak{A}) (cat\text{-smc } \mathfrak{B}) = cf\text{-smcf } (\pi_{C.2} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$

assumes \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$

begin

interpretation \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with

[

where $\mathfrak{A} = \langle cat\text{-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \langle cat\text{-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle$,
unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute,
OF $\mathfrak{A}.\text{cat-semicategory}$ $\mathfrak{B}.\text{cat-semicategory}$

]:

$cf\text{-proj-fst-ObjMap-app} = smcf\text{-proj-fst-ObjMap-app}$

and $cf\text{-proj-snd-ObjMap-app} = smcf\text{-proj-snd-ObjMap-app}$

and $cf\text{-proj-fst-ArrMap-app} = smcf\text{-proj-fst-ArrMap-app}$

and $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}snd\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app = smcf\text{-}proj\text{-}snd\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$

end

8.13.2 Domain and codomain of a projection of a product of two categories

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}fst\text{-}HomDom: \pi_{C.1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}fst\text{-}HomCod: \pi_{C.1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}snd\text{-}HomDom: \pi_{C.2} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}snd\text{-}HomCod: \pi_{C.2} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.13.3 Projection of a product of two categories is a functor

context

fixes $\alpha : \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$

assumes \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha : \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha : \mathfrak{B}$

begin

interpretation $\mathcal{Z} \alpha \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha : \mathfrak{A} \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha : \mathfrak{B} \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $finite\text{-}pcategory \alpha \langle \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{N}} \rangle \langle if2 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}fst\text{-}is\text{-}functor:$

assumes $i \in_{\circ} I$

shows $\pi_{C.1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}fst\text{-}is\text{-}functor' [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]:$

assumes $i \in_{\circ} I$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{A}$

shows $\pi_{C.1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}snd\text{-}is\text{-}functor:$

assumes $i \in_{\circ} I$

shows $\pi_{C.2} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}proj\text{-}snd\text{-}is\text{-}functor' [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]:$

assumes $i \in_{\circ} I$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\pi_{C.2} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

8.14 Product of three categories

8.14.1 Definition and elementary properties.

definition $cat\text{-}prod\text{-}3 :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \langle \text{-} \times_{C3} \text{-} \times_{C3} \text{-} \rangle \rangle [81, 81, 81] 80)$
where $\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} = (\prod_{C i \in_{\circ} \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{N}}} if3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} i)$

abbreviation $\text{cat-pow-3} :: V \Rightarrow V (\cdot \wedge_{C3})$ [81] 80
where $\mathfrak{C} \wedge_{C3} \equiv \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$

Slicing.

lemma $\text{cat-smc-cat-prod-3}[\text{slicing-commute}]$:

$\text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \times_{SMC3} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \times_{SMC3} \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} = \text{cat-smc } (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})$
(proof)

context

fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$

assumes \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ and \mathfrak{C} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$

begin

interpretation \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ *(proof)*

interpretation \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ *(proof)*

interpretation \mathfrak{C} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ *(proof)*

lemmas-with

[

where $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$,
unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute,
OF $\mathfrak{A}.\text{cat-semicategory } \mathfrak{B}.\text{cat-semicategory } \mathfrak{C}.\text{cat-semicategory}$

]:

$\text{cat-prod-3-ObjI} = \text{smc-prod-3-ObjI}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-ObjI}'[\text{cat-prod-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-prod-3-ObjI}'$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-ObjE} = \text{smc-prod-3-ObjE}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-ArrI} = \text{smc-prod-3-ArrI}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-ArrI}'[\text{cat-prod-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-prod-3-ArrI}'$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-ArrE} = \text{smc-prod-3-ArrE}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-is-arrI} = \text{smc-prod-3-is-arrI}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-is-arrI}'[\text{cat-prod-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-prod-3-is-arrI}'$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-is-arrE} = \text{smc-prod-3-is-arrE}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Dom-vsv} = \text{smc-prod-3-Dom-vsv}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Dom-vdomain}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-3-Dom-vdomain}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Dom-app}[\text{cat-prod-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-3-Dom-app}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Dom-vrange} = \text{smc-prod-3-Dom-vrange}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Cod-vsv} = \text{smc-prod-3-Cod-vsv}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Cod-vdomain}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-3-Cod-vdomain}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Cod-app}[\text{cat-prod-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-3-Cod-app}$

and $\text{cat-prod-3-Cod-vrange} = \text{smc-prod-3-Cod-vrange}$

lemmas-with

[

where $\mathfrak{A} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{A} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{B} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{C} = \langle \text{cat-smc } \mathfrak{C} \rangle$,
unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute,
OF $\mathfrak{A}.\text{cat-semicategory } \mathfrak{B}.\text{cat-semicategory } \mathfrak{C}.\text{cat-semicategory}$

]:

$\text{cat-prod-3-Comp-app}[\text{cat-prod-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-prod-3-Comp-app}$

end

8.14.2 Product of three categories is a category

context

fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$

assumes \mathfrak{A} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{B} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ and \mathfrak{C} : category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$

begin

```

interpretation  $\mathcal{Z}$   $\alpha$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{C}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$  {proof}

lemma finite-pcategory-cat-prod-3: finite-pcategory  $\alpha$  ( $\beta_N$ ) (if3  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$   $\mathfrak{C}$ )
{proof}

interpretation finite-pcategory  $\alpha$  ( $\beta_N$ ) {if3  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$   $\mathfrak{C}$ }
{proof}

lemma category-cat-prod-3[cat-cs-intros]: category  $\alpha$  ( $\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$ )
{proof}

end

```

8.14.3 Identity

```

lemma cat-prod-3-CId-vsv[cat-cs-intros]: vsv (( $\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$ ) (CId))
{proof}

lemma cat-prod-3-CId-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:
 $\mathcal{D}_o ((\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (CId)) = (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (Obj)$ 
{proof}

```

```

context
fixes  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$   $\mathfrak{C}$ 
assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$ 
begin

```

```

interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{C}$ : category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$  {proof}

interpretation finite-pcategory  $\alpha$  ( $\beta_N$ ) {if3  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$   $\mathfrak{C}$ }
{proof}

```

```

lemma cat-prod-3-CId-app[cat-prod-cs-simps]:
assumes  $[a, b, c]_o \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (Obj)$ 
shows  $(\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (CId) (a, b, c)_o = [\mathfrak{A} (CId) (a), \mathfrak{B} (CId) (b), \mathfrak{C} (CId) (c)]_o$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma cat-prod-3-CId-vrange:
 $\mathcal{R}_o ((\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (CId)) \subseteq_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (Arr)$ 
{proof}

```

```
end
```

8.15 Conversion of a product of three categories to products of two categories

```

definition cf-cat-prod-21-of-3 ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
where cf-cat-prod-21-of-3  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{B}$   $\mathfrak{C}$  =
[
 $(\lambda A \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (Obj). [[A(0), A(1_N)],_o, A(2_N)]_o),$ 
 $(\lambda F \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}) (Arr). [[F(0), F(1_N)],_o, F(2_N)]_o),$ 
 $\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C},$ 
 $(\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$ 

```

] \circ

definition *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$ =

[

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda A \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Obj). [A(0), A(1_N), A(2_N)]_{\circ}), \\ & (\lambda F \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Arr). [F(0), F(1_N), F(2_N)]_{\circ}), \\ & \mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}, \\ & \mathfrak{A} \times_C (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C}) \end{aligned}$$

] \circ

Components.

lemma *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-components*:

shows *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap)$ =

$$(\lambda A \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Obj). [[A(0), A(1_N)], A(2_N)]_{\circ})$$

and *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)$ =

$$(\lambda F \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Arr). [[F(0), F(1_N)], F(2_N)]_{\circ})$$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(HomDom)$ = $\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(HomCod)$ = $(\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-components*:

shows *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap)$ =

$$(\lambda A \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Obj). [A(0), [A(1_N), A(2_N)]_{\circ}])$$

and *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)$ =

$$(\lambda F \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Arr). [F(0), [F(1_N), F(2_N)]_{\circ}])$$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(HomDom)$ = $\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(HomCod)$ = $\mathfrak{A} \times_C (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

8.15.1 Object

mk-VLambda *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-components(1)*

|*vsv cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]*||

|*vdomain cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*||

|*app cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-ObjMap-app'*|

mk-VLambda *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-components(1)*

|*vsv cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]*||

|*vdomain cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*||

|*app cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-ObjMap-app'*|

lemma *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $A = [a, b, c]_{\circ}$ **and** $[a, b, c]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$

shows *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap)(A) = [[a, b]_{\circ}, c]_{\circ}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $A = [a, b, c]_{\circ}$ **and** $[a, b, c]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$

shows *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap)(A) = [a, [b, c]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cat-prod-21-of-3-ObjMap-vrange*:

assumes category α \mathfrak{A} **and** category α \mathfrak{B} **and** category α \mathfrak{C}

shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap)) \subseteq ((\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cat-prod-12-of-3-ObjMap-vrange*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_C (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C}))(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.15.2 Arrow

mk-VLambda $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}components(2)$
|vsv $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$]|
|vdomain $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$]|
|app $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app'$ |

mk-VLambda $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}components(2)$
|vsv $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$]|
|vdomain $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$ }|
|app $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app'$ |

lemma $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $F = [h, g, f]_o$ and $[h, g, f]_o \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr})$
shows $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap})(F) = [[h, g]_o, f]_o$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $F = [h, g, f]_o$ and $[h, g, f]_o \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr})$
shows $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap})(F) = [h, [g, f]_o]$.
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.15.3 Conversion of a product of three categories to products of two categories is a functor

lemma $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}is\text{-}functor$:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}is\text{-}functor'[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}is\text{-}functor$:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A} \times_C (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3\text{-}is\text{-}functor'[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{B} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{A} \times_C (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})$
shows $cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.16 Bifunctors

A bifunctor is defined as a functor from a product of two categories to a category (see Chapter II-3 in [7]). This subsection exposes the elementary properties of the projections of the bifunctors established by fixing an argument in a functor (see Chapter II-3 in [7] for further information).

8.16.1 Definitions and elementary properties

```
definition bifunctor-proj-fst ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  ( $\langle\langle(-,-)/'(/-, -')/_{CF}\rangle\rangle [51, 51, 51, 51] 51$ )
  where  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} =$ 
     $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C \in \circ_2 \mathbb{N}} \text{set } \{1_N\}. (i = 0 ? \mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B}), \mathfrak{S}(\text{HomCod})(-, \text{set } \{\langle 1_N, b \rangle\})}) \circ_{CF}$ 
    cf-singleton 0  $\mathfrak{A}$ 
```

```
definition bifunctor-proj-snd ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  ( $\langle\langle(-,-)/'(/-, -')/_{CF}\rangle\rangle [51, 51, 51, 51] 51$ )
  where  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} =$ 
     $(\mathfrak{S}_{\prod_{C \in \circ_2 \mathbb{N}} \text{set } \{0\}. (i = 0 ? \mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B}), \mathfrak{S}(\text{HomCod})(-, \text{set } \{\langle 0, a \rangle\})}) \circ_{CF}$ 
    cf-singleton  $(1_N)$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
```

```
abbreviation bcf-ObjMap-app ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$  (infixl  $\langle\otimes_{HM.O1}\rangle 55$ )
  where  $a \otimes_{HM.O} b \equiv \mathfrak{S}(\text{ObjMap})(a, b)$ .
abbreviation bcf-ArrMap-app ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$  (infixl  $\langle\otimes_{HM.A1}\rangle 55$ )
  where  $g \otimes_{HM.A} f \equiv \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)$ .
```

Elementary properties.

context

```
  fixes  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
begin
```

```
interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  (proof)
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$  (proof)
interpretation finite-pcategory  $\alpha \langle 2_N \rangle$  if2  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  (proof)
```

```
lemma cat-singleton-qm-fst-def[simp]:
   $(\prod_{C \in \circ_2 \text{set } \{0\}. (i = 0 ? \mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B})}) = (\prod_{C \in \circ_2 \text{set } \{0\}. \mathfrak{A})}$ 
(proof)
```

```
lemma cat-singleton-qm-snd-def[simp]:
   $(\prod_{C \in \circ_2 \text{set } \{1_N\}. (i = 0 ? \mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{B})}) = (\prod_{C \in \circ_2 \text{set } \{1_N\}. \mathfrak{B})}$ 
(proof)
```

end

8.16.2 Object map

context

```
  fixes  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
begin
```

```
interpretation  $\mathfrak{A}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  (proof)
interpretation  $\mathfrak{B}$ : category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$  (proof)
```

```
interpretation finite-pcategory  $\alpha \langle 2_N \rangle$  if2  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
```

{proof}

lemmas-with [*OF* $\mathfrak{A}.$ category-axioms $\mathfrak{B}.$ category-axioms, *simp*]:
cat-singleton-qm-fst-def **and** *cat-singleton-qm-snd-def*

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-ObjMap-app[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 assumes $[a, b] \in (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Obj)$
 shows $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF})(ObjMap)(a) = \mathfrak{S}(ObjMap)(a, b).$
{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-ObjMap-app[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 assumes $[a, b] \in (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Obj)$
 shows $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF})(ObjMap)(b) = \mathfrak{S}(ObjMap)(a, b).$
{proof}

end

8.16.3 Arrow map

context

 fixes $\alpha : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$

assumes $\mathfrak{A} : category \alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B} : category \alpha \mathfrak{B}$

begin

interpretation $\mathfrak{A} : category \alpha \mathfrak{A}$ *{proof}*
 interpretation $\mathfrak{B} : category \alpha \mathfrak{B}$ *{proof}*

interpretation finite-pcategory $\alpha \langle 2_N \rangle$ *if2* $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemmas-with [*OF* $\mathfrak{A}.$ category-axioms $\mathfrak{B}.$ category-axioms, *simp*]:
cat-singleton-qm-fst-def **and** *cat-singleton-qm-snd-def*

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-ArrMap-app[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 assumes $b \in \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$ **and** $f \in \mathfrak{A}(Arr)$
 shows $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF})(ArrMap)(f) = \mathfrak{S}(ArrMap)(f, \mathfrak{B}(CId)(b)).$
{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-ArrMap-app[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 assumes $a \in \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$ **and** $g \in \mathfrak{B}(Arr)$
 shows $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF})(ArrMap)(g) = \mathfrak{S}(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{A}(CId)(a), g).$
{proof}

end

8.16.4 Bifunctor projections are functors

context

 fixes $\alpha : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$

assumes $\mathfrak{A} : category \alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B} : category \alpha \mathfrak{B}$

begin

interpretation $\mathfrak{A} : category \alpha \mathfrak{A}$ *{proof}*
 interpretation $\mathfrak{B} : category \alpha \mathfrak{B}$ *{proof}*

interpretation finite-pcategory $\alpha \langle 2_N \rangle$ *if2* $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemmas-with [*OF* \mathfrak{A} .category-axioms \mathfrak{B} .category-axioms, *simp*]:
cat-singleton-qm-fst-def and cat-singleton-qm-snd-def

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-is-functor:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-is-functor' [*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$

shows $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-ObjMap-vsv [*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $vsv((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF})(\mathbf{ObjMap}))$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-ObjMap-vdomain [*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF})(\mathbf{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Obj})$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-ArrMap-vsv [*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $vsv((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF})(\mathbf{ArrMap}))$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-fst-ArrMap-vdomain [*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF})(\mathbf{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Arr})$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-is-functor:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-is-functor' [*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Obj})$ and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-ObjMap-vsv [*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $vsv((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF})(\mathbf{ObjMap}))$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-ObjMap-vdomain [*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF})(\mathbf{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$

{proof}

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-ArrMap-vsv [*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Obj})$

shows $vsv((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF})(\mathbf{ArrMap}))$

{proof}

```

lemma bifunctor-proj-snd-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$  and  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}((\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF})(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

8.17 Bifunctor flip

8.17.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

definition bifunctor-flip ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  where bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} =$ 
     $[fflip(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})), fflip(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})), \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})]$ .

```

Components

```

lemma bifunctor-flip-components:
  shows bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) = fflip(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}))$ 
  and bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) = fflip(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}))$ 
  and bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$ 
  and bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

8.17.2 Bifunctor flip object map

```

lemma bifunctor-flip-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]:
  vsv(bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bifunctor-flip-ObjMap-app:
  assumes category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 
  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  and  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ 
  and  $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$ 
  shows bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b, a)_{\bullet} = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a, b)_{\bullet}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bifunctor-flip-ObjMap-app'[cat-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $ba = [b, a]_{\circ}$ 
  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 
  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  and  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ 
  and  $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$ 
  shows bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(ba) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a, b)_{\bullet}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bifunctor-flip-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:
  assumes category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 
  and category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(bifunctor-flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Obj})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bifunctor-flip-ObjMap-vrange[cat-cs-simps]:
  assumes category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 

```

and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.17.3 Bifunctor flip arrow map

lemma *bifunctor-flip-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
vsv (*bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bifunctor-flip-ArrMap-app*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $g \in_\circ \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
and $f \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f, g)_{\bullet} = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)_{\bullet}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bifunctor-flip-ArrMap-app'*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $fg = [f, g]$.
and category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $g \in_\circ \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
and $f \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(fg) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)_{\bullet}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bifunctor-flip-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_\circ (\text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bifunctor-flip-ArrMap-vrange*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.17.4 Bifunctor flip is a bifunctor

lemma *bifunctor-flip-is-functor*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bifunctor-flip-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$

shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{D} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.17.5 Double-flip of a bifunctor

lemma *bifunctor-flip-flip*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{A} (\text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.17.6 A projection of a bifunctor flip

lemma *bifunctor-flip-proj-snd*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}}(b, -)_{CF} = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bifunctor-flip-proj-fst*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}}(-, a)_{CF} = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.17.7 A flip of a bifunctor isomorphism

lemma *bifunctor-flip-is-iso-functor*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.18 Array bifunctor

8.18.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter II-3 in [7].

definition *cf-array* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V$
where *cf-array* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} =$

$$[\lambda a \in_o (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\text{Obj}). \mathfrak{G} (\text{vpfst } a)(\text{ObjMap})(\text{vpsnd } a),$$

$$(\lambda f \in_o (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr}).$$

$$\mathfrak{G} (\mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpfst } f))(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpsnd } f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{D}}$$

$$\mathfrak{F} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpsnd } f))(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpfst } f)$$

$$),$$

$$\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C},$$

$$\mathfrak{D}$$

$$]_o.$$

Components.

lemma *cf-array-components*:
shows *cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ObjMap})$ =
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} (\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C})(\text{Obj}) . \mathcal{G}(\text{vpfst } a)(\text{ObjMap})(\text{vpsnd } a))$
and *cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ArrMap})$ =
 $(\lambda f \in_{\circ} (\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C})(\text{Arr}) .$
 $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpfst } f))(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpsnd } f) \circ_{A\mathcal{D}}$
 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpsnd } f))(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpfst } f))$
 $)$
and *cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{HomDom})$ = $\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C}$
and *cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{HomCod})$ = \mathcal{D}
{proof}

8.18.2 Object map

lemma *cf-array-ObjMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ObjMap})$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-array-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{cf-array } \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ObjMap})) = (\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C})(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma *cf-array-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $[b, c]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} (\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C})(\text{Obj})$
shows *cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, c)_{\bullet} = \mathcal{G} b(\text{ObjMap})(c)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-array-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes *category* α \mathcal{B}
and *category* α \mathcal{C}
and $\wedge b. b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathcal{G} b : \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\text{cf-array } \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathcal{D}(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

8.18.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-array-ArrMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ArrMap})$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-array-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{cf-array } \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C})(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

lemma *cf-array-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes *category* α \mathcal{B}
and *category* α \mathcal{C}
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{B}} b$
and $f : a' \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b'$
shows *cf-array* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)_{\bullet} =$
 $\mathcal{G} b(\text{ArrMap})(f) \circ_{A\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{F} a'(\text{ArrMap})(g)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-array-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes *category* α \mathcal{B}
and *category* α \mathcal{C}
and $\wedge c. c \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathcal{F} c : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
and $\wedge b. b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathcal{G} b : \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]:

$\wedge b \in_0 \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}) \implies c \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{G} b(\text{ObjMap})(c) = \mathfrak{F} c(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
shows \mathcal{R}_0 (*cf-array* \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathfrak{F} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})$) $\subseteq_0 \mathcal{D}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

8.18.4 Array bifunctor is a bifunctor

lemma *cf-array-specification*:

— See Proposition 1 from Chapter II-3 in [7].

assumes category α \mathcal{B}

and category α \mathcal{C}

and category α \mathcal{D}

and $\wedge c. c \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{F} c : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$

and $\wedge b. b \in_0 \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{G} b : \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$

and $\wedge b. c. b \in_0 \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}) \implies c \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{G} b(\text{ObjMap})(c) = \mathfrak{F} c(\text{ObjMap})(b)$

and

$\wedge b. c. b'. f. g. [[f : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{B}} b'; g : c \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c']] \implies$

$\mathfrak{G} b'(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{F} c(\text{ArrMap})(f) =$

$\mathfrak{F} c'(\text{ArrMap})(f) \circ_{A\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{G} b(\text{ArrMap})(g)$

shows *cf-array-is-functor*: *cf-array* \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathfrak{F} $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$

and *cf-array-ObjMap-app-fst*: $\wedge b. c. [[b \in_0 \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}); c \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$

cf-array \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathfrak{F} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, c)_0 = \mathfrak{F} c(\text{ObjMap})(b)$

and *cf-array-ObjMap-app-snd*: $\wedge b. c. [[b \in_0 \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj}); c \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$

cf-array \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathfrak{F} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, c)_0 = \mathfrak{G} b(\text{ObjMap})(c)$

and *cf-array-ArrMap-app-fst*: $\wedge a. b. f. c. [[f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{B}} b; c \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$

cf-array \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathfrak{F} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(f, \mathfrak{G}(CId)(c))_0 = \mathfrak{F} c(\text{ArrMap})(f)$

and *cf-array-ArrMap-app-snd*: $\wedge a. b. g. c. [[g : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b; c \in_0 \mathcal{B}(\text{Obj})]] \implies$

cf-array \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{D} \mathfrak{F} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{G}(CId)(c), g)_0 = \mathfrak{G} c(\text{ArrMap})(g)$

{proof}

8.19 Composition of a covariant bifunctor and covariant functors

8.19.1 Definition and elementary properties.

definition *cf-bcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-bcomp* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} =$

```
[  
  (  
     $\lambda a \in_0 (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}))(\text{Obj}).$   
     $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(\text{vpfst } a), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\text{vpsnd } a))_0.$   
  ),  
  (  
     $\lambda f \in_0 (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}))(\text{Arr}).$   
     $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpfst } f), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpsnd } f))_0.$   
  ),  
   $\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}),$   
   $\mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod})$   
]
```

Components.

lemma *cf-bcomp-components*:

shows *cf-bcomp* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap}) =$

```
(  
   $\lambda a \in_0 (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}))(\text{Obj}).$   
   $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(\text{vpfst } a), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\text{vpsnd } a))_0.$   
)
```

and *cf-bcomp* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap}) =$

```
(  
   $\lambda f \in_0 (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}))(\text{Arr}).$   
   $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpfst } f), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\text{vpsnd } f))_0.$   
)
```

)

and $cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom})$

and $cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.19.2 Object map

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vsv: vsv (cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap}))$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\mathcal{D}_o (cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})) = (\mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}')(\text{Obj})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $[a, b]_o \in_o (\mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}')(\text{Obj})$

shows $cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a, b)_\bullet = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b))_\bullet$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vrange:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.19.3 Arrow map

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv: vsv (cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}))$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\mathcal{D}_o (cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}')(\text{Arr})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $[g, f]_o \in_o (\mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}')(\text{Arr})$

shows $cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)_\bullet = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(f))_\bullet$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vrange:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{D}(\text{Arr})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.19.4 Composition of a covariant bifunctor and covariant functors is a functor

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-}is\text{-}functor:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$

shows $cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto \mathfrak{B} \alpha \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}bcomp\text{-is-functor}'[cat\text{-}cs\text{-intros}]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto \mathfrak{B} \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto \mathfrak{C} \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B} \alpha \mathfrak{D}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}'$
shows $cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \mathfrak{B} \alpha \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.20 Composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and covariant functors

The term *contracovariant bifunctor* is used to refer to a bifunctor that is contravariant in the first argument and covariant in the second argument.

definition $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} =$

$$[\begin{array}{l} (\\ \lambda a \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(HomDom))(\mathit{Obj}). \\ \quad \mathfrak{S}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathit{vpfst} a), \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathit{vpsnd} a)) \bullet \\), \\ (\\ \lambda f \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(HomDom))(\mathit{Arr}). \\ \quad \mathfrak{S}(\mathit{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ArrMap})(\mathit{vpfst} f), \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ArrMap})(\mathit{vpsnd} f)) \bullet \\), \\ op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(HomDom), \\ \mathfrak{S}(HomCod) \\]_{\circ} \end{array}$$

Components.

lemma $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp\text{-}components$:

shows $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ObjMap}) =$
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(HomDom))(\mathit{Obj}).$
 $\quad \mathfrak{S}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathit{vpfst} a), \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathit{vpsnd} a)) \bullet)$
and $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ArrMap}) =$
 $(\lambda f \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(HomDom))(\mathit{Arr}).$
 $\quad \mathfrak{S}(\mathit{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ArrMap})(\mathit{vpfst} f), \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ArrMap})(\mathit{vpsnd} f)) \bullet)$
and $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(HomDom) = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) \times_C \mathfrak{G}(HomDom)$
and $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(HomCod) = \mathfrak{S}(HomCod)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.20.1 Object map

lemma $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vsv: vsv (cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto \mathfrak{B} \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto \mathfrak{C} \alpha$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ObjMap})) = (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}' \times_C \mathfrak{C}')(\mathit{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}bcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $[a, b]_o \in_o (\text{op-cat } \mathcal{B}' \times_C \mathcal{C}')(\text{Obj})$
shows
 $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a, b)_\bullet =$
 $\mathfrak{S}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b))_\bullet$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-ObjMap-vrange}$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \mathcal{D}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.20.2 Arrow map

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-ArrMap-vsv}$: $vsv (\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]}$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\text{op-cat } \mathcal{B}' \times_C \mathcal{C}')(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-ArrMap-app[cat-cs-simps]}$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $[g, f]_o \in_o (\text{op-cat } \mathcal{B}' \times_C \mathcal{C}')(\text{Arr})$
shows $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)_\bullet =$
 $\mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(f))_\bullet$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-ArrMap-vrange}$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathcal{D}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.20.3 Composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and functors is a functor

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-is-functor}$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B}' \times_C \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp-is-functor'}[\text{cat-cs-intros}]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B}' \times_C \mathcal{C}'$
shows $\text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.20.4 Projection of a contracovariant bifunctor and functors

lemma *cf-cn-cov-bcomp-bifunctor-proj-snd*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B}' \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{C}' \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : op\text{-}cat \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{B}'(\mathit{Obj})$
shows
cf-cn-cov-bcomp $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}_{op\text{-}cat} \mathcal{B}', \mathcal{C}'(b, -)_{CF} =$
 $(\mathfrak{S}_{op\text{-}cat} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})(b), -)_{CF}) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$
{proof}

8.21 Composition of a covariant bifunctor and a covariant functor

8.21.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cf-lcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *cf-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{C})$

definition *cf-rcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *cf-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-}bcomp \mathfrak{S} (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{B}) \mathfrak{G}$

Components.

lemma *cf-lcomp-components*:
shows *cf-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{HomDom}) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
and *cf-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathit{HomCod})$
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-components*:
shows *cf-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{HomDom})$
and *cf-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{HomCod})$
{proof}

8.21.2 Object map

lemma *cf-lcomp-ObjMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-ObjMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-rcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-lcomp-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (cf\text{-}lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})) = (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\mathit{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B} and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (cf\text{-}rcomp \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{ObjMap})) = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\mathit{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma *cf-lcomp-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathit{Obj})$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathit{Obj})$
shows *cf-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})(a, c)_\bullet = \mathfrak{S}(\mathit{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ObjMap})(a), c)_\bullet$
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{cf-rcomp } \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, a)_{\bullet} = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a))_{\bullet}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-lcomp-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\text{cf-lcomp } \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
(proof)

lemma *cf-rcomp-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\text{cf-rcomp } \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
(proof)

8.21.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-lcomp-ArrMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
(proof)

lemma *cf-rcomp-ArrMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})$)
(proof)

lemma *cf-lcomp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{cf-lcomp } \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr})$
(proof)

lemma *cf-rcomp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B} **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{cf-rcomp } \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Arr})$
(proof)

lemma *cf-lcomp-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
and $g \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
shows $\text{cf-lcomp } \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f, g)_{\bullet} = \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f), g)_{\bullet}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-rcomp-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
and $g \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
shows $\text{cf-rcomp } \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(f, g)_{\bullet} = \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(f, \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g))_{\bullet}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-lcomp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}

and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf-lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathcal{D}(\mathit{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-rcomp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathcal{B}
 and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cf-rcomp \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathcal{D}(\mathit{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.21.4 Composition of a covariant bifunctor and a covariant functor is a functor

lemma *cf-lcomp-is-functor*:
assumes category α \mathcal{C}
 and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 shows $cf-lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-lcomp-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{C}
 and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 and $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathcal{C}$
 shows $cf-lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-rcomp-is-functor*:
assumes category α \mathcal{B}
 and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 shows $cf-rcomp \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-rcomp-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{B}
 and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 and $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{A}$
 shows $cf-rcomp \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.22 Composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and a covariant functor

definition *cf-cn-cov-lcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
 where $cf-cn-cov-lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} = cf-cn-cov-bcomp \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} (cf-id \mathfrak{C})$

definition *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
 where $cf-cn-cov-rcomp \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{S} = cf-cn-cov-bcomp \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G} (cf-id \mathcal{B}) \mathfrak{S}$

Components.

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-components*:
 shows $cf-cn-cov-lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{HomDom}) = op-cat (\mathfrak{F}(\mathit{HomDom})) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
 and $cf-cn-cov-lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}(\mathit{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{G}(\mathit{HomCod})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-components*:
shows *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom}) = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom})$
and *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod})$
(proof)

8.22.1 Object map

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ObjMap-vsv: vsv* (*cf-cn-cov-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ObjMap-vsv: vsv* (*cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows \mathcal{D}_o (*cf-cn-cov-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$) = (*op-cat* $\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$)(Obj)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B} and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows \mathcal{D}_o (*cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})$) = (*op-cat* $\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$)(Obj)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $a \in_o \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $c \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows *cf-cn-cov-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a, c)_\bullet = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a), c)_\bullet$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_o \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, a)_\bullet = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b, \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a))_\bullet$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
shows \mathcal{R}_o (*cf-cn-cov-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$) $\subseteq_o \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
shows \mathcal{R}_o (*cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})$) $\subseteq_o \mathfrak{D}(\text{Obj})$
(proof)

8.22.2 Arrow map

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ArrMap-vsv: vsv* (*cf-cn-cov-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ArrMap-vsv*: *vsv* (*cf-cn-cov-rcomp* \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})$)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{C} and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
shows \mathcal{D}_o (*cf-cn-cov-lcomp* \mathcal{C} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$) = (*op-cat* $\mathcal{A} \times_C \mathcal{C}$)(Arr)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{B} and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
shows \mathcal{D}_o (*cf-cn-cov-rcomp* \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})$) = (*op-cat* $\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{A}$)(Arr)
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $f \in_o \text{op-cat } \mathcal{A}(\text{Arr})$
and $g \in_o \mathcal{C}(\text{Arr})$
shows *cf-cn-cov-lcomp* \mathcal{C} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f, g)_\bullet = \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f), g)_\bullet$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $f \in_o \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B}(\text{Arr})$
and $g \in_o \mathcal{A}(\text{Arr})$
shows *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(f, g)_\bullet = \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(f, \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g))_\bullet$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathcal{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows \mathcal{R}_o (*cf-cn-cov-lcomp* \mathcal{C} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$) $\subseteq_o \mathcal{D}(\text{Arr})$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-ArrMap-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathcal{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows \mathcal{R}_o (*cf-cn-cov-rcomp* \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})$) $\subseteq_o \mathcal{D}(\text{Arr})$
(proof)

8.22.3 Composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and a covariant functor is a functor

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-is-functor*:
assumes category α \mathcal{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
shows *cf-cn-cov-lcomp* \mathcal{C} \mathfrak{S} $\mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathcal{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{D}$
and $\mathcal{AC} = \text{op-cat } \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathcal{C}$

shows *cf-cn-cov-lcomp* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-is-functor*:

assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
shows *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
and $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A} = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$
shows *cf-cn-cov-rcomp* $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.22.4 Projection of a composition of a contracovariant bifunctor and a covariant functor

lemma *cf-cn-cov-rcomp-bifunctor-proj-snd*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows
cf-cn-cov-rcomp $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{G}_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}}(b, -)_{CF} =$
 $(\mathfrak{S}_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}}(b, -)_{CF}) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-cn-cov-lcomp-bifunctor-proj-snd*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{S} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows
cf-cn-cov-lcomp $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{F}_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{C}}(b, -)_{CF} =$
 $(\mathfrak{S}_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})(b), -)_{CF})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.23 Composition of bifunctors

8.23.1 Definitions and elementary properties

definition *cf-blcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-blcomp* $\mathfrak{S} =$
cf-lcomp ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$) $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF}$
cf-cat-prod-21-of-3 ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$) ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$) ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$)

definition *cf-brcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-brcomp* $\mathfrak{S} =$
cf-rcomp ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$) $\mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF}$
cf-cat-prod-12-of-3 ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$) ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$) ($\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{HomCod})$)

Alternative forms of the definitions.

lemma *cf-blcomp-def'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S} = cf\text{-}lcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF} cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}21\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}def'$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S} = cf\text{-}rcomp \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF} cf\text{-}cat\text{-}prod\text{-}12\text{-}of\text{-}3 \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.23.2 Compositions of bifunctors are functors

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}is\text{-}functor$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}is\text{-}functor' [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}is\text{-}functor$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}is\text{-}functor' [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.23.3 Object map

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vsv [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $vsv (cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vsv [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $vsv (cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $D_\circ (cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})) = (\mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(\mathfrak{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vdomain [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $D_\circ (cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})) = (\mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(\mathfrak{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app [cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $A = [a, b, c]_\circ$
and $a \in_\circ \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
and $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
and $c \in_\circ \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Obj})$

shows $cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ObjMap})(A) = (a \otimes_{HM.O\mathfrak{S}} b) \otimes_{HM.O\mathfrak{S}} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $A = [a, b, c]_o$
and $a \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $c \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ObjMap})(A) = a \otimes_{HM.O\mathfrak{S}} (b \otimes_{HM.O\mathfrak{S}} c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.23.4 Arrow map

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $vsv (cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $vsv (cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $D_o (cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $D_o (cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C} \times_{C3} \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}blcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $F = [h, g, f]_o$
and $h \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $g \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $f \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
shows $cf\text{-}blcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(F) = (h \otimes_{HM.A\mathfrak{S}} g) \otimes_{HM.A\mathfrak{S}} f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}brcomp\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $F = [h, g, f]_o$
and $h \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $g \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
and $f \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
shows $cf\text{-}brcomp \mathfrak{S}(\text{ArrMap})(F) = h \otimes_{HM.A\mathfrak{S}} (g \otimes_{HM.A\mathfrak{S}} f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.24 Binatural transformation

8.24.1 Definitions and elementary properties

In this work, a *binatural transformation* is used to denote a natural transformation of bifunctors.

definition $bnt\text{-}proj\text{-}fst :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

$(\langle(_,-/(_,-/'))/_{NTCF}\rangle [51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$

where $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} =$

[
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) . \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a, b))_{\bullet},$
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF},$
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF},$
 $\mathfrak{A},$
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$
 $]_{\circ}$

definition *bnt-proj-snd* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

$(\langle(_,-/(_,-/'))/_{NTCF}\rangle [51, 51, 51, 51] 51)$

where $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} =$

[
 $(\lambda b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj}) . \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a, b))_{\bullet},$
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF},$
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF},$
 $\mathfrak{B},$
 $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$
 $]_{\circ}$

Components

lemma *bnt-proj-fst-components*:

shows $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF})(\text{NTMap}) = (\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) . \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a, b))_{\bullet}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF})(\text{NTDom}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF})(\text{NTCod}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF})(\text{NTDGDom}) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF})(\text{NTDGCod}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-proj-snd-components*:

shows $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF})(\text{NTMap}) = (\lambda b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj}) . \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a, b))_{\bullet}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF})(\text{NTDom}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF})(\text{NTCod}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF})(\text{NTDGDom}) = \mathfrak{B}$
and $(\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF})(\text{NTDGCod}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDGCod})$
{proof}

8.24.2 Natural transformation maps

mk-VLambda *bnt-proj-fst-components(1)* [folded VLambda-vconst-on]

|vsv *bnt-proj-fst-NTMap-vsv*[cat-cs-intros]|
|vdomain *bnt-proj-fst-NTMap-vdomain*[cat-cs-simps]|
|app *bnt-proj-fst-NTMap-app*[cat-cs-simps]|

lemma *bnt-proj-fst-vrange*:

assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} ((\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF})(\text{NTMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

mk-VLambda *bnt-proj-snd-components(1)* [folded VLambda-vconst-on]

|vsv *bnt-proj-snd-NTMap-vsv*[intro]|
|vdomain *bnt-proj-snd-NTMap-vdomain*[cat-cs-simps]|
|app *bnt-proj-snd-NTMap-app*[cat-cs-simps]|

lemma *bnt-proj-snd-vrange*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o((\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF})(\text{NTMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

8.24.3 Binatural transformation projection is a natural transformation

lemma *bnt-proj-snd-is-ntcf*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-proj-snd-is-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-proj-fst-is-ntcf*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-proj-fst-is-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

8.24.4 Array binatural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma *ntcf-array-is-ntcf*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and *vfsequence* \mathfrak{N}
and *vcard* $\mathfrak{N} = 5_N$
and $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}) = \mathfrak{S}$

and $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{S}'$
 and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{C}$
 and $vsv(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap))$
 and $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)) = (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Obj)$
 and $\wedge a. b. [[a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj); b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(Obj)]] \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(a, b)_\bullet : \mathfrak{S}(ObjMap)(a, b)_\bullet \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{S}'(ObjMap)(a, b)_\bullet$
 and $\wedge a. a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\wedge b. b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(Obj) \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.24.5 Binatural transformation projections and isomorphisms

lemma *is-iso-ntcf-if-bnt-proj-snd-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\wedge a. a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-iso-ntcf-if-bnt-proj-fst-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\wedge b. b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(Obj) \implies$
 $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnt-proj-snd-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
 shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} :$
 $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnt-proj-snd-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
 and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{CF}$
 and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$
 and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
 shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnt-proj-fst-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $b \in \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} :$
 $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnt-proj-fst-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf* [*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
 and category α \mathfrak{B}
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
 and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{S}'_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{CF}$
 and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$
 and $b \in \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.25 Binatural transformation flip

8.25.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *bnt-flip* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} =$

```
[  
  fflip (N(NTMap)),  
  bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$  (N(NTDom)),  
  bifunctor-flip  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$  (N(NTCod)),  
   $\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$ ,  
  N(NTDGCod)  
]
```

Components.

lemma *bnt-flip-components*:

shows *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap) = fflip (N(NTMap))$
 and *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
 and *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
 and *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$
 and *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes α $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S}' \mathfrak{N}$
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
begin

interpretation \mathfrak{N} : *is-ntcf* $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \rangle \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{S}' \mathfrak{N}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bnt-flip-components'* =
bnt-flip-components [where $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{N}$, unfolded cat-cs-simps]

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *bnt-flip-components'*(2–5)

end

8.25.2 Natural transformation map

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-vsv* [*cat-cs-intros*]: *vsv* (*bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-app*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(b, a)_{\bullet} = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a, b)_{\bullet}$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-app' [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes $ba = [b, a]$.
and category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_0 \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(ba) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a, b)_{\bullet}$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-vdomain [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})) = (\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-vrange [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})) = \mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}))$
{proof}

8.25.3 Binatural transformation flip natural transformation map

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-is-ntcf*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} :$
bifunctor-flip $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}' :$
 $\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma *bnt-flip-NTMap-is-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathcal{T} = \text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}$
and $\mathcal{T}' = \text{bifunctor-flip } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}'$
and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$
shows *bnt-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} : \mathcal{T} \mapsto_{CF} \mathcal{T}' : \mathfrak{D} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

8.25.4 Double-flip of a binatural transformation

lemma *bnt-flip-flip [cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{A} (bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}) = \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.25.5 A projection of a flip of a binatural transformation

lemma $bnt\text{-}flip\text{-}proj\text{-}snd$ [*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}}(b, -)_{NTCF} = \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(-, b)_{NTCF}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bnt\text{-}flip\text{-}proj\text{-}fst$ [*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}}(-, a)_{NTCF} = \mathfrak{N}_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}}(a, -)_{NTCF}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.25.6 A flip of a binatural isomorphism

lemma $bnt\text{-}flip\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf$:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} :$
bifunctor-flip $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso}$ *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}' :$
 $\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bnt\text{-}flip\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf'$ [*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{A}
and category α \mathfrak{B}
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{S} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{S}' : \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F} =$ *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}$
and $\mathfrak{G} =$ *bifunctor-flip* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{S}'$
and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$
shows $bnt\text{-}flip \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{D} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9 Subcategory

9.1 Background

```
named-theorems cat-sub-cs-intros
named-theorems cat-sub-bw-cs-intros
named-theorems cat-sub-fw-cs-intros
named-theorems cat-sub-bw-cs-simps
```

9.2 Simple subcategory

9.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7].

```
locale subcategory = sdg: category α B + dg: category α C for α B C +
assumes subcat-subsemicategory: cat-smc B ⊆_SMCα cat-smc C
and subcat-CId: a ∈₀ B(Obj) ⟹ B(CId)(a) = C(CId)(a)
```

```
abbreviation is-subcategory ((-/ ⊆_C¹ -) : [51, 51] 50)
where B ⊆_Cα C ≡ subcategory α B C
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in subcategory) subcategory-axioms'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α and B' = B
shows B' ⊆_{Cα'} C'
{proof}
```

```
lemma (in subcategory) subcategory-axioms''[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α and C' = C
shows B ⊆_{Cα'} C'
{proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf subcategory-def[unfolded subcategory-axioms-def]
|intro subcategoryI[intro!]|
```

```
|dest subcategoryD[dest]|
```

```
|elim subcategoryE[elim!]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-sub-cs-intros] = subcategoryD(1,2)
```

```
lemma subcategoryI':
assumes category α B
and category α C
and ∧a. a ∈₀ B(Obj) ⟹ a ∈₀ C(Obj)
and ∧a b f. f : a ↪_B b ⟹ f : a ↪_C b
and ∧b c g a f. [[ g : b ↪_B c; f : a ↪_B b ]] ⟹
g ∘_A B f = g ∘_A C f
and ∧a. a ∈₀ B(Obj) ⟹ B(CId)(a) = C(CId)(a)
shows B ⊆_Cα C
{proof}
```

A subcategory is a subsemicategory.

```
context subcategory
begin
```

```
interpretation subsmc: subsemicategory α <cat-smc B> <cat-smc C>
{proof}
```

```
lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
```

```

subcat-Obj-vsubset = subsmc.subsmc-Obj-vsubset
and subcat-is-arr-vsubset = subsmc.subsmc-is-arr-vsubset
and subcat-subdigraph-op-dg-op-dg = subsmc.subsmc-subdigraph-op-dg-op-dg
and subcat-objD = subsmc.subsmc-objD
and subcat-arrD = subsmc.subsmc-arrD
and subcat-dom-simp = subsmc.subsmc-dom-simp
and subcat-cod-simp = subsmc.subsmc-cod-simp
and subcat-is-arrD = subsmc.subsmc-is-arrD

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps slicing-commute]:
  subcat-Comp-simp = subsmc.subsmc-Comp-simp
  and subcat-is-idem-arrD = subsmc.subsmc-is-idem-arrD

end

lemmas [cat-sub-fw-cs-intros] =
  subcategory.subcat-Obj-vsubset
  subcategory.subcat-is-arr-vsubset
  subcategory.subcat-objD
  subcategory.subcat-arrD
  subcategory.subcat-is-arrD

lemmas [cat-sub-bw-cs-simps] =
  subcategory.subcat-dom-simp
  subcategory.subcat-cod-simp

lemmas [cat-sub-fw-cs-intros] =
  subcategory.subcat-is-idem-arrD

lemmas [cat-sub-bw-cs-simps] =
  subcategory.subcat-Comp-simp

```

The opposite subcategory.

lemma (in subcategory) subcat-subcategory-op-cat: op-cat $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C\alpha} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas subcat-subcategory-op-cat[intro] = subcategory.subcat-subcategory-op-cat

Elementary properties.

lemma (in subcategory) subcat-CId-is-arr[intro]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
shows $\mathfrak{C}(CId)(a) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Further rules.

lemma (in subcategory) subcat-CId-simp[cat-sub-bw-cs-simps]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
shows $\mathfrak{B}(CId)(a) = \mathfrak{C}(CId)(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-sub-bw-cs-simps] = subcategory.subcat-CId-simp

lemma (in subcategory) subcat-is-right-inverseD[cat-sub-fw-cs-intros]:
assumes is-right-inverse $\mathfrak{B} g f$
shows is-right-inverse $\mathfrak{C} g f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*] = *subcategory.subcat-is-right-inverseD*

lemma (in subcategory) *subcat-is-left-inverseD*[*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*]:
 assumes *is-left-inverse* \mathfrak{B} $g f$
 shows *is-left-inverse* \mathfrak{C} $g f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*] = *subcategory.subcat-is-left-inverseD*

lemma (in subcategory) *subcat-is-inverseD*[*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*]:
 assumes *is-inverse* \mathfrak{B} $g f$
 shows *is-inverse* \mathfrak{C} $g f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*] = *subcategory.subcat-is-inverseD*

lemma (in subcategory) *subcat-is-iso-arrD*[*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*]:
 assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}} b$
 shows $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*] = *subcategory.subcat-is-iso-arrD*

lemma (in subcategory) *subcat-the-inverse-simp*[*cat-sub-bw-cs-simps*]:
 assumes $f : a \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{B}} b$
 shows $f^{-1} C\mathfrak{B} = f^{-1} C\mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-sub-bw-cs-simps*] = *subcategory.subcat-the-inverse-simp*

lemma (in subcategory) *subcat-obj-isoD*:
 assumes $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{B}} b$
 shows $a \approx_{obj\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-sub-fw-cs-intros*] = *subcategory.subcat-obj-isoD*

9.2.2 Subcategory relation is a partial order

lemma *subcat-refl*:
 assumes *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 shows $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subcat-trans*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 shows $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subcat-antisym*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 shows $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.3 Inclusion functor

9.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-3 in [7].

abbreviation (*input*) *cf-inc* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *cf-inc* \equiv *dghm-inc*

Slicing.

lemma *dghm-smcf-inc*[*slicing-commute*]:
dghm-inc (*cat-smc* \mathcal{B}) (*cat-smc* \mathcal{C}) = *cf-smcf* (*cf-inc* \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C})
{proof}

Elementary properties.

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] =
dghm-inc-ObjMap-app
dghm-inc-ArrMap-app

9.3.2 Canonical inclusion functor associated with a subcategory

sublocale *subcategory* \subseteq *inc*: *is-ft-functor* α \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} *{cf-inc}* \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C}
{proof}

lemmas (*in subcategory*) *subcat-cf-inc-is-ft-functor* = *inc.is-ft-functor-axioms*

9.3.3 Inclusion functor for the opposite categories

lemma (*in subcategory*) *subcat-cf-inc-op-cat-is-functor*:
cf-inc (*op-cat* \mathcal{B}) (*op-cat* \mathcal{C}) : *op-cat* \mathcal{B} $\mapsto_{C.\text{faithful}\alpha}$ *op-cat* \mathcal{C}
{proof}

lemma (*in subcategory*) *subcat-op-cat-cf-inc*:
cf-inc (*op-cat* \mathcal{B}) (*op-cat* \mathcal{C}) = *op-cf* (*cf-inc* \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C})
{proof}

9.4 Full subcategory

See Chapter I-3 in [7].

locale *fl-subcategory* = *subcategory* +
assumes *fl-subcat-fl-subsemicategory*: *cat-smc* \mathcal{B} $\subseteq_{S M C.\text{full}\alpha}$ *cat-smc* \mathcal{C}

abbreviation *is-fl-subcategory* ($\langle \langle - / \subseteq_{C.\text{full}1} - \rangle \rangle$ [51, 51] 50)
where $\mathcal{B} \subseteq_{C.\text{full}\alpha} \mathcal{C} \equiv$ *fl-subcategory* α \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C}

Rules.

mk-ide rf *fl-subcategory-def*[*unfolded fl-subcategory-axioms-def*]
|*intro fl-subcategoryI*
|*dest fl-subcategoryD[dest]*
|*elim fl-subcategoryE[elim!]*||

lemmas [*cat-sub-CS-intros*] = *fl-subcategoryD*(1)

Elementary properties.

sublocale *fl-subcategory* \subseteq *inc*: *is-ft-functor* α \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} *{cf-inc}* \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C}
{proof}

9.5 Wide subcategory

9.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

See [1]³.

```
locale wide-subcategory = subcategory +
  assumes wide-subcat-wide-subsemicategory: cat-smc  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{SMC.wide\alpha} cat-smc \mathfrak{C}$ 
abbreviation is-wide-subcategory ( $\langle \langle - / \subseteq_{C.wide^1} \rangle \rangle [51, 51] 50$ )
  where  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{C} \equiv$  wide-subcategory  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$ 
```

Rules.

```
mk-ide rf wide-subcategory-def[unfolded wide-subcategory-axioms-def]
| intro wide-subcategoryI|
| dest wide-subcategoryD[dest]|
| elim wide-subcategoryE[elim!]|
```

lemmas [cat-sub-cs-intros] = wide-subcategoryD(1)

Wide subcategory is wide subsemicategory.

```
context wide-subcategory
begin
```

```
interpretation wide-subsmc: wide-subsemicategory  $\alpha \langle cat-smc \mathfrak{B} \rangle \langle cat-smc \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
  wide-subcat-Obj[dg-sub-bw-cs-intros] = wide-subsmc.wide-subsmc-Obj
  and wide-subcat-obj-eq[dg-sub-bw-cs-simps] = wide-subsmc.wide-subsmc-obj-eq
```

end

```
lemmas [cat-sub-bw-cs-simps] = wide-subcategory.wide-subcat-obj-eq[symmetric]
lemmas [cat-sub-bw-cs-simps] = wide-subsemicategory.wide-subsmc-obj-eq
```

9.5.2 The wide subcategory relation is a partial order

```
lemma wide-subcat-refl:
  assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma wide-subcat-trans[trans]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma wide-subcat-antisym:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.wide\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

³<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/wide+subcategory>

9.6 Replete subcategory

9.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

See nLab [1]⁴.

```
locale replete-subcategory = subcategory α ℰ for α ℰ +
  assumes rep-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-arr:
    a ∈₀ ℰ(Obj) ==> f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b ==> f : a ↦ℰ b

abbreviation is-replete-subcategory ((-/ ⊑_{C.rep¹} -)) [51, 51] 50)
  where ℰ ⊑_{C.repα} ℰ ≡ replete-subcategory α ℰ
```

Rules.

```
mk-ide rf replete-subcategory-def[unfolded replete-subcategory-axioms-def]
  |intro replete-subcategoryI|
  |dest replete-subcategoryD[dest]|
  |elim replete-subcategoryE[elim!]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-sub-cs-intros] = replete-subcategoryD(1)
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma (in replete-subcategory)
  rep-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-iso-arr-left:
    assumes a ∈₀ ℰ(Obj) and f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b
    shows f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b
  {proof}

  lemma (in replete-subcategory)
    rep-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-iso-arr-right:
      assumes b ∈₀ ℰ(Obj) and f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b
      shows f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b
    {proof}

  lemma (in replete-subcategory)
    rep-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-iso-arr-left-iff:
      assumes a ∈₀ ℰ(Obj)
      shows f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b <=> f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b
    {proof}

  lemma (in replete-subcategory)
    rep-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-iso-arr-right-iff:
      assumes b ∈₀ ℰ(Obj)
      shows f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b <=> f : a ↦ᵢsoℰ b
    {proof}
```

9.6.2 The replete subcategory relation is a partial order

```
lemma rep-subcat-refl:
  assumes category α ℰ
  shows ℰ ⊑_{C.repα} ℰ
{proof}

lemma rep-subcat-trans[trans]:
  assumes ℰ ⊑_{C.repα} ℰ and ℰ ⊑_{C.repα} ℰ
  shows ℰ ⊑_{C.repα} ℰ
{proof}
```

⁴<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/replete+subcategory>

```

lemma rep-subcat-antisym:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.\text{rep}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.\text{rep}\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

9.7 Wide replete subcategory

9.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

locale wide-replete-subcategory =
  wide-subcategory  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$  + replete-subcategory  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$  for  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$ 

```

```

abbreviation is-wide-replete-subcategory ((-/  $\subseteq_{C.\text{wr}1} -$ ) $\triangleright$  [51, 51] 50)
  where  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{C} \equiv \text{wide-replete-subcategory } \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$ 

```

Rules.

```

mk-ide rf wide-replete-subcategory-def
  |intro wide-replete-subcategoryI|
  |dest wide-replete-subcategoryD[dest]|
  |elim wide-replete-subcategoryE[elim!]|

```

```
lemmas [cat-sub-cs-intros] = wide-replete-subcategoryD
```

Wide replete subcategory preserves isomorphisms.

```

lemma (in wide-replete-subcategory)
  wr-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-iso-arr:
     $f : a \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} \mathfrak{B} b \longleftrightarrow f : a \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} \mathfrak{C} b$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-sub-bw-cs-simps] =
  wide-replete-subcategory.wr-subcat-is-iso-arr-is-iso-arr
```

9.7.2 The wide replete subcategory relation is a partial order

```

lemma wr-subcat-refl:
  assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma wr-subcat-trans[trans]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma wr-subcat-antisym:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C.\text{wr}\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

10 Simple categories

10.1 Background

The section presents a variety of simple categories, (such as the empty category \emptyset and the singleton category 1) and functors between them (see [7] for further information).

10.2 Empty category \emptyset

10.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-2 in [7].

definition $\text{cat-}\emptyset :: V$
 where $\text{cat-}\emptyset = [\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset]$.

Components.

lemma $\text{cat-}\emptyset\text{-components}:$
 shows $\text{cat-}\emptyset(\text{Obj}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cat-}\emptyset(\text{Arr}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cat-}\emptyset(\text{Dom}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cat-}\emptyset(\text{Cod}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cat-}\emptyset(\text{Comp}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cat-}\emptyset(\text{CId}) = \emptyset$
 {proof}

Slicing.

lemma $\text{cat-smc-cat-}\emptyset: \text{cat-smc cat-}\emptyset = \text{smc-}\emptyset$
 {proof}

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [*folded cat-smc-cat-0, unfolded slicing-simps*]:
 $\text{cat-}\emptyset\text{-is-arr-iff} = \text{smc-}\emptyset\text{-is-arr-iff}$

10.2.2 \emptyset is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{category-cat-}\emptyset[\text{cat-CS-intros}]: \text{category } \alpha \text{ cat-}\emptyset$
 {proof}

lemmas [$\text{cat-CS-intros}] = \mathcal{Z}.\text{category-cat-}\emptyset$

10.2.3 Opposite of the category \emptyset

lemma $\text{op-cat-cat-}\emptyset[\text{cat-OP-simps}]: \text{op-cat}(\text{cat-}\emptyset) = \text{cat-}\emptyset$
 {proof}

10.3 Empty functors

10.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $\text{cf-}\emptyset :: V \Rightarrow V$
 where $\text{cf-}\emptyset \mathfrak{A} = [\emptyset, \emptyset, \text{cat-}\emptyset, \mathfrak{A}]$.

Components.

lemma $\text{cf-}\emptyset\text{-components}:$
 shows $\text{cf-}\emptyset \mathfrak{A}(\text{ObjMap}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cf-}\emptyset \mathfrak{A}(\text{ArrMap}) = \emptyset$
 and $\text{cf-}\emptyset \mathfrak{A}(\text{HomDom}) = \text{cat-}\emptyset$
 and $\text{cf-}\emptyset \mathfrak{A}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{A}$

{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *cf-smcf-cf-0*: *cf-smcf* (*cf-0* \mathfrak{A}) = *smcf-0* (*cat-smc* \mathfrak{A})
{proof}

Opposite empty category homomorphism.

lemma *op-cf-cf-0*: *op-cf* (*cf-0* \mathfrak{C}) = *cf-0* (*op-cat* \mathfrak{C})
{proof}

10.3.2 Object map

lemma *cf-0-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]: *vsv* (*cf-0* \mathfrak{C} (*ObjMap*))
{proof}

10.3.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-0-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]: *vsv* (*cf-0* \mathfrak{C} (*ArrMap*))
{proof}

10.3.4 Empty functor is a faithful functor

lemma *cf-0-is-ft-functor*:
 assumes *category* α \mathfrak{A}
 shows *cf-0* \mathfrak{A} : *cat-0* $\leftrightarrow_{C, \text{faithful}\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
{proof}

lemma *cf-0-is-ft-functor'*[*cf-cs-intros*]:
 assumes *category* α \mathfrak{A}
 and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{A}$
 and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-0}$
 shows *cf-0* \mathfrak{A} : $\mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C, \text{faithful}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
{proof}

lemma *cf-0-is-functor*:
 assumes *category* α \mathfrak{A}
 shows *cf-0* \mathfrak{A} : *cat-0* $\leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
{proof}

lemma *cf-0-is-functor'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
 assumes *category* α \mathfrak{A}
 and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{A}$
 and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-0}$
 shows *cf-0* \mathfrak{A} : $\mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
{proof}

10.3.5 Further properties

lemma *is-functor-is-cf-0-if-cat-0*:
 assumes \mathfrak{F} : *cat-0* $\leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 shows \mathfrak{F} = *cf-0* \mathfrak{C}
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-functor*) *cf-comp-cf-cf-0*[*cat-cs-simps*]: $\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} \text{cf-0 } \mathfrak{A} = \text{cf-0 } \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-comp-cf-cf-0*

10.4 Empty natural transformation

10.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter X-1 in [7].

definition $ntcf\text{-}0 :: V \Rightarrow V$
where $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} = [0, cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}, cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}, cat\text{-}0, \mathfrak{C}]$.

Components.

lemma $ntcf\text{-}0\text{-components}$:

shows $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTMap) = 0$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp$]: $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTDom) = cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp$]: $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTCod) = cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp$]: $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTDGDom) = cat\text{-}0$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp$]: $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Slicing.

lemma $ntcf\text{-}nts mcf\text{-}ntcf\text{-}0: ntcf\text{-}nts mcf (ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{A}) = nts mcf\text{-}0 (cat\text{-}smc \mathfrak{A})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Duality.

lemma $op\text{-}ntcf\text{-}ntcf\text{-}0: op\text{-}ntcf (ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}) = ntcf\text{-}0 (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.4.2 Natural transformation map

lemma $ntcf\text{-}0\text{-}NTMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]: vsv (ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTMap))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntcf\text{-}0\text{-}NTMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp]: \mathcal{D}_o (ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTMap)) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntcf\text{-}0\text{-}NTMap\text{-}vrange[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp]: \mathcal{R}_o (ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}(NTMap)) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.4.3 Empty natural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-}0\text{-}is\text{-}ntcfI:$
 $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} : cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{CF} cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} : cat\text{-}0 \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-}0\text{-}is\text{-}ntcfI'[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]:$
assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-}0$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G}$
shows $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = category.cat-ntcf-0-is-ntcfI'

lemma $is\text{-}ntcf\text{-}is\text{-}ntcf\text{-}0\text{-}if\text{-}cat\text{-}0:$
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : cat\text{-}0 \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.4.4 Further properties

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-ntcf-0*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : cat\text{-}0 \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} = ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-0-ntcf*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : cat\text{-}0 \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-ntcf-comp-cf-ntcf-0*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

$\mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF-NTCF} ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{A} = ntcf\text{-}0 \mathfrak{B}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor.cf-ntcf-comp-cf-ntcf-0*

10.5 1: category with one object and one arrow

10.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-2 in [7].

definition *cat-1* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f} =$

```
[  
  set {a},  
  set {f},  
  set {{f, a}},  
  set {{f, a}},  
  set {[f, f]o, f}},  
  set {{a, f}}  
].o
```

Components.

lemma *cat-1-components*:

shows $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}(Obj) = set \{a\}$

and $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}(Arr) = set \{f\}$

and $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}(Dom) = set \{(f, a)\}$

and $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}(Cod) = set \{(f, a)\}$

and $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}(Comp) = set \{([f, f]o, f)\}$

and $cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}(CId) = set \{(a, f)\}$

{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *smc-cat-1*: $cat\text{-}smc(cat\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}) = smc\text{-}1 \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f}$

{proof}

lemmas-with [*folded smc-cat-1, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

$cat\text{-}1\text{-}is-arrI = smc\text{-}1\text{-}is-arrI$

and $cat\text{-}1\text{-}is-arrD = smc\text{-}1\text{-}is-arrD$

and $cat\text{-}1\text{-}is-arrE = smc\text{-}1\text{-}is-arrE$

and $cat\text{-}1\text{-}is-arr-iff = smc\text{-}1\text{-}is-arr-iff$

and $cat\text{-}1\text{-}Comp-app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps] = smc\text{-}1\text{-}Comp-app$

10.5.2 Object

lemma *cat-1-ObjI*[*cat*-*cs*-*intros*]:

```

assumes  $a = \alpha$ 
shows  $a \in_{\circ} cat-1 \alpha \models (\text{Obj})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

10.5.3 Identity

```

lemma  $cat-1-CId-app: cat-1 \alpha \models (CId)(\alpha) = \alpha$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

10.5.4 1 is a category

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) category-cat-1:
assumes  $\alpha \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$  and  $\models \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
shows  $category \alpha (cat-1 \alpha)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [ $cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$ ] =  $\mathcal{Z}.category\text{-}cat-1$ 
```

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) finite-category-cat-1:
assumes  $\alpha \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$  and  $\models \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
shows  $finite\text{-}category \alpha (cat-1 \alpha)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [ $cat\text{-}small\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$ ] =  $\mathcal{Z}.finite\text{-}category\text{-}cat-1$ 
```

10.5.5 Opposite of the category 1

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) cat-1-op[cat-op-simps]:
assumes  $\alpha \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$  and  $\models \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
shows  $op\text{-}cat (cat-1 \alpha) = cat-1 \alpha$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) cat-1-op-0[cat-op-simps]:  $op\text{-}cat (cat-1 0 0) = cat-1 0 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

10.5.6 Further properties

```

lemma cf-const-if-HomCod-is-cat-1:
assumes  $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} cat-1 \alpha$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{K} = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{B} (cat-1 \alpha)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cf-const-if-HomDom-is-cat-1:
assumes  $\mathfrak{K} : cat-1 \alpha \models \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{K} = cf\text{-}const (cat-1 \alpha) \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(\alpha))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

11 Discrete category

11.1 Abstract discrete category

named-theorems cat-discrete-CS-simps
named-theorems cat-discrete-CS-intros

11.1.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-2 in [7].

```
locale cat-discrete = category α ℰ for α ℰ +
  assumes cat-discrete-Arr:  $f \in_0 \mathcal{C}(\text{Arr}) \implies f \in_0 \mathcal{R}_0(\mathcal{C}(CId))$ 
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in cat-discrete)
  assumes α' = α ℰ' = ℰ
  shows cat-discrete α' ℰ'
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf cat-discrete-def[unfolded cat-discrete-axioms-def]
|intro cat-discreteI|
|dest cat-discreteD[dest]|
|elim cat-discreteE[elim]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-discrete-CS-intros] = cat-discreteD(1)
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma (in cat-discrete) cat-discrete-is-arrD[dest]:
  assumes f : a  $\mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$ 
  shows b = a and f =  $\mathcal{C}(CId)(a)$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma (in cat-discrete) cat-discrete-is-arrE[elim]:
  assumes f : b  $\mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c$ 
  obtains a where f : a  $\mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} a$  and f =  $\mathcal{C}(CId)(a)$ 
  {proof}
```

11.2 The discrete category

As explained in Chapter I-2 in [7], every discrete category is identified with its set of objects. In this work, it is assumed that the set of objects and the set of arrows in the canonical discrete category coincide; the domain and the codomain functions are identities.

11.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
definition the-cat-discrete :: V  $\Rightarrow$  V ( $\text{:}_C$ )
  where :_C I = [I, I, vid-on I, vid-on I,  $(\lambda fg \in_0 fid\text{-on } I. fg(0))$ , vid-on I].
```

Components.

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-components:
  shows :_C I(Obj) = I
    and :_C I(Arr) = I
    and :_C I(Dom) = vid-on I
    and :_C I(Cod) = vid-on I
    and :_C I(Comp) =  $(\lambda fg \in_0 fid\text{-on } I. fg(0))$ 
    and :_C I(CId) = vid-on I
  {proof}
```

11.2.2 Domain

```
mk-VLambda the-cat-discrete-components(3)[folded VLambda-vid-on]
|vsv the-cat-discrete-Dom-vsv[cat-discrete-CS-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-discrete-Dom-vdomain[cat-discrete-CS-simps]|
|app the-cat-discrete-Dom-app[cat-discrete-CS-simps]|
```

11.2.3 Codomain

```
mk-VLambda the-cat-discrete-components(4)[folded VLambda-vid-on]
|vsv the-cat-discrete-Cod-vsv[cat-discrete-CS-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-discrete-Cod-vdomain[cat-discrete-CS-simps]|
|app the-cat-discrete-Cod-app[cat-discrete-CS-simps]|
```

11.2.4 Composition

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-Comp-vsv[cat-discrete-CS-intros]: vsv (:C I(Comp))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-Comp-vdomain: Do (:C I(Comp)) = fid-on I
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-Comp-vrange:
  Ro (:C I(Comp)) = I
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-Comp-app[cat-discrete-CS-simps]:
  assumes i ∈o I
  shows i ∘A:C I i = i
⟨proof⟩
```

11.2.5 Identity

```
mk-VLambda the-cat-discrete-components(6)[folded VLambda-vid-on]
|vsv the-cat-discrete-CId-vsv[cat-discrete-CS-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-discrete-CId-vdomain[cat-discrete-CS-simps]|
|app the-cat-discrete-CId-app[cat-discrete-CS-simps]|
```

11.2.6 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-is-arrI:
  assumes i ∈o I
  shows i : i ↦:C I i
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-is-arrI'[cat-discrete-CS-intros]:
  assumes i ∈o I
  and a = i
  and b = i
  shows i : a ↦:C I b
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-discrete-is-arrD:
  assumes f : a ↦:C I b
  shows f : f ↦:C I f
  and a : a ↦:C I a
  and b : b ↦:C I b
  and f ∈o I
  and a ∈o I
```

```

and  $b \in_0 I$ 
and  $f = a$ 
and  $f = b$ 
and  $b = a$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

11.2.7 The discrete category is a discrete category

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) cat-discrete-the-cat-discrete:
  assumes  $I \subseteq_0 Vset \alpha$ 
  shows cat-discrete  $\alpha (:_C I)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemmas [cat-discrete-CS-intros] =  $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-discrete-the-cat-discrete}$ 
```

11.2.8 Opposite discrete category

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) the-cat-discrete-op[cat-op-simps]:
  assumes  $I \subseteq_0 Vset \alpha$ 
  shows op-cat  $(:_C I) = :_C I$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

11.3 Discrete functor

11.3.1 Local assumptions for the discrete functor

See Chapter III in [7]).

```

locale cf-discrete = category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$  for  $\alpha$   $I$   $F$   $\mathfrak{C}$  +
  assumes cf-discrete-selector-vrange[cat-discrete-CS-intros]:
     $i \in_0 I \implies F i \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ 
    and cf-discrete-vdomain-vsubset-Vset:  $I \subseteq_0 Vset \alpha$ 

```

```
lemmas (in cf-discrete) cf-discrete-category = category-axioms
```

```
lemmas [cat-discrete-CS-intros] = cf-discrete.cf-discrete-category
```

Rules.

```

lemma (in cf-discrete) cf-discrete-axioms'[cat-discrete-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $I' = I$  and  $F' = F$ 
  shows cf-discrete  $\alpha' I' F' \mathfrak{C}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

mk-ide rf cf-discrete-def [unfolded cf-discrete-axioms-def]
|intro cf-discreteI|
|dest cf-discreteD[dest]|
|elim cf-discreteE[elim]|

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma (in cf-discrete) cf-discrete-is-functor-cf-CId-selector-is-arr:
  assumes  $i \in_0 I$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(F i) : F i \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} F i$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in cf-discrete)
cf-discrete-is-functor-cf-CId-selector-is-arr'[cat-discrete-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $i \in_0 I$  and  $a = F i$  and  $b = F i$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(F i) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

11.3.2 Definition and elementary properties

definition *the-cf-discrete* :: $V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle :\rightarrow :\rangle$)
where $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C} = [VLambda I F, (\lambda i \in_o I. \mathfrak{C}(CId)(F i)), :_C I, \mathfrak{C}]$.

Components.

lemma *the-cf-discrete-components*:

shows $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap) = (\lambda i \in_o I. F i)$
and $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap) = (\lambda i \in_o I. \mathfrak{C}(CId)(F i))$
and [*cat-discrete-CS-simps*]: $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C}(HomDom) = :_C I$
and [*cat-discrete-CS-simps*]: $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C}(HomCod) = \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

11.3.3 Object map

mk-VLambda *the-cf-discrete-components(1)*

|*vsv the-cf-discrete-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-discrete-CS-intros*]|
|*vdomain the-cf-discrete-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-discrete-CS-simps*]|
|*app the-cf-discrete-ObjMap-app*[*cat-discrete-CS-simps*]|

lemma (in cf-discrete) *cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-ObjMap-vrange*:

$\mathcal{R}_o (\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap)) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
(proof)

11.3.4 Arrow map

mk-VLambda *the-cf-discrete-components(2)*

|*vsv the-cf-discrete-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-discrete-CS-intros*]|
|*vdomain the-cf-discrete-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-discrete-CS-simps*]|
|*app the-cf-discrete-ArrMap-app*[*cat-discrete-CS-simps*]|

lemma (in cf-discrete) *cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-ArrMap-vrange*:

$\mathcal{R}_o (\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(Arr)$
(proof)

11.3.5 Discrete functor is a functor

lemma (in cf-discrete) *cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-is-functor*:

$\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C} : :_C I \mapsto \mathbb{C}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma (in cf-discrete) *cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-is-functor'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = :_C I$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \mathbb{C}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}'$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-discrete-CS-intros*] =
cf-discrete.cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-is-functor'

11.3.6 Uniqueness of the discrete category

lemma (in cat-discrete) *cat-discrete-iso-the-cat-discrete*:

assumes $I \subseteq_o Vset \alpha$ **and** $I \approx_o \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
obtains F **where** $\rightarrow : I F \mathfrak{C} : :_C I \mapsto \mathbb{C}_{iso\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

11.3.7 Opposite discrete functor

lemma (in cf-discrete) *cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-op*[*cat-op-simps*]:

op-cf ($\rightarrow: I F \mathfrak{C}$) = $\rightarrow: I F (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *cf-discrete.cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-op*

lemma (in cf-discrete) *cf-discrete-op*[*cat-op-intros*]:
cf-discrete $\alpha I F (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *cf-discrete.cf-discrete-op*

11.4 Tiny discrete category

11.4.1 Background

named-theorems *cat-small-discrete-cs-simps*
named-theorems *cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*

lemmas [*cat-small-discrete-cs-simps*] = *cat-discrete-cs-simps*
lemmas [*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*] = *cat-discrete-cs-intros*

11.4.2 Definition and elementary properties

locale *tiny-cat-discrete* = *cat-discrete* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ + *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **for** $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$

Rules.

lemma (in tiny-cat-discrete) *tiny-cat-discrete-axioms'*[*cat-discrete-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows *tiny-cat-discrete* $\alpha' \mathfrak{C}'$
{proof}

mk-ide rf *tiny-cat-discrete-def*
|*intro* *tiny-cat-discreteI*
|*dest* *tiny-cat-discreteD*[*dest*]
|*elim* *tiny-cat-discreteE*[*elim*]

lemmas [*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*] = *tiny-cat-discreteD*

lemma *tiny-cat-discreteI'*:
assumes *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\wedge f. f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}) \implies f \in_{\circ} \mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId}))$
shows *tiny-cat-discrete* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

11.4.3 The discrete category is a tiny category

lemma (in Z) *tiny-cat-discrete-the-cat-discrete*[*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*]:
assumes $I \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows *tiny-cat-discrete* $(:_{\mathcal{C}} I)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*] = *Z.cat-discrete-the-cat-discrete*

11.5 Discrete functor with tiny maps

11.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

locale *tm-cf-discrete* = *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **for** $\alpha I F \mathfrak{C}$ +
assumes *tm-cf-discrete-selector-vrange*[*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*]:
 $i \in_{\circ} I \implies F i \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and *tm-cf-discrete-ObjMap-in-Vset*: $\text{VLambda } I F \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
and *tm-cf-discrete-ArrMap-in-Vset*: $(\lambda i \in_{\circ} I. \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(F i)) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$

Rules.

lemma (in tm-cf-discrete) *tm-cf-discrete-axioms'[cat-small-discrete-cs-intros]*:

assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $I' = I$ **and** $F' = F$

shows *tm-cf-discrete* $\alpha' I' F' \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

mk-ide rf *tm-cf-discrete-def*[unfolded *tm-cf-discrete-axioms-def*]

|*intro tm-cf-discreteI*|

|*dest tm-cf-discreteD[dest]*|

|*elim tm-cf-discreteE[elim]*|

lemma *tm-cf-discreteI'*:

assumes *cf-discrete* $\alpha I F \mathfrak{C}$

and $(\lambda i \in_{\circ} I. F i) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$

and $(\lambda i \in_{\circ} I. \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(F i)) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$

shows *tm-cf-discrete* $\alpha I F \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

Elementary properties.

sublocale *tm-cf-discrete* \subseteq *cf-discrete*

{proof}

lemmas (in tm-cf-discrete) *tm-cf-discrete-is-cf-discrete-axioms* =
cf-discrete-axioms

lemmas [*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*] =
tm-cf-discrete.tm-cf-discrete-is-cf-discrete-axioms

lemma (in tm-cf-discrete)

tm-cf-discrete-index-in-Vset[*cat-small-discrete-cs-intros*]:

$I \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$

{proof}

11.5.2 Opposite discrete functor with tiny maps

lemma (in tm-cf-discrete) *tm-cf-discrete-op*[*cat-op-intros*]:

tm-cf-discrete $\alpha I F (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *tm-cf-discrete.tm-cf-discrete-op*

11.5.3 Discrete functor with tiny maps is a functor with tiny maps

lemma (in tm-cf-discrete) *tm-cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-is-tm-functor*:

$\rightarrow: I F \mathfrak{C} :_{\mathcal{C}} I \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma (in tm-cf-discrete) *tm-cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-is-tm-functor'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = :_{\mathcal{C}} I$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\rightarrow: I F \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C}'$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-discrete-cs-intros*] =

tm-cf-discrete.tm-cf-discrete-the-cf-discrete-is-tm-functor'

12 $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$: cospan and span

12.1 Background

General information about $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$ (also known as cospans and spans, respectively) can be found in Chapters III-3 and III-4 in [7], as well as nLab [1]⁵⁶.

named-theorems *cat-ss-cs-simps*

named-theorems *cat-ss-cs-intros*

named-theorems *cat-ss-elem-simps*

```
definition  $\circ_{SS}$  where [cat-ss-elem-simps]:  $\circ_{SS} = 0$ 
definition  $\alpha_{SS}$  where [cat-ss-elem-simps]:  $\alpha_{SS} = 1_N$ 
definition  $\beta_{SS}$  where [cat-ss-elem-simps]:  $\beta_{SS} = 2_N$ 
definition  $\gamma_{SS}$  where [cat-ss-elem-simps]:  $\gamma_{SS} = 3_N$ 
definition  $\delta_{SS}$  where [cat-ss-elem-simps]:  $\delta_{SS} = 4_N$ 
```

lemma *cat-ss-ineq*:

```
shows cat-ss-ab[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\alpha_{SS} \neq \beta_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-ao[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\alpha_{SS} \neq \circ_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-bo[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\beta_{SS} \neq \circ_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-gf[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\gamma_{SS} \neq \delta_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-ga[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\gamma_{SS} \neq \alpha_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-gb[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\gamma_{SS} \neq \beta_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-go[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\gamma_{SS} \neq \circ_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-fa[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\delta_{SS} \neq \alpha_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-fb[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\delta_{SS} \neq \beta_{SS}$ 
and cat-ss-f0[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\delta_{SS} \neq \circ_{SS}$ 
{proof}
```

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

```
shows cat-ss-a[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\alpha_{SS} \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and cat-ss-b[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\beta_{SS} \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and cat-ss-o[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\circ_{SS} \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and cat-ss-g[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\gamma_{SS} \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
and cat-ss-f[cat-ss-cs-intros]:  $\delta_{SS} \in_0 Vset \alpha$ 
{proof}
```

12.2 Composable arrows in $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$

abbreviation *cat-scspan-composable* :: V

```
where cat-scspan-composable  $\equiv$ 
  (set  $\{\circ_{SS}\} \times_\bullet \text{set } \{\circ_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}, \delta_{SS}\}$ )  $\cup_0$ 
  (set  $\{\gamma_{SS}, \alpha_{SS}\} \times_\bullet \text{set } \{\alpha_{SS}\}$ )  $\cup_0$ 
  (set  $\{\delta_{SS}, \beta_{SS}\} \times_\bullet \text{set } \{\beta_{SS}\}$ )
```

abbreviation *cat-sspan-composable* :: V

```
where cat-sspan-composable  $\equiv$  (cat-scspan-composable) $^{-1}$ .
```

Rules.

lemma *cat-scspan-composable-oo*[cat-ss-cs-intros]:

```
assumes  $g = \circ_{SS}$  and  $f = \circ_{SS}$ 
shows  $[g, f]_0 \in_0 \text{cat-scspan-composable}$ 
{proof}
```

⁵<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/cospan>

⁶<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/span>

lemma *cat-scospan-composable-og*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \circ_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-scospan-composable-of*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \circ_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-scospan-composable-ga*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-scospan-composable-fb*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-scospan-composable-aa*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-scospan-composable-bb*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-scospan-composableE*:
assumes $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-scospan-composable}$
obtains $g = \circ_{SS}$ **and** $f = \circ_{SS}$
 | $g = \circ_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$
 | $g = \circ_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$
 | $g = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
 | $g = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
 | $g = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
 | $g = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-sspan-composable-oo*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \circ_{SS}$ **and** $f = \circ_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-sspan-composable-go*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \circ_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-sspan-composable-f0*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \circ_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
(proof)

lemma *cat-sspan-composable-ag*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$

shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-sspan-composable-bf}[\text{cat-ss-cs-intros}]$:
assumes $g = b_{SS}$ **and** $f = f_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-sspan-composable-aa}[\text{cat-ss-cs-intros}]$:
assumes $g = a_{SS}$ **and** $f = a_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-sspan-composable-bb}[\text{cat-ss-cs-intros}]$:
assumes $g = b_{SS}$ **and** $f = b_{SS}$
shows $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-sspan-composableE}$:
assumes $[g, f]_o \in_o \text{cat-sspan-composable}$
obtains $g = o_{SS}$ **and** $f = o_{SS}$
 | $g = g_{SS}$ **and** $f = o_{SS}$
 | $g = f_{SS}$ **and** $f = o_{SS}$
 | $g = a_{SS}$ **and** $f = g_{SS}$
 | $g = b_{SS}$ **and** $f = f_{SS}$
 | $g = a_{SS}$ **and** $f = a_{SS}$
 | $g = b_{SS}$ **and** $f = b_{SS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.3 Categories $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$

12.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-3 and Chapter III-4 in [7].

definition $\text{the-cat-scspan} :: V(\langle\rightarrow\leftarrow_C\rangle)$

where $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C =$
 $[$
 set $\{a_{SS}, b_{SS}, o_{SS}\}$,
 set $\{a_{SS}, g_{SS}, o_{SS}, f_{SS}, b_{SS}\}$,
 (
 $\lambda x \in \text{set } \{a_{SS}, g_{SS}, o_{SS}, f_{SS}, b_{SS}\}.$
 $\text{if } x = a_{SS} \Rightarrow a_{SS}$
 | $x = b_{SS} \Rightarrow b_{SS}$
 | $x = g_{SS} \Rightarrow a_{SS}$
 | $x = f_{SS} \Rightarrow b_{SS}$
 | otherwise $\Rightarrow o_{SS}$
),
 (
 $\lambda x \in \text{set } \{a_{SS}, g_{SS}, o_{SS}, f_{SS}, b_{SS}\}.$
 $\text{if } x = a_{SS} \Rightarrow a_{SS}$
 | $x = b_{SS} \Rightarrow b_{SS}$
 | otherwise $\Rightarrow o_{SS}$
),
 (
 $\lambda gf \in \text{cat-scspan-composable}.$
 $\text{if } gf = [o_{SS}, g_{SS}]_o \Rightarrow g_{SS}$
 | $gf = [o_{SS}, f_{SS}]_o \Rightarrow f_{SS}$
 | otherwise $\Rightarrow gf(\emptyset)$
)

```

),
vid-on (set { $\alpha_{SS}$ ,  $\beta_{SS}$ ,  $\gamma_{SS}$ })
].

```

definition *the-cat-sspan* :: $V(\leftrightarrow_C)$

where $\leftrightarrow_C =$

```

[
  set { $\alpha_{SS}$ ,  $\beta_{SS}$ ,  $\gamma_{SS}$ },
  set { $\alpha_{SS}$ ,  $\gamma_{SS}$ ,  $\delta_{SS}$ ,  $\epsilon_{SS}$ ,  $\beta_{SS}$ },
  (
     $\lambda x \in \circ \text{set} \{\alpha_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}, \delta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}, \beta_{SS}\}.$ 
    if  $x = \alpha_{SS} \Rightarrow \alpha_{SS}$ 
    |  $x = \beta_{SS} \Rightarrow \beta_{SS}$ 
    | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \delta_{SS}$ 
  ),
  (
     $\lambda x \in \circ \text{set} \{\alpha_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}, \delta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}, \beta_{SS}\}.$ 
    if  $x = \alpha_{SS} \Rightarrow \alpha_{SS}$ 
    |  $x = \beta_{SS} \Rightarrow \beta_{SS}$ 
    |  $x = \gamma_{SS} \Rightarrow \alpha_{SS}$ 
    |  $x = \epsilon_{SS} \Rightarrow \beta_{SS}$ 
    | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \delta_{SS}$ 
  ),
  (
     $\lambda gf \in \circ \text{cat-sspan-composable}.$ 
    if  $gf = [\alpha_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}] \circ \Rightarrow \gamma_{SS}$ 
    |  $gf = [\beta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}] \circ \Rightarrow \epsilon_{SS}$ 
    | otherwise  $\Rightarrow gf(\emptyset)$ 
  ),
  vid-on (set { $\alpha_{SS}$ ,  $\beta_{SS}$ ,  $\gamma_{SS}$ })
].

```

Components.

lemma *the-cat-scspan-components*:

```

shows  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Obj}) = \text{set} \{\alpha_{SS}, \beta_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}\}$ 
and  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr}) = \text{set} \{\alpha_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}, \delta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}, \beta_{SS}\}$ 
and  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Dom}) =$ 
(
   $\lambda x \in \circ \text{set} \{\alpha_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}, \delta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}, \beta_{SS}\}.$ 
  if  $x = \alpha_{SS} \Rightarrow \alpha_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \beta_{SS} \Rightarrow \beta_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \gamma_{SS} \Rightarrow \alpha_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \epsilon_{SS} \Rightarrow \beta_{SS}$ 
  | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \delta_{SS}$ 
)
and  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Cod}) =$ 
(
   $\lambda x \in \circ \text{set} \{\alpha_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}, \delta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}, \beta_{SS}\}.$ 
  if  $x = \alpha_{SS} \Rightarrow \alpha_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \beta_{SS} \Rightarrow \beta_{SS}$ 
  | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \delta_{SS}$ 
)
and  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Comp}) =$ 
(
   $\lambda gf \in \circ \text{cat-scspan-composable}.$ 
  if  $gf = [\delta_{SS}, \gamma_{SS}] \circ \Rightarrow \gamma_{SS}$ 
  |  $gf = [\delta_{SS}, \epsilon_{SS}] \circ \Rightarrow \epsilon_{SS}$ 
  | otherwise  $\Rightarrow gf(\emptyset)$ 
)

```

```

)
and  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(CId) = \text{vid-on}(\text{set}\{\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{b}_{SS}, \mathfrak{o}_{SS}\})$ 
(proof)

```

lemma *the-cat-sspan-components*:

```

shows  $\leftrightarrow_C(Obj) = \text{set}\{\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{b}_{SS}, \mathfrak{o}_{SS}\}$ 
and  $\leftrightarrow_C(Arr) = \text{set}\{\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{g}_{SS}, \mathfrak{o}_{SS}, \mathfrak{f}_{SS}, \mathfrak{b}_{SS}\}$ 
and  $\leftrightarrow_C(Dom) =$ 
(
   $\lambda x \in \text{set}\{\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{g}_{SS}, \mathfrak{o}_{SS}, \mathfrak{f}_{SS}, \mathfrak{b}_{SS}\}.$ 
  if  $x = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ 
  | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$ 
)
and  $\leftrightarrow_C(Cod) =$ 
(
   $\lambda x \in \text{set}\{\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{g}_{SS}, \mathfrak{o}_{SS}, \mathfrak{f}_{SS}, \mathfrak{b}_{SS}\}.$ 
  if  $x = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \mathfrak{g}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ 
  |  $x = \mathfrak{f}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ 
  | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$ 
)
and  $\leftrightarrow_C(Comp) =$ 
(
   $\lambda gf \in \text{cat-sspan-composable}.$ 
  if  $gf = [\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{g}_{SS}] \circ \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$ 
  |  $gf = [\mathfrak{b}_{SS}, \mathfrak{f}_{SS}] \circ \Rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$ 
  | otherwise  $\Rightarrow gf(0)$ 
)
and  $\leftrightarrow_C(CId) = \text{vid-on}(\text{set}\{\mathfrak{a}_{SS}, \mathfrak{b}_{SS}, \mathfrak{o}_{SS}\})$ 
(proof)

```

Elementary properties.

lemma *the-cat-scspan-components-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]*: *vsv* ($\rightarrow\leftarrow_C$)
(proof)

lemma *the-cat-sspan-components-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]*: *vsv* (\leftrightarrow_C)
(proof)

12.3.2 Objects

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Obj-oI[cat-ss-cs-intros]*:
assumes $a = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(Obj)$
(proof)

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Obj-aI[cat-ss-cs-intros]*:
assumes $a = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(Obj)$
(proof)

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Obj-bI[cat-ss-cs-intros]*:
assumes $a = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(Obj)$
(proof)

lemma *the-cat-scspan-ObjE*:

assumes $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Obj})$
obtains $\langle a = \mathbf{o}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle a = \mathbf{a}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle a = \mathbf{b}_{SS} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Obj-oI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Obj-aI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Obj-bI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-ObjE*:

assumes $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Obj})$
obtains $\langle a = \mathbf{o}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle a = \mathbf{a}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle a = \mathbf{b}_{SS} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.3.3 Arrows

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Arr-aI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Arr-bI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Arr-oI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Arr-gI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{g}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Arr-fI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{f}_{SS}$
shows $a \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-ArrE*:

assumes $f \in \rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Arr})$
obtains $\langle f = \mathbf{a}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = \mathbf{b}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = \mathbf{o}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = \mathbf{g}_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = \mathbf{f}_{SS} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Arr-aI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:

assumes $a = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$

shows $a \in_{\circ} \leftrightarrow_{C} (\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Arr-bI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = b_{SS}$
shows $a \in_{\circ} \leftrightarrow_{C} (\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Arr-oI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = o_{SS}$
shows $a \in_{\circ} \leftrightarrow_{C} (\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Arr-gI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = g_{SS}$
shows $a \in_{\circ} \leftrightarrow_{C} (\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Arr-fI*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = f_{SS}$
shows $a \in_{\circ} \leftrightarrow_{C} (\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-sspan-ArrE*:
assumes $f \in_{\circ} \leftrightarrow_{C} (\text{Arr})$
obtains $\langle f = a_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = b_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = o_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = g_{SS} \rangle \mid \langle f = f_{SS} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.3.4 Domain

mk-VLambda *the-cat-scspan-components*(β)
|vsv *the-cat-scspan-Dom-vsv*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]|
|vdomain *the-cat-scspan-Dom-vdomain*[*cat-ss-cs-simps*]|

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Dom-app-a*[*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = a_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrow\leftarrow_{C} (\text{Dom})(f) = a_{SS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Dom-app-b*[*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = b_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrow\leftarrow_{C} (\text{Dom})(f) = b_{SS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Dom-app-o*[*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = o_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrow\leftarrow_{C} (\text{Dom})(f) = o_{SS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Dom-app-g*[*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = g_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrow\leftarrow_{C} (\text{Dom})(f) = a_{SS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Dom-app-f*[*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = f_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrow\leftarrow_{C} (\text{Dom})(f) = b_{SS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

mk-VLambda *the-cat-sspan-components(3)*
|*vsv the-cat-sspan-Dom-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]*]
|*vdomain the-cat-sspan-Dom-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]*]

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Dom-app-a[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \alpha_{SS}$
shows $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Dom})(f) = \alpha_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Dom-app-b[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \beta_{SS}$
shows $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Dom})(f) = \beta_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Dom-app-o[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \sigma_{SS}$
shows $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Dom})(f) = \sigma_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Dom-app-g[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \gamma_{SS}$
shows $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Dom})(f) = \gamma_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Dom-app-f[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \delta_{SS}$
shows $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Dom})(f) = \delta_{SS}$
{proof}

12.3.5 Codomain

mk-VLambda *the-cat-scspan-components(4)*
|*vsv the-cat-scspan-Cod-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]*]
|*vdomain the-cat-scspan-Cod-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]*]

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Cod-app-a[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \alpha_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrowtail_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \alpha_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Cod-app-b[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \beta_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrowtail_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \beta_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Cod-app-o[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \sigma_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrowtail_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \sigma_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Cod-app-g[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \gamma_{SS}$
shows $\rightarrowtail_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \gamma_{SS}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Cod-app-f[cat-ss-cs-simps]*:

assumes $f = \delta_{SS}$

```

shows  $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩

mk-VLambda the-cat-sspan-components(4)
|vsv the-cat-sspan-Cod-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-sspan-Cod-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]|

```

```

lemma the-cat-sspan-Cod-app-a[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $f = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-sspan-Cod-app-b[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $f = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-sspan-Cod-app-o[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $f = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-sspan-Cod-app-g[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $f = \mathbf{g}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-sspan-Cod-app-f[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $f = \mathbf{f}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\leftrightarrow_C(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

12.3.6 Composition

```

mk-VLambda the-cat-scspan-components(5)
|vsv the-cat-scspan-Comp-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-scspan-Comp-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]|

```

```

lemma the-cat-scspan-Comp-app-aa[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
shows  $g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = g g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-scspan-Comp-app-bb[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
shows  $g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = g g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-scspan-Comp-app-oo[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 
shows  $g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = g g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-scspan-Comp-app-og[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{g}_{SS}$ 
shows  $g \circ_{A \rightarrow\leftarrow C} f = f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{f}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \rightarrow \leftarrow C} f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{ga}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \rightarrow \leftarrow C} f = g$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-scspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{fb}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \rightarrow \leftarrow C} f = g$
{proof}

mk-VLambda *the-cat-sspan-components(5)*
|vsv *the-cat-sspan-Comp-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]*||
|vdomain *the-cat-sspan-Comp-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]*||

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{aa}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = g$ $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{bb}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = g$ $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{oo}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = g$ $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{ag}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{bf}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{go}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = g$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-sspan-Comp-app- \mathfrak{fo}* [*cat-ss-cs-simps*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$ **and** $f = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$
shows $g \circ_{A \leftarrow \rightarrow C} f = g$
{proof}

12.3.7 Identity

mk-VLambda *the-cat-scspan-components(6)*[folded VLambda-vid-on]
|vsv *the-cat-scspan-CId-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]*||

```
|vdomain the-cat-scospans-CId-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]
|app the-cat-scospans-CId-app[cat-ss-cs-simps]|
```

```
mk-VLambda the-cat-sspan-components(6)[folded VLambda-vid-on]
|vsv the-cat-sspan-CId-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]
|vdomain the-cat-sspan-CId-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]
|app the-cat-sspan-CId-app[cat-ss-cs-simps]|
```

12.3.8 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-is-arr-aaa[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $a' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
  shows  $f : a' \rightarrowtail \rightarrowtail_C b'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-is-arr-bbb[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $a' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
  shows  $f : a' \rightarrowtail \rightarrowtail_C b'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-is-arr-ooo[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $a' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 
  shows  $f : a' \rightarrowtail \rightarrowtail_C b'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-is-arr-aog[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $a' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{g}_{SS}$ 
  shows  $f : a' \rightarrowtail \rightarrowtail_C b'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-is-arr-bof[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $a' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f = \mathbf{f}_{SS}$ 
  shows  $f : a' \rightarrowtail \rightarrowtail_C b'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-is-arrE:
  assumes  $f' : a' \rightarrowtail \rightarrowtail_C b'$ 
  obtains  $a' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $f' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
    |  $a' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $f' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
    |  $a' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 
    |  $a' = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f' = \mathbf{g}_{SS}$ 
    |  $a' = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$  and  $b' = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$  and  $f' = \mathbf{f}_{SS}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

12.3.9 \rightarrowtail is a finite category

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) finite-category-the-cat-scospans[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
  finite-category  $\alpha$  ( $\rightarrowtail_C$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas [cat-ss-cs-intros] =  $\mathcal{Z}.\text{finite-category-the-cat-scospans}$ 
```

12.3.10 Duality for \rightarrowtail and \rightarrowtailtail

```
lemma the-cat-scospans-op[cat-op-simps]: op-cat ( $\rightarrowtailtail_C$ ) =  $\rightarrowtailtail_C$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) the-cat-sspan-op[cat-op-simps]: op-cat ( $\rightarrowtailtail_C$ ) =  $\rightarrowtailtail_C$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{the-cat-sspan-op}$

12.3.11 $\leftrightarrow\leftrightarrow$ is a finite category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *finite-category-the-cat-sspan*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
finite-category α ($\leftrightarrow\leftrightarrow_C$)
{proof}

12.4 Local assumptions for functors from $\rightarrow\leftrightarrow$ and $\leftrightarrow\leftrightarrow$

The functors from $\rightarrow\leftrightarrow$ and $\leftrightarrow\leftrightarrow$ are introduced as convenient abstractions for the definition of the pullbacks and the pushouts (e.g., see Chapter III-3 and Chapter III-4 in [7]).

12.4.1 Definitions and elementary properties

locale *cf-scspan* = *category* α \mathfrak{C} **for** $\alpha \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{C} +$
assumes *cf-scspan-g*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{a} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{o}$
and *cf-scspan-f*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{b} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{o}$

lemma (in *cf-scspan*) *cf-scspan-g'*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = \mathfrak{a}$ **and** $b = \mathfrak{o}$
shows $\mathfrak{g} : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
{proof}

lemma (in *cf-scspan*) *cf-scspan-g''*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{g}$ **and** $b = \mathfrak{o}$
shows $g : \mathfrak{a} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
{proof}

lemma (in *cf-scspan*) *cf-scspan-g'''*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{g}$ **and** $a = \mathfrak{a}$
shows $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{o}$
{proof}

lemma (in *cf-scspan*) *cf-scspan-f'*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = \mathfrak{b}$ **and** $b = \mathfrak{o}$
shows $\mathfrak{f} : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
{proof}

lemma (in *cf-scspan*) *cf-scspan-f''*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{f}$ **and** $b = \mathfrak{o}$
shows $f : \mathfrak{b} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
{proof}

lemma (in *cf-scspan*) *cf-scspan-f'''*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{f}$ **and** $b = \mathfrak{b}$
shows $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{o}$
{proof}

locale *cf-sspan* = *category* α \mathfrak{C} **for** $\alpha \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{b}$ **and** $\mathfrak{C} +$
assumes *cf-sspan-g*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{o} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{a}$
and *cf-sspan-f*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{f} : \mathfrak{o} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{b}$

lemma (in *cf-sspan*) *cf-sspan-g'*[*cat-ss-cs-intros*]:
assumes $a = \mathfrak{o}$ **and** $b = \mathfrak{a}$
shows $\mathfrak{g} : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) cf-sspan-g''[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
assumes $g = \mathbf{g}$ **and** $a = \mathbf{a}$
shows $g : \mathbf{o} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) cf-sspan-g'''[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
assumes $g = \mathbf{g}$ **and** $a = \mathbf{o}$
shows $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{o}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) cf-sspan-f'[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
assumes $a = \mathbf{o}$ **and** $b = \mathbf{b}$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) cf-sspan-f''[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
assumes $f = \mathbf{f}$ **and** $b = \mathbf{b}$
shows $f : \mathbf{o} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) cf-sspan-f'''[cat-ss-cs-intros]:
assumes $f = \mathbf{f}$ **and** $b = \mathbf{o}$
shows $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathbf{o}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Rules.

lemmas (in cf-scspan) [cat-ss-cs-intros] = cf-scspan-axioms

mk-ide rf cf-scspan-def[unfolded cf-scspan-axioms-def]
|intro cf-scspanI
|dest cf-scspanD[dest]
|elim cf-scspanE[elim]

lemmas [cat-ss-cs-intros] = cf-scspanD(1)

lemmas (in cf-sspan) [cat-ss-cs-intros] = cf-sspan-axioms

mk-ide rf cf-sspan-def[unfolded cf-sspan-axioms-def]
|intro cf-sspanI
|dest cf-sspanD[dest]
|elim cf-sspanE[elim]

Duality.

lemma (in cf-scspan) cf-sspan-op[cat-op-intros]:
 $cf\text{-span } \alpha \mathbf{a} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{b} (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = cf-scspan.cf-sspan-op

lemma (in cf-sspan) cf-scspan-op[cat-op-intros]:
 $cf\text{-scspan } \alpha \mathbf{a} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{b} (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = cf-sspan.cf-scspan-op

12.5 Functors from $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$

12.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *the-cf-scospan* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

($\langle \langle \rightarrow\leftarrow\leftarrow\rightarrow\rightarrow\rightarrow\rangle \rangle_{CF1} \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 999$)

where $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} =$

```
[  
  (  
     $\lambda a \in \circ \rightarrow\leftarrow_C (\text{Obj})$ .  
      if  $a = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$   
      |  $a = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$   
      | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o}$   
    ),  
  (  
     $\lambda f \in \circ \rightarrow\leftarrow_C (\text{Arr})$ .  
      if  $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{a})$   
      |  $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{b})$   
      |  $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$   
      |  $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{f}$   
      | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{o})$   
    ),  
   $\rightarrow\leftarrow_C$ ,  
   $\mathfrak{C}$   
].  
]
```

definition *the-cf-sspan* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

($\langle \langle \leftarrow\leftarrow\leftarrow\rightarrow\rightarrow\rightarrow\rangle \rangle_{CF1} \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 999$)

where $\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} =$

```
[  
  (  
     $\lambda a \in \circ \leftarrow\rightarrow_C (\text{Obj})$ .  
      if  $a = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$   
      |  $a = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$   
      | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o}$   
    ),  
  (  
     $\lambda f \in \circ \leftarrow\rightarrow_C (\text{Arr})$ .  
      if  $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{a})$   
      |  $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{b})$   
      |  $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$   
      |  $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{f}$   
      | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{o})$   
    ),  
   $\leftarrow\rightarrow_C$ ,  
   $\mathfrak{C}$   
].  
]
```

Components.

lemma *the-cf-scospan-components*:

shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} (\text{ObjMap}) =$

```
(  
   $\lambda a \in \circ \rightarrow\leftarrow_C (\text{Obj})$ .  
    if  $a = \mathfrak{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$   
    |  $a = \mathfrak{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$   
    | otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o}$   
)
```

and $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} (\text{ArrMap}) =$

(

```


$$\lambda f \in \circ \rightarrow \leftarrow_C (Arr).$$


$$\quad | if f = \mathbf{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathbf{a})$$


$$\quad | f = \mathbf{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathbf{b})$$


$$\quad | f = \mathbf{g}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}$$


$$\quad | f = \mathbf{f}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}$$


$$\quad | otherwise \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathbf{o})$$

)
and [cat-ss-cs-simps]:  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(HomDom) = \rightarrow \leftarrow_C$ 
and [cat-ss-cs-simps]:  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(HomCod) = \mathfrak{C}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma the-cf-sspan-components:

```

shows  $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(ObjMap) =$ 
(
 $\lambda a \in \circ \leftarrow \rightarrow_C (Obj).$ 
 $\quad | if a = \mathbf{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ 
 $\quad | a = \mathbf{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$ 
 $\quad | otherwise \Rightarrow \mathbf{o}$ 
)
and  $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(ArrMap) =$ 
(
 $\lambda f \in \circ \leftarrow \rightarrow_C (Arr).$ 
 $\quad | if f = \mathbf{a}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathbf{a})$ 
 $\quad | f = \mathbf{b}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathbf{b})$ 
 $\quad | f = \mathbf{g}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}$ 
 $\quad | f = \mathbf{f}_{SS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}$ 
 $\quad | otherwise \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathbf{o})$ 
)
and [cat-ss-cs-simps]:  $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(HomDom) = \leftarrow \rightarrow_C$ 
and [cat-ss-cs-simps]:  $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(HomCod) = \mathfrak{C}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Elementary properties.

lemma the-cf-scspan-components-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]: vsv ($\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}$)
⟨proof⟩

lemma the-cf-sspan-components-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]: vsv ($\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}$)
⟨proof⟩

12.5.2 Object map.

mk-VLambda the-cf-scspan-components(1)
|vsv the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]
|vdomain the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]
|app the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-app|

lemma the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-app-a[cat-ss-cs-simps]:

```

assumes  $x = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(ObjMap)(x) = \mathbf{a}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-app-b[cat-ss-cs-simps]:

```

assumes  $x = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$ 
shows  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(ObjMap)(x) = \mathbf{b}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-app-o[cat-ss-cs-simps]:

```

assumes  $x = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$ 

```

shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ObjMap})(x) = \mathbf{o}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ObjMap-vrange:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-VLambda the-cf-sspan-components(1)
| vsv the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]
| vdomain the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]
| app the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-app|

lemma the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-app-a[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $x = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ObjMap})(x) = \mathbf{a}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-app-b[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $x = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ObjMap})(x) = \mathbf{b}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-app-o[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $x = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ObjMap})(x) = \mathbf{o}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan-ObjMap-vrange:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.5.3 Arrow map.

mk-VLambda the-cf-scspan-components(2)
| vsv the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-vsv[cat-ss-cs-intros]
| vdomain the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-ss-cs-simps]
| app the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-app|

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-app-o[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathbf{o}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{CId})(\mathbf{o})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-app-a[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathbf{a}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{CId})(\mathbf{a})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-app-b[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathbf{b}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{CId})(\mathbf{b})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-scspan) the-cf-scspan-ArrMap-app-g[cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathbf{g}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\mathbf{ArrMap})(f) = \mathbf{g}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-scospan) the-cf-scospan- $\text{ArrMap-app-}\mathfrak{f}$ [cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{f}$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-scospan) the-cf-scospan- ArrMap-vrange :
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

mk-VLambda the-cf-sspan-components(2)
|vsv the-cf-sspan- ArrMap-vsv [cat-ss-cs-intros]|
|vdomain the-cf-sspan- ArrMap-vdomain [cat-ss-cs-simps]|
|app the-cf-sspan- $\text{ArrMap-app}|$

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan- $\text{ArrMap-app-}\mathfrak{o}$ [cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{o}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{o})$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan- $\text{ArrMap-app-}\mathfrak{a}$ [cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{a}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{a})$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan- $\text{ArrMap-app-}\mathfrak{b}$ [cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{b}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{b})$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan- $\text{ArrMap-app-}\mathfrak{g}$ [cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{g}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{g}$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan- $\text{ArrMap-app-}\mathfrak{f}$ [cat-ss-cs-simps]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{f}_{SS}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{f}$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) the-cf-sspan- ArrMap-vrange :
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\langle \mathfrak{a} \leftarrow \mathfrak{g} \leftarrow \mathfrak{o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

12.5.4 Functor from $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ is a functor

lemma (in cf-scospan) cf-scospan-the-cf-scospan-is-tm-functor:
 $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} : \rightarrow\leftarrow_C \mapsto \text{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-scospan) cf-scospan-the-cf-scospan-is-tm-functor':
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \rightarrow\leftarrow_C$ and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\langle \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \leftarrow \mathfrak{f} \leftarrow \mathfrak{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \text{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}'$
{proof}

lemmas [cat-ss-cs-intros] = cf-scospan.cf-scospan-the-cf-scospan-is-tm-functor

12.5.5 Duality for the functors from $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow\rightarrow$

lemma *op-cf-cf-scospans*[*cat-op-simps*]:

$$\text{op-cf } (\langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}) = \langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}}$$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *op-cf-cf-scospans*[*cat-op-simps*]:

$$\text{op-cf } (\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}}) = \langle \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{o} \leftarrow \mathbf{f} \leftarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}}$$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{op-cf-cf-scospans}$

12.5.6 Functor from $\leftarrow\rightarrow$ is a functor

lemma (in cf-sspan) *cf-sspan-the-cf-sspan-is-tm-functor*:

$$\langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} : \leftarrow\rightarrow_C \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$$

{proof}

lemma (in cf-sspan) *cf-sspan-the-cf-sspan-is-tm-functor'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \leftarrow\rightarrow_C$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$

$$\text{shows } \langle \mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{g} \leftarrow \mathbf{o} \rightarrow \mathbf{f} \rightarrow \mathbf{b} \rangle_{CF\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}'$$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-ss-cs-intros*] = *cf-sspan.cf-sspan-the-cf-sspan-is-tm-functor*

13 Categories with parallel arrows between two objects

13.1 Background: category with parallel arrows between two objects

named-theorems *cat-parallel-cs-simps*

named-theorems *cat-parallel-cs-intros*

definition $\mathfrak{a}_{PL} :: V \Rightarrow V$ where $\mathfrak{a}_{PL} F = \text{set } \{F, 0\}$

definition $\mathfrak{b}_{PL} :: V \Rightarrow V$ where $\mathfrak{b}_{PL} F = \text{set } \{F, 1_N\}$

lemma *cat-PL-a-nin-F*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{a}_{PL} F \notin_0 F$
{proof}

lemma *cat-PL-b-nin-F*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{b}_{PL} F \notin_0 F$
{proof}

lemma *cat-PL-ab*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{a}_{PL} F \neq \mathfrak{b}_{PL} F$
{proof}

lemmas *cat-PL-ba*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*] = *cat-PL-ab*[*symmetric*]

13.2 Composable arrows for a category with parallel arrows between two objects

definition *cat-parallel-composable* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-parallel-composable* $\mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \equiv$

$\text{set } \{[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}]_0, [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]_0\} \cup_0$

$(F \times_0 \text{set } \{\mathfrak{a}\}) \cup_0$

$(\text{set } \{\mathfrak{b}\} \times_0 F)$

Rules.

lemma *cat-parallel-composable-aa*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{a}$ and $f = \mathfrak{a}$
shows $[g, f]_0 \in_0 \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$
{proof}

lemma *cat-parallel-composable-bf*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f \in_0 F$
shows $[g, f]_0 \in_0 \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$
{proof}

lemma *cat-parallel-composable-fa*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g \in_0 F$ and $f = \mathfrak{a}$
shows $[g, f]_0 \in_0 \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$
{proof}

lemma *cat-parallel-composable-bb*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:
assumes $g = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f = \mathfrak{b}$
shows $[g, f]_0 \in_0 \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$
{proof}

lemma *cat-parallel-composableE*:
assumes $[g, f]_0 \in_0 \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$
obtains $g = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f = \mathfrak{b}$
| $g = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f \in_0 F$
| $g \in_0 F$ and $f = \mathfrak{a}$
| $g = \mathfrak{a}$ and $f = \mathfrak{a}$
{proof}

Elementary properties.

```
lemma cat-parallel-composable-fconverse:
  (cat-parallel-composable  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{b}$   $F$ ) $^{-1}$  = cat-parallel-composable  $\mathbf{b}$   $\mathbf{a}$   $F$ 
  {proof}
```

13.3 Local assumptions for a category with parallel arrows between two objects

```
locale cat-parallel =  $\mathcal{Z}$   $\alpha$  for  $\alpha$  +
  fixes  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{b}$   $F$ 
  assumes cat-parallel-ab[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:  $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$ 
  and cat-parallel-aF[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:  $\mathbf{a} \notin_{\circ} F$ 
  and cat-parallel-bF[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:  $\mathbf{b} \notin_{\circ} F$ 
  and cat-parallel-a-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:  $\mathbf{a} \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  and cat-parallel-b-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:  $\mathbf{b} \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  and cat-parallel-F-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:  $F \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
```

```
lemmas (in cat-parallel) cat-parallel-ineq =
  cat-parallel-ab
  cat-parallel-aF
  cat-parallel-bF
```

Rules.

```
lemmas (in cat-parallel) [cat-parallel-cs-intros] = cat-parallel-axioms
```

```
mk-ide rf cat-parallel-def[unfolded cat-parallel-axioms-def]
|intro cat-parallelI|
|dest cat-parallelD[dest]|
|elim cat-parallelE[elim]|
```

Duality.

```
lemma (in cat-parallel) cat-parallel-op[cat-op-intros]:
  cat-parallel  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{b}$   $\mathbf{a}$   $F$ 
  {proof}
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) cat-parallel-PL:
  assumes  $F \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  shows cat-parallel  $\alpha$  ( $\mathbf{a}_{PL} F$ ) ( $\mathbf{b}_{PL} F$ )  $F$ 
  {proof}
```

13.4 \uparrow : category with parallel arrows between two objects

13.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-2 and Chapter III-3 in [7].

```
definition the-cat-parallel ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$  ( $\langle \uparrow_C \rangle$ )
  where  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{b}$   $F$  =
    [
      set  $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ ,
      set  $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} \cup_{\circ} F$ ,
       $(\lambda x \in_{\circ} \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} \cup_{\circ} F. (x = \mathbf{b} ? \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a}))$ ,
       $(\lambda x \in_{\circ} \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} \cup_{\circ} F. (x = \mathbf{a} ? \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{b}))$ ,
      (
         $\lambda gf \in_{\circ} \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F$ .
          if  $gf = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}]_{\circ} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$ 
```

```

|  $\exists f. gf = [\mathbf{b}, f]_o \Rightarrow gf(\mathbb{I}_N)$ 
|  $\exists f. gf = [f, \mathbf{a}]_o \Rightarrow gf(\emptyset)$ 
| otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ 
),
vid-on (set { $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ })
].

```

Components.

lemma *the-cat-parallel-components*:

```

shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Obj}) = \text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ 
and  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr}) = \text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} \cup_o F$ 
and  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Dom}) = (\lambda x \in_o \text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} \cup_o F. (x = \mathbf{b} ? \mathbf{b} : \mathbf{a}))$ 
and  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Cod}) = (\lambda x \in_o \text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} \cup_o F. (x = \mathbf{a} ? \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{b}))$ 
and  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Comp}) =$ 
(
 $\lambda gf \in_o \text{cat-parallel-composable } \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F.$ 
if  $gf = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}]_o \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$ 
|  $\exists f. gf = [\mathbf{b}, f]_o \Rightarrow gf(\mathbb{I}_N)$ 
|  $\exists f. gf = [f, \mathbf{a}]_o \Rightarrow gf(\emptyset)$ 
| otherwise  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{a}$ 
)
and  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{CId}) = \text{vid-on } (\text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

13.4.2 Objects

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Obj-aI*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $a = \mathbf{a}$ 
shows  $a \in_o \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Obj})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Obj-bI*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $a = \mathbf{b}$ 
shows  $a \in_o \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Obj})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *the-cat-parallel-ObjE*:

```

assumes  $a \in_o \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Obj})$ 
obtains  $a = \mathbf{a} \mid a = \mathbf{b}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

13.4.3 Arrows

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Arr-aI*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $f = \mathbf{a}$ 
shows  $f \in_o \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Arr-bI*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $f = \mathbf{b}$ 
shows  $f \in_o \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Arr-FI*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:

```

assumes  $f \in_o F$ 
shows  $f \in_o \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-ArrE:
  assumes  $f \in_{\circ} \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr})$ 
  obtains  $f = \mathbf{a} \mid f = \mathbf{b} \mid f \in_{\circ} F$ 
  {proof}

```

13.4.4 Domain

```

mk-VLambda the-cat-parallel-components(3)
|vsv the-cat-parallel-Dom-vsv[cat-parallel-cs-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-parallel-Dom-vdomain[cat-parallel-cs-simps]|

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Dom-app-b[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $f = \mathbf{b}$ 
  shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Dom})(f) = \mathbf{b}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel.the-cat-parallel-Dom-app-b
```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Dom-app-F[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $f \in_{\circ} F$ 
  shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Dom})(f) = \mathbf{a}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel.the-cat-parallel-Dom-app-F
```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Dom-app-a[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $f = \mathbf{a}$ 
  shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Dom})(f) = \mathbf{a}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel.the-cat-parallel-Dom-app-a
```

13.4.5 Codomain

```

mk-VLambda the-cat-parallel-components(4)
|vsv the-cat-parallel-Cod-vsv[cat-parallel-cs-intros]|
|vdomain the-cat-parallel-Cod-vdomain[cat-parallel-cs-simps]|

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Cod-app-b[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $f = \mathbf{b}$ 
  shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{b}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel.the-cat-parallel-Cod-app-b
```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Cod-app-F[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $f \in_{\circ} F$ 
  shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{b}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel.the-cat-parallel-Cod-app-F
```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Cod-app-a[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $f = \mathbf{a}$ 
  shows  $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Cod})(f) = \mathbf{a}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel.the-cat-parallel-Cod-app-a
```

13.4.6 Composition

mk-VLambda *the-cat-parallel-components(5)*
vsv *the-cat-parallel-Comp-vsv[cat-parallel-CS-intros]*	
vdomain *the-cat-parallel-Comp-vdomain[cat-parallel-CS-simps]*	
app *the-cat-parallel-Comp-app[cat-parallel-CS-simps]*	

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Comp-app- $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}$ [cat-parallel-CS-simps]*:
assumes $g = \mathbf{b}$ **and** $f = \mathbf{b}$
shows $g \circ_A \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F f = g g \circ_A \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Comp-app- $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}$ [cat-parallel-CS-simps]*:
assumes $g = \mathbf{a}$ **and** $f = \mathbf{a}$
shows $g \circ_A \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F f = g g \circ_A \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F f = f$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-parallel-Comp-app- $\mathbf{b}F$ [cat-parallel-CS-simps]*:
assumes $g = \mathbf{b}$ **and** $f \in_0 F$
shows $g \circ_A \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F f = f$
{proof}

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-Comp-app- $F\mathbf{a}$ [cat-parallel-CS-simps]:
assumes $g \in_0 F$ **and** $f = \mathbf{a}$
shows $g \circ_A \uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F f = g$
{proof}

13.4.7 Identity

mk-VLambda *the-cat-parallel-components(6)[unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]]*
vsv *the-cat-parallel-CId-vsv[cat-parallel-CS-intros]*	
vdomain *the-cat-parallel-CId-vdomain[cat-parallel-CS-simps]*	
app *the-cat-parallel-CId-app*	

lemma *the-cat-parallel-CId-app- \mathbf{a} [cat-parallel-CS-simps]*:
assumes $a = \mathbf{a}$
shows $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F (\text{CId})(a) = \mathbf{a}$
{proof}

lemma *the-cat-parallel-CId-app- \mathbf{b} [cat-parallel-CS-simps]*:
assumes $a = \mathbf{b}$
shows $\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F (\text{CId})(a) = \mathbf{b}$
{proof}

13.4.8 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-is-arr- \mathbf{aaa} [cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
assumes $a' = \mathbf{a}$ **and** $b' = \mathbf{a}$ **and** $f = \mathbf{a}$
shows $f : a' \mapsto_{\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F} b'$
{proof}

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-is-arr- \mathbf{bbb} [cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
assumes $a' = \mathbf{b}$ **and** $b' = \mathbf{b}$ **and** $f = \mathbf{b}$
shows $f : a' \mapsto_{\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F} b'$
{proof}

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-is-arr- $\mathbf{ab}F$ [cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
assumes $a' = \mathbf{a}$ **and** $b' = \mathbf{b}$ **and** $f \in_0 F$

shows $f : a' \mapsto_{\uparrow_C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F b'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cat-parallel) the-cat-parallel-is-arrE:

assumes $f' : a' \mapsto_{\uparrow_C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F b'$

obtains $a' = \mathfrak{a}$ and $b' = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f' = \mathfrak{a}$

| $a' = \mathfrak{b}$ and $b' = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f' = \mathfrak{b}$

| $a' = \mathfrak{a}$ and $b' = \mathfrak{b}$ and $f' \in_{\circ} F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

13.4.9 \uparrow is a category

lemma (in cat-parallel) tiny-category-the-cat-parallel[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:

tiny-category $\alpha (\uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-intros] = cat-parallel.tiny-category-the-cat-parallel

13.4.10 Opposite parallel category

lemma (in cat-parallel) op-cat-the-cat-parallel[cat-op-simps]:

op-cat ($\uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$) = $\uparrow_C \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{a} F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-simps] = cat-parallel.op-cat-the-cat-parallel

13.5 Parallel functor

13.5.1 Background

See Chapter III-3 and Chapter III-4 in [7]).

13.5.2 Local assumptions for the parallel functor

locale cf-parallel = cat-parallel $\alpha \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F + category \alpha \mathfrak{C} + F' : vsv F'$

for $\alpha \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F' \mathfrak{C} :: V +$

assumes cf-parallel- F' -vdomain[cat-parallel-cs-simps]: $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} F' = F$

and cf-parallel- F' [cat-parallel-cs-intros]: $\mathfrak{f} \in_{\circ} F \implies F'(\mathfrak{f}) : \mathfrak{a}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{b}'$

and cf-parallel- \mathfrak{a} [cat-parallel-cs-intros]: $\mathfrak{a}' \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

and cf-parallel- \mathfrak{b} [cat-parallel-cs-intros]: $\mathfrak{b}' \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

lemmas (in cf-parallel) [cat-parallel-cs-intros] = $F'.vsv\text{-axioms}$

lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel- F'' [cat-parallel-cs-intros]:

assumes $\mathfrak{f} \in_{\circ} F$ and $a = \mathfrak{a}'$ and $b = \mathfrak{b}'$

shows $F'(\mathfrak{f}) : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel- F''' [cat-parallel-cs-intros]:

assumes $\mathfrak{f} \in_{\circ} F$ and $f = F'(\mathfrak{f})$ and $b = \mathfrak{b}'$

shows $f : \mathfrak{a}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel- F'''' [cat-parallel-cs-intros]:

assumes $\mathfrak{f} \in_{\circ} F$ and $f = F'(\mathfrak{f})$ and $a = \mathfrak{a}'$

shows $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{b}'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Rules.

```
lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel-axioms'[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$ 
  and  $a'' = \mathbf{a}$ 
  and  $b'' = \mathbf{b}$ 
  and  $F'' = F$ 
  and  $a''' = \mathbf{a}'$ 
  and  $b''' = \mathbf{b}'$ 
  and  $F''' = F'$ 
  shows cf-parallel  $\alpha' a'' b'' F'' a''' b''' F''' \mathfrak{C}$ 
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf cf-parallel-def[unfolded cf-parallel-axioms-def]
| intro cf-parallelI
| dest cf-parallelD[dest]
| elim cf-parallelE[elim]
```

lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-intros] = cf-parallelD(1,2)

Duality.

```
lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel-op[cat-op-intros]:
  cf-parallel  $\alpha \mathbf{b} \mathbf{a} F \mathbf{b}' \mathbf{a}' F'$  (op-cat  $\mathfrak{C}$ )
  {proof}
```

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = cf-parallel.cf-parallel-op

13.5.3 Definition and elementary properties

```
definition the-cf-parallel ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  ( $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF}$ )
  where  $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F \mathbf{a}' \mathbf{b}' F' =$ 
    [
       $(\lambda a \in \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Obj}). (a = \mathbf{a} ? \mathbf{a}' : \mathbf{b}'))$ ,
      (
         $\lambda f \in \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr})$ .
        (
           $if f = \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathbf{a}')$ 
          |  $f = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathbf{b}')$ 
          | otherwise  $\Rightarrow F'(f)$ 
        )
      ),
       $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F$ ,
       $\mathfrak{C}$ 
    ] $_o$ 
```

Components.

```
lemma the-cf-parallel-components:
  shows  $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F \mathbf{a}' \mathbf{b}' F'(\text{ObjMap}) =$ 
     $(\lambda a \in \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Obj}). (a = \mathbf{a} ? \mathbf{a}' : \mathbf{b}'))$ 
  and  $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F \mathbf{a}' \mathbf{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap}) =$ 
    (
       $\lambda f \in \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} F(\text{Arr})$ .
      (
         $if f = \mathbf{a} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathbf{a}')$ 
        |  $f = \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathbf{b}')$ 
        | otherwise  $\Rightarrow F'(f)$ 
      )
    )
```

)
and [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]: $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{HomDom}) = \uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$
and [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]: $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{C}$
{proof}

13.5.4 Object map

mk-VLambda *the-cf-parallel-components(1)*
|*vsv the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]|
|*vdomain the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]|
|*app the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-app*|

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-app-a [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]:
assumes $x = \mathfrak{a}$
shows $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ObjMap})(x) = \mathfrak{a}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*] = *cf-parallel.the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-app-a*

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-app-b [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]:
assumes $x = \mathfrak{b}$
shows $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ObjMap})(x) = \mathfrak{b}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*] = *cf-parallel.the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-app-b*

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-vrange:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ObjMap})) = \text{set } \{\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}'\}$
{proof}

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ObjMap-vrange-vsubset-Obj:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

13.5.5 Arrow map

mk-VLambda *the-cf-parallel-components(2)*
|*vsv the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]|
|*vdomain the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]|
|*app the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app*|

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app-F [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]:
assumes $f \in_o F$
shows $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = F'(f)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*] = *cf-parallel.the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app-F*

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app-a [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{a}$
shows $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{a}')$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*] = *cf-parallel.the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app-a*

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app-b [*cat-parallel-CS-simps*]:
assumes $f = \mathfrak{b}$
shows $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{b}')$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel.the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-app-b*

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-vrange:

$\mathcal{R}_o(\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap})) = (F' \circ F) \cup_o \text{set}\{\mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathfrak{a}'), \mathfrak{C}(CId)(\mathfrak{b}')\}$

(is $\langle \mathcal{R}_o(\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap})) = ?FF \cup_o ?CID \rangle$)

{proof}

lemma (in cf-parallel) the-cf-parallel-ArrMap-vrange-vsubset-Arr:

$\mathcal{R}_o(\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F'(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$

{proof}

13.5.6 Parallel functor is a functor

lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel-the-cf-parallel-is-tm-functor:

$\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F' : \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mapsto \text{tm}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

{proof}

lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel-the-cf-parallel-is-tm-functor':

assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F$ and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \text{tm}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}'$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-intros*] =
cf-parallel.cf-parallel-the-cf-parallel-is-tm-functor'

13.5.7 Opposite parallel functor

lemma (in cf-parallel) cf-parallel-the-cf-parallel-op[*cat-op-simps*]:

$\text{op-cf}(\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} F \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' F') = \uparrow\uparrow_{CF} (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{a} F \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{a}' F'$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *cf-parallel.cf-parallel-the-cf-parallel-op*

13.6 Background for the definition of a category with two parallel arrows between two objects

The case of two parallel arrows between two objects is treated explicitly because it is prevalent in applications.

definition $\mathfrak{g}_{PL} :: V$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{PL} = 0$

definition $\mathfrak{f}_{PL} :: V$ where $\mathfrak{f}_{PL} = 1_N$

definition $\mathfrak{a}_{PL2} :: V$ where $\mathfrak{a}_{PL2} = \mathfrak{a}_{PL}$ (set $\{\mathfrak{g}_{PL}, \mathfrak{f}_{PL}\}$)

definition $\mathfrak{b}_{PL2} :: V$ where $\mathfrak{b}_{PL2} = \mathfrak{b}_{PL}$ (set $\{\mathfrak{g}_{PL}, \mathfrak{f}_{PL}\}$)

lemma *cat-PL2-ineq*:

shows *cat-PL2-ab*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{a}_{PL2} \neq \mathfrak{b}_{PL2}$

and *cat-PL2-ag*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{a}_{PL2} \neq \mathfrak{g}_{PL}$

and *cat-PL2-af*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{a}_{PL2} \neq \mathfrak{f}_{PL}$

and *cat-PL2-bg*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{b}_{PL2} \neq \mathfrak{g}_{PL}$

and *cat-PL2-bf*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{b}_{PL2} \neq \mathfrak{f}_{PL}$

and *cat-PL2-gf*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{g}_{PL} \neq \mathfrak{f}_{PL}$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

shows *cat-PL2-a*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: $\mathfrak{a}_{PL2} \in_o V \text{set } \alpha$

```

and cat-PL2-b[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: bPL2 ∈o Vset α
and cat-PL2-g[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: gPL ∈o Vset α
and cat-PL2-f[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: fPL ∈o Vset α
⟨proof⟩

```

13.7 Local assumptions for a category with two parallel arrows between two objects

```

locale cat-parallel-2 =  $\mathcal{Z}$  α for α +
  fixes a b g f
  assumes cat-parallel-2-ab[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: a ≠ b
  and cat-parallel-2-ag[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: a ≠ g
  and cat-parallel-2-af[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: a ≠ f
  and cat-parallel-2-bg[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: b ≠ g
  and cat-parallel-2-bf[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: b ≠ f
  and cat-parallel-2-gf[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: g ≠ f
  and cat-parallel-2-a-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: a ∈o Vset α
  and cat-parallel-2-b-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: b ∈o Vset α
  and cat-parallel-2-g-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: g ∈o Vset α
  and cat-parallel-2-f-in-Vset[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: f ∈o Vset α

lemmas (in cat-parallel-2) cat-parallel-ineq =
  cat-parallel-2-ab
  cat-parallel-2-ag
  cat-parallel-2-af
  cat-parallel-2-bg
  cat-parallel-2-bf
  cat-parallel-2-gf

```

Rules.

```
lemmas (in cat-parallel-2) [cat-parallel-cs-intros] = cat-parallel-2-axioms
```

```

mk-ide rf cat-parallel-2-def[unfolded cat-parallel-2-axioms-def]
|intro cat-parallel-2I|
|dest cat-parallel-2D[dest]|
|elim cat-parallel-2E[elim]|

```

```

sublocale cat-parallel-2 ⊑ cat-parallel α a b ⟨set {g, f}⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Duality.

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) cat-parallel-op[cat-op-intros]:
  cat-parallel-2 α b a f g
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.8 ↑↑: category with two parallel arrows between two objects

13.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter I-2 and Chapter III-3 in [7].

```

definition the-cat-parallel-2 :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V (⟨↑↑C⟩)
  where ↑↑C a b g f = ↑C a b (set {g, f})

```

Components.

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-components:
  shows ↑↑C a b g f(Obj) = set {a, b}
  and ↑↑C a b g f(Arr) = set {a, b, g, f}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Elementary properties.

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-commute*: $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f} = \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{f} \mathbf{g}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-parallel-is-cat-parallel-2*:
 assumes *cat-parallel* $\alpha \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$ (*set* $\{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}\}$) **and** $\mathbf{g} \neq \mathbf{f}$
 shows *cat-parallel-2* $\alpha \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.8.2 Objects

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Obj-aI* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]:
 assumes $a = \mathbf{a}$
 shows $a \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Obj-bI* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]:
 assumes $a = \mathbf{b}$
 shows $a \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-ObjE*:
 assumes $a \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Obj})$
 obtains $a = \mathbf{a} \mid a = \mathbf{b}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.8.3 Arrows

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Arr-aI* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]:
 assumes $f = \mathbf{a}$
 shows $f \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Arr-bI* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]:
 assumes $f = \mathbf{b}$
 shows $f \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Arr-gI* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]:
 assumes $f = \mathbf{g}$
 shows $f \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Arr-fI* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]:
 assumes $f = \mathbf{f}$
 shows $f \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-ArrE*:
 assumes $f \in_0 \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 obtains $f = \mathbf{a} \mid f = \mathbf{b} \mid f = \mathbf{g} \mid f = \mathbf{f}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.8.4 Domain

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-vsv* [*cat-parallel-CS-intros*]: *vsv* ($\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Dom})$)

{proof}

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-vdomain*[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

$\mathcal{D}_o(\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Dom})) = \text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}\}$

{proof}

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**b***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $f = \mathbf{b}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Dom})(\mathit{f}) = \mathbf{b}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**b***

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**g***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $f = \mathbf{g}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Dom})(\mathit{f}) = \mathbf{a}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**g***

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**f***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $f = \mathbf{f}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Dom})(\mathit{f}) = \mathbf{a}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**f***

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**a***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $f = \mathbf{a}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Dom})(\mathit{f}) = \mathbf{a}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Dom-app-**a***

13.8.5 Codomain

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-vsv*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Cod})$)

{proof}

lemma *the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-vdomain*[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

$\mathcal{D}_o(\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Cod})) = \text{set } \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}\}$

{proof}

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-**b***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $f = \mathbf{b}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Cod})(\mathit{f}) = \mathbf{b}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-**b***

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-**g***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $f = \mathbf{g}$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}(\mathit{Cod})(\mathit{f}) = \mathbf{b}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-**g***

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) *the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-**f***[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

```

assumes  $f = f$ 
shows  $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f(Cod)(f) = b$ 
{proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-f
```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-a[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $f = a$ 
shows  $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f(Cod)(f) = a$ 
{proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-simps] = cat-parallel-2.the-cat-parallel-2-Cod-app-a
```

13.8.6 Composition

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-vsv[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
vsv ( $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f(Comp)$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-app-bb[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = b$  and  $f = b$ 
shows  $g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = g g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-app-aa[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = a$  and  $f = a$ 
shows  $g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = g g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-app-bg[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = b$  and  $f = g$ 
shows  $g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-app-bf[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = b$  and  $f = f$ 
shows  $g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-app-ga[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = g$  and  $f = a$ 
shows  $g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = g$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-Comp-app-fa[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
assumes  $g = f$  and  $f = a$ 
shows  $g \circ_A \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f = g$ 
{proof}

```

13.8.7 Identity

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-CId-vsv[cat-parallel-cs-intros]: vsv ( $\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f(CId)$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-CId-vdomain[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
 $\mathcal{D}_o(\uparrow\uparrow_C \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{g} f(CId)) = \text{set } \{a, b\}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-CId-app-a[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes a = a
  shows ↑↑C a b g f(CId)(a) = a
  {proof}

```

```

lemma the-cat-parallel-2-CId-app-b[cat-parallel-cs-simps]:
  assumes a = b
  shows ↑↑C a b g f(CId)(a) = b
  {proof}

```

13.8.8 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-is-arr-aaa[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
  assumes a' = a and b' = a and f = a
  shows f : a' ↪↑↑C a b g f b'
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-is-arr-bbb[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
  assumes a' = b and b' = b and f = b
  shows f : a' ↪↑↑C a b g f b'
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-is-arr-abg[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
  assumes a' = a and b' = b and f = g
  shows f : a' ↪↑↑C a b g f b'
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-is-arr-abf[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
  assumes a' = a and b' = b and f = f
  shows f : a' ↪↑↑C a b g f b'
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) the-cat-parallel-2-is-arrE:
  assumes f' : a' ↪↑↑C a b g f b'
  obtains a' = a and b' = a and f' = a
    | a' = b and b' = b and f' = b
    | a' = a and b' = b and f' = g
    | a' = a and b' = b and f' = f
  {proof}

```

13.8.9 ↑↑ is a category

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2)
  finite-category-the-cat-parallel-2[cat-parallel-cs-intros]:
    finite-category α (↑↑C a b g f)
  {proof}

```

```

lemmas [cat-parallel-cs-intros] =
  cat-parallel-2.finite-category-the-cat-parallel-2

```

13.8.10 Opposite parallel category

```

lemma (in cat-parallel-2) op-cat-the-cat-parallel-2[cat-op-simps]:
  op-cat (↑↑C a b g f) = ↑↑C b a f g
  {proof}

```

```

lemmas [cat-op-simps] = cat-parallel-2.op-cat-the-cat-parallel-2

```

13.9 Parallel functor for a category with two parallel arrows between two objects

```

locale cf-parallel-2 = cat-parallel-2 α a b g f + category α C
  for α a b g f a' b' g' f' C :: V +
  assumes cf-parallel-g'[cat-parallel-CS-intros]: g': a' ↪C b'
    and cf-parallel-f'[cat-parallel-CS-intros]: f': a' ↪C b'

sublocale cf-parallel-2 ⊆
  cf-parallel α a b <set {g, f}> a' b' <λf ∈ set {g, f}. (f = f ? f': g')> C
  <proof>

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-2-g''[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes a = a' and b = b'
  shows g': a ↪C b
  <proof>

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-2-g'''[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes g = g' and b = b'
  shows g : a' ↪C b
  <proof>

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-2-g''''[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes g = g' and a = a'
  shows g : a ↪C b'
  <proof>

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-2-f''[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes a = a' and b = b'
  shows f': a ↪C b
  <proof>

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-2-f'''[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes f = f' and b = b'
  shows f : a' ↪C b
  <proof>

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-2-f''''[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes f = f' and a = a'
  shows f : a ↪C b'
  <proof>

Rules.

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) cf-parallel-axioms'[cat-parallel-CS-intros]:
  assumes α' = α
  and a = a
  and b = b
  and g = g
  and f = f
  and a' = a'
  and b' = b'
  and g' = g'
  and f' = f'
  shows cf-parallel-2 α' a b g f a' b' g' f' C
  <proof>

```

mk-ide rf cf-parallel-2-def[unfolded cf-parallel-2-axioms-def]
|intro cf-parallel-2I|

$|dest\ cf\text{-}parallel\text{-}2D[dest]|$
 $|elim\ cf\text{-}parallel\text{-}2E[elim]|$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-intros*] = *cf-parallelD*(1,2)

Duality.

lemma (in *cf-parallel-2*) *cf-parallel-2-op*[*cat-op-intros*]:
 $\text{cf-parallel-2 } \alpha\ b\ a\ f\ g\ b'\ a'\ f'\ g' \text{ (op-cat } \mathfrak{C})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *cf-parallel.cf-parallel-op*

13.9.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *the-cf-parallel-2* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
 $(\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF})$
where $\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' =$
 $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b (\text{set } \{g, f\}) a' b' (\lambda f \in \text{set } \{g, f\}. (f = f ? f' : g'))$

Components.

lemma *the-cf-parallel-2-components*:

shows [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
 $\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' (\text{HomDom}) = \uparrow\uparrow_C a b g f$
and [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
 $\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' (\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elementary properties.

lemma (in *cf-parallel-2*) *cf-parallel-2-the-cf-parallel-2-commute*:

$\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' = \uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b f g a' b' f' g'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-parallel-is-cf-parallel-2*:

assumes
 $\text{cf-parallel } \alpha\ a\ b\ (\text{set } \{g, f\})\ a'\ b'\ (\lambda f \in \text{set } \{g, f\}. (f = f ? f' : g'))\ \mathfrak{C}$
and $g \neq f$
shows *cf-parallel-2* $\alpha\ a\ b\ g\ f\ a'\ b'\ g'\ f'\ \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.9.2 Object map

lemma *the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-parallel-cs-intros*]:

$vsv (\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' (\text{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

$\mathcal{D}_o (\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' (\text{ObjMap})) = \uparrow\uparrow_C a b g f (\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *cf-parallel-2*) *the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-app-a*[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:

assumes $x = a$
shows $\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} a b g f a' b' g' f' (\text{ObjMap})(x) = a'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-app-a*

lemma (in *cf-parallel-2*) *the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-app-b*[*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
assumes $x = b$

shows $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ObjMap})(x) = \mathfrak{b}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-app-b*

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-vrange*:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ObjMap})) = \text{set } \{\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}'\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ObjMap-vrange-vsubset-Obj*:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.9.3 Arrow map

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-g* [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = g$
shows $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = g'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-g*

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-f* [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = f$
shows $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = f'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-f*

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-a* [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = a$
shows $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-a*

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-b* [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*]:
assumes $f = b$
shows $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-app-b*

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-vrange*:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ArrMap})) = \text{set } \{\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(a'), \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(b'), f', g'\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *the-cf-parallel-2-ArrMap-vrange-vsubset-Arr*:
 $\mathcal{R}_o (\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.9.4 Parallel functor for a category with two parallel arrows between two objects is a functor

lemma (in cf-parallel-2) *cf-parallel-2-the-cf-parallel-2-is-tm-functor*:
 $\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}' : \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mapsto \uparrow\uparrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *cf-parallel-2*) *cf-parallel-2-the-cf-parallel-2-is-tm-functor'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \uparrow\uparrow_C \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-parallel-cs-intros*] =
cf-parallel-2.cf-parallel-2-the-cf-parallel-2-is-tm-functor'

13.9.5 Opposite parallel functor for a category with two parallel arrows between two objects

lemma (in *cf-parallel-2*) *cf-parallel-2-the-cf-parallel-2-op[cat-op-simps]*:
op-cf ($\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{g}' \mathfrak{f}'$) =
 $\uparrow\uparrow\rightarrow\uparrow\uparrow_{CF} (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{b} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{g} \mathfrak{b}' \mathfrak{a}' \mathfrak{f}' \mathfrak{g}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *cf-parallel-2.cf-parallel-2-the-cf-parallel-2-op*

14 Comma categories

14.1 Background

named-theorems *cat-comma-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-comma-CS-intros*

14.2 Comma category

14.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Exercise 1.3.vi in [12] or Chapter II-6 in [7].

definition *cat-comma-Obj* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-comma-Obj* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \equiv$ set

$$\{ [a, b, f]_o \mid a \in_o f, \\ a \in_o \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom})(\text{Obj}) \wedge \\ b \in_o \mathfrak{H}(\text{HomDom})(\text{Obj}) \wedge \\ f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod})} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b) \}$$

lemma *small-cat-comma-Obj* [*simp*]:

small

$$\{ [a, b, f]_o \mid a \in_o f, \\ a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}) \wedge b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj}) \wedge f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b) \}$$

(is ⟨small ?abfs⟩)

{proof}

definition *cat-comma-Hom* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-comma-Hom* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B \equiv$ set

$$\{ [A, B, [g, h]_o]_o \mid g \in_h \\ A \in_o \text{cat-comma-Obj} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge \\ B \in_o \text{cat-comma-Obj} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge \\ g : A(\emptyset) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom})} B(\emptyset) \wedge \\ h : A(1_N) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{H}(\text{HomDom})} B(1_N) \wedge \\ B(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod}) \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \\ \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(h) \circ_A \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomCod}) A(2_N) \}$$

lemma *small-cat-comma-Hom* [*simp*]: *small*

{

$$[A, B, [g, h]_o]_o \mid g \in_h \\ A \in_o \text{cat-comma-Obj} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge \\ B \in_o \text{cat-comma-Obj} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge \\ g : A(\emptyset) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} B(\emptyset) \wedge \\ h : A(1_N) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} B(1_N) \wedge \\ B(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(h) \circ_A \mathfrak{C} A(2_N)$$

}

(is ⟨small ?abf-a'b'f'-gh⟩)

{proof}

definition *cat-comma-Arr* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-comma-Arr* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \equiv$

(

```

 $\cup_{\circ} A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. \cup_{\circ} B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}.$ 
 $cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Hom \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B$ 
)
}

definition cat-comma-composable ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
where cat-comma-composable  $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \equiv set$ 
{
   $[[B, C, G]_{\circ}, [A, B, F]_{\circ}]_{\circ} \mid A B C G F.$ 
   $[B, C, G]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge [A, B, F]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$ 
}

```

lemma *small-cat-comma-composable[simp]*:

shows *small*

```

{
   $[[B, C, G]_{\circ}, [A, B, F]_{\circ}]_{\circ} \mid A B C G F.$ 
   $[B, C, G]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge [A, B, F]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$ 
}
(is ⟨small ?S⟩)
{proof}

```

definition *cat-comma* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle \langle - \downarrow_{CF} - \rangle \rangle [1000, 1000] 999$)

where $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} =$

```

[
  cat-comma-Obj  $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$ ,
  cat-comma-Arr  $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$ ,
   $(\lambda F \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. F(\emptyset))$ ,
   $(\lambda F \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. F(1_N))$ ,
  (
     $\lambda GF \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}composable \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}.$ 
    [
       $GF(1_N)(\emptyset)$ ,
       $GF(\emptyset)(1_N)$ ,
      [
         $GF(\emptyset)(2_N)(\emptyset) \circ_A \mathfrak{G}(HomDom) GF(1_N)(2_N)(\emptyset)$ ,
         $GF(\emptyset)(2_N)(1_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{H}(HomDom) GF(1_N)(2_N)(1_N)$ 
      ]o
    ]o
  ),
  (
     $\lambda A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}.$ 
    [
       $[A, A, [\mathfrak{G}(HomDom)(CID)(A(\emptyset)), \mathfrak{H}(HomDom)(CID)(A(1_N))]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$ 
    ]
  )
]

```

Components.

lemma *cat-comma-components*:

shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj}) = cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr}) = cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Dom}) = (\lambda F \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. F(\emptyset))$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Cod}) = (\lambda F \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. F(1_N))$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Comp}) =$

```

(
   $\lambda GF \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}composable \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}.$ 
  [
     $GF(1_N)(\emptyset)$ ,
     $GF(\emptyset)(1_N)$ ,
    [
       $GF(\emptyset)(2_N)(\emptyset) \circ_A \mathfrak{G}(HomDom) GF(1_N)(2_N)(\emptyset)$ ,

```

$GF(\emptyset)(\mathcal{Z}_N)(1_N) \circ_{A\mathfrak{H}(HomDom)} GF(1_N)(\mathcal{Z}_N)(1_N)$
 $]$
 $]$
 $)$
and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(CId) =$
 $($
 $\lambda A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}.$
 $[A, A, [\mathfrak{G}(HomDom)(CId)(A(\emptyset)), \mathfrak{H}(HomDom)(CId)(A(1_N))]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$
 $)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
assumes $\mathfrak{G}: \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H}: \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

begin

interpretation \mathfrak{G} : *is-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} \langle proof \rangle$
interpretation \mathfrak{H} : *is-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{H} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Obj-def'*:

cat-comma-Obj $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \equiv set$
 $\{$
 $[a, b, f]_{\circ} \mid a \ b \ f.$
 $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(Obj) \wedge b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(Obj) \wedge f: \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(ObjMap)(b)$
 $\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Hom-def'*:

cat-comma-Hom $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B \equiv set$
 $\{$
 $[A, B, [g, h]_{\circ}]_{\circ} \mid g \ h.$
 $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge$
 $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} \wedge$
 $g: A(\emptyset) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} B(\emptyset) \wedge$
 $h: A(1_N) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} B(1_N) \wedge$
 $B(\mathcal{Z}_N) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(g) = \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} A(\mathcal{Z}_N)$
 $\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-components'*:

shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj) = cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Obj \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Arr) = cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Dom) = (\lambda F \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. F(\emptyset))$
and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Cod) = (\lambda F \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}Arr \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}. F(1_N))$
and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Comp) =$
 $($
 $\lambda GF \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}comma\text{-}composable \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}.$
 $[$
 $GF(1_N)(\emptyset),$
 $GF(\emptyset)(1_N),$
 $[$
 $GF(\emptyset)(\mathcal{Z}_N)(\emptyset) \circ_{A\mathfrak{A}} GF(1_N)(\mathcal{Z}_N)(\emptyset),$
 $GF(\emptyset)(\mathcal{Z}_N)(1_N) \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} GF(1_N)(\mathcal{Z}_N)(1_N)$
 $]_{\circ}$
 $)_{\circ}$
and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(CId) =$

$(\lambda A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Obj } \mathfrak{G} \ \mathfrak{H}. [A, A, [\mathfrak{A}(CId)(A(0)), \mathfrak{B}(CId)(A(1_N))]_{\circ}])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

14.2.2 Objects

lemma *cat-comma-ObjI*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $A = [a, b, f]_{\circ}$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
shows $A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-ObjD*[*dest*]:

assumes $[a, b, f]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-ObjE*[*elim*]:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
obtains $a \ b \ f$ **where** $A = [a, b, f]_{\circ}$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.2.3 Arrows

lemma *cat-comma-HomI*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $F = [A, B, [g, h]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$
and $A = [a, b, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [a', b', f']_{\circ}$
and $g : a \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $h : b \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{B}} b'$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $f' : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b')$
and $f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
shows $F \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Hom } \mathfrak{G} \ \mathfrak{H} \ A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-HomE*[*elim*]:

assumes $F \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Hom } \mathfrak{G} \ \mathfrak{H} \ A \ B$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
obtains $a \ b \ f \ a' \ b' \ f' \ g \ h$
where $F = [A, B, [g, h]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$

and $A = [a, b, f]$
and $B = [a', b', f']$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $h : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $f' : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b')$
and $f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-comma-HomD}[\text{dest}]$:

assumes $[[a, b, f], [a', b', f'], [g, h]] \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Hom } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $h : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $f' : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b')$
and $f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-comma-ArrI}[\text{cat-comma-CS-intros}]$:

assumes $F \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Hom } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B$
and $A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
shows $F \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-comma-ArrE}[\text{elim}]$:

assumes $F \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr})$
obtains $A B$
where $F \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Hom } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B$
and $A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-comma-ArrD}[\text{dest}]$:

assumes $[A, B, F] \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr})$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $[A, B, F] \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-Hom } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B$
and $A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.2.4 Domain

lemma $\text{cat-comma-Dom-vsv}[\text{cat-comma-CS-intros}]$: $vsv(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Dom}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-comma-Dom-vdomain}[\text{cat-comma-CS-simps}]$:

$\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Dom})) = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-comma-Dom-app}[\text{cat-comma-CS-simps}]$:

assumes $ABF = [A, B, F] \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr})$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Dom})(ABF) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Dom-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Dom)) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj)$
(proof)

14.2.5 Codomain

lemma *cat-comma-Cod-vsv*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Cod)$)
(proof)

lemma *cat-comma-Cod-vdomain*[*cat-comma-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Cod)) = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Arr)$
(proof)

lemma *cat-comma-Cod-app*[*cat-comma-cs-simps*]:
assumes $ABF = [A, B, F]_o$ **and** $ABF \in_o \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Arr)$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Cod)(ABF) = B$
(proof)

lemma *cat-comma-Cod-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Cod)) \subseteq_o \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj)$
(proof)

14.2.6 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma *cat-comma-is-arrI*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $ABF = [A, B, F]_o$
and $A = [a, b, f]_o$
and $B = [a', b', f']_o$
and $F = [g, h]_o$
and $g : a \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $h : b \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(ObjMap)(b)$
and $f' : \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a') \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(ObjMap)(b')$
and $f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(g) = \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
shows $ABF : A \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}} B$
(proof)

lemma *cat-comma-is-arrD*[*dest*]:
assumes $[[a, b, f]_o, [a', b', f']_o, [g, h]_o]_o :$
 $[a, b, f]_o \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}} [a', b', f']_o$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $g : a \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $h : b \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(ObjMap)(b)$
and $f' : \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a') \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(ObjMap)(b')$
and $f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(g) = \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
(proof)

lemma *cat-comma-is-arrE*[*elim*]:
assumes $ABF : A \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}} B$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
obtains $a \ b \ f \ a' \ b' \ f' \ g \ h$

where $ABF = [[a, b, f]_\circ, [a', b', f']_\circ, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ$
and $A = [a, b, f]_\circ$
and $B = [a', b', f']_\circ$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $h : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$
and $f : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
and $f' : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap})(b')$
and $f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(h) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.2.7 Composition

lemma *cat-comma-composableI*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $ABCGF = [BCG, ABF]_\circ$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} B$
shows $ABCGF \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-composable } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-composableE[elim]*:

assumes $ABCGF \in_{\circ} \text{cat-comma-composable } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
obtains $BCG \ ABF \ A \ B \ C$
where $ABCGF = [BCG, ABF]_\circ$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Comp-vsv[cat-comma-CS-intros]*: $vsv (\mathfrak{G} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Comp}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Comp-vdomain[cat-comma-CS-simps]*:

$\mathcal{D}_\circ (\mathfrak{G} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Comp})) = \text{cat-comma-composable } \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Comp-app[cat-comma-CS-simps]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $G = [B, C, [g', h']_\circ]_\circ$
and $F = [A, B, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ$
and $G : B \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} C$
and $F : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} B$
shows $G \circ_{A\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} F = [A, C, [g' \circ_{A\mathfrak{A}} g, h' \circ_{A\mathfrak{B}} h]_\circ]_\circ$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-comma-Comp-is-arr[cat-comma-CS-intros]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} B$
shows $BCG \circ_{A\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}} {}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.2.8 Identity

lemma *cat-comma-CId-vsv*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]: *vsv* ($\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(CId)$)
{proof}

lemma *cat-comma-CId-vdomain*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(CId)) = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj)$
{proof}

lemma *cat-comma-CId-app*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $A = [a, b, f]_o$
and $A \in_o \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj)$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(CId)(A) = [A, A, [\mathfrak{A}(CId)(a), \mathfrak{B}(CId)(b)]_o]$
{proof}

14.2.9 Hom-set

lemma *cat-comma-Hom*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $A \in_o \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj)$
and $B \in_o \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Obj)$
shows $Hom(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}) A B = cat-comma-Hom \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} A B$
{proof}

14.2.10 Comma category is a category

lemma *category-cat-comma*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *category* α ($\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$)
{proof}

14.2.11 Tiny comma category

lemma *tiny-category-cat-comma*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *tiny-category* α ($\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$)
{proof}

14.3 Opposite comma category functor

14.3.1 Background

See [2]⁷ for background information.

14.3.2 Object flip

definition *op-cf-commma-obj-flip* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *op-cf-commma-obj-flip* $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} =$
 $(\lambda A \in_o (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H})(Obj). [A(1_N), A(0), A(2_N)]_o)$

Elementary properties.

mk-VLambda *op-cf-commma-obj-flip-def*
|vsv op-cf-commma-obj-flip-vsv[cat-comma-CS-intros]|

⁷https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Opposite_category

$|vdomain\ op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]|$
 $|app\ op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip\text{-}app'|$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip\text{-}app[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $A = [a, b, f]_\circ$ **and** $A \in_\circ (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H})(Obj)$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(A) = [b, a, f]_\circ$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip\text{-}v11[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $v11(op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip\text{-}vrange[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}) = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.3.3 Definition and elementary properties

definition $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} =$

```
[  
  op-cf-commma-obj-flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H},  
  (  
    \lambda ABF \in_\circ (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H})(Arr).  
    [  
      op-cf-commma-obj-flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ABF(1_N)),  
      op-cf-commma-obj-flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ABF(0)),  
      [ABF(2_N)(1_N), ABF(2_N)(0_N)]_\circ.  
    ]._\circ,  
    ),  
    op-cat (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}),  
    (op-cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op-cf \mathfrak{G})  
  ]._\circ
```

Components.

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}components$:
shows $[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ObjMap) = op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
and $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap) =$
 $($
 $\lambda ABF \in_\circ (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H})(Arr).$
 $[$
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ABF(1_N)),$
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ABF(0)),$
 $[ABF(2_N)(1_N), ABF(2_N)(0_N)]_\circ.$
 $]._\circ$
 $)$
and $[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(HomDom) = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H})$
and $[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(HomCod) = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.3.4 Arrow map

mk-VLambda $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}components(\mathcal{Q})$

$|vsv\ op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]|$
 $|vdomain\ op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]|$
 $|app\ op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app'|$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:

assumes $ABF = [[a, b, f]_\circ, [a', b', f']_\circ, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ$
and $ABF \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(Arr)$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)(ABF) =$
 $[$
 $\quad op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(a', b', f')_\bullet,$
 $\quad op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(a, b, f)_\bullet,$
 $\quad [h, g]_\circ$
 $]_\circ$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}v11[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $v11(op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}is\text{-}arr$:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}} B$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)(ABF) :$
 $\quad op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(B) \mapsto_{(op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})}$
 $\quad op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}is\text{-}arr'$:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}} B$
and $A' = op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(B)$
and $B' = op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma\text{-}obj\text{-}flip \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(A)$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)(ABF) : A' \mapsto_{(op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vrangle[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}(ArrMap)) = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})(Arr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.3.5 Opposite comma category functor is an isomorphism of categories

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor$:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} :$
 $\quad op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}) \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor'[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H})$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G})$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *op-cf-comma-is-functor*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathcal{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$

shows *op-cf-comma* $\mathfrak{G} \circ \mathfrak{H}$:

$\text{op-cat}(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}) \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G})$

(proof)

lemma *op-cf-comma-is-functor'* [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$

and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathcal{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$

and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat}(\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H})$

and $\mathfrak{B}' = (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{H})_{CF \downarrow CF} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{G})$

shows *op-cf-comma* $\mathfrak{G} \circ \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$

(proof)

14.4 Projections for a comma category

14.4.1 Definitions and elementary properties

See Chapter II-6 in [7].

definition *cf-comma-proj-left* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle (- \sqcap_C F -) \rangle [1000, 1000] 999)$

where $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \circ \mathfrak{H} =$

[
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj}). a(0)),$
 $(\lambda f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr}). f(2_N)(0)),$
 $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H},$
 $\mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom})$

]o

definition *cf-comma-proj-right* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle (- \sqcap_C F -) \rangle [1000, 1000] 999)$

where $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{H} =$

[
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj}). a(1_N)),$
 $(\lambda f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr}). f(2_N)(1_N)),$
 $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H},$
 $\mathfrak{H}(\text{HomDom})$

]o

Components.

lemma *cf-comma-proj-left-components*:

shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap}) = (\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj}). a(0))$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap}) = (\lambda f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr}). f(2_N)(0))$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}$

and $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{G}(\text{HomDom})$

(proof)

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-components*:

shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{ObjMap}) = (\lambda a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Obj}). a(1_N))$

and $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap}) = (\lambda f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr}). f(2_N)(1_N))$

and $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}$

and $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \circ \mathfrak{H}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{H}(\text{HomDom})$

(proof)

context

fixes $\alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{H}$

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$

and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathcal{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$

begin

```

interpretation  $\mathfrak{G}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G}$  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation  $\mathfrak{H}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{H}$  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas cf-commma-proj-left-components' =
cf-commma-proj-left-components[of  $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$ , unfolded  $\mathfrak{G}.cf\text{-}HomDom$ ]

lemmas cf-commma-proj-right-components' =
cf-commma-proj-right-components[of  $\mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$ , unfolded  $\mathfrak{H}.cf\text{-}HomDom$ ]

lemmas [cat-commma-CS-simps] =
cf-commma-proj-left-components'(3,4)
cf-commma-proj-right-components'(3,4)

end

```

14.4.2 Object map

```

mk-VLambda cf-commma-proj-left-components(1)
|vsv cf-commma-proj-left-ObjMap-vsv[cat-commma-CS-intros]|
|vdomain cf-commma-proj-left-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-commma-CS-simps]|

mk-VLambda cf-commma-proj-right-components(1)
|vsv cf-commma-proj-right-ObjMap-vsv[cat-commma-CS-intros]|
|vdomain cf-commma-proj-right-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-commma-CS-simps]|

lemma cf-commma-proj-left-ObjMap-app[cat-commma-CS-simps]:
assumes  $A = [a, b, f]_\circ$  and  $[a, b, f]_\circ \in_0 \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{O}bj)$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{O}bjMap)(A) = a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cf-commma-proj-right-ObjMap-app[cat-commma-CS-simps]:
assumes  $A = [a, b, f]_\circ$  and  $[a, b, f]_\circ \in_0 \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{O}bj)$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sqcap_{CF}} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{O}bjMap)(A) = b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cf-commma-proj-left-ObjMap-vrange:
assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
shows  $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{O}bjMap)) \subseteq_0 \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{O}bj)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cf-commma-proj-right-ObjMap-vrange:
assumes  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
shows  $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{G}_{\sqcap_{CF}} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{O}bjMap)) \subseteq_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

14.4.3 Arrow map

```

mk-VLambda cf-commma-proj-left-components(2)
|vsv cf-commma-proj-left-ArrMap-vsv[cat-commma-CS-intros]|
|vdomain cf-commma-proj-left-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-commma-CS-simps]|

mk-VLambda cf-commma-proj-right-components(2)
|vsv cf-commma-proj-right-ArrMap-vsv[cat-commma-CS-intros]|
|vdomain cf-commma-proj-right-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-commma-CS-simps]|

lemma cf-commma-proj-left-ArrMap-app[cat-commma-CS-simps]:
assumes  $ABF = [A, B, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ$  and  $[A, B, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ \in_0 \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{A}rr)$ 

```

shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(ABF) = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-ArrMap-app*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:

assumes $ABF = [A, B, [g, h]_\circ]$.
and $[A, B, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{Arr})$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})(ABF) = h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-comma-proj-left-ArrMap-vrange*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-ArrMap-vrange*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.4.4 Projections for a comma category are functors

lemma *cf-comma-proj-left-is-functor*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-comma-proj-left-is-functor'*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-is-functor*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-is-functor'*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.4.5 Opposite projections for a comma category

lemma *op-cf-comma-proj-left*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $op\text{-}cf (\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H}) = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H}) \sqcap_{CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G}) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *op-cf-comma-proj-right*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $op\text{-}cf (\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H}) = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{H}) \sqcap_{CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G}) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf\text{-}comma \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{H}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.4.6 Projections for a tiny comma category

lemma *cf-comma-proj-left-is-tm-functor*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-comma-proj-left-is-tm-functor'*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{H} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-is-tm-functor*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-comma-proj-right-is-tm-functor'*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{H} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-comp-cf-comma-proj-left-is-tm-functor*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF} \sqcap \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-comp-cf-comma-proj-right-is-tm-functor*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{H}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

14.5 Comma categories constructed from a functor and an object

14.5.1 Definitions and elementary properties

See Chapter II-6 in [7].

definition *cat-cf-obj-comma* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle (- \downarrow_{CF} -) \rangle [1000, 1000] 999)$
where $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow b} \equiv \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow CF} (cf\text{-const} (cat-1 0 0) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) b)$

definition *cat-obj-cf-comma* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle (- \downarrow_{CF} -) \rangle [1000, 1000] 999)$
where $b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \equiv (cf\text{-const} (cat-1 0 0) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) b)_{CF \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{F}$

Alternative forms of the definitions.

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cat-cf-obj-comma-def*:
 $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow b} = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow CF} (cf\text{-const} (cat-1 0 0) \mathfrak{B} b)$
(proof)

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cat-obj-cf-comma-def*:

$b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = (cf\text{-}const (cat\text{-}1 0 0) \mathfrak{B} b) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

Size.

lemma *small-cat-cf-obj-comma-Obj[simp]*:
small {[a, 0, f]○ | a f. a ∈○ A(Obj) ∧ f : x ↦_C G(ObjMap)(a)}
(is ⟨small ?afs⟩)
{proof}

lemma *small-cat-obj-cf-comma-Obj[simp]*:
small {[0, b, f]○ | b f. b ∈○ B(Obj) ∧ f : x ↦_C G(ObjMap)(b)}
(is ⟨small ?bfs⟩)
{proof}

14.5.2 Objects

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-cf-obj-comma-ObjI[cat-comma-cs-intros]*:
assumes $A = [a, 0, f]○$ **and** $a ∈○ A(Obj)$ **and** $f : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $A ∈○ \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(Obj)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-ObjI*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-obj-cf-comma-ObjI[cat-comma-cs-intros]*:
assumes $A = [0, a, f]○$ **and** $a ∈○ A(Obj)$ **and** $f : b \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)$
shows $A ∈○ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-ObjI*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-cf-obj-comma-ObjD[dest]*:
assumes $[a, b', f]○ ∈○ \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(Obj)$ **and** $b ∈○ B(Obj)$
shows $a ∈○ A(Obj)$ **and** $b' = 0$ **and** $f : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
{proof}

lemmas [*dest*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-ObjD[rotated 1]*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-obj-cf-comma-ObjD[dest]*:
assumes $[b', a, f]○ ∈○ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$ **and** $b ∈○ B(Obj)$
shows $a ∈○ A(Obj)$ **and** $b' = 0$ **and** $f : b \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)$
{proof}

lemmas [*dest*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-ObjD[rotated 1]*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-cf-obj-comma-ObjE[elim]*:
assumes $A ∈○ \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(Obj)$ **and** $b ∈○ B(Obj)$
obtains $a f$
where $A = [a, 0, f]○$
and $a ∈○ A(Obj)$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
{proof}

lemmas [*elim*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-ObjE[rotated 1]*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-obj-cf-comma-ObjE[elim]*:
assumes $A ∈○ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$ **and** $b ∈○ B(Obj)$
obtains $a f$
where $A = [0, a, f]○$

and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*elim*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-ObjE[rotated 1]*

14.5.3 Arrows

lemma (in is-functor) cat-cf-obj-comma-ArrI[cat-comma-cs-intros]:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $F = [A, B, [g, 0]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$
and $A = [a, 0, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [a', 0, f']_{\circ}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = f$
shows $F \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-ArrI*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-obj-cf-comma-ArrI[cat-comma-cs-intros]:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $F = [A, B, [0, g]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$
and $A = [0, a, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [0, a', f']_{\circ}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)$
and $f' : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a')$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} f = f'$
shows $F \in_{\circ} b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-ArrI*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-cf-obj-comma-ArrE[elim]:

assumes $F \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
obtains $A B a f a' f' g$
where $F = [A, B, [g, 0]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$
and $A = [a, 0, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [a', 0, f']_{\circ}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = f$
and $A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*elim*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-ArrE[rotated 1]*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-obj-cf-comma-ArrE[elim]:

assumes $F \in_{\circ} b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
obtains $A B a f a' f' g$
where $F = [A, B, [0, g]_{\circ}]_{\circ}$
and $A = [0, a, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [0, a', f']_{\circ}$

and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)$
and $f' : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a')$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_A \mathfrak{B} f = f'$
and $A \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*elim*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-commma-ArrE*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-cf-obj-commma-ArrD[dest]*:
assumes $[[a, b', f]_o, [a', b'', f']_o, [g, h]_o]_o \in_0 \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $b' = 0$
and $b'' = 0$
and $h = 0$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' \circ_A \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = f$
and $[a, b', f]_o \in_0 \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$
and $[a', b'', f']_o \in_0 \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*dest*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-commma-ArrD[rotated 1]*

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-obj-cf-commma-ArrD[dest]*:
assumes $[[b', a, f]_o, [b'', a', f']_o, [h, g]_o]_o \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $b' = 0$
and $b'' = 0$
and $h = 0$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)$
and $f' : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a')$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_A \mathfrak{B} f = f'$
and $[b', a, f]_o \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
and $[b'', a', f']_o \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*dest*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-commma-ArrD*

14.5.4 Domain

lemma *cat-cf-obj-commma-Dom-vsv[cat-commma-cs-intros]*: *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Dom})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-cf-obj-commma-Dom-vdomain[cat-commma-cs-simps]*:
 $\mathcal{D}_o (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Dom})) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-cf-obj-commma-Dom-app[cat-commma-cs-simps]*:
assumes $ABF = [A, B, F]_o$ **and** $ABF \in_0 \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Dom})(ABF) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *cat-cf-obj-commma-Dom-vrange*:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$

shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_\circ \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-commma-Dom-vsv*[*cat-commma-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dom})$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-commma-Dom-vdomain*[*cat-commma-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dom})) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-commma-Dom-app*[*cat-commma-cs-simps*]:
assumes $ABF = [A, B, F]_\circ$ **and** $ABF \in_\circ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
shows $b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dom})(ABF) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cat-obj-cf-commma-Dom-vrange*:
assumes $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_\circ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.5.5 Codomain

lemma *cat-cf-obj-commma-Cod-vsv*[*cat-commma-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Cod})$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-cf-obj-commma-Cod-vdomain*[*cat-commma-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Cod})) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-cf-obj-commma-Cod-app*[*cat-commma-cs-simps*]:
assumes $ABF = [A, B, F]_\circ$ **and** $ABF \in_\circ \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Arr})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Cod})(ABF) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cat-cf-obj-commma-Cod-vrange*:
assumes $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Cod})) \subseteq_\circ \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-commma-Cod-vsv*[*cat-commma-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Cod})$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-commma-Cod-vdomain*[*cat-commma-cs-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Cod})) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-commma-Cod-app*[*cat-commma-cs-simps*]:
assumes $ABF = [A, B, F]_\circ$ **and** $ABF \in_\circ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
shows $b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Cod})(ABF) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in is-functor*) *cat-obj-cf-commma-Cod-vrange*:
assumes $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_\circ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.5.6 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma (in is-functor) cat-cf-obj-comma-is-arrI [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $ABF = [A, B, F]_{\circ}$
and $A = [a, 0, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [a', 0, f']_{\circ}$
and $F = [g, 0]_{\circ}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = f$
shows $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b} B$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-is-arrI*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-obj-cf-comma-is-arrI [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $ABF = [A, B, F]_{\circ}$
and $A = [0, a, f]_{\circ}$
and $B = [0, a', f']_{\circ}$
and $F = [0, g]_{\circ}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)$
and $f' : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a')$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} f = f'$
shows $ABF : A \mapsto_{b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}} B$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-is-arrI*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-cf-obj-comma-is-arrD [*dest*]:
assumes $[[a, b', f]_{\circ}, [a', b'', f']_{\circ}, [g, h]_{\circ}]_{\circ} :$
 $[a, b', f]_{\circ} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b} [a', b'', f']_{\circ}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $b' = 0$
and $b'' = 0$
and $h = 0$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) = f$
and $[a, b', f]_{\circ} \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\text{Obj})$
and $[a', b'', f']_{\circ} \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cat-obj-cf-comma-is-arrD [*dest*]:
assumes $[[b', a, f]_{\circ}, [b'', a', f']_{\circ}, [h, g]_{\circ}]_{\circ} :$
 $[b', a, f]_{\circ} \mapsto_{b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}} [b'', a', f']_{\circ}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $b' = 0$
and $b'' = 0$
and $h = 0$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a)$
and $f' : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a')$
and $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(g) \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} f = f'$

and $[b', a, f]_\circ \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
and $[b'', a', f']_\circ \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*dest*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-is-arrD*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-cf-obj-comma-is-arrE[elim]:
assumes $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow} b B$ **and** $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
obtains $a f a' f' g$
where $ABF = [[a, 0, f]_\circ, [a', 0, f']_\circ, [g, 0]_\circ]_\circ$
and $A = [a, 0, f]_\circ$
and $B = [a', 0, f']_\circ$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' : \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a') \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
and $f' \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(g) = f$
and $A \in_0 \mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(Obj)$
and $B \in_0 \mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*elim*] = *is-functor.cat-cf-obj-comma-is-arrE*

lemma (in is-functor) cat-obj-cf-comma-is-arrE[elim]:
assumes $ABF : A \mapsto_{b \downarrow_{CF}} \mathfrak{F} B$ **and** $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
obtains $a f a' f' g$
where $ABF = [[0, a, f]_\circ, [0, a', f']_\circ, [0, g]_\circ]_\circ$
and $A = [0, a, f]_\circ$
and $B = [0, a', f']_\circ$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{A}} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)$
and $f' : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a')$
and $\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(g) \circ_{A \mathfrak{B}} f = f'$
and $A \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
and $B \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*elim*] = *is-functor.cat-obj-cf-comma-is-arrE*

14.5.7 Composition

lemma *cat-cf-obj-comma-Comp-vsv*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(Comp)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-obj-cf-comma-Comp-vsv*[*cat-comma-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Comp)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cat-cf-obj-comma-Comp-app[*cat-comma-cs-simps*]:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
and $BCG = [B, C, [g', h']_\circ]_\circ$
and $ABF = [A, B, [g, h]_\circ]_\circ$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow} b C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow} b B$
shows $BCG \circ_A \mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b ABF = [A, C, [g' \circ_{A \mathfrak{A}} g, 0]_\circ]_\circ$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cat-obj-cf-comma-Comp-app[*cat-comma-cs-simps*]:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
and $BCG = [B, C, [h', g']_\circ]_\circ$

and $ABF = [A, B, [h, g]_\circ]$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} B$
shows $BCG \circ_{A b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}} ABF = [A, C, [\theta, g' \circ_{A \mathfrak{A} B} g]_\circ]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}Comp\text{-}is\text{-}arr[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b} C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b} B$
shows $BCG \circ_{A \mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b} ABF : A \mapsto_{\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b} C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cat\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}Comp\text{-}is\text{-}arr[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
and $BCG : B \mapsto_b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} C$
and $ABF : A \mapsto_b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} B$
shows $BCG \circ_{A b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}} ABF : A \mapsto_b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.5.8 Identity

lemma $cat\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}CId\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$: $vsv(\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(CId))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cat\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}CId\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$: $vsv(b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(CId))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}CId\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(CId)) = \mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(\mathfrak{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cat\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}CId\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(CId)) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}CId\text{-}app[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$ **and** $A = [a, b', f]_\circ$ **and** $A \in_0 \mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b(CId)(A) = [A, A, [\mathfrak{A}(CId)(a), \theta]_\circ]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cat\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}CId\text{-}app[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$ **and** $A = [b', a, f]_\circ$ **and** $A \in_0 b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows $b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(CId)(A) = [A, A, [\theta, \mathfrak{A}(CId)(a)]_\circ]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.5.9 Comma categories constructed from a functor and an object are categories

lemma (in is-functor) $category\text{-}cat\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows $category \alpha (\mathfrak{F} CF \downarrow b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = $is\text{-}functor.category\text{-}cat\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma$

lemma (in is-functor) $category\text{-}cat\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma[cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows category $\alpha (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-intros*] = *is-functor.category-cat-obj-cf-commma*

14.5.10 Tiny comma categories constructed from a functor and an object

lemma (in is-tm-functor) *tiny-category-cat-cf-obj-commma*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows tiny-category $\alpha (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) *tiny-category-cat-obj-cf-commma*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows tiny-category $\alpha (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.6 Opposite comma category functors for the comma categories constructed from a functor and an object

14.6.1 Definitions and elementary properties

definition *op-cf-obj-commma* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *op-cf-obj-commma* $\mathfrak{F} b =$
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma \mathfrak{F} (cf\text{-}const (cat\text{-}1 0 0) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})) b)$

definition *op-obj-cf-commma* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *op-obj-cf-commma* $b \mathfrak{F} =$
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma (\mathfrak{F} (cf\text{-}const (cat\text{-}1 0 0) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})) b)) \mathfrak{F}$

Alternative forms of the definitions.

lemma (in is-functor) *op-cf-obj-commma-def*:
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}commma \mathfrak{F} b = op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma \mathfrak{F} (cf\text{-}const (cat\text{-}1 0 0) \mathfrak{B} b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *op-obj-cf-commma-def*:
 $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}commma b \mathfrak{F} = op\text{-}cf\text{-}commma (\mathfrak{F} (cf\text{-}const (cat\text{-}1 0 0) \mathfrak{B} b)) \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.6.2 Object map

lemma *op-cf-obj-commma-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:
vsv (*op-cf-obj-commma* $\mathfrak{F} b(\text{ObjMap})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *op-obj-cf-commma-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:
vsv (*op-obj-cf-commma* $b \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *op-cf-obj-commma-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}commma \mathfrak{F} b(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *op-obj-cf-commma-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}commma b \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]:
assumes $A = [a, 0, f]_\circ$ **and** $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$ **and** $A \in_\circ \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma \mathfrak{F} b(\mathbb{O}bjMap)(A) = [0, a, f]_\circ$.
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}app$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]:
assumes $A = [0, a, f]_\circ$ **and** $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$ **and** $A \in_\circ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma b \mathfrak{F} (\mathbb{O}bjMap)(A) = [a, 0, f]_\circ$.
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.6.3 Arrow map

lemma $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$]:
vsv ($op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma \mathfrak{F} b(\mathbb{A}rrMap)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$]:
vsv ($op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma b \mathfrak{F} (\mathbb{A}rrMap)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ (op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma \mathfrak{F} b(\mathbb{A}rrMap)) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\mathbb{A}rr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$] = $is\text{-}functor.op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ (op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma b \mathfrak{F} (\mathbb{A}rrMap)) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{A}rr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$] = $is\text{-}functor.op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]:
assumes $ABF = [[a, 0, f]_\circ, [a', 0, f']_\circ, [g, 0]_\circ]$.
and $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $ABF \in_\circ \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\mathbb{A}rr)$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma \mathfrak{F} b(\mathbb{A}rrMap)(ABF) = [[0, a', f']_\circ, [0, a, f]_\circ, [0, g]_\circ]$.
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$] = $is\text{-}functor.op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$ [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]:
assumes $ABF = [[0, a, f]_\circ, [0, a', f']_\circ, [0, h]_\circ]$.
and $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $ABF \in_\circ b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{A}rr)$
shows $op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma b \mathfrak{F} (\mathbb{A}rrMap)(ABF) = [[a', 0, f']_\circ, [a, 0, f]_\circ, [h, 0]_\circ]$.
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}comma\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$] = $is\text{-}functor.op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app$

14.6.4 Opposite comma category functors for the comma categories constructed from a functor and an object are isomorphisms of categories

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}functor$:
assumes $b \in_\circ \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma \mathfrak{F} b : op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b) \leftrightarrow_{C.iso\alpha} b \downarrow_{CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) op-cf-obj-comma-is-iso-functor'[cat-comma-cs-intros]:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } (\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = b \downarrow_{CF} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})$
shows $\text{op-cf-obj-comma } \mathfrak{F} b : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-comma-cs-intros] = is-functor.op-cf-obj-comma-is-iso-functor'

lemma (in is-functor) op-cf-obj-comma-is-functor:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{op-cf-obj-comma } \mathfrak{F} b : \text{op-cat } (\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b) \mapsto_{C\alpha} b \downarrow_{CF} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) op-cf-obj-comma-is-functor'[cat-comma-cs-intros]:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } (\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = b \downarrow_{CF} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})$
shows $\text{op-cf-obj-comma } \mathfrak{F} b : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-comma-cs-intros] = is-functor.op-cf-obj-comma-is-functor'

lemma (in is-functor) op-obj-cf-comma-is-iso-functor:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{op-obj-cf-comma } b \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) \mapsto_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})_{CF} \downarrow b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) op-obj-cf-comma-is-iso-functor'[cat-comma-cs-intros]:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})_{CF} \downarrow b$
shows $\text{op-obj-cf-comma } b \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-comma-cs-intros] = is-functor.op-obj-cf-comma-is-iso-functor'

lemma (in is-functor) op-obj-cf-comma-is-functor:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{op-obj-cf-comma } b \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) \mapsto_{C\alpha} (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})_{CF} \downarrow b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) op-obj-cf-comma-is-functor'[cat-comma-cs-intros]:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = (\text{op-cf } \mathfrak{F})_{CF} \downarrow b$
shows $\text{op-obj-cf-comma } b \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.7 Projections for comma categories constructed from a functor and an object

14.7.1 Definitions and elementary properties

definition cf-cf-obj-comma-proj :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \langle \langle (-_{CF} \sqcap_O -) \rangle [1000, 1000] 999 \rangle$
where $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b \equiv \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap (\text{cf-const } (\text{cat-1 } 0 \ 0) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})) b)$

definition cf-obj-cf-comma-proj :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \langle \langle (-_O \sqcap_{CF} -) \rangle [1000, 1000] 999 \rangle$

where $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \equiv (cf\text{-const} (cat\text{-}1 0 0) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) b) \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

Alternative forms of the definitions.

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-def:
 $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b = \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap (cf\text{-const} (cat\text{-}1 0 0) \mathfrak{B} b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-def:
 $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F} = (cf\text{-const} (cat\text{-}1 0 0) \mathfrak{B} b) \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Components.

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-components[cat-commma-cs-simps]:
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(HomDom) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b$
and $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(HomCod) = \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-commma-cs-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-components

lemma (in is-functor) cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-components[cat-commma-cs-simps]:
shows $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
and $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) = \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-commma-cs-simps] = is-functor.cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-components

14.7.2 Object map

lemma cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-ObjMap-vsv[cat-commma-cs-intros]:
 $vsv (\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(ObjMap))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-ObjMap-vsv[cat-commma-cs-intros]:
 $vsv (b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-commma-cs-simps]:
 $\mathcal{D}_o (\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(ObjMap)) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-commma-cs-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-ObjMap-vdomain

lemma (in is-functor) cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-commma-cs-simps]:
 $\mathcal{D}_o (b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-commma-cs-simps] = is-functor.cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-ObjMap-vdomain

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-ObjMap-app[cat-commma-cs-simps]:
assumes $A = [a, b', f]_o$ **and** $[a, b', f]_o \in_o \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(Obj)$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(ObjMap)(A) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-commma-cs-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-obj-commma-proj-ObjMap-app

lemma (in is-functor) cf-obj-cf-commma-proj-ObjMap-app[cat-commma-cs-simps]:
assumes $A = [b', a, f]_o$ **and** $[b', a, f]_o \in_o b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Obj)$
shows $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(A) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-ObjMap-app*

14.7.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

usv ($\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(\text{ArrMap})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

usv ($b_O \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:

$\mathcal{D}_o(\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-ObjMap-vdomain*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:

$\mathcal{D}_o(b_O \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-ArrMap-vdomain*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-ArrMap-app*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:

assumes $ABF = [A, B, [g, h]_o]$.
and $[A, B, [g, h]_o] \in_o \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b(\text{Arr})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b(\text{ArrMap})(ABF) = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-ArrMap-app*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-ArrMap-app*[*cat-comma-CS-simps*]:

assumes $ABF = [A, B, [g, h]_o]$.
and $[A, B, [g, h]_o] \in_o b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr})$
shows $b_O \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(ABF) = h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-ArrMap-app*

14.7.4 Projections for a comma category are functors

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-is-functor*:

assumes $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b : \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-is-functor'*[*cat-comma-CS-intros*]:

assumes $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$ and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{F}_{CF} \downarrow b$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF} \sqcap_O b : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-CS-intros*] = *is-functor.cf-cf-obj-comm-proj-is-functor'*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-obj-cf-comm-proj-is-functor*:

assumes $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$

shows $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto \rightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}functor'$ [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \rightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cf-obj-cf-comma-proj-is-functor'*

14.7.5 Opposite projections for comma categories constructed from a functor and an object

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}cf\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}proj$:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $op\text{-}cf (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \sqcap O} b) = b \circ \sqcap_{CF} (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma \mathfrak{F} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}proj$:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $op\text{-}cf (b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) = (op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F})_{CF \sqcap O} b \circ_{CF} op\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma b \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.7.6 Projections for a tiny comma category

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $cf\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}tm\text{-}functor$:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \sqcap O} b : \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b \mapsto \rightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $cf\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}tm\text{-}functor'$ [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}b = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \sqcap O} b : \mathfrak{F}b \mapsto \rightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-functor.cf-obj-cf-comma-proj-is-tm-functor'*

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $cf\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}tm\text{-}functor$:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto \rightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $cf\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}tm\text{-}functor'$ [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $b \circ \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \rightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-comma-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-functor.cf-obj-cf-comma-proj-is-tm-functor'*

lemma $cf\text{-}comp\text{-}cf\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}tm\text{-}functor$ [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \rightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \rightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{G}_{CF \downarrow} c$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{G}_{CF \sqcap O} c \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \rightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}comp\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}cf\text{-}comma\text{-}proj\text{-}is\text{-}tm\text{-}functor$ [*cat-comma-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \rightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} c \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{H}$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $c \text{ } O \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.8 Comma functors

14.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Theorem 1 in Chapter X-3 in [7].

definition $cf\text{-arr-}cf\text{-comma} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

$(\langle \langle \text{-} A \downarrow_{CF} \text{-} \rangle \rangle [1000, 1000] 999)$

where $g \text{ } A \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F} =$

[
 $(\lambda A \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Cod})(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj}). [0, A(1_N), A(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) g]_{\circ}),$
 $($
 $\lambda F \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Cod})(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr}).$
 $[$
 $[0, F(0)(1_N), F(0)(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) g]_{\circ},$
 $[0, F(1_N)(1_N), F(1_N)(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) g]_{\circ},$
 $F(2_N)$
 $]_{\circ}$
 $),$
 $(\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Cod})(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F},$
 $(\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Dom})(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
 $]_{\circ}$

definition $cf\text{-}cf\text{-arr-comma} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

$(\langle \langle \text{-} CF \downarrow_A \text{-} \rangle \rangle [1000, 1000] 999)$

where $\mathfrak{F} \text{ } CF \downarrow_A g =$

[
 $(\lambda A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Dom})(g))(\text{Obj}). [A(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) A(2_N)]_{\circ}),$
 $($
 $\lambda F \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Dom})(g))(\text{Arr}).$
 $[$
 $[F(0)(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) F(0)(2_N)]_{\circ},$
 $[F(1_N)(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) F(1_N)(2_N)]_{\circ},$
 $F(2_N)$
 $]_{\circ}$
 $),$
 $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Dom})(g)),$
 $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Cod})(g))$
 $]_{\circ}$

Components.

lemma $cf\text{-arr-}cf\text{-comma-components}:$

shows $g \text{ } A \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) =$

$(\lambda A \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Cod})(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj}). [0, A(1_N), A(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) g]_{\circ})$

and $g \text{ } A \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) =$

(
 $\lambda F \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})(\text{Cod})(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Arr}).$
 $[$
 $[0, F(0)(1_N), F(0)(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) g]_{\circ},$
 $[0, F(1_N)(1_N), F(1_N)(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod}) g]_{\circ},$
 $F(2_N)$
 $]_{\circ}$

)

and $g \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) = (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(Cod)(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

and $g \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) = (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(Dom)(g)) \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-cf-arr-comma-components:

shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(ObjMap) = (\lambda A \in \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(Obj). [A(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) A(2_N)])_\circ$

and $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(ArrMap) =$
 $(\lambda F \in \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(Dom)(g))(Arr).$
 $[F(0)(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) F(0)(2_N)]_\circ,$
 $[F(1_N)(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) F(1_N)(2_N)]_\circ,$
 $F(2_N)]_\circ)$

and $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(HomDom) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(Dom)(g))$

and $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(HomCod) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(Cod)(g))$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

context is-functor

begin

lemma cf-arr-cf-comma-components':

assumes $g : c \mapsto \mathfrak{B} c'$

shows $g \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap) = (\lambda A \in \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(Obj). [0, A(1_N), A(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{B} g])_\circ$

and $g \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap) =$
 $(\lambda F \in \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} c' \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(Arr).$
 $[0, F(0)(1_N), F(0)(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{B} g]_\circ,$
 $[0, F(1_N)(1_N), F(1_N)(2_N) \circ_A \mathfrak{B} g]_\circ,$
 $F(2_N)]_\circ)$

and [*cat-comma-cs-simps*]: $g \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) = c' \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

and [*cat-comma-cs-simps*]: $g \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) = c \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-cf-arr-comma-components':

assumes $g : c \mapsto \mathfrak{B} c'$

shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(ObjMap) = (\lambda A \in \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} c(Obj). [A(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{B} A(2_N)])_\circ$

and $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(ArrMap) =$
 $(\lambda F \in \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} c(Arr).$
 $[F(0)(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{B} F(0)(2_N)]_\circ,$
 $[F(1_N)(0), 0, g \circ_A \mathfrak{B} F(1_N)(2_N)]_\circ,$
 $F(2_N)]_\circ)$

and [*cat-comma-cs-simps*]: $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(HomDom) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} c$

and [*cat-comma-cs-simps*]: $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(HomCod) = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} c'$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

```

lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-arr-cf-comma-components'(3,4)
lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-arr-comma-components'(3,4)

```

14.8.2 Object map

mk-VLambda *cf-arr-cf-comma-components(1)*[*unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]*]
|vsv cf-arr-cf-comma-ObjMap-vsv[cat-comma-CS-intros]|

mk-VLambda *cf-cf-arr-comma-components(1)*[*unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]*]
|vsv cf-cf-arr-comma-ObjMap-vsv[cat-comma-CS-intros]|

```

context is-functor
begin

```

```

context
fixes g c c'
assumes g: g : c  $\mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}}$  c'
begin

```

mk-VLambda
cf-arr-cf-comma-components'(1)[*OF g, unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]*]
|vdomain cf-arr-cf-comma-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-comma-CS-simps]|

mk-VLambda
cf-cf-arr-comma-components'(1)[*OF g, unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]*]
|vdomain cf-cf-arr-comma-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-comma-CS-simps]|

end

end

```

lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-arr-cf-comma-ObjMap-vdomain
lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-arr-comma-ObjMap-vdomain

```

lemma (in is-functor) cf-arr-cf-comma-ObjMap-app[cat-comma-CS-simps]:
assumes A = [a', b', f'] \circ **and** A \in_{\circ} c' \downarrow_{CF} $\mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$ **and** g : c $\mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}}$ c'
shows g $A \downarrow_{CF}$ $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(A)$ = [a', b', f' $\circ_A \mathfrak{B}$ g] \circ
{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-arr-comma-ObjMap-app[cat-comma-CS-simps]:
assumes A = [a', b', f'] \circ **and** A \in_{\circ} $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A}$ c(Obj) **and** g : c $\mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}}$ c'
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(\text{ObjMap})(A)$ = [a', b', g $\circ_A \mathfrak{B}$ f'] \circ
{proof}

```

lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-arr-cf-comma-ObjMap-app
lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-arr-comma-ObjMap-app

```

lemma (in is-functor) cf-arr-cf-comma-ObjMap-vrange:
assumes g : c $\mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}}$ c'
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(g A \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} c \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-arr-comma-ObjMap-vrange:
assumes g : c $\mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}}$ c'
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} c'(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

14.8.3 Arrow map

```

mk-VLambda cf-arr-cf-comma-components( $\emptyset$ )
|vsv cf-arr-cf-comma-ArrMap-vsv[cat-comma-CS-intros]||

mk-VLambda cf-cf-arr-comma-components( $\emptyset$ )
|vsv cf-cf-arr-comma-ArrMap-vsv[cat-comma-CS-intros]||

context is-functor
begin

context
  fixes g c c'
  assumes g : g : c ↪B c'
begin

mk-VLambda
cf-arr-cf-comma-components'( $\emptyset$ ) [OF g, unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]]
|vdomain cf-arr-cf-comma-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-comma-CS-simps]||

mk-VLambda
cf-cf-arr-comma-components'( $\emptyset$ ) [OF g, unfolded VLambda-vid-on[symmetric]]
|vdomain cf-cf-arr-comma-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-comma-CS-simps]||

end

end

lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-arr-cf-comma-ArrMap-vdomain
lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-arr-comma-ArrMap-vdomain

lemma (in is-functor) cf-arr-cf-comma-ArrMap-app [cat-comma-CS-simps]:
  assumes A = [[a, b, f]○, [a', b', f']○, [h, k]○]○
  and [[a, b, f]○, [a', b', f']○, [h, k]○]○ :
    [a, b, f]○ ↪CF c' ↗ [a', b', f']○
  and g : c ↪B c'
  shows g A↓CF F(ArrMap)(A) =
    [[a, b, f ○A B g]○, [a', b', f' ○A B g]○, [h, k]○]○
{proof}

lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-arr-cf-comma-ArrMap-app

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-arr-comma-ArrMap-app [cat-comma-CS-simps]:
  assumes A = [[a, b, f]○, [a', b', f']○, [h, k]○]○
  and [[a, b, f]○, [a', b', f']○, [h, k]○]○ :
    [a, b, f]○ ↪F CF↓ A c [a', b', f']○
  and g : c ↪B c'
  shows F CF↓ A g(ArrMap)(A) =
    [[a, b, g ○A B f]○, [a', b', g ○A B f']○, [h, k]○]○
{proof}

lemmas [cat-comma-CS-simps] = is-functor.cf-cf-arr-comma-ArrMap-app

```

14.8.4 Comma functors are functors

```

lemma (in is-functor) cf-arr-cf-comma-is-functor:
  assumes g : c ↪B c'
  shows g A↓CF F : c' ↓CF F ↪↪ Cα c ↓CF F
{proof}

```

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-arr-comma-is-functor:
assumes $g : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} c'$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g : \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} c \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} c'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-arr-cf-comma-is-functor' [cat-comma-cs-intros]:
assumes $g : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} c'$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = c' \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = c \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $g_{A \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-comma-cs-intros] = is-functor.cf-arr-cf-comma-is-functor'

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-arr-comma-is-functor' [cat-comma-cs-intros]:
assumes $g : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} c'$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} c$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} c'$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-comma-cs-intros] = is-functor.cf-cf-arr-comma-is-functor'

lemma (in is-functor) cf-arr-cf-comma-CId:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $(\mathfrak{B}(C\text{Id})(b))_{A \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-id } (b \downarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-cf-arr-comma-CId:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} (\mathfrak{B}(C\text{Id})(b)) = cf\text{-id } (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow} b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.8.5 Comma functors and projections

lemma (in is-functor)
cf-cf-comp-cf-obj-cf-comma-proj-cf-arr-cf-comma [cat-comma-cs-simps]:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $a_{O \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}} \circ_{CF} f_{A \downarrow CF} \mathfrak{F} = b_{O \sqcap_{CF} \mathfrak{F}}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor)
cf-cf-comp-cf-obj-comma-proj-cf-cf-arr-comma [cat-comma-cs-simps]:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{F}_{CF \sqcap O} b \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} f = \mathfrak{F}_{CF \sqcap O} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.8.6 Opposite comma functors

lemma (in is-functor) cf-op-cf-obj-comma-cf-arr-cf-comma:
assumes $g : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} c'$
shows
 $op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-}comma } \mathfrak{F} c' \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf } (\mathfrak{F}_{CF \downarrow A} g) =$
 $g_{A \downarrow CF} (op\text{-}cf } \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf\text{-}obj\text{-comma } \mathfrak{F} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15 Rel

15.1 Background

The methodology chosen for the exposition of *Rel* as a category is analogous to the one used in [8] for the exposition of *Rel* as a semicategory. The general references for this section are Chapter I-7 in [7] and nLab [1]⁸.

named-theorems *cat-Rel-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-Rel-CS-intros*

lemmas (in *arr-Rel*) [*cat-Rel-CS-simps*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-simps

lemmas (in *arr-Rel*) [*cat-CS-intros*, *cat-Rel-CS-intros*] =
arr-Rel-axioms'

lemmas [*cat-Rel-CS-simps*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-simps
arr-Rel.arr-Rel-length
arr-Rel-comp-Rel-id-Rel-left
arr-Rel-comp-Rel-id-Rel-right
arr-Rel.arr-Rel-converse-Rel-converse-Rel
arr-Rel-converse-Rel-eq-iff
arr-Rel-converse-Rel-comp-Rel
arr-Rel-comp-Rel-converse-Rel-left-if-v11
arr-Rel-comp-Rel-converse-Rel-right-if-v11

lemmas [*cat-Rel-CS-intros*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-intros
arr-Rel-comp-Rel
arr-Rel.arr-Rel-converse-Rel

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *incl-Rel-ArrVal-app*

15.2 Rel as a category

15.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Rel* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-Rel* α =

[
Vset α ,
set { T . *arr-Rel* α T },
 $(\lambda T \in \text{set} \{T\}. \text{arr-Rel } \alpha \text{ } T). T(\text{ArrDom})$,
 $(\lambda T \in \text{set} \{T\}. \text{arr-Rel } \alpha \text{ } T). T(\text{ArrCod})$,
 $(\lambda ST \in \text{composable-arrs} (\text{dg-Rel } \alpha). ST(\emptyset) \circ_{\text{Rel}} ST(1_N))$,
VLambda (*Vset* α) *id-Rel*
]._o

Components.

lemma *cat-Rel-components*:

shows *cat-Rel* $\alpha(\text{Obj})$ = *Vset* α
and *cat-Rel* $\alpha(\text{Arr})$ = *set* { T . *arr-Rel* α T }
and *cat-Rel* $\alpha(\text{Dom})$ = $(\lambda T \in \text{set} \{T\}. \text{arr-Rel } \alpha \text{ } T). T(\text{ArrDom})$
and *cat-Rel* $\alpha(\text{Cod})$ = $(\lambda T \in \text{set} \{T\}. \text{arr-Rel } \alpha \text{ } T). T(\text{ArrCod})$
and *cat-Rel* $\alpha(\text{Comp})$ = $(\lambda ST \in \text{composable-arrs} (\text{dg-Rel } \alpha). ST(\emptyset) \circ_{\text{Rel}} ST(1_N))$

⁸<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Rel>

and $\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CID}) = VLambda (Vset \alpha) id\text{-Rel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Slicing.

lemma $\text{cat-smc-cat-Rel}: \text{cat-smc} (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha) = \text{smc-Rel } \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas-with [folded cat-smc-cat-Rel, unfolded slicing-simps]:
 $\text{cat-Rel-Obj-iff} = \text{smc-Rel-Obj-iff}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Arr-iff}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-Rel-Arr-iff}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Dom-vsv}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-Rel-Dom-vsv}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Dom-vdomain}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-Rel-Dom-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Dom-app}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-Rel-Dom-app}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Dom-vrange} = \text{smc-Rel-Dom-vrange}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Cod-vsv}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-Rel-Cod-vsv}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Cod-vdomain}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-Rel-Cod-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Cod-app}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-Rel-Cod-app}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Cod-vrange} = \text{smc-Rel-Cod-vrange}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-arrI}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-Rel-is-arrI}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-arrD} = \text{smc-Rel-is-arrD}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-arrE} = \text{smc-Rel-is-arrE}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-arr-ArrValE} = \text{smc-Rel-is-arr-ArrValE}$

lemmas-with [folded cat-smc-cat-Rel, unfolded slicing-simps, unfolded cat-smc-cat-Rel]:

$\text{cat-Rel-composable-arrs-dg-Rel} = \text{smc-Rel-composable-arrs-dg-Rel}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Comp} = \text{smc-Rel-Comp}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Comp-app}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smc-Rel-Comp-app}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Comp-vdomain}[\text{simp}] = \text{smc-Rel-Comp-vdomain}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-monnic-arrI} = \text{smc-Rel-is-monnic-arrI}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-monnic-arrD} = \text{smc-Rel-is-monnic-arrD}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-monnic-arr} = \text{smc-Rel-is-monnic-arr}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-monnic-arr-is-epic-arr} = \text{smc-Rel-is-monnic-arr-is-epic-arr}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-epic-arr-is-monnic-arr} = \text{smc-Rel-is-epic-arr-is-monnic-arr}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-epic-arrI} = \text{smc-Rel-is-epic-arrI}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-epic-arrD} = \text{smc-Rel-is-epic-arrD}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-epic-arr} = \text{smc-Rel-is-epic-arr}$

lemmas [cat-CS-simps] = $\text{cat-Rel-is-arrD}(2,3)$

lemmas [cat-Rel-CS-intros] = cat-Rel-is-arrI

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [folded cat-smc-cat-Rel, unfolded slicing-simps]:

$\text{cat-Rel-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset} = \text{smc-Rel-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-incl-Rel-is-arr} = \text{smc-Rel-incl-Rel-is-arr}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-incl-Rel-is-arr}'[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smc-Rel-incl-Rel-is-arr}'$
and $\text{cat-Rel-Comp-vrange} = \text{smc-Rel-Comp-vrange}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-obj-terminal} = \text{smc-Rel-obj-terminal}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-obj-initial} = \text{smc-Rel-obj-initial}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-obj-terminal-obj-initial} = \text{smc-Rel-obj-terminal-obj-initial}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-obj-null} = \text{smc-Rel-obj-null}$
and $\text{cat-Rel-is-zero-arr} = \text{smc-Rel-is-zero-arr}$

lemmas [cat-Rel-CS-intros] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-Rel-incl-Rel-is-arr}'$

15.2.2 Identity

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{cat-Rel-CID-app}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}]$:
assumes $T \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$

shows $\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(T) = \text{id-Rel } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-Rel-CS-simps] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-Rel-CId-app}$

15.2.3 Rel is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{category-cat-Rel}: \text{category } \alpha (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{category-cat-Rel}'[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}]$:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $\alpha'' = \alpha$
shows $\text{category } \alpha' (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha'')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-Rel-CS-intros] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{category-cat-Rel}'$

15.3 Canonical dagger for Rel

15.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $\text{cf-dag-Rel} :: V \Rightarrow V (\langle \dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \rangle)$

where $\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha =$
 $[$
 $\quad \text{vid-on } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj}))$,
 $\quad \text{VLambda } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Arr})) \text{ converse-Rel}$,
 $\quad \text{op-cat } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)$,
 $\quad \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
 $]\circ$

Components.

lemma $\text{cf-dag-Rel-components}$:

shows $\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha(\text{ObjMap}) = \text{vid-on } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj}))$
and $\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha(\text{ArrMap}) = \text{VLambda } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Arr})) \text{ converse-Rel}$
and $\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha(\text{HomDom}) = \text{op-cat } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)$
and $\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha(\text{HomCod}) = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Slicing.

lemma $\text{cf-smcf-cf-dag-Rel}: \text{cf-smcf } (\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha) = \dagger_{S M C.\text{Rel}} \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-cat-Rel cf-smcf-cf-dag-Rel, unfolded slicing-simps*]:
 $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-vsv}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-vsv}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-vdomain}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-vdomain}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-app}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-app}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-vrange}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ObjMap-vrange}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-vsv}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-vsv}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-vdomain}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-vdomain}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-vrange}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-vrange}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-app-is-arr}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-intros}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-app-is-arr}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-vdomain}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] =$
 $\quad \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-vdomain}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-vrange}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] =$
 $\quad \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-vrange}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-if}[\text{cat-CS-simps}] = \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-if}$
and $\text{cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-smc-Rel-Comp}[\text{cat-Rel-CS-simps}] =$
 $\quad \text{smcf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-smc-Rel-Comp}$

15.3.2 Canonical dagger is a contravariant isomorphism of Rel

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) cf-dag-Rel-is-iso-functor:

$\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha : \text{op-cat}(\text{cat-Rel } \alpha) \rightarrowtail_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) cf-dag-Rel-is-iso-functor'[cat-cs-intros]:

assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat}(\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
and $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows $\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha : \mathfrak{A}' \rightarrowtail_{C.\text{iso}\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cf-dag-Rel-is-iso-functor}'$

15.3.3 Further properties of the canonical dagger

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) cf-cn-comp-cf-dag-Rel-cf-dag-Rel:

$\dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha \circ \text{CF} \circ \dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha = \text{cf-id}(\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

15.4 Isomorphism

context
begin

private lemma cat-Rel-is-iso-arr-right-vsubset:

assumes $S : B \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} A$
and $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
and $S \circ_A \text{cat-Rel } \alpha T = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A)$
and $T \circ_A \text{cat-Rel } \alpha S = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(B)$
shows $S(\text{ArrVal}) \subseteq_0 (T(\text{ArrVal}))^{-1}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma cat-Rel-is-iso-arr-left-vsubset:**

assumes $S : B \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} A$
and $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
and $S \circ_A \text{cat-Rel } \alpha T = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A)$
and $T \circ_A \text{cat-Rel } \alpha S = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(B)$
shows $(T(\text{ArrVal}))^{-1} \circ_0 S(\text{ArrVal})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma is-iso-arr-dag:**

assumes $S : B \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} A$
and $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
and $S \circ_A \text{cat-Rel } \alpha T = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A)$
and $T \circ_A \text{cat-Rel } \alpha S = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(B)$
shows $S = \dagger_{C.\text{Rel}} \alpha(\text{ArrMap})(T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cat-Rel-is-iso-arrI[intro]:

assumes $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
and $v11(T(\text{ArrVal}))$
and $\mathcal{D}_0(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A$
and $\mathcal{R}_0(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$
shows $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{iso}\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cat-Rel-is-iso-arrD[dest]:

assumes $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{iso}\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
shows $T : A \rightarrowtail_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
and $v11(T(\text{ArrVal}))$
and $\mathcal{D}_0(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A$

and $\mathcal{R}_o(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemmas [*cat-Rel-CS-simps*] = *cat-Rel-is-iso-arrD(3,4)*

lemma *cat-Rel-is-iso-arr*:

$T : A \xrightarrow{\text{iso}}_{\text{cat-Rel}} \alpha B \leftrightarrow$
 $T : A \xrightarrow{\text{cat-Rel}} \alpha B \wedge$
 $v11(T(\text{ArrVal})) \wedge$
 $\mathcal{D}_o(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A \wedge$
 $\mathcal{R}_o(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

15.5 The inverse arrow

lemma *cat-Rel-the-inverse*[*cat-Rel-CS-simps*]:

assumes $T : A \xrightarrow{\text{iso}}_{\text{cat-Rel}} \alpha B$
shows $T^{-1} C_{\text{cat-Rel}} \alpha = T^{-1} R_{\text{el}}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16 Par

16.1 Background

The methodology chosen for the exposition of *Par* as a category is analogous to the one used in [8] for the exposition of *Par* as a semicategory.

named-theorems *cat-Par-CS-simps*

named-theorems *cat-Par-CS-intros*

lemmas (in arr-Par) [*cat-Par-CS-simps*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-simps

lemmas (in arr-Par) [*cat-CS-intros*, *cat-Par-CS-intros*] =
arr-Par-axioms'

lemmas [*cat-Par-CS-simps*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-simps
arr-Par.arr-Par-length
arr-Par-comp-Par-id-Par-left
arr-Par-comp-Par-id-Par-right

lemmas [*cat-Par-CS-intros*] =
arr-Par-comp-Par

16.2 Par as a category

16.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Par* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-Par* α =

```
[  
  Vset  $\alpha$ ,  
  set { $T$ . arr-Par  $\alpha$   $T$ },  
  ( $\lambda T \in \circ$  set { $T$ . arr-Par  $\alpha$   $T$ }.  $T(\text{ArrDom})$ ),  
  ( $\lambda T \in \circ$  set { $T$ . arr-Par  $\alpha$   $T$ }.  $T(\text{ArrCod})$ ),  
  ( $\lambda ST \in \circ$  composable-arrs (dg-Par  $\alpha$ ).  $ST(\emptyset) \circ_{\text{Rel}} ST(1_N)$ ),  
  VLambda (Vset  $\alpha$ ) id-Par  
]. $\circ$ 
```

Components.

lemma *cat-Par-components*:

shows *cat-Par* $\alpha(\text{Obj})$ = *Vset* α

and *cat-Par* $\alpha(\text{Arr})$ = *set* { T . arr-Par α T }

and *cat-Par* $\alpha(\text{Dom})$ = ($\lambda T \in \circ$ set { T . arr-Par α T }. $T(\text{ArrDom})$)

and *cat-Par* $\alpha(\text{Cod})$ = ($\lambda T \in \circ$ set { T . arr-Par α T }. $T(\text{ArrCod})$)

and *cat-Par* $\alpha(\text{Comp})$ = ($\lambda ST \in \circ$ composable-arrs (*dg-Par* α). $ST(\emptyset) \circ_{\text{Par}} ST(1_N)$)

and *cat-Par* $\alpha(\text{CId})$ = *VLambda* (Vset α) *id-Par*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-cat-Par*: *cat-smc* (*cat-Par* α) = *smc-Par* α

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-cat-Par*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:

cat-Par-Obj-iff = *smc-Par-Obj-iff*

and *cat-Par-Arr-iff* [*cat-Par-CS-simps*] = *smc-Par-Arr-iff*

and *cat-Par-Dom-vsv* [*cat-Par-CS-intros*] = *smc-Par-Dom-vsv*

and *cat-Par-Dom-vdomain* [*cat-Par-CS-simps*] = *smc-Par-Dom-vdomain*

```

and cat-Par-Dom-vrange = smc-Par-Dom-vrange
and cat-Par-Dom-app[cat-Par-CS-simps] = smc-Par-Dom-app
and cat-Par-Cod-vsv[cat-Par-CS-intros] = smc-Par-Cod-vsv
and cat-Par-Cod-vdomain[cat-Par-CS-simps] = smc-Par-Cod-vdomain
and cat-Par-Cod-vrange = smc-Par-Cod-vrange
and cat-Par-Cod-app[cat-Par-CS-simps] = smc-Par-Cod-app
and cat-Par-is-arrI = smc-Par-is-arrI
and cat-Par-is-arrD = smc-Par-is-arrD
and cat-Par-is-arrE = smc-Par-is-arrE

```

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-cat-Par, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

```

cat-Par-composable-arrs-dg-Par = smc-Par-composable-arrs-dg-Par
and cat-Par-Comp = smc-Par-Comp
and cat-Par-Comp-app[cat-Par-CS-simps] = smc-Par-Comp-app
and cat-Par-Comp-vdomain[cat-Par-CS-simps] = smc-Par-Comp-vdomain
and cat-Par-is-monnic-arrI = smc-Par-is-monnic-arrI
and cat-Par-is-monnic-arrD = smc-Par-is-monnic-arrD
and cat-Par-is-monnic-arr = smc-Par-is-monnic-arr
and cat-Par-is-epic-arrI = smc-Par-is-epic-arrI
and cat-Par-is-epic-arrD = smc-Par-is-epic-arrD
and cat-Par-is-epic-arr = smc-Par-is-epic-arr

```

lemmas [cat-CS-simps] = cat-Par-is-arrD(2,3)

lemmas [cat-Par-CS-intros] = cat-Par-is-arrI

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [*folded cat-smc-cat-Par, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

```

cat-Par-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset = smc-Par-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset
and cat-Par-incl-Par-is-arr = smc-Par-incl-Par-is-arr
and cat-Par-incl-Par-is-arr'[cat-Par-CS-intros] = smc-Par-incl-Par-is-arr'
and cat-Par-Comp-vrange = smc-Par-Comp-vrange
and cat-Par-obj-terminal = smc-Par-obj-terminal
and cat-Par-obj-initial = smc-Par-obj-initial
and cat-Par-obj-terminal-obj-initial = smc-Par-obj-terminal-obj-initial
and cat-Par-obj-null = smc-Par-obj-null
and cat-Par-is-zero-arr = smc-Par-is-zero-arr

```

lemmas [cat-Par-CS-intros] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-Par-incl-Par-is-arr}'$

16.2.2 Identity

lemma cat-Par-CId-app[cat-Par-CS-simps]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows cat-Par $\alpha(\text{CId})(A) = id\text{-Par } A$
{proof}

lemma id-Par-CId-app-app[cat-CS-simps]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} A$
shows cat-Par $\alpha(\text{CId})(A)(\text{ArrVal})(a) = a$
{proof}

16.2.3 Par is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) category-cat-Par: category α (cat-Par α)
{proof}

16.2.4 Par is a wide replete subcategory of Rel

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) wide-replete-subcategory-cat-Par-cat-Rel:

cat-Par $\alpha \subseteq_{C.wr\alpha} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.3 Isomorphism

lemma *cat-Par-is-iso-arrI[intro]*:

assumes $T : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Par } \alpha} B$
and $v11(T(\text{ArrVal}))$
and $D_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A$
and $R_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$
shows $T : A \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Par } \alpha} B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-Par-is-iso-arrD[dest]*:

assumes $T : A \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Par } \alpha} B$
shows $T : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Par } \alpha} B$
and $v11(T(\text{ArrVal}))$
and $D_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A$
and $R_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-Par-is-iso-arr*:

$T : A \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Par } \alpha} B \leftrightarrow$
 $T : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Par } \alpha} B \wedge$
 $v11(T(\text{ArrVal})) \wedge$
 $D_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A \wedge$
 $R_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.4 The inverse arrow

abbreviation (*input*) *converse-Par* :: $V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle (-^1_{\text{Par}}) \rangle [1000] 999$)
where $a^{-1}_{\text{Par}} \equiv a^{-1}_{\text{Rel}}$

lemma *cat-Par-the-inverse[cat-Par-CS-simps]*:

assumes $T : A \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Par } \alpha} B$
shows $T^{-1} C_{\text{cat-Par } \alpha} = T^{-1} \text{Par}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17 Set

17.1 Background

The methodology chosen for the exposition of *Set* as a category is analogous to the one used in [8] for the exposition of *Set* as a semicategory.

named-theorems *cat-Set-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-Set-CS-intros*

lemmas (in *arr-Set*) [*cat-Set-CS-simps*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-simps

lemmas (in *arr-Set*) [*cat-CS-intros*, *cat-Set-CS-intros*] =
arr-Set-axioms'

lemmas [*cat-Set-CS-simps*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-simps
arr-Set.arr-Set-ArrVal-vdomain
arr-Set-comp-Set-id-Set-left
arr-Set-comp-Set-id-Set-right

lemmas [*cat-Set-CS-intros*] =
dg-Rel-shared-CS-intros
arr-Set-comp-Set

named-theorems *cat-rel-par-Set-CS-intros*
named-theorems *cat-rel-par-Set-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-rel-Par-set-CS-intros*
named-theorems *cat-rel-Par-set-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-Rel-par-set-CS-intros*
named-theorems *cat-Rel-par-set-CS-simps*

17.2 Set as a category

17.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Set* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-Set* α =

[
Vset α ,
set {*T*. *arr-Set* α *T*},
 $(\lambda T \in \circ \text{set} \{T. \text{arr-Set } \alpha \text{ } T\}. T(\text{ArrDom}))$,
 $(\lambda T \in \circ \text{set} \{T. \text{arr-Set } \alpha \text{ } T\}. T(\text{ArrCod}))$,
 $(\lambda ST \in \circ \text{composable-arrs} (\text{dg-Set } \alpha). ST(0) \circ_{\text{Rel}} ST(1_N))$,
VLambda (*Vset* α) *id-Set*
]. $_o$

Components.

lemma *cat-Set-components*:

shows *cat-Set* $\alpha(\text{Obj})$ = *Vset* α
and *cat-Set* $\alpha(\text{Arr})$ = *set* {*T*. *arr-Set* α *T*}
and *cat-Set* $\alpha(\text{Dom})$ = $(\lambda T \in \circ \text{set} \{T. \text{arr-Set } \alpha \text{ } T\}. T(\text{ArrDom}))$
and *cat-Set* $\alpha(\text{Cod})$ = $(\lambda T \in \circ \text{set} \{T. \text{arr-Set } \alpha \text{ } T\}. T(\text{ArrCod}))$
and *cat-Set* $\alpha(\text{Comp})$ =
 $(\lambda ST \in \circ \text{composable-arrs} (\text{dg-Set } \alpha). ST(0) \circ_{\text{Par}} ST(1_N))$
and *cat-Set* $\alpha(\text{CId})$ = *VLambda* (*Vset* α) *id-Set*
{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-cat-Set*: *cat-smc* (*cat-Set* α) = *smc-Set* α
{proof}

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-cat-Set, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

cat-Set-Obj-iff = *smc-Set-Obj-iff*
and *cat-Set-Arr-iff*[*cat-Set-CS-simps*] = *smc-Set-Arr-iff*
and *cat-Set-Dom-vsv*[*intro*] = *smc-Set-Dom-vsv*
and *cat-Set-Dom-vdomain*[*simp*] = *smc-Set-Dom-vdomain*
and *cat-Set-Dom-vrange* = *smc-Set-Dom-vrange*
and *cat-Set-Dom-app* = *smc-Set-Dom-app*
and *cat-Set-Cod-vsv*[*intro*] = *smc-Set-Cod-vsv*
and *cat-Set-Cod-vdomain*[*simp*] = *smc-Set-Cod-vdomain*
and *cat-Set-Cod-vrange* = *smc-Set-Cod-vrange*
and *cat-Set-Cod-app*[*cat-Set-CS-simps*] = *smc-Set-Cod-app*
and *cat-Set-is-arrI* = *smc-Set-is-arrI*
and *cat-Set-is-arrD* = *smc-Set-is-arrD*
and *cat-Set-is-arrE* = *smc-Set-is-arrE*
and *cat-Set-ArrVal-vdomain*[*cat-CS-simps*] = *smc-Set-ArrVal-vdomain*
and *cat-Set-ArrVal-app-vrange*[*cat-Set-CS-intros*] = *smc-Set-ArrVal-app-vrange*

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *cat-Set-is-arrD*(2,3)

lemmas [*cat-Set-CS-intros*] =
cat-Set-is-arrI

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-cat-Set, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

cat-Set-composable-arrs-dg-Set = *smc-Set-composable-arrs-dg-Set*
and *cat-Set-Comp* = *smc-Set-Comp*
and *cat-Set-Comp-app*[*cat-Set-CS-simps*] = *smc-Set-Comp-app*
and *cat-Set-Comp-vdomain*[*cat-Set-CS-simps*] = *smc-Set-Comp-vdomain*
and *cat-Set-is-monotone-arrI* = *smc-Set-is-monotone-arrI*
and *cat-Set-is-monotone-arrD* = *smc-Set-is-monotone-arrD*
and *cat-Set-is-monotone-arr* = *smc-Set-is-monotone-arr*
and *cat-Set-is-epic-arrI* = *smc-Set-is-epic-arrI*
and *cat-Set-is-epic-arrD* = *smc-Set-is-epic-arrD*
and *cat-Set-is-epic-arr* = *smc-Set-is-epic-arr*

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [*folded cat-smc-cat-Set, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

cat-Set-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset = *smc-Set-Hom-vifunction-in-Vset*
and *cat-Set-incl-Set-is-arr* = *smc-Set-incl-Set-is-arr*
and *cat-Set-Comp-ArrVal* = *smc-Set-Comp-ArrVal*
and *cat-Set-Comp-vrange* = *smc-Set-Comp-vrange*
and *cat-Set-obj-terminal* = *smc-Set-obj-terminal*
and *cat-Set-obj-initial* = *smc-Set-obj-initial*
and *cat-Set-obj-null* = *smc-Set-obj-null*
and *cat-Set-is-zero-arr* = *smc-Set-is-zero-arr*

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] =
 $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-Set-Comp-ArrVal}$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cat-Set-incl-Set-is-arr'*[*cat-CS-intros, cat-Set-CS-intros*]:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A \subseteq_{\circ} B$
and $A' = A$
and $B' = B$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

shows *incl-Set A B : A' $\mapsto_{\mathcal{C}'} B'$*
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-Set-CS-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.cat\text{-}Set\text{-}incl\text{-}Set\text{-}is\text{-}arr'$

17.2.2 Identity

lemma *cat-Set-CId-app[cat-Set-CS-simps]*:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows *cat-Set $\alpha(CId)(A) = id\text{-}Set A$*
(proof)

lemma *cat-Set-CId-app-app[cat-CS-simps]*:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(Obj)$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} A$
shows *cat-Set $\alpha(CId)(A)(ArrVal)(a) = a$*
(proof)

17.2.3 Set is a category

lemma (*in \mathcal{Z}*) *category-cat-Set: category α (cat-Set α)*
(proof)

lemma (*in \mathcal{Z}*) *category-cat-Set'*:
assumes $\beta = \alpha$
shows *category β (cat-Set α)*
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-CS-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.category\text{-}cat\text{-}Set'$

17.2.4 Set is a wide replete subcategory of Par

lemma (*in \mathcal{Z}*) *wide-replete-subcategory-cat-Set-cat-Par:*
cat-Set $\alpha \subseteq_{C.wr\alpha} cat\text{-}Par \alpha$
(proof)

17.2.5 Set is a subcategory of Set

lemma (*in \mathcal{Z}*) *subcategory-cat-Set-cat-Set:*
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows *cat-Set $\alpha \subseteq_{C\beta} cat\text{-}Set \beta$*
(proof)

17.2.6 Further properties

lemma *cat-Set-Comp-ArrVal-vrange:*
assumes $S : B \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} C$ **and** $T : A \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} ((S \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha T)(ArrVal)) \subseteq_{\circ} \mathcal{R}_{\circ} (S(ArrVal))$
(proof)

17.3 Isomorphism

lemma *cat-Set-is-iso-arrI[intro]*:
— See [1]⁹).
assumes $T : A \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$
and $v11(T(ArrVal))$
and $D_{\circ}(T(ArrVal)) = A$
and $R_{\circ}(T(ArrVal)) = B$

⁹<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/isomorphism>

shows $T : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}arrD[dest]$:
assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
shows $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
and $v11(T(\text{ArrVal}))$
and $D_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A$
and $R_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}arr$:
 $T : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B \leftrightarrow$
 $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B \wedge$
 $v11(T(\text{ArrVal})) \wedge$
 $D_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = A \wedge$
 $R_\circ(T(\text{ArrVal})) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}arr\text{-}if\text{-}monic\text{-}and\text{-}epic$:
assumes $F : A \hookrightarrow_{mono\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$ **and** $F : A \hookrightarrow_{epic\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
shows $F : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.4 The inverse arrow

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}app\text{-}is\text{-}arr[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $f : a \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} b$
and $category \alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and $F : Hom \mathfrak{A} a b \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha Hom \mathfrak{B} c d$
shows $F(\text{ArrVal})(f) : c \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation (input) $converse\text{-}Set :: V \Rightarrow V (\langle (-^1_{Set}) \rangle [1000] 999)$
where $a^{-1}_{Set} \equiv a^{-1}_{Rel}$

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}the\text{-}inverse[cat\text{-}Set\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
shows $T^{-1} C_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha = T^{-1} Set$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}the\text{-}inverse\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
and $a \in_{\circ} A$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]: $T(\text{ArrVal})(a) = b$
shows $(T^{-1} C_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha)(\text{ArrVal})(b) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}app\text{-}the\text{-}inverse\text{-}is\text{-}arr[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $f : c \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}} d$
and $category \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
and $F : Hom \mathfrak{A} a b \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha Hom \mathfrak{B} c d$
shows $F^{-1} C_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha (\text{ArrVal})(f) : a \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}app\text{-}the\text{-}inverse\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $F : A \hookrightarrow_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} B$
shows $F(\text{ArrVal})(F^{-1} C_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha (\text{ArrVal})(b)) = b$

{proof}

lemma *cat-Set-the-inverse-app-app[cat-CS-simps]*:
assumes $F : A \hookrightarrow_{iso} cat\text{-}Set \alpha B$ **and** $a \in_0 A$
shows $F^{-1} C_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} (ArrVal)(F(ArrVal)(a)) = a$
{proof}

17.5 Conversion of a single-valued relation to an arrow in *Set*

17.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Set-arr-of-vsv* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}of\text{-}vsv f B = [f, D_0 f, B]_0$

Components.

lemma *cat-Set-arr-of-vsv-components*:
shows [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]: $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}of\text{-}vsv f B(ArrVal) = f$
and [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]: $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}of\text{-}vsv f B(ArrDom) = D_0 f$
and [*cat-CS-simps, cat-Set-CS-simps*]: $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}of\text{-}vsv f B(ArrCod) = B$
{proof}

17.5.2 Conversion of a single-valued relation to an arrow in *Set* is an arrow in *Set*

lemma (*in Z*) *cat-Set-arr-of-vsv-is-arr*:
assumes *vsv r*
and $R_0 r \subseteq_0 B$
and $D_0 r \in_0 cat\text{-}Set \alpha(Obj)$
and $B \in_0 cat\text{-}Set \alpha(Obj)$
shows $cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}of\text{-}vsv r B : D_0 r \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$
{proof}

17.6 Left restriction for *Set*

17.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *vlrestriction-Set* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (infixr $\lceil^l_{Set} \rceil$ 80)
where $T \lceil^l_{Set} C = [T(ArrVal) \lceil^l_0 C, C, T(ArrCod)]_0$

Components.

lemma *vlrestriction-Set-components*:
shows [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]: $(T \lceil^l_{Set} C)(ArrVal) = T(ArrVal) \lceil^l_0 C$
and [*cat-CS-simps, cat-Set-CS-simps*]: $(T \lceil^l_{Set} C)(ArrDom) = C$
and [*cat-CS-simps, cat-Set-CS-simps*]: $(T \lceil^l_{Set} C)(ArrCod) = T(ArrCod)$
{proof}

17.6.2 Arrow value

lemma *vlrestriction-Set-ArrVal-vdomain[cat-CS-simps]*:
assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$ **and** $C \subseteq_0 A$
shows $D_0 ((T \lceil^l_{Set} C)(ArrVal)) = C$
{proof}

lemma *vlrestriction-Set-ArrVal-app[cat-CS-simps]*:
assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$ **and** $C \subseteq_0 A$ **and** $x \in_0 C$
shows $(T \lceil^l_{Set} C)(ArrVal)(x) = T(ArrVal)(x)$
{proof}

17.6.3 Left restriction for Set is an arrow in Set

lemma *vlrestriction-Set-is-arr*:

assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$ **and** $C \subseteq_{\circ} A$

shows $T \upharpoonright_{Set} C : C \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vlrestriction-Set-is-monic-arr*:

assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{mon\text{-}cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$ **and** $C \subseteq_{\circ} A$

shows $T \upharpoonright_{Set} C : C \hookrightarrow_{mon\text{-}cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$

{proof}

17.7 Right restriction for Set

17.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *vrrestriction-Set* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ (infixr $\langle \upharpoonright_{Set}^r \rangle$ 80)

where $T \upharpoonright_{Set} C = [T(\text{ArrVal}) \upharpoonright^r C, T(\text{ArrDom}), C]_{\circ}$

Components.

lemma *vrrestriction-Set-components*:

shows [*cat-Set-cs-simps*]: $(T \upharpoonright_{Set} C)(\text{ArrVal}) = T(\text{ArrVal}) \upharpoonright^r C$

and [*cat-cs-simps, cat-Set-cs-simps*]: $(T \upharpoonright_{Set} C)(\text{ArrDom}) = T(\text{ArrDom})$

and [*cat-cs-simps, cat-Set-cs-simps*]: $(T \upharpoonright_{Set} C)(\text{ArrCod}) = C$

{proof}

17.7.2 Arrow value

lemma *vrrestriction-Set-ArrVal-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$ **and** $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(T(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_{\circ} C$

shows $(T \upharpoonright_{Set} C)(\text{ArrVal}) = T(\text{ArrVal})$

{proof}

17.7.3 Right restriction for Set is an arrow in Set

lemma *vrrestriction-Set-is-arr*:

assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(T(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_{\circ} C$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$

shows $T \upharpoonright_{Set} C : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha C$

{proof}

lemma *vrrestriction-Set-is-arr'*[*cat-cs-intros*]:

assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(T(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_{\circ} C$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $C' = C$

and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$

shows $T \upharpoonright_{Set} C : A \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}'} C'$

{proof}

17.7.4 Further properties

lemma

assumes $T : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$

shows *vrrestriction-Set-vrange-is-arr*:

$T \upharpoonright_{Set} \mathcal{R}_{\circ}(T(\text{ArrVal})) : A \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha \mathcal{R}_{\circ}(T(\text{ArrVal}))$

and *vrrestriction-Set-vrange-ArrVal-app*[*cat-cs-simps, cat-Set-cs-simps*]:

$(T \upharpoonright_{Set} \mathcal{R}_{\circ}(T(\text{ArrVal})))(\text{ArrVal}) = T(\text{ArrVal})$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) vrrestriction-Set-vrange-is-iso-arr:
assumes $T : A \mapsto_{moncat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
shows $T \upharpoonright_{Set} \mathcal{R}_o(T(\text{ArrVal})) : A \mapsto_{isocat\text{-}Set} \alpha \mathcal{R}_o(T(\text{ArrVal}))$
{proof}

17.7.5 Connections

lemma cat-Set-Comp-vrrestriction-Set:
assumes $S : B \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha C$
and $T : A \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$
and $\mathcal{R}_o(S(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_o D$
and $D \in_o cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $S \upharpoonright_{Set} D \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha T = (S \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha T) \upharpoonright_{Set} D$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) cat-Set-CId-vrrestriction-Set[cat-cs-simps]:
assumes $A \subseteq_o B$ **and** $B \in_o cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $cat\text{-}Set \alpha(CId)(A) \upharpoonright_{Set} B = incl\text{-}Set A B$
{proof}

lemma cat-Set-Comp-incl-Rel-vrrestriction-Set[cat-cs-simps]:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set} \alpha B$ **and** $C \subseteq_o B$ **and** $\mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_o C$
shows $incl\text{-}Rel C B \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha F \upharpoonright_{Set} C = F$
{proof}

17.8 Projection arrows for $vtimes$

17.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition vfst-arrow :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $vfst\text{-}arrow A B = [(\lambda ab \in_o A \times_o B. vfst ab), A \times_o B, A]_o$

definition vsnd-arrow :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B = [(\lambda ab \in_o A \times_o B. vsnd ab), A \times_o B, B]_o$

Components.

lemma vfst-arrow-components:
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrVal}) = (\lambda ab \in_o A \times_o B. vfst ab)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $vfst\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrDom}) = A \times_o B$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $vfst\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrCod}) = A$
{proof}

lemma vsnd-arrow-components:
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrVal}) = (\lambda ab \in_o A \times_o B. vsnd ab)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrDom}) = A \times_o B$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrCod}) = B$
{proof}

17.8.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}components(1)$
 $|vsv vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}vsv[\text{cat-cs-intros}]|$
 $|vdomain vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}vdomain[\text{cat-cs-simps}]|$
 $|app vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}app'|$

mk-VLambda $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}components(1)$
 $|vsv vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}vsv[\text{cat-cs-intros}]|$

$|vdomain\ vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\ vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]|$
 $|app\ vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\ app'|$

lemma $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $ab = \langle a, b \rangle$ **and** $ab \in_{\circ} A \times_{\circ} B$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrVal})(ab) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}vrangle: \mathcal{R}_{\circ} (vfst\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_{\circ} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $ab = \langle a, b \rangle$ **and** $ab \in_{\circ} A \times_{\circ} B$
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrVal})(ab) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}vrangle: \mathcal{R}_{\circ} (vsnd\text{-}arrow A B(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_{\circ} B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.8.3 Projection arrows are arrows in the category Set

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}Vset$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A B : A \times_{\circ} B \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A B : A \times_{\circ} B \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr'[cat\text{-}rel\text{-}par\text{-}Set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} B$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A B : AB \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}'} A'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $[cat\text{-}rel\text{-}par\text{-}Set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros] = \mathcal{Z}.vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr\text{-}Vset$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B : A \times_{\circ} B \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow A B : A \times_{\circ} B \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Set\text{-}arr'[cat\text{-}rel\text{-}par\text{-}Set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} B$
and $B' = B$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$

shows *vsnd-arrow A B : AB ↪_{C'} B'*
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-rel-par-Set-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Set-arr}'$

17.8.4 Projection arrows are arrows in the category *Par*

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vfst-arrow-is-cat-Par-arr:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows *vfst-arrow A B : A ×_o B ↪_{cat-Par α} A*
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vfst-arrow-is-cat-Par-arr' [cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros]:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} B$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Par } \alpha$
shows *vfst-arrow A B : AB ↪_{C'} A'*
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vfst-arrow-is-cat-Par-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Par-arr:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows *vsnd-arrow A B : A ×_o B ↪_{cat-Par α} B*
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Par-arr' [cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros]:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} B$
and $B' = B$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Par } \alpha$
shows *vsnd-arrow A B : AB ↪_{C'} B'*
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Par-arr}'$

17.8.5 Projection arrows are arrows in the category *Rel*

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vfst-arrow-is-cat-Rel-arr:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows *vfst-arrow A B : A ×_o B ↪_{cat-Rel α} A*
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vfst-arrow-is-cat-Rel-arr' [cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros]:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} B$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
shows *vfst-arrow A B : AB ↪_{C'} A'*
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vfst-arrow-is-cat-Rel-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Rel-arr:*

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{vsnd-arrow } A B : A \times_{\circ} B \mapsto_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Rel-arr}'[\text{cat-Rel-par-set-CS-intros}]$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} B$
and $B' = B$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
shows $\text{vsnd-arrow } A B : AB \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [$\text{cat-Rel-par-set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Rel-arr}'$

17.8.6 Projection arrows are isomorphisms in the category Set

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vfst-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr-Vset}$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{Vset } \alpha$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \text{Vset } \alpha$
shows $\text{vfst-arrow } A (\text{set } \{b\}) : A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\} \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Set } \alpha} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vfst-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{vfst-arrow } A (\text{set } \{b\}) : A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\} \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Set } \alpha} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vfst-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'[\text{cat-rel-par-Set-CS-intros}]$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\}$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{vfst-arrow } A (\text{set } \{b\}) : AB \mapsto_{\text{iso } \mathfrak{C}'} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [$\text{cat-rel-par-Set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vfst-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr-Vset}$:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \text{Vset } \alpha$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{Vset } \alpha$
shows $\text{vsnd-arrow } (\text{set } \{a\}) B : \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Set } \alpha} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}$:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{vsnd-arrow } (\text{set } \{a\}) B : \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B \mapsto_{\text{iso cat-Set } \alpha} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'[\text{cat-rel-par-Set-CS-intros}]$:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{vsnd-arrow } (\text{set } \{a\}) B : AB \mapsto_{\text{iso } \mathfrak{C}'} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [$\text{cat-rel-par-Set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'$

17.8.7 Projection arrows are isomorphisms in the category Par

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Par\text{-}iso\text{-}arr$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A (\text{set } \{b\}) : A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\} \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} cat\text{-}Par \alpha A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Par\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$ [$cat\text{-}rel\text{-}Par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\}$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Par \alpha$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A (\text{set } \{b\}) : AB \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} \mathfrak{C}' A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}rel\text{-}Par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = $\mathcal{Z}.vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Par\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Par\text{-}iso\text{-}arr$:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow (\text{set } \{a\}) B : \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} cat\text{-}Par \alpha B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Par\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$ [$cat\text{-}rel\text{-}Par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Par \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Par \alpha$
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow (\text{set } \{a\}) B : AB \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} \mathfrak{C}' B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}rel\text{-}Par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = $\mathcal{Z}.vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Par\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$

17.8.8 Projection arrows are isomorphisms in the category Rel

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}iso\text{-}arr$:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A (\text{set } \{b\}) : A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\} \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$ [$cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $AB = A \times_{\circ} \text{set } \{b\}$
and $A' = A$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
shows $vfst\text{-}arrow A (\text{set } \{b\}) : AB \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} \mathfrak{C}' A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = $\mathcal{Z}.vfst\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}iso\text{-}arr$:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $vsnd\text{-}arrow (\text{set } \{a\}) B : \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $vsnd\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}iso\text{-}arr'$ [$cat\text{-}Rel\text{-}par\text{-}set\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$

```

and  $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ 
and  $AB = \text{set } \{a\} \times_{\circ} B$ 
and  $A' = A$ 
and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$ 
shows  $\text{vsnd-arrow}(\text{set } \{a\}) B : AB \mapsto_{\text{iso}} \mathfrak{C}' B$ 
{proof}

```

lemmas [*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{vsnd-arrow-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}'$

17.9 Projection arrow for *vproduct*

definition $\text{vprojection-arrow} :: V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $\text{vprojection-arrow } I A i = [\text{vprojection } I A i, (\prod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i), A i]_{\circ}$

Components.

lemma *vprojection-arrow-components*:
shows $\text{vprojection-arrow } I A i(\text{ArrVal}) = \text{vprojection } I A i$
and $\text{vprojection-arrow } I A i(\text{ArrDom}) = (\prod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i)$
and $\text{vprojection-arrow } I A i(\text{ArrCod}) = A i$
{proof}

17.9.1 Projection arrow value

mk-VLambda *vprojection-arrow-components(1)*[unfolded *vprojection-def*]
|*vsv vprojection-arrow-ArrVal-vsv*[*cat-Set-cs-intros*]]
|*vdomain vprojection-arrow-ArrVal-vdomain*[*cat-Set-cs-simps*]]
|*app vprojection-arrow-ArrVal-app*[*cat-Set-cs-simps*]]

17.9.2 Projection arrow is an arrow in the category *Set*

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *arr-Set-vprojection-arrow*:
assumes $i \in_{\circ} I$ **and** $V\text{Lambda } I A \in_{\circ} V\text{set } \alpha$
shows $\text{arr-Set } \alpha (\text{vprojection-arrow } I A i)$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vprojection-arrow-is-arr*:
assumes $i \in_{\circ} I$ **and** $V\text{Lambda } I A \in_{\circ} V\text{set } \alpha$
shows $\text{vprojection-arrow } I A i : (\prod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i) \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} A i$
{proof}

17.10 Canonical injection arrow for *vdunion*

definition $\text{vcinjection-arrow} :: V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $\text{vcinjection-arrow } I A i = [\text{vcinjection } A i, A i, (\coprod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i)]_{\circ}$

Components.

lemma *vcinjection-arrow-components*:
shows $\text{vcinjection-arrow } I A i(\text{ArrVal}) = \text{vcinjection } A i$
and $\text{vcinjection-arrow } I A i(\text{ArrDom}) = A i$
and $\text{vcinjection-arrow } I A i(\text{ArrCod}) = (\coprod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i)$
{proof}

17.10.1 Canonical injection arrow value

mk-VLambda *vcinjection-arrow-components(1)*[unfolded *vcinjection-def*]
|*vsv vcinjection-arrow-ArrVal-vsv*[*cat-Set-cs-intros*]]
|*vdomain vcinjection-arrow-ArrVal-vdomain*[*cat-Set-cs-simps*]]
|*app vcinjection-arrow-ArrVal-app*[*cat-Set-cs-simps*]]

17.10.2 Canonical injection arrow is an arrow in the category Set

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) arr- $Set$ -vcinjection-arrow:
  assumes  $i \in_{\circ} I$  and  $V\Lambda I A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  shows arr- $Set$   $\alpha$  (vcinjection-arrow  $I A i$ )
  {proof}

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) vcinjection-arrow-is-arr:
  assumes  $i \in_{\circ} I$  and  $V\Lambda I A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  shows vcinjection-arrow  $I A i : A i \mapsto_{cat-Set \alpha} (\coprod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i)$ 
  {proof}

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) vcinjection-arrow-is-arr' [cat-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $i \in_{\circ} I$ 
  and  $V\Lambda I A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ 
  and  $A' = A i$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{C}' = cat-Set \alpha$ 
  and  $P' = (\coprod_{i \in_{\circ} I} A i)$ 
  shows vcinjection-arrow  $I A i : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} P'$ 
  {proof}

```

17.11 Product arrow value for Rel

17.11.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

definition prod-2-Rel-ArrVal ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  where prod-2-Rel-ArrVal  $S T =$ 
    set  $\{\langle\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \mid a b c d. \langle a, c \rangle \in_{\circ} S \wedge \langle b, d \rangle \in_{\circ} T\}$ 

lemma small-prod-2-Rel-ArrVal [simp]:
  small  $\{\langle\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle \mid a b c d. \langle a, c \rangle \in_{\circ} S \wedge \langle b, d \rangle \in_{\circ} T\}$ 
  (is small ? $S$ )
  {proof}

```

Rules.

```

lemma prod-2-Rel-ArrValI:
  assumes  $ab-cd = \langle\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle\rangle$ 
  and  $\langle a, c \rangle \in_{\circ} S$ 
  and  $\langle b, d \rangle \in_{\circ} T$ 
  shows  $ab-cd \in_{\circ} prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma prod-2-Rel-ArrValD [dest]:
  assumes  $\langle\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle\rangle \in_{\circ} prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T$ 
  shows  $\langle a, c \rangle \in_{\circ} S$  and  $\langle b, d \rangle \in_{\circ} T$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma prod-2-Rel-ArrValE [elim!]:
  assumes  $ab-cd \in_{\circ} prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T$ 
  obtains  $a b c d$  where  $ab-cd = \langle\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle\rangle$ 
  and  $\langle a, c \rangle \in_{\circ} S$ 
  and  $\langle b, d \rangle \in_{\circ} T$ 
  {proof}

```

Elementary properties

```

lemma prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vsubset-vprod:
  prod-2-Rel-ArrVal  $S T \subseteq_{\circ} ((\mathcal{D}_{\circ} S \times_{\circ} \mathcal{D}_{\circ} T) \times_{\circ} (\mathcal{R}_{\circ} S \times_{\circ} \mathcal{R}_{\circ} T))$ 
  {proof}

```

lemma *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vbrelation*: *vbrelation* (*prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T*)
{proof}

lemma *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vdomain*: \mathcal{D}_\circ (*prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T*) = \mathcal{D}_\circ *S* \times_\circ \mathcal{D}_\circ *T*
{proof}

lemma *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vrange*: \mathcal{R}_\circ (*prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T*) = \mathcal{R}_\circ *S* \times_\circ \mathcal{R}_\circ *T*
{proof}

17.11.2 Further properties

lemma

assumes *vsv g* and *vsv f*
shows *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vsv*: *vsv* (*prod-2-Rel-ArrVal g f*)
and *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-app*:
 $\wedge a b. [\![a \in_\circ \mathcal{D}_\circ g; b \in_\circ \mathcal{D}_\circ f]\!] \implies$
prod-2-Rel-ArrVal g f($\langle a, b \rangle$) = $\langle g(a), f(b) \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-v11*:

assumes *v11 g* and *v11 f*
shows *v11* (*prod-2-Rel-ArrVal g f*)
{proof}

lemma *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vcomp*:

prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S' T' o prod-2-Rel-ArrVal S T =
prod-2-Rel-ArrVal (S' o S) (T' o T)
{proof}

lemma *prod-2-Rel-ArrVal-vid-on*[*cat-cs-simps*]:

prod-2-Rel-ArrVal (vid-on A) (vid-on B) = *vid-on* (*A* \times_\circ *B*)
{proof}

17.12 Product arrow for *Rel*

17.12.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *prod-2-Rel* :: *V* \Rightarrow *V* \Rightarrow *V* (**infixr** $\langle_{A \times_{Rel}} \rangle$ 80)

where *prod-2-Rel S T* =

[
prod-2-Rel-ArrVal (S(ArrVal)) (T(ArrVal)),
S(ArrDom) x_\circ T(ArrDom),
S(ArrCod) x_\circ T(ArrCod)
]._o

abbreviation (*input*) *prod-2-Par* :: *V* \Rightarrow *V* \Rightarrow *V* (**infixr** $\langle_{A \times_{Par}} \rangle$ 80)

where *prod-2-Par* \equiv *prod-2-Rel*

abbreviation (*input*) *prod-2-Set* :: *V* \Rightarrow *V* \Rightarrow *V* (**infixr** $\langle_{A \times_{Set}} \rangle$ 80)

where *prod-2-Set* \equiv *prod-2-Rel*

Components.

lemma *prod-2-Rel-components*:

shows $(S \underset{A \times_{Rel}}{\underset{\circ}{\cdot}} T)(ArrVal) = \text{prod-2-Rel-ArrVal}(S(ArrVal))(T(ArrVal))$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $(S \underset{A \times_{Rel}}{\underset{\circ}{\cdot}} T)(ArrDom) = S(ArrDom) \times_\circ T(ArrDom)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $(S \underset{A \times_{Rel}}{\underset{\circ}{\cdot}} T)(ArrCod) = S(ArrCod) \times_\circ T(ArrCod)$
{proof}

17.12.2 Product arrow for Rel is an arrow in Rel

lemma *prod-2-Rel-is-cat-Rel-arr*:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$ **and** $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} D$
shows $S \times_{\text{Rel}} T : A \times_{\circ} C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B \times_{\circ} D$
(proof)

lemma *prod-2-Rel-is-cat-Rel-arr'*[*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*]:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$
and $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} D$
and $A' = A \times_{\circ} C$
and $B' = B \times_{\circ} D$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
shows $S \times_{\text{Rel}} T : A' \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
(proof)

17.12.3 Product arrow for Rel is an arrow in Set

lemma *prod-2-Rel-app*[*cat-rel-par-Set-cs-simps*]:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$
and $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} D$
and $a \in_{\circ} A$
and $c \in_{\circ} C$
and $ac = \langle a, c \rangle$
shows $(S \times_{\text{Set}} T)(\text{ArrVal})(ac) = \langle S(\text{ArrVal})(a), T(\text{ArrVal})(c) \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *prod-2-Rel-is-cat-Set-arr*:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$ **and** $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} D$
shows $S \times_{\text{Set}} T : A \times_{\circ} C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B \times_{\circ} D$
(proof)

lemma *prod-2-Rel-is-cat-Set-arr'*[*cat-rel-par-Set-cs-intros*]:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$
and $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} D$
and $AC = A \times_{\circ} C$
and $BD = B \times_{\circ} D$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $S \times_{\text{Set}} T : AC \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}'} BD$
(proof)

17.12.4 Product arrow for Rel is an isomorphism in Set

lemma *prod-2-Rel-is-cat-Set-iso-arr*:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{isocat-Set } \alpha} B$ **and** $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{isocat-Set } \alpha} D$
shows $S \times_{\text{Set}} T : A \times_{\circ} C \hookrightarrow_{\text{isocat-Set } \alpha} B \times_{\circ} D$
(proof)

lemma *prod-2-Rel-is-cat-Set-iso-arr'*[*cat-rel-par-Set-cs-intros*]:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{isocat-Set } \alpha} B$
and $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{isocat-Set } \alpha} D$
and $AC = A \times_{\circ} C$
and $BD = B \times_{\circ} D$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $S \times_{\text{Set}} T : AC \hookrightarrow_{\text{isocat-Set } \alpha} BD$
(proof)

17.12.5 Further elementary properties

lemma *prod-2-Rel-Comp*:

assumes $G' : B' \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B''$
and $F' : A' \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} A''$
and $G : B \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B'$
and $F : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} A'$

shows

$$G' \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} F' \circ_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} G \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} F = \\ (G' \circ_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} G) \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} (F' \circ_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} F)$$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *prod-2-Rel-CId[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

shows

$$(\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A)) \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(B)) = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A \times_{\circ} B)$$

{proof}

lemma *cf-dag-Rel-ArrMap-app-prod-2-Rel*:

assumes $S : A \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} B$ **and** $T : C \hookrightarrow_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} D$

shows

$$\dagger_{C \cdot \text{Rel } \alpha(\text{ArrMap})}(S \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} T) = \\ (\dagger_{C \cdot \text{Rel } \alpha(\text{ArrMap})}(S)) \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} (\dagger_{C \cdot \text{Rel } \alpha(\text{ArrMap})}(T))$$

{proof}

17.13 Product functor for Rel

definition *cf-prod-2-Rel* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-prod-2-Rel* $\mathfrak{A} =$

$$[\begin{aligned} & (\lambda AB \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Obj}). AB(\emptyset) \times_{\circ} AB(1_N)), \\ & (\lambda ST \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Arr}). (ST(\emptyset)) \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} (ST(1_N))), \\ & \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A}, \\ & \mathfrak{A} \end{aligned}]_{\circ}$$

Components.

lemma *cf-prod-2-Rel-components*:

shows *cf-prod-2-Rel* $\mathfrak{A}(\text{ObjMap}) = (\lambda AB \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Obj}). AB(\emptyset) \times_{\circ} AB(1_N))$

and *cf-prod-2-Rel* $\mathfrak{A}(\text{ArrMap}) =$

$$(\lambda ST \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Arr}). (ST(\emptyset)) \underset{A \times_{\text{Rel}}}{\circ} (ST(1_N)))$$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-prod-2-Rel* $\mathfrak{A}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A}$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *cf-prod-2-Rel* $\mathfrak{A}(\text{HomCod}) = \mathfrak{A}$

{proof}

17.13.1 Object map

mk-VLambda *cf-prod-2-Rel-components(1)*

|vsv *cf-prod-2-Rel-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]|

|vdomain *cf-prod-2-Rel-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]|

lemma *cf-prod-2-Rel-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $AB = [A, B]_{\circ}$ **and** $AB \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Obj})$

shows $A \otimes_{HM.O} \text{cf-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{A} B = A \times_{\circ} B$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cf-prod-2-Rel-ObjMap-vrange*:

$\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\text{cf-prod-2-Rel } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

{proof}

17.13.2 Arrow map

mk-VLambda *cf-prod-2-Rel-components(2)*
|*vsv cf-prod-2-Rel-ArrMap-vsv[cat-CS-intros]*||
|*vdomain cf-prod-2-Rel-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-CS-simps]*||

lemma *cf-prod-2-Rel-ArrMap-app[cat-CS-simps]*:
assumes $GF = [G, F]_o$ **and** $GF \in_o (\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{A})(\text{Arr})$
shows $G \otimes_{H M . A} cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{A} F = G \underset{A \times_{Rel}}{\times} F$
{proof}

17.13.3 Product functor for *Rel* is a functor

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cf-prod-2-Rel-is-functor*:
cf-prod-2-Rel (cat-Rel α) : cat-Rel $\alpha \times_C$ cat-Rel $\alpha \mapsto_{C\alpha}$ cat-Rel α
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cf-prod-2-Rel-is-functor'[cat-CS-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-Rel } \alpha \times_C cat\text{-Rel } \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-Rel } \alpha$
and $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows *cf-prod-2-Rel (cat-Rel α) : $\mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$*
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-CS-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.cf\text{-prod-2-Rel-is-functor}'$

17.14 Product universal property arrow for *Set*

17.14.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Set-obj-prod-up :: $V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V$*
where *cat-Set-obj-prod-up I F A φ =*
 $[(\lambda a \in_o A. (\lambda i \in_o I. \varphi i(\text{ArrVal})(a))), A, (\prod_o i \in_o I. F i)]_o$

Components.

lemma *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-components*:
shows *cat-Set-obj-prod-up I F A $\varphi(\text{ArrVal})$ =*
 $(\lambda a \in_o A. (\lambda i \in_o I. \varphi i(\text{ArrVal})(a)))$
and [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]:
cat-Set-obj-prod-up I F A $\varphi(\text{ArrDom})$ = A
and [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]:
cat-Set-obj-prod-up I F A $\varphi(\text{ArrCod})$ = $(\prod_o i \in_o I. F i)$
{proof}

17.14.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-components(1)*
vsv cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-vsv[cat-Set-CS-intros]	
vdomain cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-vdomain[cat-Set-CS-simps]	
app cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-app	

lemma *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-vrange*:
assumes $\wedge i. i \in_o I \implies \varphi i : A \mapsto_{cat\text{-Set } \alpha} F i$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (cat\text{-Set-obj-prod-up I F A } \varphi(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_o (\prod_o i \in_o I. F i)$
{proof}

lemma *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-app-vdomain[cat-Set-CS-simps]*:
assumes $a \in_o A$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (cat\text{-Set-obj-prod-up I F A } \varphi(\text{ArrVal})(a)) = I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-app-component*[*cat-Set-CS-simps*]:
assumes $a \in_0 A$ **and** $i \in_0 I$
shows *cat-Set-obj-prod-up* $I F A \varphi(\text{ArrVal})(a)(i) = \varphi i(\text{ArrVal})(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-ArrVal-app-vrange*:
assumes $a \in_0 A$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} F i$
shows $\mathcal{R}_0(\text{cat-Set-obj-prod-up } I F A \varphi(\text{ArrVal})(a)) \subseteq_0 (\bigcup_{i \in_0 I} F i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.14.3 Product universal property arrow for *Set* is an arrow in *Set*

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cat-Set-obj-prod-up-cat-Set-is-arr*:
assumes $A \in_0 \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $V\text{Lambda } I F \in_0 V\text{set } \alpha$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} F i$
shows *cat-Set-obj-prod-up* $I F A \varphi : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} (\prod_{i \in_0 I} F i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.14.4 Further properties

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cat-Set-cf-comp-proj-obj-prod-up*:
assumes $A \in_0 \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $V\text{Lambda } I F \in_0 V\text{set } \alpha$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in_0 I \implies \varphi i : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} F i$
and $i \in_0 I$
shows
 $\varphi i = \text{vprojection-arrow } I F i \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha \text{ cat-Set-obj-prod-up } I F A \varphi$
 $(\text{is } \langle \varphi i = ?F_i \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha ?\varphi \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.15 Coproduct universal property arrow for *Set*

17.15.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up* :: $V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow (V \Rightarrow V) \Rightarrow V$
where *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up* $I F A \varphi =$
 $[(\lambda i x \in_0 (\coprod_{i \in_0 I} F i). \varphi(vfst ix)(\text{ArrVal})(vsnd ix)), (\coprod_{i \in_0 I} F i), A]$.

Components.

lemma *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-components*:
shows *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up* $I F A \varphi(\text{ArrVal}) =$
 $(\lambda i x \in_0 (\coprod_{i \in_0 I} F i). \varphi(vfst ix)(\text{ArrVal})(vsnd ix))$
and [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]:
 $\text{cat-Set-obj-coprod-up } I F A \varphi(\text{ArrDom}) = (\coprod_{i \in_0 I} F i)$
and [*cat-Set-CS-simps*]:
 $\text{cat-Set-obj-coprod-up } I F A \varphi(\text{ArrCod}) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.15.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-components*(1)
|*vsv cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-ArrVal-vsv*[*cat-Set-CS-intros*]|
|*vdomain cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-ArrVal-vdomain*[*cat-Set-CS-simps*]|
|*app cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-ArrVal-app*'|

lemma *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-ArrVal-app*[*cat-CS-simps*]:

assumes $ix = \langle i, x \rangle$ **and** $\langle i, x \rangle \in_{\circ} (\coprod_{i \in I} F i)$
shows $\text{cat-Set-obj-coprod-up } I F A \varphi(\text{ArrVal})(ix) = \varphi i(\text{ArrVal})(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-ArrVal-vrange*:
assumes $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \varphi i : F i \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} A$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ}(\text{cat-Set-obj-coprod-up } I F A \varphi(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.15.3 Coproduct universal property arrow for Set is an arrow in Set

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cat-Set-obj-coprod-up-cat-Set-is-arr*:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $V\text{Lambda } I F \in_{\circ} V\text{set } \alpha$
and $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \varphi i : F i \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} A$
shows $\text{cat-Set-obj-coprod-up } I F A \varphi : (\coprod_{i \in I} F i) \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.15.4 Further properties

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *cat-Set-cf-comp-coprod-up-vcia*:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $V\text{Lambda } I F \in_{\circ} V\text{set } \alpha$
and $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \varphi i : F i \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} A$
and $i \in I$
shows
 $\varphi i = \text{cat-Set-obj-coprod-up } I F A \varphi \circ_{\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha \text{ vcinjection-arrow } I F i$
 $(\text{is } \langle \varphi i = ?\varphi \circ_{\alpha} ?F i \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.16 Equalizer object for the category Set

The definition of the (non-categorical concept of an) equalizer can be found in [2]¹⁰

definition *vequalizer* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $\text{vequalizer } X f g = \text{set } \{x. x \in_{\circ} X \wedge f(\text{ArrVal})(x) = g(\text{ArrVal})(x)\}$

lemma *small-vequalizer[simp]*:
 $\text{small } \{x. x \in_{\circ} X \wedge f(\text{ArrVal})(x) = g(\text{ArrVal})(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Rules.

lemma *vequalizerI*:
assumes $x \in_{\circ} X$ **and** $f(\text{ArrVal})(x) = g(\text{ArrVal})(x)$
shows $x \in_{\circ} \text{vequalizer } X f g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vequalizerD[dest]*:
assumes $x \in_{\circ} \text{vequalizer } X f g$
shows $x \in_{\circ} X$ **and** $f(\text{ArrVal})(x) = g(\text{ArrVal})(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vequalizerE[elim]*:
assumes $x \in_{\circ} \text{vequalizer } X f g$
obtains $x \in_{\circ} X$ **and** $f(\text{ArrVal})(x) = g(\text{ArrVal})(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

¹⁰[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equaliser_\(mathematics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equaliser_(mathematics))

Elementary results.

lemma *vequalizer-vsubset-vdomain*[*cat-Set*-cs-intros]: *vequalizer a g f* \subseteq_{\circ} *a*
{proof}

lemma *Limit-vequalizer-in-Vset*[*cat-Set*-cs-intros]:

assumes *Limit* α **and** $a \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows *vequalizer a g f* $\in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemma *vequalizer-flip*: *vequalizer a f g* = *vequalizer a g f*
{proof}

lemma *cat-Set-incl-Set-commute*:

assumes $g : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} b$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} b$
shows
 $g \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha \text{ incl-Set } (\text{vequalizer } a f g) a =$
 $f \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha \text{ incl-Set } (\text{vequalizer } a f g) a$
(is $\langle g \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha ?\text{incl} = f \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha ?\text{incl} \rangle$)
{proof}

17.17 Application of a function to a finite sequence as an arrow in *Set*

definition *vfsequence-map* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *vfsequence-map F* =

$$[\lambda xs \in_{\circ} \text{vfsequences-on } (F(\text{ArrDom})). F(\text{ArrVal}) \circ_{\circ} xs,$$

$$\text{vfsequences-on } (F(\text{ArrDom})),$$

$$\text{vfsequences-on } (F(\text{ArrCod}))]$$

 $]_{\circ}$

Components.

lemma *vfsequence-map-components*:

shows *vfsequence-map F(* ArrVal *)* =
 $(\lambda xs \in_{\circ} \text{vfsequences-on } (F(\text{ArrDom})). F(\text{ArrVal}) \circ_{\circ} xs)$
and [*cat*-cs-simps]: *vfsequence-map F(* ArrDom *)* = *vfsequences-on* (*F(* ArrDom *)*)
and [*cat*-cs-simps]: *vfsequence-map F(* ArrCod *)* = *vfsequences-on* (*F(* ArrCod *)*)
{proof}

17.17.1 Arrow value

mk-VLambda *vfsequence-map-components*(1)

|*vsv vfsequence-map-ArrVal-vsv*[*cat*-cs-intros, *cat*-Set-cs-intros]|
|i*vdomain vfsequence-map-ArrVal-vdomain*[*cat*-cs-simps, *cat*-Set-cs-simps]|
|*app vfsequence-map-ArrVal-app*|

lemma *vfsequence-map-ArrVal-app-app*:

assumes $F : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$
and $xs \in_{\circ} \text{vfsequences-on } A$
and $i \in_{\circ} \mathcal{D}_{\circ} xs$
shows *vfsequence-map F(* ArrVal *)*(*xs*)(*i*) = *F(* ArrVal *)*(*xs(i)*)
{proof}

17.17.2 Application of a function to a finite sequence is an arrow in *Set*

lemma *vfsequence-map-is-arr*:

assumes $F : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$
shows *vfsequence-map F* : *vfsequences-on A* $\mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha}$ *vfsequences-on B*
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vfsequence-map-is-monocat-arr*:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{monocat-Set} \alpha B$
shows *vfsequence-map F* : *vfsequences-on A* $\mapsto_{monocat-Set} \alpha$ *vfsequences-on B*
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *vfsequence-map-is-epicat-arr*:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{epicat-Set} \alpha B$
shows *vfsequence-map F* : *vfsequences-on A* $\mapsto_{epicat-Set} \alpha$ *vfsequences-on B*
{proof}

lemma *vfsequence-map-is-iso-arr*:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{iso-Set} \alpha B$
shows *vfsequence-map F* : *vfsequences-on A* $\mapsto_{iso-Set} \alpha$ *vfsequences-on B*
{proof}

17.18 An injection from the range of an arrow in *Set* into its domain

17.18.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *vrange-iso* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *vrange-iso F* =

$$[\lambda y \in \mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal})). (\text{SOME } x. x \in_o F(\text{ArrDom}) \wedge y = F(\text{ArrVal})(x)),$$

$$\mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal})),$$

$$F(\text{ArrDom})]$$
 $]_o$

Components.

lemma *vrange-iso-components*:
shows *vrange-iso F(ArrVal)* =
 $(\lambda y \in \mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal})). (\text{SOME } x. x \in_o F(\text{ArrDom}) \wedge y = F(\text{ArrVal})(x)))$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *vrange-iso F(ArrDom)* = $\mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal}))$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *vrange-iso F(ArrCod)* = $F(\text{ArrDom})$
{proof}

17.18.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda *vrange-iso-components(1)*
|*vsv vrange-iso-ArrVal-vsv[cat-cs-intros]*|
|*vdomain vrange-iso-ArrVal-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*|
|*app vrange-iso-ArrVal-app*|

lemma *vrange-iso-ArrVal-rules*:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{cat-Set} \alpha B$ **and** $y \in_o \mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal}))$
shows *vrange-iso F(ArrVal)(y)* $\in_o A$
and $y = F(\text{ArrVal})(vrange-iso F(\text{ArrVal})(y))$
{proof}

17.18.3 An injection from the range of a function into its domain is a monic in *Set*

lemma *vrange-iso-is-arr*:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{cat-Set} \alpha B$
shows *vrange-iso F* : $\mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal})) \mapsto_{cat-Set} \alpha A$
{proof}

lemma *vrange-iso-is-arr'*:
assumes $F : A \mapsto_{cat-Set} \alpha B$
and $B' = \mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal}))$

```

and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
shows  $\text{vrange-iso } F : B' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} A$ 
(proof)

lemma  $\text{vrange-iso-is-monic-arr}$ :
assumes  $F : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$ 
shows  $\text{vrange-iso } F : \mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal})) \mapsto_{\text{mon cat-Set } \alpha} A$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma  $\text{vrange-iso-is-monic-arr}'$ :
assumes  $F : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} B$ 
and  $B' = \mathcal{R}_o(F(\text{ArrVal}))$ 
and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
shows  $\text{vrange-iso } F : B' \mapsto_{\text{mon } \mathfrak{C}'} A$ 
(proof)

```

17.19 Auxiliary

This subsection is reserved for insignificant helper lemmas and rules that are used in applied formalization elsewhere.

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ )  $\text{cat-Rel-CId-is-cat-Set-arr}$ :
assumes  $A \in_o \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ 
shows  $\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A) : A \mapsto_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} A$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ )  $\text{cat-Rel-CId-is-cat-Set-arr}'[\text{cat-rel-par-Set-CS-intros}]$ :
assumes  $A \in_o \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$ 
and  $B' = A$ 
and  $C' = A$ 
and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
shows  $\text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{CId})(A) : B' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} C'$ 
(proof)

```

18 GRPH

18.1 Background

The methodology for the exposition of *GRPH* as a category is analogous to the one used in [8] for the exposition of *GRPH* as a semicategory.

named-theorems *cat-GRPH-simps*
named-theorems *cat-GRPH-intros*

18.2 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-GRPH* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-GRPH* $\alpha =$

```
[  
  set {C. digraph α C},  
  all-dghms α,  
  (λF ∈ all-dghms α. F(HomDom)),  
  (λF ∈ all-dghms α. F(HomCod)),  
  (λG ∈ composable-arrs (dg-GRPH α). G(0) ∘DGHM G(1N)),  
  (λC ∈ set {C. digraph α C}. dghm-id C)  
].
```

Components.

lemma *cat-GRPH-components*:

```
shows cat-GRPH α(Obj) = set {C. digraph α C}  
and cat-GRPH α(Arr) = all-dghms α  
and cat-GRPH α(Dom) = (λF ∈ all-dghms α. F(HomDom))  
and cat-GRPH α(Cod) = (λF ∈ all-dghms α. F(HomCod))  
and cat-GRPH α(Comp) =  
  (λG ∈ composable-arrs (dg-GRPH α). G(0) ∘DGHM G(1N))  
and cat-GRPH α(CId) = (λC ∈ set {C. digraph α C}. dghm-id C)  
{proof}
```

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-GRPH*: *cat-smc* (*cat-GRPH* α) = *smc-GRPH* α
{proof}

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-GRPH*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:

- Digraph
- cat-GRPH-ObjI* = *smc-GRPH-ObjI*
- and** *cat-GRPH-ObjD* = *smc-GRPH-ObjD*
- and** *cat-GRPH-ObjE* = *smc-GRPH-ObjE*
- and** *cat-GRPH-Obj-iff*[*cat-GRPH-simps*] = *smc-GRPH-Obj-iff*
- and** *cat-GRPH-Dom-app*[*cat-GRPH-simps*] = *smc-GRPH-Dom-app*
- and** *cat-GRPH-Cod-app*[*cat-GRPH-simps*] = *smc-GRPH-Cod-app*
- and** *cat-GRPH-is-arrI* = *smc-GRPH-is-arrI*
- and** *cat-GRPH-is-arrD* = *smc-GRPH-is-arrD*
- and** *cat-GRPH-is-arrE* = *smc-GRPH-is-arrE*
- and** *cat-GRPH-is-arr-iff*[*cat-GRPH-simps*] = *smc-GRPH-is-arr-iff*

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-GRPH*, *unfolded slicing-simps*, *unfolded cat-smc-GRPH*]:

- Semicategory
- cat-GRPH-Comp-vdomain* = *smc-GRPH-Comp-vdomain*
- and** *cat-GRPH-composable-arrs-dg-GRPH* = *smc-GRPH-composable-arrs-dg-GRPH*
- and** *cat-GRPH-Comp* = *smc-GRPH-Comp*
- and** *cat-GRPH-Comp-app*[*cat-GRPH-simps*] = *smc-GRPH-Comp-app*

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [*folded cat-smc-GRPH, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

- Semicategory
- cat-GRPH-obj-initialI* = *smc-GRPH-obj-initialI*
- and** *cat-GRPH-obj-initialD* = *smc-GRPH-obj-initialD*
- and** *cat-GRPH-obj-initialE* = *smc-GRPH-obj-initialE*
- and** *cat-GRPH-obj-initial-iff*[*cat-GRPH-simps*] = *smc-GRPH-obj-initial-iff*
- and** *cat-GRPH-obj-terminalI* = *smc-GRPH-obj-terminalI*
- and** *cat-GRPH-obj-terminalE* = *smc-GRPH-obj-terminalE*

18.3 Identity

lemma *cat-GRPH-CId-app*[*cat-GRPH-simps*]:

- assumes** *digraph* α \mathfrak{C}
- shows** *cat-GRPH* $\alpha(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{C})$ = *dghm-id* \mathfrak{C}
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-GRPH-CId-vdomain*: \mathcal{D}_\circ (*cat-GRPH* $\alpha(\text{CId})$) = *set* { \mathfrak{C} . *digraph* α \mathfrak{C} }

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.4 GRPH is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *tiny-category-cat-GRPH*:

- assumes** \mathcal{Z} β **and** $\alpha \in_\circ \beta$
- shows** *tiny-category* β (*cat-GRPH* α)
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.5 Isomorphism

lemma *cat-GRPH-is-iso-arrI*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{DG.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{iso\text{cat-GRPH}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-GRPH-is-iso-arrD*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{iso\text{cat-GRPH}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{DG.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-GRPH-is-iso-arrE*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{iso\text{cat-GRPH}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- obtains** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{DG.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-GRPH-is-iso-arr-iff*[*cat-GRPH-simps*]:

- $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{iso\text{cat-GRPH}} \alpha \mathfrak{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{DG.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.6 Isomorphic objects

lemma *cat-GRPH-obj-isoI*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{DG\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{obj\text{cat-GRPH}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-GRPH-obj-isoD*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{obj\text{cat-GRPH}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{DG\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

(proof)

lemma *cat-GRPH-obj-isoE*:

assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{obj cat-GRPH} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$

obtains $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{DG\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

(proof)

lemma *cat-GRPH-obj-iso-iff*: $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{obj cat-GRPH} \alpha \mathfrak{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \approx_{DG\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

(proof)

19 *SemiCAT*

19.1 Background

The methodology for the exposition of *SemiCAT* as a category is analogous to the one used in [8] for the exposition of *SemiCAT* as a semicategory.

named-theorems *cat-SemiCAT-simps*
named-theorems *cat-SemiCAT-intros*

19.2 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-SemiCAT* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-SemiCAT* $\alpha =$

```
[  
  set {C. semicategory α C},  
  all-smcfs α,  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  all-smcfs  $\alpha$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)$ ),  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  all-smcfs  $\alpha$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$ ),  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{G} \in \circ$  composable-arrs (dg-SemiCAT  $\alpha$ ).  $\mathfrak{G}(\emptyset) \circ_{SMCF} \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{I}_N)$ ),  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{C} \in \circ$  set {C. semicategory α C}. smcf-id C)  
].
```

Components.

lemma *cat-SemiCAT-components*:

```
shows cat-SemiCAT  $\alpha(Obj) =$  set {C. semicategory α C}  
and cat-SemiCAT  $\alpha(Arr) =$  all-smcfs  $\alpha$   
and cat-SemiCAT  $\alpha(Dom) = (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  all-smcfs  $\alpha$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)$ )  
and cat-SemiCAT  $\alpha(Cod) = (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  all-smcfs  $\alpha$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$ )  
and cat-SemiCAT  $\alpha(Comp) =$   
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{G} \in \circ$  composable-arrs (dg-SemiCAT  $\alpha$ ).  $\mathfrak{G}(\emptyset) \circ_{SMCF} \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{I}_N)$ )  
and cat-SemiCAT  $\alpha(CId) = (\lambda \mathfrak{C} \in \circ$  set {C. semicategory α C}. smcf-id C)  
(proof)
```

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-SemiCAT*: *cat-smc* (*cat-SemiCAT* α) = *smc-SemiCAT* α
(proof)

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-SemiCAT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:

- Digraph
cat-SemiCAT-ObjI = *smc-SemiCAT-ObjI*
and *cat-SemiCAT-ObjD* = *smc-SemiCAT-ObjD*
and *cat-SemiCAT-ObjE* = *smc-SemiCAT-ObjE*
and *cat-SemiCAT-Obj-iff*[*cat-SemiCAT-simps*] = *smc-SemiCAT-Obj-iff*
and *cat-SemiCAT-Dom-app*[*cat-SemiCAT-simps*] = *smc-SemiCAT-Dom-app*
and *cat-SemiCAT-Cod-app*[*cat-SemiCAT-simps*] = *smc-SemiCAT-Cod-app*
and *cat-SemiCAT-is-arrI* = *smc-SemiCAT-is-arrI*
and *cat-SemiCAT-is-arrD* = *smc-SemiCAT-is-arrD*
and *cat-SemiCAT-is-arrE* = *smc-SemiCAT-is-arrE*
and *cat-SemiCAT-is-arr-iff*[*cat-SemiCAT-simps*] = *smc-SemiCAT-is-arr-iff*

lemmas-with [

- folded cat-smc-SemiCAT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*, *unfolded cat-smc-SemiCAT*
]:

- Semicategory
cat-SemiCAT-Comp-vdomain = *smc-SemiCAT-Comp-vdomain*
and *cat-SemiCAT-composable-arrs-dg-SemiCAT* =
smc-SemiCAT-composable-arrs-dg-SemiCAT

and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-Comp} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-Comp}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-Comp-app}[\text{cat-SemiCAT-simps}] = \text{smc-SemiCAT-Comp-app}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-Comp-vrange} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-Comp-vrange}$

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [folded cat-smc-SemiCAT, unfolded slicing-simps]:

— Semicategory
 $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-initialI} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-obj-initialI}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-initialD} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-obj-initialD}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-initialE} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-obj-initialE}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-initial-iff}[\text{cat-SemiCAT-simps}] =$
 $\text{smc-SemiCAT-obj-initial-iff}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-terminalI} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-obj-terminalI}$
and $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-terminalE} = \text{smc-SemiCAT-obj-terminalE}$

19.3 Identity

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-CId-app}[\text{cat-SemiCAT-simps}]$:

assumes semicategory α \mathfrak{C}
shows $\text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{C}) = \text{smcf-id } \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-CId-vdomain}[\text{cat-SemiCAT-simps}]$:

$\mathcal{D}_\circ(\text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha(\text{CId})) = \text{set } \{\mathfrak{C} \text{ semicategory } \alpha \mathfrak{C}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-CId-vrange}: \mathcal{R}_\circ(\text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha(\text{CId})) \subseteq_\circ \text{all-smcfs } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.4 SemiCAT is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) tiny-category-cat-SemiCAT:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \epsilon_\circ \beta$
shows tiny-category β ($\text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.5 Isomorphism

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-is-iso-arrI}$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow_{iso} \text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-is-iso-arrD}$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow_{iso} \text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-is-iso-arrE}$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow_{iso} \text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-is-iso-arr-iff}[\text{cat-SemiCAT-simps}]$:

$\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{iso} \text{cat-SemiCAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{SMC.iso\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.6 Isomorphic objects

lemma $\text{cat-SemiCAT-obj-isoI}$:

assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{objcat-SemiCAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-SemiCAT-obj-isoD*:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{objcat-SemiCAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-SemiCAT-obj-isoE*:
assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{objcat-SemiCAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
obtains $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cat-SemiCAT-obj-iso-iff[cat-SemiCAT-simps]*:
 $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{objcat-SemiCAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \approx_{SMC\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20 CAT as a digraph

20.1 Background

CAT is usually defined as a category of categories and functors (e.g., see Chapter I-2 in [7]). However, there is little that can prevent one from exposing CAT as a digraph and provide additional structure gradually in subsequent theories. Thus, in this section, α - CAT is defined as a digraph of categories and functors in the set V_α , and α - Cat is defined as a digraph of tiny categories and tiny functors in V_α .

named-theorems $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}simps$
named-theorems $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}intros$

20.2 Definition and elementary properties

definition $dg\text{-}CAT :: V \Rightarrow V$

where $dg\text{-}CAT \alpha =$

```
[  
  set {C. category α C},  
  all-cfs α,  
  (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomDom)),  
  (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomCod))  
].
```

Components.

lemma $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}components$:

```
shows dg-CAT α(Obj) = set {C. category α C}  
and dg-CAT α(Arr) = all-cfs α  
and dg-CAT α(Dom) = (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomDom))  
and dg-CAT α(Cod) = (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomCod))  
{proof}
```

20.3 Object

lemma $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}ObjI$:

```
assumes category α A  
shows A ∈ dg-CAT α(Obj)  
{proof}
```

lemma $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}ObjD$:

```
assumes A ∈ dg-CAT α(Obj)  
shows category α A  
{proof}
```

lemma $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}ObjE$:

```
assumes A ∈ dg-CAT α(Obj)  
obtains category α A  
{proof}
```

lemma $dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}Obj\text{-}iff$ [$dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}simps$]: $A ∈ dg\text{-}CAT \alpha(Obj) \leftrightarrow \text{category } \alpha A$
{proof}

20.4 Domain and codomain

lemma [$dg\text{-}CAT\text{-}simps$]:

```
assumes F ∈ all-cfs α  
shows dg-CAT-Dom-app: dg-CAT α(Dom)(F) = F(HomDom)  
and dg-CAT-Cod-app: dg-CAT α(Cod)(F) = F(HomCod)  
{proof}
```

20.5 CAT is a digraph

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *tiny-category-dg-CAT*:
 assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \epsilon_{\circ} \beta$
 shows *tiny-digraph* β (*dg-CAT* α)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.6 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma *dg-CAT-is-arrI*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow_{dg\text{-}CAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dg-CAT-is-arrD*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow_{dg\text{-}CAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 shows $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dg-CAT-is-arrE*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow_{dg\text{-}CAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 obtains $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dg-CAT-is-arr-iff*[*dg-CAT-simps*]:
 $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow_{dg\text{-}CAT} \alpha \mathfrak{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

21 CAT as a semicategory

21.1 Background

The subsection presents the theory of the semicategories of α -categories. It continues the development that was initiated in section 20.

named-theorems *smc-CAT-simps*
named-theorems *smc-CAT-intros*

21.2 Definition and elementary properties

definition *smc-CAT* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *smc-CAT* α =

```
[  
  set {C. category α C},  
  all-cfs α,  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  all-cfs  $\alpha$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)$ ),  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  all-cfs  $\alpha$ .  $\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$ ),  
  ( $\lambda \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \in \circ$  composable-arrs (dg-CAT  $\alpha$ ).  $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F}(0) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F}(1_N)$ )  
]
```

Components.

lemma *smc-CAT-components*:

```
shows smc-CAT  $\alpha(Obj) = set\{C. category\alpha C\}$   
and smc-CAT  $\alpha(Arr) = all-cfs\alpha$   
and smc-CAT  $\alpha(Dom) = (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ all-cfs\alpha. \mathfrak{F}(HomDom))$   
and smc-CAT  $\alpha(Cod) = (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ all-cfs\alpha. \mathfrak{F}(HomCod))$   
and smc-CAT  $\alpha(Comp) = (\lambda \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \in \circ composable-arrs(dg-CAT\alpha). \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F}(0) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F}(1_N))$   
(proof)
```

Slicing.

lemma *smc-dg-CAT*: $smc-dg(smc-CAT\alpha) = dg-CAT\alpha$
(proof)

lemmas-with [*folded smc-dg-CAT, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

```
smc-CAT-ObjI = dg-CAT-ObjI  
and smc-CAT-ObjD = dg-CAT-ObjD  
and smc-CAT-ObjE = dg-CAT-ObjE  
and smc-CAT-Obj-iff[smc-CAT-simps] = dg-CAT-Obj-iff  
and smc-CAT-Dom-app[smc-CAT-simps] = dg-CAT-Dom-app  
and smc-CAT-Cod-app[smc-CAT-simps] = dg-CAT-Cod-app  
and smc-CAT-is-arrI = dg-CAT-is-arrI  
and smc-CAT-is-arrD = dg-CAT-is-arrD  
and smc-CAT-is-arrE = dg-CAT-is-arrE  
and smc-CAT-is-arr-iff[smc-CAT-simps] = dg-CAT-is-arr-iff
```

21.3 Composable arrows

lemma *smc-CAT-composable-arrs-dg-CAT*:
 $composable-arrs(dg-CAT\alpha) = composable-arrs(smc-CAT\alpha)$
(proof)

lemma *smc-CAT-Comp*:

```
smc-CAT  $\alpha(Comp) = (\lambda \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \in \circ composable-arrs(smc-CAT\alpha). \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F}(0) \circ_{SMCF} \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F}(1_N))$   
(proof)
```

21.4 Composition

lemma *smc-CAT-Comp-app*[*smc-CAT-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{smc\text{-}CAT} \alpha$ \mathfrak{C} **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{smc\text{-}CAT} \alpha$ \mathfrak{B}

shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_A smc\text{-}CAT \alpha \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{SMCF} \mathfrak{F}$

{proof}

lemma *smc-CAT-Comp-vdomain*: $\mathcal{D}_\circ (smc\text{-}CAT \alpha(\text{Comp})) = \text{composable-arrs} (smc\text{-}CAT \alpha)$
{proof}

lemma *smc-CAT-Comp-vrange*: $\mathcal{R}_\circ (smc\text{-}CAT \alpha(\text{Comp})) \subseteq_\circ \text{all-cfs} \alpha$
{proof}

21.5 CAT is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *tiny-semicategory-smc-CAT*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_\circ \beta$

shows *tiny-semicategory* β (*smc-CAT* α)

{proof}

21.6 Initial object

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *smc-CAT-obj-initialI*: *obj-initial* (*smc-CAT* α) *cat-0*

— See [1]¹¹.

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *smc-CAT-obj-initialD*:

assumes *obj-initial* (*smc-CAT* α) \mathfrak{A}

shows $\mathfrak{A} = \text{cat-0}$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *smc-CAT-obj-initialE*:

assumes *obj-initial* (*smc-CAT* α) \mathfrak{A}

obtains $\mathfrak{A} = \text{cat-0}$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *smc-CAT-obj-initial-iff*[*smc-CAT-simps*]:

obj-initial (*smc-CAT* α) $\mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = \text{cat-0}$

{proof}

21.7 Terminal object

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *smc-CAT-obj-terminalI*:

— See [1]¹².

assumes $a \in_\circ Vset \alpha$ **and** $f \in_\circ Vset \alpha$

shows *obj-terminal* (*smc-CAT* α) (*cat-1* $a f$)

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *smc-CAT-obj-terminalE*:

assumes *obj-terminal* (*smc-CAT* α) \mathfrak{B}

obtains $a f$ **where** $a \in_\circ Vset \alpha$ **and** $f \in_\circ Vset \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{B} = \text{cat-1} a f$

{proof}

¹¹<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/initial+object>

¹²<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/terminal+object>

22 CAT

22.1 Background

The subsection presents the theory of the categories of α -categories. It continues the development that was initiated in sections 20-21.

named-theorems *cat-CAT-simps*
named-theorems *cat-CAT-intros*

22.2 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-CAT* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-CAT* $\alpha =$

```
[  
  set {C. category α C},  
  all-cfs α,  
  (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomDom)),  
  (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomCod)),  
  (λG ∈ composable-arrs (dg-CAT α). G(0) ∘_{CF} G(1_N)),  
  (λC ∈ set {C. category α C}. cf-id C)  
].
```

Components.

lemma *cat-CAT-components*:

```
shows cat-CAT α(Obj) = set {C. category α C}  
and cat-CAT α(Arr) = all-cfs α  
and cat-CAT α(Dom) = (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomDom))  
and cat-CAT α(Cod) = (λF ∈ all-cfs α. F(HomCod))  
and cat-CAT α(Comp) =  
  (λG ∈ composable-arrs (dg-CAT α). G(0) ∘_{CF} G(1_N))  
and cat-CAT α(CId) = (λC ∈ set {C. category α C}. cf-id C)  
{proof}
```

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-CAT*: *cat-smc* (*cat-CAT* α) = *smc-CAT* α
{proof}

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-CAT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:

- Digraph
- cat-CAT-ObjI* = *smc-CAT-ObjI*
- and** *cat-CAT-ObjD* = *smc-CAT-ObjD*
- and** *cat-CAT-ObjE* = *smc-CAT-ObjE*
- and** *cat-CAT-Obj-iff*[*cat-CAT-simps*] = *smc-CAT-Obj-iff*
- and** *cat-CAT-Dom-app*[*cat-CAT-simps*] = *smc-CAT-Dom-app*
- and** *cat-CAT-Cod-app*[*cat-CAT-simps*] = *smc-CAT-Cod-app*
- and** *cat-CAT-is-arrI* = *smc-CAT-is-arrI*
- and** *cat-CAT-is-arrD* = *smc-CAT-is-arrD*
- and** *cat-CAT-is-arrE* = *smc-CAT-is-arrE*
- and** *cat-CAT-is-arr-iff*[*cat-CAT-simps*] = *smc-CAT-is-arr-iff*

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-CAT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*, *unfolded cat-smc-CAT*]:

- Semicategory
- cat-CAT-Comp-vdomain* = *smc-CAT-Comp-vdomain*
- and** *cat-CAT-composable-arrs-dg-CAT* = *smc-CAT-composable-arrs-dg-CAT*
- and** *cat-CAT-Comp* = *smc-CAT-Comp*
- and** *cat-CAT-Comp-app*[*cat-CAT-simps*] = *smc-CAT-Comp-app*
- and** *cat-CAT-Comp-vrange* = *smc-CAT-Comp-vrange*

lemmas-with (in \mathcal{Z}) [*folded cat-smc-CAT, unfolded slicing-simps*]:

- Semicategory
- cat-CAT-obj-initialI* = *smc-CAT-obj-initialI*
- and** *cat-CAT-obj-initialD* = *smc-CAT-obj-initialD*
- and** *cat-CAT-obj-initialE* = *smc-CAT-obj-initialE*
- and** *cat-CAT-obj-initial-iff[cat-CAT-simps]* = *smc-CAT-obj-initial-iff*
- and** *cat-CAT-obj-terminalI* = *smc-CAT-obj-terminalI*
- and** *cat-CAT-obj-terminalE* = *smc-CAT-obj-terminalE*

22.3 Identity

lemma *cat-CAT-CId-app[cat-CAT-simps]*:

- assumes** *category* α \mathfrak{C}
- shows** *cat-CAT* $\alpha(\text{CId})(\mathfrak{C})$ = *cf-id* \mathfrak{C}
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-CAT-CId-vdomain*: \mathcal{D}_\circ (*cat-CAT* $\alpha(\text{CId})$) = *set* { \mathfrak{C} . *category* α \mathfrak{C} }

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-CAT-CId-vrange*: \mathcal{R}_\circ (*cat-CAT* $\alpha(\text{CId})$) \subseteq_\circ *all-cfs* α

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.4 CAT is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *tiny-category-cat-CAT*:

- assumes** \mathcal{Z} β **and** $\alpha \in_\circ \beta$
- shows** *tiny-category* β (*cat-CAT* α)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.\text{tiny-category-cat-CAT}$

22.5 Isomorphism

lemma *cat-CAT-is-iso-arrI*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{\text{iso cat-CAT}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-CAT-is-iso-arrD*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{\text{iso cat-CAT}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-CAT-is-iso-arrE*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{\text{iso cat-CAT}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- obtains** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-CAT-is-iso-arr-iff[cat-CAT-simps]*:

- $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{\text{iso cat-CAT}} \alpha \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.\text{iso}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.6 Isomorphic objects

lemma *cat-CAT-obj-isoI*:

- assumes** $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
- shows** $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{\text{obj cat-CAT}} \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
- $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cat-CAT-obj-isoD*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{\text{obj}} \text{cat-CAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 shows $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma *cat-CAT-obj-isoE*:
 assumes $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{\text{obj}} \text{cat-CAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B}$
 obtains $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma *cat-CAT-obj-iso-iff[cat-CAT-simps]*:
 $\mathfrak{A} \approx_{\text{obj}} \text{cat-CAT } \alpha \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \approx_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

23 FUNCT and *Funct* as digraphs

23.1 Background

A general reference for this section is Chapter II-4 in [7].

```
named-theorems dg-FUNCT-CS-simps
named-theorems dg-FUNCT-CS-intros
named-theorems cat-map-CS-simps
named-theorems cat-map-CS-intros
named-theorems cat-map-extra-CS-simps
```

23.2 Functor map

23.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
definition cf-map :: V ⇒ V
  where cf-map  $\mathfrak{F} = [\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}), \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})]$ .
```

```
abbreviation cf-maps :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V
  where cf-maps  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv \text{set } \{cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$ 
```

```
abbreviation tm-cf-maps :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V
  where tm-cf-maps  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv \text{set } \{cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\}$ 
```

```
lemma tm-cf-maps-subset-cf-maps:
  {cf-map  $\mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\} \subseteq \{cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F}. \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Components.

```
lemma cf-map-components[cat-map-CS-simps]:
  shows cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})$ 
    and cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Sequence characterization.

```
lemma dg-FUNCT-Obj-components:
  shows [FOM, FAM]  $\circ (\text{ObjMap}) = FOM$ 
    and [FOM, FAM]  $\circ (\text{ArrMap}) = FAM$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cf-map-vfsequence[cat-map-CS-intros]: vfsequence (cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cf-map-vdomain[cat-map-CS-simps]:  $\mathcal{D}_\circ (cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}) = \mathcal{Z}_\mathbb{N}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in is-functor) cf-map-vsubset-cf: cf-map  $\mathfrak{F} \sqsubseteq_\circ \mathfrak{F}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Size.

```
lemma (in is-functor) cf-map-ObjMap-in-Vset:
  assumes  $\alpha \in_\circ \beta$ 
  shows cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) \in_\circ Vset \beta$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-map-ObjMap-in-Vset: cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}) \in_\circ Vset \alpha$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma (in is-functor) cf-map-ArrMap-in-Vset:
assumes $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows cf-map $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \in_0 Vset \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-map-ArrMap-in-Vset: cf-map $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap}) \in_0 Vset \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) cf-map-in-Vset-4: cf-map $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 Vset (\alpha + 4_{\mathbb{N}})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-map-in-Vset: cf-map $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 Vset \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-map-in-Vset:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows cf-map $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 Vset \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-maps-subset-Vset:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows $\{ \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \} \subseteq \text{elts} (Vset \beta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma small-cf-maps[simp]: small $\{ \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma small-tm-cf-maps[simp]: small $\{ \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F} \mid \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B} \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) cf-maps-in-Vset:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows cf-maps $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \in_0 Vset \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) tm-cf-maps-vsubset-Vset: tm-cf-maps $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \subseteq_0 Vset \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Rules.

lemma (in is-functor) cf-mapsI: cf-map $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 \text{cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-mapsI: cf-map $\mathfrak{F} \in_0 \text{tm-cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-mapsI':
assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{F}' \in_0 \text{cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-mapsI':
assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{F}' \in_0 \text{tm-cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-map-cs-intros] =
is-functor.cf-mapsI

```

lemmas cf-mapsI'[cat-map-cs-intros] =
  is-functor.cf-mapsI'[rotated]

lemmas [cat-map-cs-intros] =
  is-tm-functor.tm-cf-mapsI

lemmas tm-cf-mapsI'[cat-map-cs-intros] =
  is-tm-functor.tm-cf-mapsI'[rotated]

lemma cf-mapsE[elim]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} \in_{\circ} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  obtains  $\mathfrak{G}$  where  $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  {proof}

lemma tm-cf-mapsE[elim]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} \in_{\circ} tm\text{-cf-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  obtains  $\mathfrak{G}$  where  $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C.tma} \mathcal{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

The opposite functor map.

```

lemma (in is-functor) cf-map-op-cf[cat-op-simps]: cf-map (op-cf  $\mathfrak{F}$ ) = cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemmas [cat-op-simps] = is-functor.cf-map-op-cf
```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma tm-cf-maps-vsubset-cf-maps: tm-cf-maps  $\alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \subseteq_{\circ} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma tm-cf-maps-in-cf-maps:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} \in_{\circ} tm\text{-cf-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} \in_{\circ} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma cf-map-inj:
  assumes cf-map  $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma cf-map-eq-iff[cat-map-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows cf-map  $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma cf-map-eqI:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{F} \in_{\circ} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{G} \in_{\circ} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap) = \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap) = \mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}$ 
  {proof}

```

23.3 Conversion of a functor map to a functor

23.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
definition cf-of-cf-map ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
```

where $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} = [\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap), \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap), \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}]$.

Components.

lemma *cf-of-cf-map-components*:

shows $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap) = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)$
and $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap) = \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)$
and $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) = \mathfrak{B}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-extra-cs-simps*] = *cf-of-cf-map-components(1–2)*
lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *cf-of-cf-map-components(3–4)*

23.3.2 The conversion of a functor map to a functor is a functor

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-of-cf-map-is-functor*:

$cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-of-cf-map-is-functor'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$
shows $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-intros*] = *is-functor.cf-of-cf-map-is-functor'*

23.3.3 The value of the conversion of a functor map to a functor

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-of-cf-map-of-cf-map[cat-map-cs-simps]*:

$cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.cf-of-cf-map-of-cf-map*

23.4 Natural transformation arrow

23.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *ntcf-arrow* :: $V \Rightarrow V$

where $ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N} = [\mathfrak{N}(NTMap), cf\text{-}map (\mathfrak{N}(NTDom)), cf\text{-}map (\mathfrak{N}(NTCod))]$.

abbreviation *ntcf-arrows* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $ntcf\text{-}arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv$
 $\text{set } \{ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$

abbreviation *tm-ntcf-arrows* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $tm\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \equiv$
 $\text{set } \{ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\}$

lemma *tm-ntcf-arrows-subset-ntcf-arrows*:

$\{ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\} \subseteq$
 $\{ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Components.

lemma *ntcf-arrow-components*:

shows [*cat-map-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$
and *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}) = \text{cf-map } (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}))$
and *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}) = \text{cf-map } (\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}))$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrow-components'*:
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$
and *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}) = \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}) = \text{cf-map } \mathfrak{G}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-arrow-components'*(2,3)

Elementary properties.

lemma *dg-FUNCT-Arr-components*:
shows [*NTM*, *NTD*, *NTC*] $_{\circ}(\text{NTMap}) = \text{NTM}$
and [*NTM*, *NTD*, *NTC*] $_{\circ}(\text{NTDom}) = \text{NTD}$
and [*NTM*, *NTD*, *NTC*] $_{\circ}(\text{NTCod}) = \text{NTC}$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-arrow-vfsequence*[*cat-map-cs-intros*]: *vfsequence* (*ntcf-arrow* \mathfrak{N})
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-arrow-vdomain*[*cat-map-cs-simps*]: $\mathcal{D}_{\circ}(\text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{N}) = \beta_{\mathbb{N}}$
{proof}

Size.

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrow-NTMap-in-Vset*:
assumes $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}) \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-tm-ntcf*) *tm-ntcf-arrow-NTMap-in-Vset*:
ntcf-arrow $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrow-NTDom-in-Vset*:
assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}) \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-tm-ntcf*) *tm-ntcf-arrow-NTDom-in-Vset*:
ntcf-arrow $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrow-NTCod-in-Vset*:
assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}) \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-tm-ntcf*) *tm-ntcf-arrow-NTCod-in-Vset*:
ntcf-arrow $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}) \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
{proof}

lemma (**in** *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrow-in-Vset*:
assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$
{proof}

lemma (in *is-tm-ntcf*) *tm-ntcf-arrow-in-Vset*: *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
{proof}

lemma *ntcf-arrows-subset-Vset*:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows
 $\{ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\} \subseteq elts (Vset \beta)$
{proof}

lemma *tm-ntcf-arrows-subset-Vset*:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows
 $\{ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\} \subseteq elts (Vset \beta)$
{proof}

lemma *small-ntcf-arrows*[simp]:
small $\{ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}\}$
{proof}

lemma *small-tm-ntcf-arrows*[simp]:
small $\{ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N} \mid \mathfrak{N}. \exists \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}\}$
{proof}

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrow-in-Vset-7*: *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} Vset (\alpha + \gamma_{\mathbb{N}})$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *ntcf-arrows-in-Vset*:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
shows *ntcf-arrows* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \in_{\circ} Vset \beta$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *tm-ntcf-arrows-vsubset-Vset*: *tm-ntcf-arrows* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
{proof}

Rules.

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrowsI*: *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma (in *is-tm-ntcf*) *tm-ntcf-arrowsI*: *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma (in *is-ntcf*) *ntcf-arrowsI'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' \in_{\circ} ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemma (in *is-tm-ntcf*) *tm-ntcf-arrowsI'*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' \in_{\circ} tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-intros*] =
is-ntcf.ntcf-arrowsI

lemmas *ntcf-arrowsI'*[*cat-map-cs-intros*] =
is-ntcf.ntcf-arrowsI'[*rotated*]

```

lemmas [cat-map-cs-intros] =
  is-tm-ntcf.tm-ntcf-arrowsI

lemmas tm-ntcf-arrowsI'[cat-map-cs-intros] =
  is-tm-ntcf.tm-ntcf-arrowsI'[rotated]

lemma ntcf-arrowsE[elim]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  obtains  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$  where  $\mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  (proof)

lemma tm-ntcf-arrowsE[elim]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  obtains  $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$  where  $\mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{M}$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF,tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C,tm\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  (proof)

Elementary properties.

lemma tm-ntcf-arrows-vsubset-ntcf-arrows:
   $\text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \subseteq_{\circ} \text{ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  (proof)

lemma tm-ntcf-arrows-in-cf-arrows[cat-map-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
  (proof)

lemma ntcf-arrow-inj:
  assumes  $\text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{M} = \text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}$ 
  (proof)

lemma ntcf-arrow-eq-iff[cat-map-cs-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathcal{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{M} = \text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{N} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}$ 
  (proof)

lemma ntcf-arrow-eqI:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{M} \in_{\circ} \text{ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{ntcf-arrows } \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{NTMap}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{NTDom}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})$ 
    and  $\mathfrak{M}(\text{NTCod}) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod})$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}$ 
  (proof)

```

23.5 Conversion of a natural transformation arrow to a natural transformation

23.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

```

definition ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
  where ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow  $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{N} =$ 
    [
       $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap}),$ 

```

$cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$,
 $cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$,
 \mathfrak{A} ,
 \mathfrak{B}
 $]_o$.

Components.

lemma *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-components*:

shows $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap) = \mathfrak{N}(NTMap)$
and $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
and $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
and $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{A}$
and $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}(NTDGCDom) = \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-extra-cs-simps*] = *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-components(1)*
lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-components(2–5)*

23.5.2 The conversion of a natural transformation arrow to a natural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-is-ntcf*:

$ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}) : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-is-ntcf'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$
shows $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-intros*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-is-ntcf'*

23.5.3 The composition of the conversion of a natural transformation arrow to a natural transformation

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow[cat-map-cs-simps]*:

$ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}) = \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow*

23.6 Composition of the natural transformation arrows

definition *ntcf-arrow-vcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $ntcf\text{-}arrow\text{-}vcomp \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N} =$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N})$

syntax *-ntcf-arrow-vcomp* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

$(\langle \langle \langle \langle \langle \cdot_{NTCF}, \cdot \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle [55, 56, 57, 58] 55)$

syntax-consts *-ntcf-arrow-vcomp* $\doteq ntcf\text{-}arrow\text{-}vcomp$

translations $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} \doteq CONST ntcf\text{-}arrow\text{-}vcomp \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N}$

Components.

lemma (in is-ntcf) *ntcf-arrow-vcomp-components*:

$(ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N})(NTMap) = (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTMap)$
 $(ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N})(NTDom) = cf\text{-}map ((\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})(NTDom))$
 $(ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{M})(NTCod) = cf\text{-}map ((\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M})(NTCod))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *is-ntcf.ntcf-arrow-vcomp-components*

Elementary properties.

lemma *ntcf-arrow-vcomp-ntcf-vcomp*[*cat-map-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} = \text{ntcf-arrow}(\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})$
(proof)

23.7 Identity natural transformation arrow

definition *ntcf-arrow-id* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *ntcf-arrow-id* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} = \text{ntcf-arrow}(\text{ntcf-id } (\text{cf-of-cf-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}))$

Components.

lemma (in is-functor) *ntcf-arrow-id-components*:

$(\text{ntcf-arrow-id } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}))(\text{NTMap}) = \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}(\text{NTMap})$
 $(\text{ntcf-arrow-id } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}))(\text{NTDom}) = \text{cf-map } (\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}(\text{NTDom}))$
 $(\text{ntcf-arrow-id } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}))(\text{NTCod}) = \text{cf-map } (\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}(\text{NTCod}))$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-map-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.ntcf-arrow-id-components*

Identity natural transformation arrow is a natural transformation arrow.

lemma *ntcf-arrow-id-ntcf-id*[*cat-map-cs-simps*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *ntcf-arrow-id* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}) = \text{ntcf-arrow}(\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F})$
(proof)

23.8 FUNCT

23.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *dg-FUNCT* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} =$

[
 $\text{cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B},$
 $\text{ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B},$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom})),$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}))$
]._o

lemmas [*dg-FUNCT-cs-simps*] = *cat-map-cs-simps*

lemmas [*dg-FUNCT-cs-intros*] = *cat-map-cs-intros*

Components.

lemma *dg-FUNCT-components*:

shows *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj}) = \text{cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr}) = \text{ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Dom}) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}))$
and *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod}) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}))$
(proof)

23.8.2 Objects

lemma (in is-functor) *dg-FUNCT-ObjI*: $\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F} \in \circ \text{dg-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
(proof)

23.8.3 Domain and codomain

mk-VLambda *dg-FUNCT-components(3)*

|*vsv dg-FUNCT-Dom-vsv*[*dg-FUNCT-CS-intros*]||
|*vdomain dg-FUNCT-Dom-vdomain*[*dg-FUNCT-CS-simps*]||

mk-VLambda *dg-FUNCT-components(4)*

|*vsv dg-FUNCT-Cod-vsv*[*dg-FUNCT-CS-intros*]||
|*vdomain dg-FUNCT-Cod-vdomain*[*dg-FUNCT-CS-simps*]||

lemma (in is-ntcf)

shows *dg-FUNCT-Dom-app*: *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom)(ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}) = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and *dg-FUNCT-Cod-app*: *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod)(ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}) = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$
(*proof*)

lemma (in is-ntcf)

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$
shows *dg-FUNCT-Dom-app'*: *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom)(\mathfrak{N}') = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and *dg-FUNCT-Cod-app'*: *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod)(\mathfrak{N}') = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$
(*proof*)

lemmas [*dg-FUNCT-CS-simps*] =

is-ntcf.dg-FUNCT-Dom-app'
is-ntcf.dg-FUNCT-Cod-app'

lemma

shows *dg-FUNCT-Dom-vrange*: $\mathcal{R}_o (dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom)) \subseteq_o dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
and *dg-FUNCT-Cod-vrange*: $\mathcal{R}_o (dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod)) \subseteq_o dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
(*proof*)

23.8.4 FUNCT is a tiny digraph

lemma (in Z) tiny-digraph-dg-FUNCT:

assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \epsilon_o \beta$
shows *tiny-digraph* β (*dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$)
(*proof*)

23.8.5 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma dg-FUNCT-is-arrI:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} : cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{dg\text{-FUNCT}} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$
(*proof*)

lemma dg-FUNCT-is-arrI':

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{dg\text{-FUNCT}} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}'$
(*proof*)

lemmas [*dg-FUNCT-CS-intros*] = *dg-FUNCT-is-arrI'*

lemma dg-FUNCT-is-arrD[dest]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{dg\text{-FUNCT}} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$
shows *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} :$
 $cf\text{-of}-cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} cf\text{-of}-cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-arrow } (ntcf\text{-of-ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N})$

and $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map} (cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-map} (cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dg\text{-FUNCT-is-arrE[elim]}:$
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \hookrightarrow dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$
obtains $\mathfrak{N}' \mathfrak{F}' \mathfrak{G}'$
where $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \hookrightarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}'$
and $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}'$
and $\mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

23.9 *Funct*

23.9.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $dg\text{-Funct} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} =$

[
tm-cf-maps $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$,
tm-ntcf-arrows $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm\text{-}ntcf\text{-arrows} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm\text{-}ntcf\text{-arrows} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
]._o

Components.

lemma $dg\text{-Funct-components}:$

shows $dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Obj) = tm\text{-}cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and $dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Arr) = tm\text{-}ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and $dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm\text{-}ntcf\text{-arrows} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
and $dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm\text{-}ntcf\text{-arrows} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

23.9.2 Objects

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $dg\text{-Funct-ObjI}: cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \in_0 dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

23.9.3 Domain and codomain

mk-VLambda $dg\text{-Funct-components(3)}$

|vsv $dg\text{-Funct-Dom-vsv}[dg\text{-FUNCT-CS-intros}]$ ||
|vdomain $dg\text{-Funct-Dom-vdomain}[dg\text{-FUNCT-CS-simps}]$ ||

mk-VLambda $dg\text{-Funct-components(4)}$

|vsv $dg\text{-Funct-Cod-vsv}[dg\text{-FUNCT-CS-intros}]$ ||
|vdomain $dg\text{-Funct-Cod-vdomain}[dg\text{-FUNCT-CS-simps}]$ ||

lemma (in is-tm-ntcf)

shows $dg\text{-Funct-Dom-app}: dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom)(ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}) = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and $dg\text{-Funct-Cod-app}: dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod)(ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}) = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-tm-ntcf)

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$
shows $dg\text{-Funct-Dom-app'}: dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom)(\mathfrak{N}') = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and $dg\text{-Funct-Cod-app'}: dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod)(\mathfrak{N}') = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*dg-FUNCT*-*cs-simps*] =
is-tm-ntcf.dg-Funct-Dom-app'
is-tm-ntcf.dg-Funct-Cod-app'

lemma

shows *dg-Funct-Dom-vrange*: \mathcal{R}_\circ (*dg-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Dom})$) \subseteq_\circ *dg-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and *dg-Funct-Cod-vrange*: \mathcal{R}_\circ (*dg-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod})$) \subseteq_\circ *dg-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

23.9.4 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma *dg-Funct-is-arrI*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} : cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{dg\text{-Funct}} \mathfrak{G}$
{proof}

lemma *dg-Funct-is-arrI'*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{dg\text{-Funct}} \mathfrak{G}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*dg-FUNCT*-*cs-intros*] = *dg-Funct-is-arrI'*

lemma *dg-Funct-is-arrD[dest]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{dg\text{-Funct}} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$
shows *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}$:
cf-of-cf-map $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm} cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-arrow} (ntcf\text{-of-}ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N})$
and $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map} (cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-map} (cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G})$
{proof}

lemma *dg-Funct-is-arrE[elim]*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{dg\text{-Funct}} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$
obtains $\mathfrak{N}' \mathfrak{F}' \mathfrak{G}'$ **where** $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}'$
and $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}'$
and $\mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}'$
{proof}

23.9.5 *Funct* is a digraph

lemma *digraph-dg-Funct*:

assumes *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
shows *digraph* α (*dg-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$)
{proof}

23.9.6 *Funct* is a subdigraph of *FUNCT*

lemma *subdigraph-dg-Funct-dg-FUNCT*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \epsilon_\circ \beta$ **and** *tiny-category* $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
shows *dg-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{DG\beta} dg\text{-FUNCT}$ $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

24 FUNCT and Funct as semicategories

24.1 Background

The subsection presents the theory of the semicategories of α -functors between two α -categories. It continues the development that was initiated in section 23. A general reference for this section is Chapter II-4 in [7].

named-theorems *smc-FUNCT-CS-simps*
named-theorems *smc-FUNCT-CS-intros*

lemmas [*smc-FUNCT-CS-simps*] = *cat-map-CS-simps*
lemmas [*smc-FUNCT-CS-intros*] = *cat-map-CS-intros*

24.2 FUNCT

24.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *smc-FUNCT* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ =

$$[\begin{array}{l} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}, \\ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}, \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom)), \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod)), \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{composable-arrs } (dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(I_N)) \end{array}]_0.$$

Components.

lemma *smc-FUNCT-components*:

shows *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$ = *cf-maps* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$ = *ntcf-arrows* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Dom})$ = $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
and *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod})$ = $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
and *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp})$ =
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{composable-arrs } (dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(I_N))$
{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *smc-dg-FUNCT*: *smc-dg* (*smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$) = *dg-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

context *is-ntcf*
begin

lemmas-with [*folded smc-dg-FUNCT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:
smc-FUNCT-Dom-app = *dg-FUNCT-Dom-app*
and *smc-FUNCT-Cod-app* = *dg-FUNCT-Cod-app*

end

lemmas [*smc-FUNCT-CS-simps*] =
is-ntcf.smc-FUNCT-Dom-app
is-ntcf.smc-FUNCT-Cod-app

lemmas-with [*folded smc-dg-FUNCT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:
smc-FUNCT-Dom-vsv[intro] = *dg-FUNCT-Dom-vsv*
and *smc-FUNCT-Dom-vdomain[smc-FUNCT-CS-simps]* = *dg-FUNCT-Dom-vdomain*

and *smc-FUNCT-Cod-vsv[intro]* = *dg-FUNCT-Cod-vsv*
and *smc-FUNCT-Cod-vdomain[smc-FUNCT-CS-simps]* = *dg-FUNCT-Cod-vdomain*
and *smc-FUNCT-Dom-vrange* = *dg-FUNCT-Dom-vrange*
and *smc-FUNCT-Cod-vrange* = *dg-FUNCT-Cod-vrange*
and *smc-FUNCT-is-arrI* = *dg-FUNCT-is-arrI*
and *smc-FUNCT-is-arrI'[smc-FUNCT-CS-intros]* = *dg-FUNCT-is-arrI'*
and *smc-FUNCT-is-arrD* = *dg-FUNCT-is-arrD*
and *smc-FUNCT-is-arrE[elim]* = *dg-FUNCT-is-arrE*

24.2.2 Composable arrows

lemma *smc-FUNCT-composable-arrs-dg-FUNCT*:
composable-arrs (dg-FUNCT α A B) = *composable-arrs (smc-FUNCT α A B)*
{proof}

lemma *smc-FUNCT-Comp*:
smc-FUNCT α A B(Comp) =
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{G} \in \circ \text{composable-arrs } (\text{smc-FUNCT } \alpha \text{ A B}). \mathfrak{G}(0) \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}(1_N))$
{proof}

24.2.3 Composition

lemma *smc-FUNCT-Comp-vsv[intro]*: *vsv (smc-FUNCT α A B(Comp))*
{proof}

lemma *smc-FUNCT-Comp-vdomain*:
 $\mathcal{D}_o(\text{smc-FUNCT } \alpha \text{ A B}(Comp))$ = *composable-arrs (smc-FUNCT α A B)*
{proof}

lemma *smc-FUNCT-Comp-app[smc-FUNCT-CS-simps]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto \text{smc-FUNCT } \alpha \text{ A B } \mathfrak{H}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto \text{smc-FUNCT } \alpha \text{ A B } \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \circ_A \text{smc-FUNCT } \alpha \text{ A B } \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}$
{proof}

lemma *smc-FUNCT-Comp-vrange*: $\mathcal{R}_o(\text{smc-FUNCT } \alpha \text{ A B}(Comp)) \subseteq ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \text{ A B}$
{proof}

24.2.4 FUNCT is a semicategory

lemma (in Z) tiny-semicategory-smc-FUNCT:
assumes $Z \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_o \beta$
shows *tiny-semicategory β (smc-FUNCT α A B)*
{proof}

24.3 Funct

24.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *smc-Funct :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V*

where *smc-Funct α A B* =
 $[$
tm-cf-maps α A B,
tm-ntcf-arrows α A B,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \alpha \text{ A B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \circ \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \alpha \text{ A B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ \text{composable-arrs } (dg\text{-Funct } \alpha \text{ A B}). \mathfrak{M}(0) \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{M}(1_N))$
 $]$.

Components.

lemma *smc-Funct-components*:
shows $\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj}) = \text{tm-cf-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr}) = \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Dom}) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTDom}))$
and $\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod}) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in \text{tm-ntcf-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTCod}))$
and $\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp}) =$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N} \in \text{composable-arrs } (\text{dg-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N}(\emptyset) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N}(I_N))$
{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *smc-dg-Funct*: $\text{smc-dg } (\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}) = \text{dg-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

context *is-tm-ntcf*
begin

lemmas-with [*folded smc-dg-Funct, unfolded slicing-simps*]:
 $\text{smc-Funct-Dom-app} = \text{dg-Funct-Dom-app}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-Cod-app} = \text{dg-Funct-Cod-app}$

end

lemmas [*smc-FUNCT-CS-simps*] =
 $\text{is-tm-ntcf.smc-Funct-Dom-app}$
 $\text{is-tm-ntcf.smc-Funct-Cod-app}$

lemmas-with [*folded smc-dg-Funct, unfolded slicing-simps*]:
 $\text{smc-Funct-Dom-vsv[intro]} = \text{dg-Funct-Dom-vsv}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-Dom-vdomain[smc-FUNCT-CS-simps]} = \text{dg-Funct-Dom-vdomain}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-Cod-vsv[intro]} = \text{dg-Funct-Cod-vsv}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-Cod-vdomain[smc-FUNCT-CS-simps]} = \text{dg-Funct-Cod-vdomain}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-Dom-vrange} = \text{dg-Funct-Dom-vrange}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-Cod-vrange} = \text{dg-Funct-Cod-vrange}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-is-arrI} = \text{dg-Funct-is-arrI}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-is-arrI}'[\text{smc-FUNCT-CS-intros}] = \text{dg-Funct-is-arrI}'$
and $\text{smc-Funct-is-arrD} = \text{dg-Funct-is-arrD}$
and $\text{smc-Funct-is-arrE[elim]} = \text{dg-Funct-is-arrE}$

24.3.2 Composable arrows

lemma *smc-Funct-composable-arrs-dg-FUNCT*:
 $\text{composable-arrs } (\text{dg-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}) = \text{composable-arrs } (\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
{proof}

lemma *smc-Funct-Comp*:
 $\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp}) =$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F} \in \text{composable-arrs } (\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}(\emptyset) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}(I_N))$
{proof}

24.3.3 Composition

lemma *smc-Funct-Comp-vsv[intro]*: $vsv \ (\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp}))$
{proof}

lemma *smc-Funct-Comp-vdomain*:
 $\mathcal{D}_o \ (\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp})) = \text{composable-arrs } (\text{smc-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
{proof}

```

lemma smc-Funct-Comp-app[smc-FUNCT-CS-simps]:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow_{smc\text{-Funct}} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \hookrightarrow_{smc\text{-Funct}} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{M} \circ_A smc\text{-Funct} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{N}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma smc-Funct-Comp-vrange:
  assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{R}_o(smc\text{-Funct} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp})) \subseteq_{\circ} tm\text{-ntcf-arrows} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

24.3.4 *Funct* is a semicategory

```

lemma semicategory-smc-Funct:
  assumes tiny-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows semicategory  $\alpha (smc\text{-Funct} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$  (is ⟨semicategory  $\alpha ?Funct$ ⟩)
  {proof}

```

24.3.5 *Funct* is a subsemicategory of *FUNCT*

```

lemma subsemicategory-smc-Funct-smc-FUNCT:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$  and  $\alpha \in_o \beta$  and tiny-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows smc-Funct  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{SMC\beta} smc\text{-FUNCT} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

25 FUNCT and Funct

25.1 Background

The subsection presents the theory of the categories of α -functors between two α -categories. It continues the development that was initiated in sections 23 and 24. A general reference for this section is Chapter II-4 in [7].

named-theorems *cat-FUNCT-CS-SIMPS*
named-theorems *cat-FUNCT-CS-INTROS*

lemmas (in *is-functor*) [*cat-FUNCT-CS-SIMPS*] = *cat-map-CS-SIMPS*
lemmas (in *is-functor*) [*cat-FUNCT-CS-INTROS*] = *cat-map-CS-INTROS*

lemmas [*cat-FUNCT-CS-SIMPS*] = *cat-map-CS-SIMPS*
lemmas [*cat-FUNCT-CS-INTROS*] = *cat-map-CS-INTROS*

25.2 FUNCT

25.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-FUNCT* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ =

$$[\begin{array}{l} cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}, \\ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}, \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom)), \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod)), \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ \text{composable-arrs } (dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(I_N)), \\ (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. ntcf\text{-arrow-id } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}) \end{array}]_o.$$

Components.

lemma *cat-FUNCT-components*:

shows [*cat-FUNCT-CS-SIMPS*]: *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$ = *cf-maps* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$ = *ntcf-arrows* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Dom})$ = $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
and *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Cod})$ = $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ ntcf\text{-arrows } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
and *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Comp})$ =
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \in \circ \text{composable-arrs } (dg\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(I_N))$
and *cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{CID})$ = $(\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ cf\text{-maps } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. ntcf\text{-arrow-id } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$
⟨proof⟩

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-FUNCT*: *cat-smc* (*cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$) = *smc-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
⟨proof⟩

context *is-ntcf*
begin

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-FUNCT*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:
cat-FUNCT-Dom-app = *smc-FUNCT-Dom-app*
and *cat-FUNCT-Cod-app* = *smc-FUNCT-Cod-app*

end

lemmas [*smc-FUNCT-CS-SIMPS*] =
is-ntcf.cat-FUNCT-Dom-app

is-ntcf.cat-FUNCT-Cod-app

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-FUNCT, unfolded slicing-simps*]:
cat-FUNCT-Dom-vsv[intro] = smc-FUNCT-Dom-vsv
and *cat-FUNCT-Dom-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = smc-FUNCT-Dom-vdomain*
and *cat-FUNCT-Cod-vsv[intro] = smc-FUNCT-Cod-vsv*
and *cat-FUNCT-Cod-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = smc-FUNCT-Cod-vdomain*
and *cat-FUNCT-Dom-vrange = smc-FUNCT-Dom-vrange*
and *cat-FUNCT-Cod-vrange = smc-FUNCT-Cod-vrange*
and *cat-FUNCT-is-arrI = smc-FUNCT-is-arrI*
and *cat-FUNCT-is-arrI'[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros] = smc-FUNCT-is-arrI'*
and *cat-FUNCT-is-arrD = smc-FUNCT-is-arrD*
and *cat-FUNCT-is-arrE[elim] = smc-FUNCT-is-arrE*

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-FUNCT, unfolded slicing-simps*]:
cat-FUNCT-Comp-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = smc-FUNCT-Comp-app

25.2.2 Identity

mk-VLambda *cat-FUNCT-components(6)*
|*vsv cat-FUNCT-CId-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]*|
|*vdomain cat-FUNCT-CId-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]*|
|*app cat-FUNCT-CId-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]*|

lemma *smc-FUNCT-CId-vrange: \mathcal{R}_o (*cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(CId)$) \subseteq_o ntcf-arrows $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$*
{proof}

25.2.3 The conversion of a natural transformation arrow to a natural transformation is a bijection

lemma *bij-betw-ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow:*
bij-betw
(ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$)
(elts (ntcf-arrows $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$))
(elts (ntcfs $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$))
{proof}

lemma *bij-betw-ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow-Hom:*
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ *and* $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *bij-betw*
(ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$)
(elts (Hom (cat-FUNCT $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$) (cf-map \mathfrak{F}) (cf-map \mathfrak{G})))
(elts (these-ntcfs $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$))
{proof}

25.2.4 FUNCT is a category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *tiny-category-cat-FUNCT[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]:*
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ *and* $\alpha \in_o \beta$
shows *tiny-category* β *(cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ *) (is <tiny-category* β ?FUNCT*>)*
{proof}

lemmas (in \mathcal{Z}) [*cat-FUNCT-CS-intros*] = *tiny-category-cat-FUNCT*

25.2.5 Isomorphism

lemma *cat-FUNCT-is-iso-arrI:*
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{N} : cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{iso} cat\text{-FUNCT} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$

{proof}

lemma *cat-FUNCT-is-iso-arrI'*[*cat-FUNCT-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{N}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf-map \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf-map \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{iso} cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} cf-map \mathfrak{G}$

{proof}

lemma *cat-FUNCT-is-iso-arrD*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{iso} cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$ (is $\langle \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{iso} ?FUNCT \mathfrak{G} \rangle$)
shows *ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}$:
cf-of-cf-map $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} cf-of-cf-map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf-arrow (ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N})$
and $\mathfrak{F} = cf-map (cf-of-cf-map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{G} = cf-map (cf-of-cf-map \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G})$

{proof}

25.3 *Funct*

25.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-Funct* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} =$

[
tm-cf-maps $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$,
tm-ntcf-arrows $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N} \in_0 composable-arrs (dg-Funct \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(0) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(1_N))$,
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in_0 tm-cf-maps \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. ntcf-arrow-id \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$
].

Components.

lemma *cat-Funct-components*:

shows [*cat-FUNCT-CS-simps*]: *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Obj) = tm-cf-maps \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Arr) = tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Dom) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTDom))$
and *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Cod) = (\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_0 tm-ntcf-arrows \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{N}(NTCod))$
and *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(Comp) =$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N} \in_0 composable-arrs (dg-Funct \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}). \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(0) \cdot_{NTCF\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{N}(1_N))$
and *cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(CId) = (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in_0 tm-cf-maps \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}. ntcf-arrow-id \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$

{proof}

Slicing.

lemma *cat-smc-Funct*: *cat-smc* (*cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$) = *smc-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

context *is-tm-ntcf*

begin

lemmas-with [*folded cat-smc-Funct*, *unfolded slicing-simps*]:

cat-Funct-Dom-app = *smc-Funct-Dom-app*
and *cat-Funct-Cod-app* = *smc-Funct-Cod-app*

end

```

lemmas [cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
  is-tm-ntcf.cat-Funct-Dom-app
  is-tm-ntcf.cat-Funct-Cod-app

lemmas-with [folded cat-smc-Funct, unfolded slicing-simps]:
  cat-Funct-Dom-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros] = smc-Funct-Dom-vsv
  and cat-Funct-Dom-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = smc-Funct-Dom-vdomain
  and cat-Funct-Cod-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros] = smc-Funct-Cod-vsv
  and cat-Funct-Cod-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = smc-Funct-Cod-vdomain
  and cat-Funct-Dom-vrange = smc-Funct-Dom-vrange
  and cat-Funct-Cod-vrange = smc-Funct-Cod-vrange
  and cat-Funct-is-arrI = smc-Funct-is-arrI
  and cat-Funct-is-arrI'[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros] = smc-Funct-is-arrI'
  and cat-Funct-is-arrD = smc-Funct-is-arrD
  and cat-Funct-is-arrE[elim] = smc-Funct-is-arrE

lemmas-with [folded cat-smc-Funct, unfolded slicing-simps]:
  cat-Funct-Comp-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = smc-Funct-Comp-app

```

25.3.2 Identity

```

mk-VLambda cat-Funct-components(6)
| vsv cat-Funct-CId-vsv[intro]
| vdomain cat-Funct-CId-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]
| app cat-Funct-CId-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]

```

```

lemma smc-Funct-CId-vrange:  $\mathcal{R}_\circ$  (cat-Funct  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(CId)$ )  $\subseteq_\circ$  ntcf-arrows  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

25.3.3 Funct is a category

```

lemma category-cat-Funct:
  assumes tiny-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows category  $\alpha$  (cat-Funct  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ ) (is ⟨category  $\alpha$  ?Funct⟩)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma category-cat-Funct'[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]:
  assumes tiny-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ 
  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  and  $\beta = \alpha$ 
  shows category  $\alpha$  (cat-Funct  $\beta \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ )
  {proof}

```

25.3.4 Funct is a subcategory of FUNCT

```

lemma subcategory-cat-Funct-cat-FUNCT:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$  and  $\alpha \in_\circ \beta$  and tiny-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows cat-Funct  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \subseteq_{C\beta}$  cat-FUNCT  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$ 
  {proof}

```

25.3.5 Isomorphism

```

lemma (in is-tm-iso-ntcf) cat-Funct-is-iso-arrI:
  assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
  shows ntcf-arrow  $\mathfrak{N}$ : cf-map  $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{iso}$  cat-Funct  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$  cf-map  $\mathfrak{G}$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in is-tm-iso-ntcf) cat-Funct-is-iso-arrI':
  assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 

```

and $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{iso\,cat\text{-Funct}} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \text{ cf-map } \mathfrak{G}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-FUNCT-CS-intros] =
is-tm-iso-ntcf.cat-Funct-is-iso-arrI' [rotated 2]

lemma cat-Funct-is-iso-arrD:
assumes tiny-category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{iso\,cat\text{-Funct}} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G}$ (is $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{iso\,?Funct} \mathfrak{G}$)
shows ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N}$:
 $cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tm.iso} cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G} : \alpha \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} = ntcf\text{-arrow } (ntcf\text{-of-}ntcf\text{-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{N})$
and $\mathfrak{F} = cf\text{-map } (cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{G} = cf\text{-map } (cf\text{-of-}cf\text{-map } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{G})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

25.4 Diagonal functor

25.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-3 in [7].

definition cf-diagonal :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle \Delta_{CF} \rangle$)
where $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} =$
 $[$
 $(\lambda a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})). \text{cf-map } (cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a),$
 $(\lambda f \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})). ntcf\text{-arrow } (ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f),$
 $\mathfrak{C},$
 $cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$
 $]_o$

Components.

lemma cf-diagonal-components:
shows $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap}) = (\lambda a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})). \text{cf-map } (cf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a)$
and $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap}) = (\lambda f \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr})). ntcf\text{-arrow } (ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f)$
and $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\text{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{C}$
and $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\text{HomCod}) = cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

25.4.2 Object map

mk-VLambda cf-diagonal-components(1)
 $|vsv \text{ cf-diagonal-ObjMap-vsv[cat-CS-intros]}|$
 $|vdomain \text{ cf-diagonal-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-CS-simps]}|$
 $|app \text{ cf-diagonal-ObjMap-app[cat-CS-simps]}|$

lemma cf-diagonal-ObjMap-vrange:
assumes $Z \beta \text{and } \alpha \in_0 \beta \text{ and category } \alpha \mathfrak{J} \text{ and category } \alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

25.4.3 Arrow map

mk-VLambda cf-diagonal-components(2)
 $|vsv \text{ cf-diagonal-ArrMap-vsv[cat-CS-intros]}|$

```
|vdomain cf-diagonal-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app cf-diagonal-ArrMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|
```

25.4.4 Diagonal functor is a functor

```
lemma cf-diagonal-is-functor[cat-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$  and  $\alpha \in_0 \beta$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{C\beta} cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$  (is  $\langle ?\Delta : \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{C\beta} ?FUNCT \rangle$ )
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cf-diagonal-is-functor'[cat-cs-intros]:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
  and  $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ 
  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$ 
  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{C}$ 
  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \leftrightarrow_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
  {proof}
```

25.5 Diagonal functor for functors with tiny maps

25.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-3 in [7].

```
definition tm-cf-diagonal ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$  ( $\langle \Delta_{CF.tm} \rangle$ )
  where  $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} =$ 
    [
       $(\lambda a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj})). cf\text{-}map (cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a),$ 
       $(\lambda f \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Arr})). ntcf\text{-}arrow (ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f)),$ 
       $\mathfrak{C},$ 
       $cat\text{-}Funct \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$ 
    ] $_0$ 
```

Components.

```
lemma tm-cf-diagonal-components:
  shows  $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{ObjMap}) = (\lambda a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj}). cf\text{-}map (cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} a))$ 
  and  $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{ArrMap}) = (\lambda f \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Arr}). ntcf\text{-}arrow (ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f))$ 
  and  $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{HomDom}) = \mathfrak{C}$ 
  and  $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{HomCod}) = cat\text{-}Funct \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$ 
  {proof}
```

25.5.2 Object map

```
mk-VLambda tm-cf-diagonal-components(1)
|vsv tm-cf-diagonal-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
|vdomain tm-cf-diagonal-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app tm-cf-diagonal-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|
```

```
lemma tm-cf-diagonal-ObjMap-vrangle:
  assumes tiny-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$  and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{R}_o (\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o cat\text{-}Funct \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj})$ 
  {proof}
```

25.5.3 Arrow map

```
mk-VLambda tm-cf-diagonal-components(2)
|vsv tm-cf-diagonal-ArrMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
```

$|vdomain\ tm\text{-}cf\text{-}diagonal\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]|$
 $|app\ tm\text{-}cf\text{-}diagonal\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]|$

25.5.4 Diagonal functor for functors with tiny maps is a functor

lemma *tm*-cf-diagonal-is-functor[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes tiny-category $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$ **and** category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha} cat\text{-}Funct \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$
(is $\langle ?\Delta : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha} ?Funct \rangle$
{proof}

lemma *tm*-cf-diagonal-is-functor'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes tiny-category $\alpha \mathfrak{J}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $\alpha' = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{B} = cat\text{-}Funct \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}$
{proof}

25.6 Functor raised to the power of a category

25.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

Most of the definitions and the results presented in this and the remaining subsections can be found in [7] and [12] (e.g., see Chapter X-3 in [7]).

definition exp-cf-cat :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where exp-cf-cat $\alpha \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{A} =$
 $[$
 $($
 $\lambda \mathfrak{S} \in \circ cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) (Obj).$
 $cf\text{-}map (\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF} cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) \mathfrak{S})$
 $),$
 $($
 $\lambda \sigma \in \circ cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) (Arr).$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF-NTCF} ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) \sigma)$
 $),$
 $cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)),$
 $cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomCod))$
 $]_o$

Components.

lemma exp-cf-cat-components:
shows exp-cf-cat $\alpha \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{A}(ObjMap) =$
 $($
 $\lambda \mathfrak{S} \in \circ cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) (Obj).$
 $cf\text{-}map (\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF} cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) \mathfrak{S})$
 $)$
and
exp-cf-cat $\alpha \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{A}(ArrMap) =$
 $($
 $\lambda \sigma \in \circ cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) (Arr).$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (\mathfrak{K} \circ_{CF-NTCF} (ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)) \sigma))$
 $)$
and exp-cf-cat $\alpha \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{A}(HomDom) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomDom))$
and exp-cf-cat $\alpha \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{A}(HomCod) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{K}(HomCod))$
{proof}

25.6.2 Object map

```

mk-VLambda exp-cf-cat-components(1)
|vsv exp-cf-cat-components-ObjMap-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]|
context
  fixes  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$   $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{C\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
begin

interpretation  $\mathcal{K}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}$   $\mathcal{K}$  {proof}

mk-VLambda exp-cf-cat-components(1)[where  $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}$  and  $\alpha=\alpha$ , unfolded cat-CS-simps]
|vdomain exp-cf-cat-components-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]|
|app exp-cf-cat-components-ObjMap-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]|

end

```

25.6.3 Arrow map

```

mk-VLambda exp-cf-cat-components(2)
|vsv exp-cf-cat-components-ArrMap-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]|
context
  fixes  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$   $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{C\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
begin

interpretation  $\mathcal{K}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}$   $\mathcal{K}$  {proof}

mk-VLambda exp-cf-cat-components(2)[where  $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}$  and  $\alpha=\alpha$ , unfolded cat-CS-simps]
|vdomain exp-cf-cat-components-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]|
|app exp-cf-cat-components-ArrMap-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]|

```

end

25.6.4 Domain and codomain

```

context
  fixes  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$   $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{C\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
begin

interpretation  $\mathcal{K}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}$   $\mathcal{K}$  {proof}

lemmas exp-cf-cat-HomDom[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
exp-cf-cat-components(3)[where  $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}$  and  $\alpha=\alpha$ , unfolded cat-CS-simps]
and exp-cf-cat-HomCod[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
exp-cf-cat-components(4)[where  $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}$  and  $\alpha=\alpha$ , unfolded cat-CS-simps]

end

```

25.6.5 Functor raised to the power of a category is a functor

```

lemma exp-cf-cat-is-tiny-functor:
  assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \in \beta$  and  $\alpha \in \beta$  and category  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{C\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
  shows exp-cf-cat  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{A} : \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{B}_{C.tiny\beta} \in \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}$ 
{proof}

```

lemma *exp-cf-cat-is-tiny-functor'*[*cat-FUNCT-CS-intros*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *exp-cf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{A} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.tiny\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$
{proof}

25.6.6 Further properties

lemma *exp-cf-cat-cf-comp*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows *exp-cf-cat* $\alpha (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{D} = \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{D} \circ_{CF} \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{D}$
{proof}

lemma *exp-cf-cat-cf-id-cat*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ and category $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$
shows *exp-cf-cat* $\alpha (\text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{D} = \text{cf-id } (\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{C})$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-exp-cf-cat-exp-cf-cat-cf-id*[*cat-FUNCT-CS-simps*]:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *exp-cf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{A} \circ_{CF} \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha (\text{cf-id } \mathfrak{B}) \mathfrak{A} = \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{A}$
{proof}

lemma *cf-comp-exp-cf-cat-cf-id-exp-cf-cat*[*cat-FUNCT-CS-simps*]:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows *exp-cf-cat* $\alpha (\text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{A} \circ_{CF} \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{A} = \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{A}$
{proof}

25.7 Category raised to the power of a functor

25.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *exp-cat-cf* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *exp-cat-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{K} =$

$$[\begin{array}{l} (\lambda \mathfrak{S} \in_{\circ} \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}), \\ \quad \text{cf-map } (\text{cf-of-cf-map } (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{K}) \\), \\ (\lambda \sigma \in_{\circ} \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A}(\text{Arr}), \\ \quad \text{ntcf-arrow } (\text{ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow } (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A} \sigma \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{K}) \\), \\ (\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A}, \\ \quad \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomDom})) \mathfrak{A} \end{array}]_{\circ}$$

Components.

lemma *exp-cat-cf-components*:
shows *exp-cat-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap}) =$

$$(\lambda \mathfrak{S} \in_{\circ} \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}), \\ \quad \text{cf-map } (\text{cf-of-cf-map } (\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{K})$$

```

)
and exp-cat-cf α Ω K(ArrMap) =
(
  λσ ∈ cat-FUNCT α (K(HomCod)) Ω(Arr).
  ntcf-arrow (ntcf-of-ntcf-arrow (K(HomCod)) Ω σ ∘_NTCF-CF K)
)
and exp-cat-cf α Ω K(HomDom) = cat-FUNCT α (K(HomCod)) Ω
and exp-cat-cf α Ω K(HomCod) = cat-FUNCT α (K(HomDom)) Ω
⟨proof⟩

```

25.7.2 Object map

context

```

fixes α K B C
assumes K: K : B ↠ ↠_{Cα} C
begin

```

interpretation K: is-functor α B C K ⟨proof⟩

```

mk-VLambda exp-cat-cf-components(1)[where K=K and α=α, unfolded cat-cs-simps]
|vsv exp-cat-cf-components-ObjMap-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]
|vdomain exp-cat-cf-components-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]
|app exp-cat-cf-components-ObjMap-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]

```

end

25.7.3 Arrow map

context

```

fixes α K B C
assumes K: K : B ↠ ↠_{Cα} C
begin

```

interpretation K: is-functor α B C K ⟨proof⟩

```

mk-VLambda exp-cat-cf-components(2)[where K=K and α=α, unfolded cat-cs-simps]
|vsv exp-cat-cf-components-ArrMap-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]
|vdomain exp-cat-cf-components-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]
|app exp-cat-cf-components-ArrMap-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]

```

end

25.7.4 Domain and codomain

context

```

fixes α K B C
assumes K: K : B ↠ ↠_{Cα} C
begin

```

interpretation K: is-functor α B C K ⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas exp-cat-cf-HomDom[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
exp-cat-cf-components(3)[where K=K and α=α, unfolded cat-cs-simps]
and exp-cat-cf-HomCod[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
exp-cat-cf-components(4)[where K=K and α=α, unfolded cat-cs-simps]

```

end

25.7.5 Category raised to the power of a functor is a functor

lemma *exp-cat-cf-is-tiny-functor*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ **and** category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows *exp-cat-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{K} : cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tiny\beta} cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{A}$

{proof}

lemma *exp-cat-cf-is-tiny-functor'* [*cat-FUNCT-CS-intros*]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$

and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$

and category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$

and $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{A}$

and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{A}$

shows *exp-cat-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.tiny\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$

{proof}

25.7.6 Further properties

lemma *exp-cat-cf-cat-cf-id*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** category $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$

shows *exp-cat-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{C}) = cf\text{-}id (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{A})$

{proof}

lemma *exp-cat-cf-cf-comp*:

assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows *exp-cat-cf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}) = exp\text{-}cat\text{-}cf \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{F} \circ_{CF} exp\text{-}cat\text{-}cf \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{G}$

{proof}

25.8 Natural transformation raised to the power of a category

25.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *exp-ntcf-cat* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *exp-ntcf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D} =$

```
[  
  (  
    λG ∈₀ cat-FUNCT α D (N(NTDGDom)) Obj).  
    ntcf-arrow (N o NTCF-CF cf-of-cf-map D (N(NTDGDom)) G)  
,  
    exp-cf-cat α (N(NTDom)) D,  
    exp-cf-cat α (N(NTCod)) D,  
    cat-FUNCT α D (N(NTDGDom)),  
    cat-FUNCT α D (N(NTDGCod))  
  ]₀.
```

Components.

lemma *exp-ntcf-cat-components*:

shows *exp-ntcf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D}(NTMap) =$

```
(  
  λG ∈₀ cat-FUNCT α D (N(NTDGDom)) Obj).  
  ntcf-arrow (N o NTCF-CF cf-of-cf-map D (N(NTDGDom)) G)  
)
```

and *exp-ntcf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D}(NTDom) = exp\text{-}cf\text{-}cat \alpha (N(NTDom)) D$

and *exp-ntcf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D}(NTCod) = exp\text{-cf\text{-}cat} \alpha (N(NTCod)) D$

and *exp-ntcf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D}(NTDGDom) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha D (N(NTDGDom))$

and *exp-ntcf-cat* $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D}(NTDGCod) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha D (N(NTDGCod))$

{proof}

25.8.2 Natural transformation map

```

mk-VLambda exp-ntcf-cat-components(1)
|vsv exp-ntcf-cat-components-NTMap-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]|
context is-ntcf
begin

lemmas exp-ntcf-cat-components' =
exp-ntcf-cat-components[where α=α and η=η, unfolded cat-CS-simps]

lemmas [cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = exp-ntcf-cat-components'(2-5)

mk-VLambda exp-ntcf-cat-components(1)[where η=η, unfolded cat-CS-simps]
|vdomain exp-ntcf-cat-components-NTMap-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]|
|app exp-ntcf-cat-components-NTMap-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]|

```

end

```

lemmas [cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
is-ntcf.exp-ntcf-cat-components'(2-5)
is-ntcf.exp-ntcf-cat-components-NTMap-vdomain
is-ntcf.exp-ntcf-cat-components-NTMap-app

```

25.8.3 Natural transformation raised to the power of a category is a natural transformation

```

lemma exp-ntcf-cat-is-tiny-ntcf:
assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
and  $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$ 
and  $\eta : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and category α Ω
shows exp-ntcf-cat α η Ω :
exp-cf-cat α Φ Ω \mapsto_{CF.tiny} exp-cf-cat α Σ Ω :
cat-FUNCT α Ω \mapsto_{C.tiny β} cat-FUNCT α Ω
{proof}

```

```

lemma exp-ntcf-cat-is-tiny-ntcf'[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]:
assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
and  $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$ 
and  $\eta : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and category α Ω
and  $\Phi' = exp-cf-cat \alpha \Phi \Omega$ 
and  $\Sigma' = exp-cf-cat \alpha \Sigma \Omega$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}' = cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{B}' = cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
shows exp-ntcf-cat α η Ω : Φ' \mapsto_{CF.tiny} Σ' : A' \mapsto_{C.tiny β} B'
{proof}

```

25.8.4 Further properties

```

lemma exp-ntcf-cat-cf-ntcf-comp:
assumes  $\eta : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
and category α Ω
shows
exp-ntcf-cat α (H ∘_{CF-NTCF} η) Ω =
exp-cf-cat α H Ω ∘_{CF-NTCF} exp-ntcf-cat α η Ω
{proof}

```

lemma *exp-ntcf-cat-ntcf-cf-comp*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and category $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$
shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{exp-ntcf-cat } \alpha (\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H}) \mathfrak{D} = \\ & \quad \text{exp-ntcf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{D} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{H} \mathfrak{D} \end{aligned}$$
(proof)

lemma *exp-ntcf-cat-ntcf-vcomp*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{exp-ntcf-cat } \alpha (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) \mathfrak{A} = \\ & \quad \text{exp-ntcf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{A} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{exp-ntcf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{A} \end{aligned}$$
(proof)

lemma *ntcf-id-exp-cf-cat*:
assumes category $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{ntcf-id} (\text{exp-cf-cat } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{A}) = \text{exp-ntcf-cat } \alpha (\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}) \mathfrak{A}$
(proof)

25.9 Category raised to the power of the natural transformation

25.9.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *exp-cat-ntcf* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} =$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\quad (\quad \lambda \mathfrak{S} \in \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod})) \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}). \\ & \quad \text{ntcf-arrow} (\text{cf-of-cf-map} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod})) \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) \\ & \quad), \\ & \quad \text{exp-cat-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDom)), \\ & \quad \text{exp-cat-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N}(NT\text{Cod})), \\ & \quad \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod})) \mathfrak{C}, \\ & \quad \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Dom})) \mathfrak{C} \\ &],_o \end{aligned}$$

Components.

lemma *exp-cat-ntcf-components*:
shows $\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\quad \lambda \mathfrak{S} \in \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod})) \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}). \\ & \quad \text{ntcf-arrow} (\text{cf-of-cf-map} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod})) \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{S} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) \\ & \quad) \\ & \text{and } \text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \text{exp-cat-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N}(NTDom)) \\ & \text{and } \text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}(NT\text{Cod}) = \text{exp-cat-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N}(NT\text{Cod})) \\ & \text{and } \text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Dom}) = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod})) \mathfrak{C} \\ & \text{and } \text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Cod}) = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{N}(NTDG\text{Dom})) \mathfrak{C} \end{aligned}$$
(proof)

25.9.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda *exp-cat-ntcf-components(1)*
|vsv exp-cat-ntcf-components-NTMap-vsv[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]|

```

context is-ntcf
begin

lemmas exp-cat-ntcf-components' =
exp-cat-ntcf-components[where  $\alpha = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$ , unfolded cat-CS-simps]

lemmas [cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] = exp-cat-ntcf-components'(2-5)

mk-VLambda exp-cat-ntcf-components(1)[where  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$ , unfolded cat-CS-simps]
| vdomain exp-cat-ntcf-components-NTMap-vdomain[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]
| app exp-cat-ntcf-components-NTMap-app[cat-FUNCT-CS-simps]

end

lemmas exp-cat-ntcf-components' = is-ntcf.exp-cat-ntcf-components'

lemmas [cat-FUNCT-CS-simps] =
is-ntcf.exp-cat-ntcf-components'(2-5)
is-ntcf.exp-cat-ntcf-components-NTMap-vdomain
is-ntcf.exp-cat-ntcf-components-NTMap-app

```

25.9.3 Category raised to the power of a natural transformation is a natural transformation

```

lemma exp-cat-ntcf-is-tiny-ntcf:
assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
and  $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$ 
and  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ 
shows exp-cat-ntcf  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} :$ 
exp-cat-cf  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.tiny} exp-cat-cf \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G} :$ 
cat-FUNCT  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C.tiny\beta} cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma exp-cat-ntcf-is-tiny-ntcf'[cat-FUNCT-CS-intros]:
assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
and  $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$ 
and  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F}' = exp-cat-cf \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{G}' = exp-cat-cf \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}' = cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{B}' = cat-FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C}$ 
shows exp-cat-ntcf  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.tiny} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C.tiny\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
{proof}

```

25.9.4 Further properties

```

lemma ntcf-id-exp-cat-cf:
assumes category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
shows ntcf-id (exp-cat-cf  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{F}$ ) = exp-cat-ntcf  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  (ntcf-id  $\mathfrak{F}$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma exp-cat-ntcf-ntcf-cf-comp:
assumes  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ 
and category  $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$ 
shows

```

$\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{D} (\mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{H}) =$
 $\text{exp-cat-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-cat-ntcf-cf-ntcf-comp*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 and $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{D}$
shows
 $\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{D} (\mathfrak{H} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) =$
 $\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{N} \circ_{NTCF-CF} \text{exp-cat-cf } \alpha \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{H}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-cat-ntcf-ntcf-vcomp*:
assumes *category* $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$
 and $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows
 $\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}) =$
 $\text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{exp-cat-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26 Hom-functor

26.1 hom-function

The *hom*-function is a part of the definition of the *Hom*-functor, as presented in [1]¹³.

definition *cf-hom* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-hom* $\mathfrak{C} f =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\\ (\\ \lambda q \in \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpfst } f)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpsnd } f)). \\ \text{vpsnd } f \circ_A \mathfrak{C} q \circ_A \mathfrak{C} \text{vpfst } f \\), \\ \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpfst } f)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpsnd } f)), \\ \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpfst } f)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpsnd } f)) \\]_o \end{bmatrix}$$

Components.

lemma *cf-hom-components*:

shows *cf-hom* $\mathfrak{C} f(\text{ArrVal}) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\\ \lambda q \in \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpfst } f)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpsnd } f)). \\ \text{vpsnd } f \circ_A \mathfrak{C} q \circ_A \mathfrak{C} \text{vpfst } f \\) \end{pmatrix}$$

and *cf-hom* $\mathfrak{C} f(\text{ArrDom}) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpfst } f)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpsnd } f))$

and *cf-hom* $\mathfrak{C} f(\text{ArrCod}) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(\text{vpfst } f)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(\text{vpsnd } f))$

{proof}

26.1.1 Arrow value

mk-VLambda *cf-hom-components(1)*

|vsv *cf-hom-ArrVal-vsv[cat-cs-intros]*||

lemma *cf-hom-ArrVal-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $g : a \mapsto_{\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}} b$ and $f : a' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b'$

shows $\mathcal{D}_o(\text{cf-hom } \mathfrak{C} [g, f]_o(\text{ArrVal})) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} a a'$

{proof}

lemma *cf-hom-ArrVal-app[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $g : c \mapsto_{\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}} d$ and $q : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c'$ and $f : c' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} d'$

shows *cf-hom* $\mathfrak{C} [g, f]_o(\text{ArrVal})(q) = f \circ_A \mathfrak{C} q \circ_A \mathfrak{C} g$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cf-hom-ArrVal-vrange*:

assumes $g : a \mapsto_{\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}} b$ and $f : a' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b'$

shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\text{cf-hom } \mathfrak{C} [g, f]_o(\text{ArrVal})) \subseteq_o \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} b b'$

{proof}

26.1.2 Arrow domain

lemma (in category) *cf-hom-ArrDom*:

assumes $gf : [c, c']_o \mapsto_{\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}} \times_C \mathfrak{C} dd'$

shows *cf-hom* $\mathfrak{C} gf(\text{ArrDom}) = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} c c'$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.cf-hom-ArrDom*

¹³<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/hom-functor>

26.1.3 Arrow codomain

lemma (in category) cf-hom-ArrCod:
assumes $gf : cc' \hookrightarrow_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} [d, d']_o$
shows $cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} gf(\text{ArrCod}) = Hom \mathfrak{C} d d'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simp*s] = *category*.*cf*-*hom*-*ArrCod*

26.1.4 hom-function is an arrow in the category Set

lemma (in category) cat-cf-hom-ArrRel:
assumes $gf : cc' \hookrightarrow_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} dd'$
shows $arr\text{-}Set \alpha (cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} gf)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *category*.*cat*-*cf*-*hom*-*ArrRel*

lemma (in category) cat-cf-hom-cat-Set-is-arr:
assumes $gf : [a, b]_o \hookrightarrow_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} [c, d]_o$
shows $cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} gf : Hom \mathfrak{C} a b \hookrightarrow_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} Hom \mathfrak{C} c d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-cf-hom-cat-Set-is-arr':
assumes $gf : [a, b]_o \hookrightarrow_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} [c, d]_o$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = Hom \mathfrak{C} a b$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = Hom \mathfrak{C} c d$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
shows $cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} gf : \mathfrak{A}' \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *category*.*cat*-*cf*-*hom*-*cat*-*Set*-*is-arr*'

26.1.5 Composition

lemma (in category) cat-cf-hom-Comp:
assumes $g : b \hookrightarrow_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} c$
and $g' : b' \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} c'$
and $f : a \hookrightarrow_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} b$
and $f' : a' \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} b'$
shows
 $cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} [g, g']_o \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} [f, f']_o =$
 $cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} [g \circ_A op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} f, g' \circ_A \mathfrak{C} f']_o$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simp*s] = *category*.*cat*-*cf*-*hom*-*Comp*

26.1.6 Identity

lemma (in category) cat-cf-hom-CId:
assumes $[c, c']_o \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$
shows $cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} [\mathfrak{C}(CId)(c), \mathfrak{C}(CId)(c')]_o = cat\text{-}Set \alpha (CId)(Hom \mathfrak{C} c c')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simp*s] = *category*.*cat*-*cf*-*hom*-*CId*

26.1.7 Opposite hom-function

lemma (in category) cat-op-cat-cf-hom:

assumes $g : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** $g' : a' \mapsto_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}} b'$
shows $cf\text{-}hom (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}) [g, g']_\circ = cf\text{-}hom \mathfrak{C} [g', g]_\circ$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat*-*op*-*cat*-*cf*-*hom*

26.2 Hom-functor

26.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

See [1]¹⁴.

definition $cf\text{-}Hom :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle Hom_{O.C1^{-1}}(/-, /') \rangle)$
where $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -) =$
 $[$
 $(\lambda a \in_0 (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)). Hom \mathfrak{C} (vpfst a) (vpsnd a)),$
 $(\lambda f \in_0 (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Arr)). cf\text{-}hom \mathfrak{C} f),$
 $op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C},$
 $cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
 $]_\circ$

Components.

lemma *cf*-*Hom-components*:

shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ObjMap) =$
 $(\lambda a \in_0 (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)). Hom \mathfrak{C} (vpfst a) (vpsnd a))$
and $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ArrMap) = (\lambda f \in_0 (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Arr)). cf\text{-}hom \mathfrak{C} f)$
and $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(HomDom) = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
and $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(HomCod) = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.2.2 Object map

mk-VLambda *cf*-*Hom-components*(1)
 $|vsv cf\text{-}Hom\text{-}ObjMap\text{-}vsv|$

lemma *cf*-*Hom*-*ObjMap*-*vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
 $\mathcal{D}_\circ (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ObjMap)) = (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf*-*Hom*-*ObjMap*-*app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $[a, b]_\circ \in_0 (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ObjMap)(a, b)_\bullet = Hom \mathfrak{C} a b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (*in category*) *cf*-*Hom*-*ObjMap*-*vrange*:
 $\mathcal{R}_\circ (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ObjMap)) \subseteq_\circ cat\text{-}Set \alpha(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.2.3 Arrow map

mk-VLambda *cf*-*Hom-components*(2)
 $|vsv cf\text{-}Hom\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vsv|$
 $|vdomain cf\text{-}Hom\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}vdomain[cat-*cs*-*simps*]|$
 $|app cf\text{-}Hom\text{-}ArrMap\text{-}app[cat-*cs*-*simps*]|$

¹⁴<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/hom-functor>

26.2.4 *Hom*-functor is a functor

lemma (in category) cat-Hom-is-functor:

$\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-) : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-Hom-is-functor':

assumes $\beta = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = *category.cat-Hom-is-functor'*

26.3 Composition of a *Hom*-functor and two functors

26.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition cf-bcomp-Hom :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle \text{Hom}_{O.C^1}'(/--,-/-) \rangle$)

— The following definition may seem redundant, but it will help to avoid proof duplication later.
where $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-) = \text{cf-cn-cov-bcomp } (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)) \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$

26.3.2 Object map

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vsv: vsv ($\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ObjMap})$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ObjMap})) = (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $[a, b]_o \in_o (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ObjMap})(a, b)_o =$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a), \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b)).$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cf-bcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vrange:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_o \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.3.3 Arrow map

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vsv: vsv ($\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ArrMap})$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ArrMap})) = (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-ArrMap-app[cat-cs-simps]:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $[f, g]_o \in_o (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Arr})$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(ArrMap)(f,g)_{\bullet} = \\ & \quad Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f),\mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(g))_{\bullet}. \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cf-bcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vrange:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-)(ArrMap)) \subseteq_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set\ \alpha(Arr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.3.4 Composition of a Hom-functor and two functors is a functor

lemma (in category) cat-cf-bcomp-Hom-is-functor:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-) : op\text{-}cat\ \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Set\ \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-cf-bcomp-Hom-is-functor':

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-}cat\ \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-}Set\ \alpha$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,\mathfrak{G}-) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-bcomp-Hom-is-functor'

26.4 Composition of a Hom-functor and a functor

26.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

See subsection 1.15 in [3].

definition cf-lcomp-Hom :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle Hom_{O.C^1}'(/-,/-/\') \rangle$)
where $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,-) = cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}lcomp\ \mathfrak{C} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)) \mathfrak{F}$

definition cf-rcomp-Hom :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle Hom_{O.C^1}'(/-,--/\') \rangle$)
where $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{G}-) = cf\text{-}cn\text{-}cov\text{-}rcomp\ \mathfrak{C} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)) \mathfrak{G}$

26.4.2 Object map

lemma cf-lcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]: vsv ($Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,-)(ObjMap)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-rcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]: vsv ($Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{G}-)(ObjMap)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-lcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:

assumes category α \mathfrak{C} **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,-)(ObjMap)) = (op\text{-}cat\ \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cf-rcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{G}-)(ObjMap)) = (op\text{-}cat\ \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-lcomp-Hom-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{B}(Obj))$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}, -)(ObjMap)(b, c)_{\bullet} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b), c)_{\bullet}$.
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-Hom-ObjMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $c \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G})(ObjMap)(c, b)_{\bullet} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ObjMap)(c, \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(b))_{\bullet}$.
{proof}

lemma (*in category*) *cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}, -)(ObjMap)) \subseteq_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(Obj)$
{proof}

lemma (*in category*) *cat-cf-rcomp-Hom-ObjMap-vrange*:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G})(ObjMap)) \subseteq_{\circ} cat\text{-}Set \alpha(Obj)$
{proof}

26.4.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-lcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}, -)(ArrMap)$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]: *vsv* ($Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G})(ArrMap)$)
{proof}

lemma *cf-lcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}, -)(ArrMap)) = (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C} **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G})(ArrMap)) = (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(Arr)$
{proof}

lemma *cf-lcomp-Hom-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes category α \mathfrak{C}
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{B} b$
and $f : a' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b'$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}, -)(ArrMap)(g, f)_{\bullet} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(g), f)_{\bullet}$.
{proof}

lemma *cf-rcomp-Hom-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} b$
and $f : a' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$

shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ArrMap})(g, f)_{\bullet} =$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, -)(\text{ArrMap})(g, \mathfrak{G}(\text{ArrMap})(f))_{\bullet}$.
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cf-lcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vrange:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -)(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cf-rcomp-Hom-ArrMap-vrange:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-)(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.4.4 Further properties

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-cf-lcomp-Hom[cat-cs-simps]:
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, \text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C}-) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cf-bcomp-Hom-cf-rcomp-Hom[cat-cs-simps]:
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C}-, \mathfrak{G}-) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.4.5 Composition of a Hom-functor and a functor is a functor

lemma (in category) cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-is-functor:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-is-functor':
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-is-functor'

lemma (in category) cat-cf-rcomp-Hom-is-functor:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-) : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-cf-rcomp-Hom-is-functor':
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-rcomp-Hom-is-functor'

26.4.6 Flip of a projections of a Hom-functor

lemma (in category) cat-bifunctor-flip-cf-rcomp-Hom:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{B} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{G}-)) =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{G}-,-)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat*-*bifunctor*-*flip*-*cf*-*rcomp*-*Hom*

lemma (in category) cat-bifunctor-flip-cf-lcomp-Hom:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
shows
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B}) \mathfrak{C} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,-)) =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(-,op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}-)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat*-*bifunctor*-*flip*-*cf*-*lcomp*-*Hom*

26.5 Projections of the *Hom*-functor

The projections of the *Hom*-functor coincide with the definitions of the *Hom*-functor given in Chapter II-2 in [7]. They are also exposed in the aforementioned article in nLab [1]¹⁵.

26.5.1 Definitions and elementary properties

definition $cf\text{-}Hom\text{-}snd :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle Hom_{O.C^1}(-,-) \rangle)$
where $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-) = Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C}(a,-)_{CF}}$
definition $cf\text{-}Hom\text{-}fst :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle Hom_{O.C^1}(-,-) \rangle)$
where $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,b) = Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-)_{op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C},\mathfrak{C}(-,b)_{CF}}$

26.5.2 Projections of the *Hom*-functor are functors

lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-snd-is-functor:
assumes $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj})$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-) : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \text{ cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-snd-is-functor':
assumes $a \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj})$ **and** $\beta = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-) : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\beta \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *category.cat*-*cf*-*Hom*-*snd*-*is-functor'*

lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-fst-is-functor:
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj})$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,b) : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\alpha \text{ cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-fst-is-functor':
assumes $b \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{Obj})$ **and** $\beta = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,b) : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_\beta \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *category.cat*-*cf*-*Hom*-*fst*-*is-functor'*

¹⁵<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/hom-functor>

26.5.3 Object maps

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-snd-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes a ∈o Ε(Obj)
shows vsv (HomO.CαΕ(a,-)(ObjMap))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-Hom-snd-ObjMap-vsv

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-fst-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes b ∈o Ε(Obj)
shows vsv (HomO.CαΕ(-,b)(ObjMap))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-Hom-fst-ObjMap-vsv

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-snd-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:
assumes a ∈o Ε(Obj)
shows Do (HomO.CαΕ(a,-)(ObjMap)) = Ε(Obj)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-cf-Hom-snd-ObjMap-vdomain

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-fst-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]:
assumes b ∈o Ε(Obj)
shows Do (HomO.CαΕ(-,b)(ObjMap)) = op-cat Ε(Obj)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-cf-Hom-fst-ObjMap-vdomain

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-snd-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]:
assumes a ∈o op-cat Ε(Obj) and b ∈o Ε(Obj)
shows HomO.CαΕ(a,-)(ObjMap)(b) = Hom Ε a b
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-cf-Hom-snd-ObjMap-app

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-fst-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]:
assumes b ∈o Ε(Obj) and a ∈o op-cat Ε(Obj)
shows HomO.CαΕ(-,b)(ObjMap)(a) = Hom Ε a b
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-cf-Hom-fst-ObjMap-app

26.5.4 Arrow maps

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-snd-ArrMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes a ∈o op-cat Ε(Obj)
shows vsv (HomO.CαΕ(a,-)(ArrMap))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-Hom-snd-ArrMap-vsv

```
lemma (in category) cat-cf-Hom-fst-ArrMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes b ∈o Ε(Obj)
shows vsv (HomO.CαΕ(-,b)(ArrMap))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-cf-Hom-fst-ArrMap-vsv

lemma (in category) *cat-cf-Hom-snd-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-)(ArrMap)) = \mathfrak{C}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.cat-cf-Hom-snd-ArrMap-vdomain*

lemma (in category) *cat-cf-Hom-fst-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,b)(ArrMap)) = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(Arr)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.cat-cf-Hom-fst-ArrMap-vdomain*

lemma (in category) *cat-cf-Hom-snd-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b'$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-)(ArrMap)(f) = cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} [op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(CId)(a), f]_{\circ}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.cat-cf-Hom-snd-ArrMap-app*

lemma (in category) *cat-cf-Hom-fst-ArrMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ and $f : a \mapsto_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{C} a'$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,b)(ArrMap)(f) = cf\text{-hom } \mathfrak{C} [f, \mathfrak{C}(CId)(b)]_{\circ}$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.cat-cf-Hom-fst-ArrMap-app*

26.5.5 Opposite Hom-functor projections

lemma (in category) *cat-op-cat-cf-Hom-snd*:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(a,-) = Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,a)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *category.cat-op-cat-cf-Hom-snd*

lemma (in category) *cat-op-cat-cf-Hom-fst*:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $Hom_{O.C\alpha}op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(-,a) = Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-op-simps*] = *category.cat-op-cat-cf-Hom-fst*

26.5.6 Hom-functors are injections on objects

lemma (in category) *cat-cf-Hom-snd-inj*:
assumes $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-) = Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(b,-)$
and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $a = b$
{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-cf-Hom-fst-inj*:
assumes $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,a) = Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,b)$ and $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $a = b$
{proof}

26.5.7 *Hom*-functor is an array bifunctor

lemma (in category) *cat*-*cf*-*Hom*-is-*cf*-array:

— See Chapter II-3 in [7].

$\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,-) =$

cf-array (*op-cat* \mathfrak{C}) \mathfrak{C} (*cat-Set* α) (*cf-Hom-fst* α \mathfrak{C}) (*cf-Hom-snd* α \mathfrak{C})

$\langle proof \rangle$

26.5.8 Projections of the compositions of a *Hom*-functor and a functor are projections of the *Hom*-functor

lemma (in category) *cat*-*cf*-*rcomp*-*Hom*-*cf*-*Hom*-*snd*:

assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{G}-)_{\text{op-cat}} \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{B}(a,-)_{CF} = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{G}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simp*] = *category.cat*-*cf*-*rcomp*-*Hom*-*cf*-*Hom*-*snd*

lemma (in category) *cat*-*cf*-*lcomp*-*Hom*-*cf*-*Hom*-*snd*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$

shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-,-)_{\text{op-cat}} \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}(b,-)_{CF} = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b),-)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simp*] = *category.cat*-*cf*-*lcomp*-*Hom*-*cf*-*Hom*-*snd*

lemma (in category) *cat*-*cf*-*rcomp*-*Hom*-*cf*-*Hom*-*fst*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$

shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{F}-)_{\text{op-cat}} \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{B}(-,b)_{CF} = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simp*] = *category.cat*-*cf*-*rcomp*-*Hom*-*cf*-*Hom*-*fst*

27 Cones and cocones

27.1 Cone and cocone

27.1.1 Definition and elementary properties

In the context of this work, the concept of a cone corresponds to that of a cone to the base of a functor from a vertex, as defined in Chapter III-4 in [7]; the concept of a cocone corresponds to that of a cone from the base of a functor to a vertex, as defined in Chapter III-3 in [7].

```
locale is-cat-cone = is-ntcf α ℐ ℰ <cf-const ℐ ℰ c> ℐ ℑ ℑ for α c ℐ ℰ ℐ ℑ ℑ +
assumes cat-cone-obj[cat-cs-intros]: c ∈₀ ℰ(Obj)
```

```
syntax -is-cat-cone :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ bool
```

```
(⟨⟨(- :/ - <CF.cone - :/ - ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠)⟩ [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)
```

```
syntax-consts -is-cat-cone ⇔ is-cat-cone
```

```
translations ℑ : c <CF.cone ℐ : ℐ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℰ ⇔
CONST is-cat-cone α c ℐ ℰ ℐ ℑ ℑ
```

```
locale is-cat-cocone = is-ntcf α ℐ ℰ ℐ <cf-const ℐ ℰ c> ℑ ℑ for α c ℐ ℰ ℐ ℑ ℑ +
assumes cat-cocone-obj[cat-cs-intros]: c ∈₀ ℰ(Obj)
```

```
syntax -is-cat-cocone :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ bool
```

```
(⟨⟨(- :/ - >CF.cocone - :/ - ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠)⟩ [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51)
```

```
syntax-consts -is-cat-cocone ⇔ is-cat-cocone
```

```
translations ℑ : ℐ >CF.cocone c : ℐ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℰ ⇔
CONST is-cat-cocone α c ℐ ℰ ℐ ℑ ℑ
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in is-cat-cone) is-cat-cone-axioms'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α and c' = c and ℐ' = ℐ and ℰ' = ℰ and ℐ' = ℐ
shows ℑ : c' <CF.cone ℐ' : ℐ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℰ'
```

{proof}

```
mk-ide rf is-cat-cone-def[unfolded is-cat-cone-axioms-def]
|intro is-cat-coneI|
|dest is-cat-coneD[dest!]|
|elim is-cat-coneE[elim!]|
```

```
lemma (in is-cat-cone) is-cat-coneD'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes c' = cf-const ℐ ℰ c
shows ℑ : c' ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℐ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℰ
```

{proof}

```
lemma (in is-cat-cocone) is-cat-cocone-axioms'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes α' = α and c' = c and ℐ' = ℐ and ℰ' = ℰ and ℐ' = ℐ
shows ℐ' >CF.cocone c' : ℐ' ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℰ'
```

{proof}

```
mk-ide rf is-cat-cocone-def[unfolded is-cat-cocone-axioms-def]
|intro is-cat-coconeI|
|dest is-cat-coconeD[dest!]|
|elim is-cat-coconeE[elim!]|
```

```
lemma (in is-cat-cocone) is-cat-coconeD'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes c' = cf-const ℐ ℰ c
shows ℐ : ℐ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ c' : ℐ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ↠ ℰ
```

{proof}

Duality.

lemma (in is-cat-cone) is-cat-cocone-op:
op-ntcf \mathfrak{N} : op-cf $\mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C\alpha} op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-cat-cone) is-cat-cocone-op' [cat-op-intros]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ and $\mathfrak{J}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{C}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F}' = op\text{-cf } \mathfrak{F}$
shows op-ntcf $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' >_{CF.cocone} c : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{C}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = is-cat-cone.is-cat-cocone-op'

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) is-cat-cone-op:
op-ntcf $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.cone} op\text{-cf } \mathfrak{F} : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C\alpha} op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) is-cat-cone-op' [cat-op-intros]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ and $\mathfrak{J}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{C}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{F}' = op\text{-cf } \mathfrak{F}$
shows op-ntcf $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{C}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-intros] = is-cat-cocone.is-cat-cone-op'

Elementary properties.

lemma (in is-cat-cone) cat-cone-LArr-app-is-arr:
assumes $j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-cat-cone) cat-cone-LArr-app-is-arr' [cat-CS-intros]:
assumes $j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj})$ and $\mathfrak{F}j = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(j)$
shows $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F}j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-CS-intros] = is-cat-cone.cat-cone-LArr-app-is-arr'

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) cat-cocone-LArr-app-is-arr:
assumes $j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) : \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(j) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) cat-cocone-LArr-app-is-arr' [cat-CS-intros]:
assumes $j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj})$ and $\mathfrak{F}j = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(j)$
shows $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) : \mathfrak{F}j \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-CS-intros] = is-cat-cocone.cat-cocone-LArr-app-is-arr'

lemma (in is-cat-cone) cat-cone-Comp-commute [cat-CS-simps]:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{J}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm is-cat-cone.cat-cone-Comp-commute

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) cat-cocone-Comp-commute [cat-CS-simps]:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{J}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(a)$

{proof}

thm *is-cat-cocone.cat-cocone-Comp-commute*

Utilities/helper lemmas.

lemma (in is-cat-cone) helper-cat-cone-ntcf-vcomp-Comp:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' : c' \lessdot_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $f' : c' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $\mathfrak{N}' = \mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f'$
and $j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})(j) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f'$

{proof}

lemma (in is-cat-cone) helper-cat-cone-Comp-ntcf-vcomp:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' : c' \lessdot_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $f' : c' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $\wedge j. j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})(j) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f'$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' = \mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f'$

{proof}

lemma (in is-cat-cone) helper-cat-cone-Comp-ntcf-vcomp-iff:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' : c' \lessdot_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $f' : c' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \wedge \mathfrak{N}' = \mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f' \leftrightarrow$
 $f' : c' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c \wedge (\forall j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj}). \mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})(j) = \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f')$

{proof}

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) helper-cat-cocone-ntcf-vcomp-Comp:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c' : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $f' : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c'$
and $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f' \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}$
and $j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})(j) = f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j)$

{proof}

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) helper-cat-cocone-Comp-ntcf-vcomp:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c' : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $f' : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c'$
and $\wedge j. j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj}) \implies \mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})(j) = f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j)$
shows $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f' \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N}$

{proof}

lemma (in is-cat-cocone) helper-cat-cocone-Comp-ntcf-vcomp-iff:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c' : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $f' : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c' \wedge \mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} f' \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} \leftrightarrow$
 $f' : c \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c' \wedge (\forall j \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{J}(\text{Obj}). \mathfrak{N}'(\text{NTMap})(j) = f' \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{N}(\text{NTMap})(j))$

{proof}

27.1.2 Vertical composition of a natural transformation and a cone

lemma ntcf-vcomp-is-cat-cone[cat-cs-intros]:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : a \lessdot_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : a \lessdot_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^{\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$

{proof}

27.1.3 Composition of a functor and a cone, composition of a functor and a cocone

lemma cf-ntcf-comp-cf-cat-cone:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c) <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-cf-cat-cone' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $c' = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c)$
and $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : c' <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-cf-cat-cocone*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c) : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-cf-cat-cocone' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $c' = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c)$
and $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} c' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.1.4 Cones, cocones and constant natural transformations

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-cat-cone*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : b <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f : a <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-cat-cone' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : b <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} = \text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M} : a <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-cat-cocone*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} a : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} b : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-cat-cocone' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} a : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} = \text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.cocone} b : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-CId*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : b <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{B}(\text{CId})(b)) = \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-CId' [cat-cs-simps]*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : b <_{CF.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$

shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\mathfrak{B}'(\text{CID})(b)) = \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.2 Cone and cocone functors

27.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter V-1 in [7].

definition $cf\text{-Cone} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} = Hom_{O.C\beta} cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(-, cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF}$
 $op\text{-}cf (\Delta_{CF} \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)))$

definition $cf\text{-Cocone} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $cf\text{-Cocone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} = Hom_{O.C\beta} cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}, -) \circ_{CF}$
 $(\Delta_{CF} \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)))$

An alternative form of the definition.

context *is-functor*

begin

lemma $cf\text{-Cone-def}'$:
 $cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} = Hom_{O.C\beta} cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (-, cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf (\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-Cocone-def}'$:
 $cf\text{-Cocone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} = Hom_{O.C\beta} cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}, -) \circ_{CF} (\Delta_{CF} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

27.2.2 Object map

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-Cone-ObjMap-vsv}[cat\text{-cs-intros}]$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows $vsv(cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-cs-intros] = *is-functor.cf-Cone-ObjMap-vsv*

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-Cocone-ObjMap-vsv}[cat\text{-cs-intros}]$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows $vsv(cf\text{-Cocone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-cs-intros] = *is-functor.cf-Cocone-ObjMap-vsv*

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-Cone-ObjMap-vdomain}[cat\text{-cs-simps}]$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_0(cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-cs-simps] = *is-functor.cf-Cone-ObjMap-vdomain*

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-Cocone-ObjMap-vdomain}[cat\text{-cs-simps}]$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ and $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$

shows $\mathcal{D}_\circ (cf\text{-}Cocone \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cocone*-*ObjMap*-*vdomain*

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cone*-*ObjMap*-*app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ **and** $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $cf\text{-}Cone \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ObjMap})(b) =$
 $Hom (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}) (cf\text{-}map (cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b)) (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cone*-*ObjMap*-*app*

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cocone*-*ObjMap*-*app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ **and** $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $cf\text{-}Cocone \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ObjMap})(b) =$
 $Hom (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}) (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) (cf\text{-}map (cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cocone*-*ObjMap*-*app*

27.2.3 Arrow map

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cone*-*ArrMap*-*vsv*[*cat*-*cs*-*intros*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows *vsv* (*cf*-*Cone* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ArrMap})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cone*-*ArrMap*-*vsv*

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cocone*-*ArrMap*-*vsv*[*cat*-*cs*-*intros*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows *vsv* (*cf*-*Cocone* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ArrMap})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cocone*-*ArrMap*-*vsv*

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cone*-*ArrMap*-*vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ **and** $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_\circ (cf\text{-}Cone \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cone*-*ArrMap*-*vdomain*

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cocone*-*ArrMap*-*vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$ **and** $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Obj})$
shows $\mathcal{D}_\circ (cf\text{-}Cocone \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf*-*Cocone*-*ArrMap*-*vdomain*

lemma (in *is-functor*) *cf*-*Cone*-*ArrMap*-*app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $cf\text{-}Cone \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{ArrMap})(f) = cf\text{-}hom$
 $(cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $[ntcf\text{-}arrow (ntcf\text{-}const \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f), cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (CId)(cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F})]$.

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf-Cone-ArrMap-app*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-Cocone-ArrMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$

and $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$

shows *cf-Cocone* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \text{cf-hom}$

(*cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$)

[*cat-FUNCT* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (\text{CId})(\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F})$, *ntcf-arrow* (*ntcf-const* $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f$)].

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*cf-Cocone-ArrMap-app*

27.2.4 The cone functor is a functor

lemma (in is-functor) *tm-cf-cf-Cone-is-functor-if-ge-Limit*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows *cf-Cone* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathbb{C} \beta} \text{cat-Set } \beta$

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) *tm-cf-cf-Cocone-is-functor-if-ge-Limit*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows *cf-Cocone* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\mathbb{C} \beta} \text{cat-Set } \beta$

{proof}

28 Smallness for cones and cocones

28.1 Cone with tiny maps and cocone with tiny maps

28.1.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
locale is-tm-cat-cone =
  is-ntcf  $\alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C}$  <cf-const  $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c \triangleright \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{N}$  + NTCod: is-tm-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}$ 
  for  $\alpha c \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{N}$  +
  assumes tm-cat-cone-obj[cat-cs-intros, cat-small-cs-intros]:  $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$ 
```

```
syntax -is-tm-cat-cone ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle - : / - <_{CF.tm.cone} - : / - \mapsto_{C.tm^1} - \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51$ )
```

```
syntax-consts -is-tm-cat-cone  $\Leftarrow$  is-tm-cat-cone
```

```
translations  $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C} \Leftarrow$ 
  CONST is-tm-cat-cone  $\alpha c \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{N}$ 
```

```
locale is-tm-cat-cocone =
  is-ntcf  $\alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}$  <cf-const  $\mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c \triangleright \mathfrak{N}$  + NTDom: is-tm-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}$ 
  for  $\alpha c \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{N}$  +
  assumes tm-cat-cocone-obj[cat-cs-intros, cat-small-cs-intros]:  $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$ 
```

```
syntax -is-tm-cat-cocone ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle - : / - >_{CF.tm.cocone} - : / - \mapsto_{C.tm^1} - \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 51$ )
```

```
syntax-consts -is-tm-cat-cocone  $\Leftarrow$  is-tm-cat-cocone
```

```
translations  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} c : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{C} \Leftarrow$ 
  CONST is-tm-cat-cocone  $\alpha c \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{N}$ 
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in is-tm-cat-cone) is-tm-cat-cone-axioms'[
  cat-cs-intros, cat-small-cs-intros
]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $c' = c$  and  $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{N} : c' <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha'} \mathfrak{C}'$ 
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf is-tm-cat-cone-def[unfolded is-tm-cat-cone-axioms-def]
|intro is-tm-cat-coneI|
|dest is-tm-cat-coneD[dest!]|
|elim is-tm-cat-coneE[elim!]|
```

```
lemma (in is-tm-cat-cocone) is-tm-cat-cocone-axioms'[
  cat-cs-intros, cat-small-cs-intros
]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $c' = c$  and  $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' >_{CF.tm.cocone} c' : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha'} \mathfrak{C}'$ 
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf is-tm-cat-cocone-def[unfolded is-tm-cat-cocone-axioms-def]
|intro is-tm-cat-coconeI|
|dest is-tm-cat-coconeD[dest!]|
|elim is-tm-cat-coconeE[elim!]|
```

Duality.

```
lemma (in is-tm-cat-cone) is-tm-cat-cocone-op:
  op-ntcf  $\mathfrak{N} : op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} c : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma (in is-tm-cat-cone) is-tm-cat-cocone-op'[cat-op-intros]:
```

assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{J}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{J}$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}' = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}$
shows $op\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' >_{CF.\text{tm}.cocone} c : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha'} \mathfrak{C}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *is-tm-cat-cone.is-tm-cat-cocone-op'*

lemma (in *is-tm-cat-cocone*) *is-tm-cat-cone-op*:
 $op\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.\text{tm}.cone} op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F} : op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *is-tm-cat-cocone*) *is-tm-cat-cone-op'*[*cat-op-intros*]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{J}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{J}$ **and** $\mathfrak{C}' = op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}' = op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}$
shows $op\text{-}ntcf \mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.\text{tm}.cone} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{J}' \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha'} \mathfrak{C}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-op-intros*] = *is-cat-cocone.is-cat-cone-op'*

Elementary properties.

**lemma (in *is-tm-cat-cone*) *tm-cat-cone-is-tm-ntcf'*[
cat-cs-intros, *cat-small-cs-intros*
]:**
assumes $c' = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : c' \mapsto_{CF.\text{tm}} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-cat-cone.tm-cat-cone-is-tm-ntcf'*

sublocale *is-tm-cat-cone* \subseteq *is-tm-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \langle cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c \rangle \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in *is-tm-cat-cocone*) *tm-cat-cocone-is-tm-ntcf'*[
cat-cs-intros, *cat-small-cs-intros*
]:**
assumes $c' = cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c$
shows $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.\text{tm}} c' : \mathfrak{J} \mapsto_{C.\text{tm}\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*, *cat-cs-intros*] =
is-tm-cat-cocone.tm-cat-cocone-is-tm-ntcf'

sublocale *is-tm-cat-cocone* \subseteq *is-tm-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \langle cf\text{-}const \mathfrak{J} \mathfrak{C} c \rangle \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *is-tm-cat-cone* \subseteq *is-cat-cone*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas (in *is-tm-cat-cone*) *tm-cat-cone-is-cat-cone* = *is-cat-cone-axioms*
lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-cat-cone.tm-cat-cone-is-cat-cone*

sublocale *is-tm-cat-cocone* \subseteq *is-cat-cocone*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas (in *is-tm-cat-cocone*) *tm-cat-cocone-is-cat-cocone* = *is-cat-cocone-axioms*
lemmas [*cat-small-cs-intros*] = *is-tm-cat-cocone.tm-cat-cocone-is-cat-cocone*

28.1.2 Vertical composition of a natural transformation with tiny maps and a cone with tiny maps

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-is-tm-cat-cone*[*cat-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF.tm} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{N} : a <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : a <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

28.1.3 Composition of a functor and a cone with tiny maps, composition of a functor and a cocone with tiny maps

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-tm-cf-tm-cat-cone*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c) <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-tm-cf-tm-cat-cone'*[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : c <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $c' = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c)$
and $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : c' <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-tm-cf-tm-cat-cocone*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} c : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c) : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

lemma *cf-ntcf-comp-tm-cf-tm-cat-cocone'*[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} c : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
and $c' = \mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(c)$
and $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF-NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} c' : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{C}$
(proof)

28.1.4 Cones and cocones with tiny maps and constant natural transformations

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-tm-cat-cone*:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : b <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f : a <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-tm-cat-cone'*[*cat-small-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : b <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} = \text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{N} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{M} : a <_{CF.tm.cone} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tma} \mathfrak{B}$
(proof)

lemma *ntcf-vcomp-ntcf-const-is-tm-cat-cocone*:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} a : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} b : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntcf\text{-vcomp-}ntcf\text{-const-is-tm-cat-cocone}'[cat\text{-cs-intros}]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} a : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{M} = ntcf\text{-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} >_{CF.tm.cocone} b : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C.tm\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

28.2 Small cone and small cocone functors

28.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha} cat\text{-Funct } \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(-, cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF}$
 $op\text{-}cf (\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)))$

definition $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha} cat\text{-Funct } \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}, -) \circ_{CF}$
 $(\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)))$

Alternative definitions.

context $is\text{-tm}\text{-functor}$

begin

lemma $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone-def}'$:

$tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha} cat\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (-, cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf (\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone-def}'$:

$tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha} cat\text{-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} (cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}, -) \circ_{CF} (\Delta_{CF.tm} \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

28.2.2 Object map

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone-ObjMap-vsv}[cat\text{-small\text{-}cs-intros}]$:

$vsv (tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-small\text{-}cs-intros}$] = $is\text{-tm}\text{-functor}.tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone-ObjMap-vsv}$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone-ObjMap-vsv}[cat\text{-small\text{-}cs-intros}]$:

$vsv (tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-small\text{-}cs-intros}$] = $is\text{-tm}\text{-functor}.tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cocone-ObjMap-vsv}$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) $tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone-ObjMap-vdomain}[cat\text{-small\text{-}cs-simps}]$:

assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (tm\text{-}cf\text{-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)) = \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-simps*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cone-ObjMap-vdomain*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cocone-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat-small-CS-simps*]:
 assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{tm-cf-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-simps*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cocone-ObjMap-vdomain*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cone-ObjMap-app*[*cat-small-CS-simps*]:
 assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\text{tm-cf-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b) =$
 $\text{Hom}(\text{cat-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}) (\text{cf-map} (\text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b)) (\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F})$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-simps*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cone-ObjMap-app*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cocone-ObjMap-app*[*cat-small-CS-simps*]:
 assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\text{tm-cf-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b) =$
 $\text{Hom}(\text{cat-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}) (\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F}) (\text{cf-map} (\text{cf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} b))$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-simps*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cocone-ObjMap-app*

28.2.3 Arrow map

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cone-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-small-CS-intros*]:
 vsv (*tm-cf-Cone* $\alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-intros*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cone-ArrMap-vsv*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cocone-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat-small-CS-intros*]:
 vsv (*tm-cf-Cocone* $\alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})$)
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-intros*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cocone-ArrMap-vsv*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cone-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-small-CS-simps*]:
 assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{tm-cf-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-simps*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cone-ArrMap-vdomain*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cocone-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat-small-CS-simps*]:
 assumes $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
 shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{tm-cf-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = \mathfrak{B}(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-small-CS-simps*] = *is-tm-functor.tm-cf-Cocone-ArrMap-vdomain*

lemma (in *is-tm-functor*) *tm-cf-Cone-ArrMap-app*[*cat-small-CS-simps*]:
 assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
 shows $\text{tm-cf-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \text{cf-hom}$
 (*cat-Funct* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}$)

[$\text{ntcf-arrow}(\text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f)$, $\text{cat-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{CId})(\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F})$].
(proof)

lemmas [$\text{cat-small-cs-simps}$] = $\text{is-tm-functor}.\text{tm-cf-Cone-ArrMap-app}$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-Cocone-ArrMap-app [$\text{cat-small-cs-simps}$]:
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$
shows $\text{tm-cf-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(f) = \text{cf-hom}$
 $(\text{cat-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})$
 $[\text{cat-Funct } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B}(\text{CId})(\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{F})$, $\text{ntcf-arrow}(\text{ntcf-const } \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} f)]$.
(proof)

lemmas [$\text{cat-small-cs-simps}$] = $\text{is-tm-functor}.\text{tm-cf-Cocone-ArrMap-app}$

28.2.4 Small cone functor and small cocone functor are functors

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-cf-Cone-is-functor:
 $\text{tm-cf-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
(proof)

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-cf-Cone-is-functor' [$\text{cat-small-cs-intros}$]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ **and** $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows $\text{tm-cf-Cone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
(proof)

lemmas [$\text{cat-small-cs-intros}$] = $\text{is-tm-functor}.\text{tm-cf-cf-Cone-is-functor}'$

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-cf-Cocone-is-functor:
 $\text{tm-cf-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
(proof)

lemma (in is-tm-functor) tm-cf-cf-Cocone-is-functor' [$\text{cat-small-cs-intros}$]:
assumes $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ **and** $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows $\text{tm-cf-Cocone } \alpha \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
(proof)

lemmas [$\text{cat-small-cs-intros}$] = $\text{is-tm-functor}.\text{tm-cf-cf-Cocone-is-functor}'$

29 Yoneda Lemma

29.1 Yoneda map

The Yoneda map is the bijection that is used in the statement of the Yoneda Lemma, as presented, for example, in Chapter III-2 in [7] or in subsection 1.15 in [3].

definition *Yoneda-map* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *Yoneda-map* $\alpha \mathfrak{K} r =$

$$(\lambda\psi\in_{\circ}these-ntcfs\alpha(\mathfrak{K}(HomDom))\ (cat-Set\alpha)\ Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)(r,-)\ \mathfrak{K}.\psi(NTMap)(r)(ArrVal)(\mathfrak{K}(HomDom)(CId)(r)))$$

Elementary properties.

mk-VLambda *Yoneda-map-def*

|vsv *Yoneda-map-vsv*[*cat-cs-intros*]|

mk-VLambda (in is-functor) *Yoneda-map-def*[**where** $\alpha=\alpha$ **and** $\mathfrak{K}=\mathfrak{F}$, *unfolded cf-HomDom*]

|*vdomain Yoneda-map-vdomain*|

|*app Yoneda-map-app*[*unfolded these-ntcfs-if*]|

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.Yoneda-map-vdomain*

lemmas *Yoneda-map-app*[*cat-cs-simps*] =

is-functor.Yoneda-map-app[*unfolded these-ntcfs-if*]

29.2 Yoneda component

29.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

The Yoneda components are the components of the natural transformations that appear in the statement of the Yoneda Lemma (e.g., see Chapter III-2 in [7] or subsection 1.15 in [3]).

definition *Yoneda-component* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *Yoneda-component* $\mathfrak{K} r u d =$

$$[(\lambda f\in_{\circ}Hom(\mathfrak{K}(HomDom))\ r\ d.\ \mathfrak{K}(ArrMap)(f)(ArrVal)(u)), Hom(\mathfrak{K}(HomDom))\ r\ d, \mathfrak{K}(ObjMap)(d)]_o$$

Components.

lemma (in is-functor) *Yoneda-component-components*:

shows *Yoneda-component* $\mathfrak{F} r u d(ArrVal) =$

$(\lambda f\in_{\circ}Hom\mathfrak{A}\ r\ d.\ \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(f)(ArrVal)(u))$

and *Yoneda-component* $\mathfrak{F} r u d(ArrDom) = Hom\mathfrak{A}\ r\ d$

and *Yoneda-component* $\mathfrak{F} r u d(ArrCod) = \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(d)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

29.2.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda (in is-functor) *Yoneda-component-components*(1)

|vsv *Yoneda-component-ArrVal-vsv*|

|*vdomain Yoneda-component-ArrVal-vdomain*|

|*app Yoneda-component-ArrVal-app*[*unfolded in-Hom-if*]|

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *is-functor.Yoneda-component-ArrVal-vdomain*

lemmas Yoneda-component-ArrVal-app[cat-cs-simps] =
 is-functor.Yoneda-component-ArrVal-app[unfolded in-Hom-iff]

29.2.3 Yoneda component is an arrow in the category Set

lemma (in category) cat-Yoneda-component-is-arr:
assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
and $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $u \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$
and $d \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows Yoneda-component $\mathfrak{K} r u d : \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} r d \rightarrow_{\text{cat-Set } \alpha} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(d)$
 {proof}

lemma (in category) cat-Yoneda-component-is-arr':
assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
and $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $u \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$
and $d \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s = \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} r d$
and $t = \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(d)$
and $\mathfrak{D} = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows Yoneda-component $\mathfrak{K} r u d : s \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{D}} t$
 {proof}

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-Yoneda-component-is-arr'[rotated 1]

29.3 Yoneda arrow

29.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

The Yoneda arrows are the natural transformations that appear in the statement of the Yoneda Lemma in Chapter III-2 in [7] and subsection 1.15 in [3].

definition Yoneda-arrow :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{K} r u =$

[
 $(\lambda d \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{K}(\text{HomDom})(\text{Obj}). \text{Yoneda-component } \mathfrak{K} r u d),$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{K}(\text{HomDom})(r, -),$
 $\mathfrak{K},$
 $\mathfrak{K}(\text{HomDom}),$
 $\text{cat-Set } \alpha$
]._o

Components.

lemma (in is-functor) Yoneda-arrow-components:
shows Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} r u(\text{NTMap}) =$
 $(\lambda d \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj}). \text{Yoneda-component } \mathfrak{F} r u d)$
and Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} r u(\text{NTDom}) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{A}(r, -)$
and Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} r u(\text{NTCod}) = \mathfrak{F}$
and Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} r u(\text{NTDGDom}) = \mathfrak{A}$
and Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} r u(\text{NTDCCod}) = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 {proof}

29.3.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda (in is-functor) Yoneda-arrow-components(1)
 |vsv Yoneda-arrow-NTMap-vsv|
 |vdomain Yoneda-arrow-NTMap-vdomain|
 |app Yoneda-arrow-NTMap-app|

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor*.*Yoneda-arrow-NTMap-vdomain*

lemmas *Yoneda-arrow-NTMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] =
is-functor.*Yoneda-arrow-NTMap-app*

29.3.3 Yoneda arrow is a natural transformation

lemma (in category) cat-Yoneda-arrow-is-ntcf:

assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

and $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

and $u \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$

shows *Yoneda-arrow* $\alpha \mathfrak{K} r u : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r, -) \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

{*proof*}

29.4 Yoneda Lemma

The following lemma is approximately equivalent to the Yoneda Lemma stated in subsection 1.15 in [3] (the first two conclusions correspond to the statement of the Yoneda lemma in Chapter III-2 in [7]).

lemma (in category) cat-Yoneda-Lemma:

assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ **and** $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

shows $v11$ (*Yoneda-map* $\alpha \mathfrak{K} r$)

and \mathcal{R}_o (*Yoneda-map* $\alpha \mathfrak{K} r) = \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$

and $(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r)^{-1} = (\lambda u \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)). \text{Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r u$

{*proof*}

29.5 Inverse of the Yoneda map

lemma (in category) inv-Yoneda-map-v11:

assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ **and** $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

shows $v11$ ($(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r)^{-1}$)

{*proof*}

lemma (in category) inv-Yoneda-map-vdomain:

assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ **and** $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$

shows \mathcal{D}_o ($(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r)^{-1}$) = $\mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$

{*proof*}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.inv-Yoneda-map-vdomain*

lemma (in category) inv-Yoneda-map-app:

assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ **and** $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $u \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{K}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$

shows $(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r)^{-1}(u) = \text{Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r u$

{*proof*}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.inv-Yoneda-map-app*

lemma (in category) inv-Yoneda-map-vrange:

assumes $\mathfrak{K} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

shows \mathcal{R}_o ($(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{K} r)^{-1}$) =

these-ntcfs $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ (*cat-Set* α) $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r, -) \mathfrak{K}$

{*proof*}

29.6 Component of a composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with natural transformations

29.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

The following definition is merely a technical generalization that is used in the context of the description of the composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with a natural transformation later in this section (also see subsection 1.15 in [3]).

definition *ntcf-Hom-component* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *ntcf-Hom-component* $\varphi \psi a b =$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\\ & (\\ & \lambda f \in \text{Hom}(\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})) (\varphi(\text{NTCod})(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\psi(\text{NTDom})(\text{ObjMap})(b)) . \\ & \quad \psi(\text{NTMap})(b) \circ_A \psi(\text{NTDGCod}) f \circ_A \psi(\text{NTDGCod}) \varphi(\text{NTMap})(a) \\ &), \\ & \text{Hom}(\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})) (\varphi(\text{NTCod})(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\psi(\text{NTDom})(\text{ObjMap})(b)), \\ & \text{Hom}(\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})) (\varphi(\text{NTDom})(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\psi(\text{NTCod})(\text{ObjMap})(b)) \\ &]. \end{aligned}$$

Components.

lemma *ntcf-Hom-component-components*:

shows *ntcf-Hom-component* $\varphi \psi a b(\text{ArrVal}) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\\ & \lambda f \in \text{Hom}(\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})) (\varphi(\text{NTCod})(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\psi(\text{NTDom})(\text{ObjMap})(b)) . \\ & \quad \psi(\text{NTMap})(b) \circ_A \psi(\text{NTDGCod}) f \circ_A \psi(\text{NTDGCod}) \varphi(\text{NTMap})(a) \\ &) \end{aligned}$$

and *ntcf-Hom-component* $\varphi \psi a b(\text{ArrDom}) =$

$$\text{Hom}(\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})) (\varphi(\text{NTCod})(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\psi(\text{NTDom})(\text{ObjMap})(b))$$

and *ntcf-Hom-component* $\varphi \psi a b(\text{ArrCod}) =$

$$\text{Hom}(\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})) (\varphi(\text{NTDom})(\text{ObjMap})(a)) (\psi(\text{NTCod})(\text{ObjMap})(b))$$

{proof}

29.6.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda *ntcf-Hom-component-components(1)*

|vsv *ntcf-Hom-component-ArrVal-vsv[intro]*|

context

fixes $\alpha \varphi \psi \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}' \mathfrak{G}' \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$

assumes $\varphi: \varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $\psi: \psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto \mathfrak{B}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

begin

interpretation $\varphi: \text{is-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \varphi \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $\psi: \text{is-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}' \mathfrak{G}' \psi \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

mk-VLambda

ntcf-Hom-component-components(1)

[

of $\varphi \psi$,

unfolded

$\varphi.\text{ntcf-NTDom } \psi.\text{ntcf-NTDom}$

$\varphi.\text{ntcf-NTCod } \psi.\text{ntcf-NTCod}$

$\varphi.\text{ntcf-NTDGDom } \psi.\text{ntcf-NTDGDom}$

$\varphi.\text{ntcf-NTDGCod } \psi.\text{ntcf-NTDGCod}$

]

|vdomain *ntcf-Hom-component-ArrVal-vdomain*|

|app ntcf-Hom-component-ArrVal-app[unfolded in-Hom-iff]|

```

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] =
  ntcf-Hom-component-ArrVal-vdomain
  ntcf-Hom-component-ArrVal-app

lemma ntcf-Hom-component-ArrVal-vrange:
  assumes a ∈o A(Obj) and b ∈o B(Obj)
  shows
    Ro (ntcf-Hom-component φ ψ a b(ArrVal)) ⊆o
    Hom C (F(ObjMap)(a)) (G'(ObjMap)(b))
  {proof}

```

end

29.6.3 Arrow domain and codomain

```

context
  fixes α φ ψ F G F' G' A B C
  assumes φ: φ : F ↪CF G : A ↪↪Cα C
  and ψ: ψ : F' ↪CF G' : B ↪↪Cα C
begin

```

```

interpretation φ: is-ntcf α A C F G φ {proof}
interpretation ψ: is-ntcf α B C F' G' ψ {proof}

```

```

lemma ntcf-Hom-component-ArrDom[cat-cs-simps]:
  ntcf-Hom-component φ ψ a b(ArrDom) = Hom C (G(ObjMap)(a)) (F'(ObjMap)(b))
  {proof}

```

```

lemma ntcf-Hom-component-ArrCod[cat-cs-simps]:
  ntcf-Hom-component φ ψ a b(ArrCod) = Hom C (F(ObjMap)(a)) (G'(ObjMap)(b))
  {proof}

```

end

29.6.4 Component of a composition of a Hom-natural transformation with natural transformations is an arrow in the category Set

```

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-component-is-arr:
  assumes φ : F ↪CF G : A ↪↪Cα C
  and ψ : F' ↪CF G' : B ↪↪Cα C
  and a ∈o op-cat A(Obj)
  and b ∈o B(Obj)
  shows
    ntcf-Hom-component φ ψ a b :
    Hom C (G(ObjMap)(a)) (F'(ObjMap)(b)) ↪cat-Set α
    Hom C (F(ObjMap)(a)) (G'(ObjMap)(b))
  {proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-component-is-arr':
  assumes φ : F ↪CF G : A ↪↪Cα C
  and ψ : F' ↪CF G' : B ↪↪Cα C
  and a ∈o op-cat A(Obj)
  and b ∈o B(Obj)
  and A' = Hom C (G(ObjMap)(a)) (F'(ObjMap)(b))
  and B' = Hom C (F(ObjMap)(a)) (G'(ObjMap)(b))
  and C' = cat-Set α

```

shows *ntcf-Hom-component* $\varphi \psi a b : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-CS-intros*] = *category.cat-ntcf-Hom-component-is-arr'*

29.6.5 Naturality of the components of a composition of a *Hom-natural transformation* with natural transformations

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-component-nat:

assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $g : a \mapsto_{op\text{-}cat} \mathfrak{A} a'$
and $f : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b'$

shows

ntcf-Hom-component $\varphi \psi a' b' \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
cf-hom $\mathfrak{C} [\mathfrak{G}(ArrMap)(g), \mathfrak{F}'(ArrMap)(f)]_o =$
cf-hom $\mathfrak{C} [\mathfrak{F}(ArrMap)(g), \mathfrak{G}'(ArrMap)(f)]_o \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
ntcf-Hom-component $\varphi \psi a b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

29.6.6 Composition of the components of a composition of a *Hom-natural transformation* with natural transformations

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-component-Comp:

assumes $\varphi' : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi' : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
and $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$

shows

ntcf-Hom-component $\varphi \psi' a b \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha$ *ntcf-Hom-component* $\varphi' \psi a b =$
ntcf-Hom-component $(\varphi' \cdot_{NTCF} \varphi) (\psi' \cdot_{NTCF} \psi) a b$
(is $\langle ?\varphi \psi' \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha ?\varphi' \psi = ?\varphi' \varphi \psi' \psi \rangle$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-Hom-component-Comp*

29.6.7 Component of a composition of *Hom-natural transformation* with the identity natural transformations

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-component-ntcf-id:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_o \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$
and $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$

shows

ntcf-Hom-component $(ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}) (ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F}') a b =$
cat-Set $\alpha(CId)(Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)) (\mathfrak{F}'(ObjMap)(b)))$
(is $\langle ?\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{F}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha(CId)(?F a F' b) \rangle$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-Hom-component-ntcf-id*

29.7 Component of a composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with a natural transformation

29.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component* $\varphi a b =$

ntcf-Hom-component $\varphi (ntcf\text{-}id (cf\text{-}id (\varphi (NTDG\text{Cod})))) a b$

definition *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component* $\psi a b =$

ntcf-Hom-component $(ntcf\text{-}id (cf\text{-}id (\psi (NTDG\text{Cod})))) \psi a b$

29.7.2 Arrow value

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-vsv*:

vsv (*ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component* $\varphi a b (ArrVal)$)

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-vsv*:

vsv (*ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component* $\psi a b (ArrVal)$)

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-}Hom\text{-}component \varphi a b (ArrVal)) = Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a)) b$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (ntcf\text{-}rcomp\text{-}Hom\text{-}component \psi a b (ArrVal)) = Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b))$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-app[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$

and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

and $h : \mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(a) \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$

shows $ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-}Hom\text{-}component \varphi a b (ArrVal)(h) = h \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} \varphi (NTMap)(a)$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-app[cat-cs-simps]*:

assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(Obj)$

and $h : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b)$

shows $ntcf\text{-}rcomp\text{-}Hom\text{-}component \psi a b (ArrVal)(h) = \psi (NTMap)(b) \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} h$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-vrange*:

assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}(Obj)$

and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-}Hom\text{-}component \varphi a b (ArrVal)) \subseteq_{\circ} Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(a)) b$

{proof}

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component-ArrVal-vrange*:

assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$

and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\text{ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component } \psi \text{ } a \text{ } b(\mathbb{A}rr\mathbb{V}al)) \subseteq_{\circ} Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.7.3 Arrow domain and codomain

lemma $ntcf-lcomp\text{-Hom-component-}ArrDom[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $ntcf-lcomp\text{-Hom-component } \varphi \text{ } a \text{ } b(\mathbb{A}rrDom) = Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(a)) \text{ } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntcf-rcomp\text{-Hom-component-}ArrDom[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $ntcf-rcomp\text{-Hom-component } \psi \text{ } a \text{ } b(\mathbb{A}rrDom) = Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntcf-lcomp\text{-Hom-component-}ArrCod[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $ntcf-lcomp\text{-Hom-component } \varphi \text{ } a \text{ } b(\mathbb{A}rrCod) = Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(a)) \text{ } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntcf-rcomp\text{-Hom-component-}ArrCod[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $ntcf-rcomp\text{-Hom-component } \psi \text{ } a \text{ } b(\mathbb{A}rrCod) = Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.7.4 Component of a composition of a Hom-natural transformation with a natural transformation is an arrow in the category Set

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-Hom-component-is-arr}$:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-Hom-component } \varphi \text{ } a \text{ } b :$
 $Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(a)) \text{ } b \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(a)) \text{ } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-Hom-component-is-arr}'$:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{A}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(a)) \text{ } b$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = Hom \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(a)) \text{ } b$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
shows $ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-Hom-component } \varphi \text{ } a \text{ } b : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = $category.cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-}lcomp\text{-Hom-component-is-arr}'$

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-rcomp\text{-}Hom-component-is-arr}$:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
shows $ntcf\text{-rcomp\text{-}Hom-component } \psi \text{ } a \text{ } b :$
 $Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(b)) \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bj\mathbb{M}ap)(b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}ntcf\text{-rcomp\text{-}Hom-component-is-arr}'$:

assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{O}bj)$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{O}bjMap)(b))$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = Hom \mathfrak{C} a (\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{O}bjMap)(b))$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
shows *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component* $\psi a b : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = *category.cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component-is-arr'*

29.8 Composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with two natural transformations

29.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

See subsection 1.15 in [3].

definition *ntcf-Hom* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi) \rangle)$

where $Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi) =$

[
 (
 $\lambda ab \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat (\varphi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom)) \times_C \psi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom))(\mathbb{O}bj).$
ntcf-Hom-component $\varphi \psi (vpfst ab) (vpsnd ab)$
),
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\psi(\mathbb{N}TDGCod)(\varphi(\mathbb{N}TCod)-, \psi(\mathbb{N}TDom)-),$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\psi(\mathbb{N}TDGCod)(\varphi(\mathbb{N}TDom)-, \psi(\mathbb{N}TCod)-),$
 $op\text{-}cat (\varphi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom)) \times_C \psi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom),$
 $cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
]_o.

Components.

lemma *ntcf-Hom-components*:

shows $Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbb{N}TMap) =$
 (
 $\lambda ab \in_{\circ} (op\text{-}cat (\varphi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom)) \times_C \psi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom))(\mathbb{O}bj).$
ntcf-Hom-component $\varphi \psi (vpfst ab) (vpsnd ab)$
)
and $Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbb{N}TDom) =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\psi(\mathbb{N}TDGCod)(\varphi(\mathbb{N}TCod)-, \psi(\mathbb{N}TDom)-)$
and $Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbb{N}TCod) =$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\psi(\mathbb{N}TDGCod)(\varphi(\mathbb{N}TDom)-, \psi(\mathbb{N}TCod)-)$
and $Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbb{N}TDGDom) = op\text{-}cat (\varphi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom)) \times_C \psi(\mathbb{N}TDGDom)$
and $Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, \psi)(\mathbb{N}TDGCod) = cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.8.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda *ntcf-Hom-components(1)*

|vsv *ntcf-Hom-NTMap-vsv*|

context

fixes $\alpha \varphi \psi \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{F}' \mathfrak{G}' \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C}$
assumes $\varphi : \varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi : \psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

begin

interpretation φ : *is-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \varphi \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation ψ : *is-ntcf* $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}' \mathfrak{G}' \psi \langle proof \rangle$

mk-VLambda *ntcf-Hom-components(1)[of - $\varphi \psi$, simplified]*
|vdomain ntcf-Hom-NTMap-vdomain[unfolded in-Hom-iff]|

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *ntcf-Hom-NTMap-vdomain*

lemma *ntcf-Hom-NTMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $[a, b]_\circ \epsilon_\circ (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, \psi-)(\text{NTMap})(a, b)_\bullet = \text{ntcf-Hom-component } \varphi \psi a b$
{proof}

end

lemma (in category) *ntcf-Hom-NTMap-vrange*:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, \psi-)(\text{NTMap})) \subseteq_\circ \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Arr})$
{proof}

29.8.3 Composition of a Hom-natural transformation with two natural transformations is a natural transformation

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-is-ntcf*:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, \psi-) : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, \mathfrak{F}'-) \mapsto_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, \mathfrak{G}'-) :$
op-cat $\mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-is-ntcf'*:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, \mathfrak{F}'-)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, \mathfrak{G}'-)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, \psi-) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{B}' : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{D}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *category.cat-ntcf-Hom-is-ntcf'*

29.8.4 Composition of a Hom-natural transformation with two vertical compositions of natural transformations

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-vcomp*:
assumes $\varphi' : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi' : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\psi : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows
 $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi' \cdot_{NTCF} \varphi-, \psi' \cdot_{NTCF} \psi-) =$
 $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, \psi') \cdot_{NTCF} \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi', \psi-)$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-Hom-vcomp*

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-ntcf-id*:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}-, \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F}'-) = \text{ntcf-id } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, \mathfrak{F}'-)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat*-*ntcf-Hom*-*ntcf-id*

29.9 Composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with a natural transformation

29.9.1 Definition and elementary properties

See subsection 1.15 in [3].

definition *ntcf-lcomp-Hom* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \text{Hom}_{A.C1'}(/--, -/') \rangle)$
where $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, \text{ntcf-id } (\text{cf-id } (\varphi(\text{NTDGCod})))-) \quad \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *ntcf-rcomp-Hom* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \text{Hom}_{A.C1'}(/--, --/) \rangle)$
where $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\text{ntcf-id } (\text{cf-id } (\psi(\text{NTDGCod}))))-, \psi- \quad \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.9.2 Natural transformation map

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-NTMap-vsv*: $\text{vsv } (\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -)(\text{NTMap}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-NTMap-vsv*: $\text{vsv } (\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-)(\text{NTMap}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\text{op}}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -)(\text{NTMap})) = (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-NTMap-vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\text{op}}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{D}_o(\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-)(\text{NTMap})) = (\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-NTMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\text{op}}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_o \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -)(\text{NTMap})(a, b)_\bullet = \text{ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component } \varphi a b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-NTMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\text{op}}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $a \in_o \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_o \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-)(\text{NTMap})(a, b)_\bullet = \text{ntcf-rcomp-Hom-component } \psi a b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in category*) *ntcf-lcomp-Hom-NTMap-vrange*:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\text{op}}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -)(\text{NTMap})) \subseteq_o \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in category*) *ntcf-rcomp-Hom-NTMap-vrange*:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\text{op}}_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o(\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-)(\text{NTMap})) \subseteq_o \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.9.3 Composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with a natural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-ntcf:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -) : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-ntcf':
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{A} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{B}' : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-ntcf'

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-is-ntcf:
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-) : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-) \mapsto_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{F}-) : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-is-ntcf':
assumes $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{F}-)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{G}-)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \psi-) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{B}' : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-is-ntcf'

29.9.4 Component of a composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with a natural transformation and the Yoneda component

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component-is-Yoneda-component:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows
ntcf-lcomp-Hom-component $\varphi b c =$
 $\text{Yoneda-component } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b), -) (\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b)) (\varphi(\text{NTMap})(b)) c$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?lcomp = ?Yc \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.9.5 Composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with a vertical composition of natural transformations

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-vcomp:
assumes $\varphi' : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi' \cdot_{NTCF} \varphi-, -) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -) \cdot_{NTCF} \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi'-, -)$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-vcomp*

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-vcomp*:

assumes $\varphi' : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ and $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \varphi' \cdot_{NTCF} \varphi -) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \varphi' -) \cdot_{NTCF} \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \varphi -)$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-vcomp*

29.9.6 Composition of a *Hom*-natural transformation with an identity natural transformation

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-ntcf-id*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F} -, -) = \text{ntcf-id } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F} -, -)$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-ntcf-id*

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-ntcf-id*:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$

shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(-, \text{ntcf-id } \mathfrak{F} -) = \text{ntcf-id } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{F} -)$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cat-ntcf-rcomp-Hom-ntcf-id*

29.10 Projections of a *Hom*-natural transformation

The concept of a projection of a *Hom*-natural transformation appears in the corollary to the Yoneda Lemma in Chapter III-2 in [7] (although the concept has not been given any specific name in the aforementioned reference).

29.10.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *ntcf-Hom-snd* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \text{Hom}_{A.C1^{-}}(/-, /') \rangle)$

where $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -) =$

Yoneda-arrow $\alpha (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{Dom})(f), -)) (\mathfrak{C}(\text{Cod})(f)) f$

definition *ntcf-Hom-fst* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle \text{Hom}_{A.C1^{-}}(/-, /') \rangle)$

where $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(-, f) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha \text{op-cat}} \mathfrak{C}(f, -)$

Components.

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-components*:

assumes $f : s \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} r$

shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -)(\text{NTMap}) =$

$(\lambda d \in_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}). \text{Yoneda-component } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(s, -) r f d)$

and $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -)(\text{NTDom}) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -)$

and $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -)(\text{NTCod}) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(s, -)$

and $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -)(\text{NTDGCod}) = \mathfrak{C}$

and $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -)(\text{NTDGCod}) = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-components*:

assumes $f : r \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} s$

shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(-, f)(\text{NTMap}) =$

```

 $(\lambda d \in \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}[\text{Obj}]. \text{ Yoneda-component } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,s) \ r \ f \ d)$ 
and  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,f)(\text{NTDom}) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,r)$ 
and  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,f)(\text{NTCod}) = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,s)$ 
and  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,f)(\text{NTDGDom}) = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,f)(\text{NTDGCod}) = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
{proof}

```

Alternative definition.

```

lemma (in category) ntcf-Hom-snd-def':
  assumes  $f : r \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} s$ 
  shows  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f,-) = \text{Yoneda-arrow } \alpha (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r,-)) \ s \ f$ 
{proof}

lemma (in category) ntcf-Hom-fst-def':
  assumes  $f : r \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} s$ 
  shows  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,f) = \text{Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,s) \ r \ f$ 
{proof}

```

29.10.2 Natural transformation map

```

context category
begin

context
  fixes  $s \ r \ f$ 
  assumes  $f : f : s \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} r$ 
begin

mk-VLambda cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-components(1)[OF f]
|vsv ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-vsv[intro]|
|vdomain ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-vdomain|
|app ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-app|

end

context
  fixes  $s \ r \ f$ 
  assumes  $f : f : r \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} s$ 
begin

mk-VLambda cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-components(1)[OF f]
|vsv ntcf-Hom-fst-NTMap-vsv[intro]|
|vdomain ntcf-Hom-fst-NTMap-vdomain|
|app ntcf-Hom-fst-NTMap-app|

end

end

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] =
  category.ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-vdomain
  category.ntcf-Hom-fst-NTMap-vdomain

lemmas ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-app[cat-cs-simps] =
  category.ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-app
  category.ntcf-Hom-fst-NTMap-app

```

29.10.3 Hom-natural transformation projections are natural transformations

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-ntcf:

assumes $f : s \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} r$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -) : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r, -) \mapsto_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -) : \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{\mathbb{I}}_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-ntcf':

assumes $f : s \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} r$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r, -)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{B}' : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{\mathbb{I}}_{C\beta} \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-ntcf'

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-ntcf:

assumes $f : r \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} s$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, f) : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, r) \mapsto_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s) : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathbb{I}}_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-ntcf':

assumes $f : r \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} s$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, r)$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{D}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, f) : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{B}' : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{\mathbb{I}}_{C\beta} \mathfrak{D}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-ntcf'

29.10.4 Opposite Hom-natural transformation projections

lemma (in category) cat-op-cat-ntcf-Hom-snd:

$\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}(f, -) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-simps] = category.cat-op-cat-ntcf-Hom-snd

lemma (in category) cat-op-cat-ntcf-Hom-fst:

$\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C}(-, f) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [cat-op-simps] = category.cat-op-cat-ntcf-Hom-fst

29.10.5 Hom-natural transformation projections and the Yoneda component

lemma (in category) cat-Yoneda-component-cf-Hom-snd-Comp:

assumes $g : b \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} c$ and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$ and $d \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows
Yoneda-component $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a, -) \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
Yoneda-component $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(b, -) \circ_A \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

Yoneda-component $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(a,-) c (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f) d$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?Ya b f d \circ_{A\text{-Set}} \alpha ?Yb c g d = ?Ya c (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f) d \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] =
category.cat-Yoneda-component-*cf*-Hom-snd-Comp[*symmetric*]

lemma (in category) *cat*-Yoneda-component-*cf*-Hom-snd-*CId*:
assumes $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $d \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows
 $\text{Yoneda-component } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(c,-) c (\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(c)) d =$
 $\text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{CId})(\text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} c d)$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?Ycd = \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{CId})(\text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} c d) \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] = *category.cat*-Yoneda-component-*cf*-Hom-snd-*CId*

29.10.6 Hom-natural transformation projection of a composition

lemma (in category) *cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-snd-Comp:
assumes $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f, -) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -) \cdot_{NTCF} \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(g, -)$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?Hgf = ?Hf \cdot_{NTCF} ?Hg \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] = *category.cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-snd-Comp

lemma (in category) *cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-fst-Comp:
assumes $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$ **and** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, g) \cdot_{NTCF} \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] = *category.cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-fst-Comp

29.10.7 Hom-natural transformation projection of an identity

lemma (in category) *cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-snd-*CId*:
assumes $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(c), -) = \text{ntcf-id } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(c, -)$
 $(\text{is } \langle ?Hc = ?id-Hc \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] = *category.cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-snd-*CId*

lemma (in category) *cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-fst-*CId*:
assumes $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \mathfrak{C}(\text{CId})(c)) = \text{ntcf-id } \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] = *category.cat*-*ntcf*-Hom-fst-*CId*

29.10.8 Hom-natural transformation and the Yoneda map

lemma (in category) *cat*-Yoneda-map-of-*ntcf*-Hom-snd:
assumes $f : s \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} r$
shows $\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -)) r(\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -)) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs-simps*] = *category.cat*-Yoneda-map-of-*ntcf*-Hom-snd

lemma (in category) *cat-Yoneda-map-of-ntcf-Hom-fst*:
assumes $f : r \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} s$
shows Yoneda-map α ($\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,s)$) $r(\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-,f)) = f$
(proof)

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *category.cat-Yoneda-map-of-ntcf-Hom-fst*

29.11 Evaluation arrow

29.11.1 Definition and elementary properties

The evaluation arrow is a part of the definition of the evaluation functor. The evaluation functor appears in Chapter III-2 in [7].

definition *cf-eval-arrow* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cf-eval-arrow* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} f =$

```
[  
  (  
     $\lambda x \in \mathfrak{N}(NTDom)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Dom)(f)).$   
     $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod)(ArrMap)(f)(ArrVal)(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Dom)(f))(ArrVal)(x))$   
  ),  
   $\mathfrak{N}(NTDom)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Dom)(f)),$   
   $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Cod)(f))$   
].
```

Components.

lemma *cf-eval-arrow-components*:

shows *cf-eval-arrow* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} f(ArrVal) =$
 $($
 $\lambda x \in \mathfrak{N}(NTDom)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Dom)(f)).$
 $\mathfrak{N}(NTCod)(ArrMap)(f)(ArrVal)(\mathfrak{N}(NTMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Dom)(f))(ArrVal)(x))$
 $)$
and *cf-eval-arrow* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} f(ArrDom) = \mathfrak{N}(NTDom)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Dom)(f))$
and *cf-eval-arrow* $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} f(ArrCod) = \mathfrak{N}(NTCod)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{C}(Cod)(f))$
(proof)

context

fixes $\alpha \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} a b f$
assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
and $f : f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$

begin

interpretation $\mathfrak{N} : \text{is-ntcf } \alpha \mathfrak{C} \langle \text{cat-Set } \alpha \rangle \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$ *(proof)*

lemmas *cf-eval-arrow-components'* = *cf-eval-arrow-components*[
where $\mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{N}=\text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{N}$ **and** $f=f$,
unfolded
ntcf-arrow-components
cf-map-components
 $\mathfrak{N}.NTDom.HomDom.cat-is-arrD[OF f]$
cat-CS-simps
]

lemmas [*cat-CS-simps*] = *cf-eval-arrow-components'(2,3)*

end

29.11.2 Arrow value

context

fixes $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \models \mathfrak{G} a b f$
assumes $\mathfrak{N}: \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $f: f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$

begin

mk-VLambda $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}components'(1)[OF \mathfrak{N} f]$
| $vsv cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$]
| $vdomain cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$]
| $app cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}ArrVal\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$]

end

29.11.3 Evaluation arrow is an arrow in the category Set

lemma $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}arr$:

assumes $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$ and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}) f :$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})(a) \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}is\text{-}arr'[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{N}' = ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}$
and $\mathfrak{F}a = \mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})(a)$
and $\mathfrak{G}b = \mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})(b)$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}' f : \mathfrak{F}a \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \alpha} \mathfrak{G}b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}ntcf\text{-}vcomp[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{M} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $g : b \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} c$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows
 $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-}arrow (\mathfrak{M} \cdot_{NTCF} \mathfrak{N})) (g \circ_{A\mathfrak{C}} f) =$
 $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{M}) g \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
 $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}) f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$] = category.cat-cf-eval-arrow-ntcf-vcomp

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow\text{-}ntcf\text{-}id[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]$:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$ and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{Obj})$
shows
 $cf\text{-}eval\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-}arrow (ntcf\text{-}id \mathfrak{F})) (\mathfrak{C}(CID)(c)) =$
 $cat\text{-}Set \alpha(CID)(\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{ObjMap})(c))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$] = category.cat-cf-eval-arrow-ntcf-id

29.12 HOM-functor

29.12.1 Definition and elementary properties

The following definition is a technical generalization that is used later in this section.

definition *cf-HOM-snd* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle HOM_{C^1}(/,--/') \rangle)$

where $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-) =$

[
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Obj \rangle). cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(a,-) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F})),$
 $($
 $\lambda f \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Arr \rangle).$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(f,-) \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{F})$
 $),$
 $op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)),$
 $cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)$
 $]_o$

definition *cf-HOM-fst* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V (\langle HOM_{C^1}(/--, /') \rangle)$

where $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-,) =$

[
 $(\lambda a \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Obj \rangle). cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(-,a) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F})),$
 $($
 $\lambda f \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Arr \rangle).$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(-,f) \circ_{NTCF-CF} op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F})$
 $),$
 $\mathfrak{F}(HomCod),$
 $cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom))) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)$
 $]_o$

Components.

lemma *cf-HOM-snd-components*:

shows $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-)(\langle ObjMap \rangle) =$

$(\lambda a \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Obj \rangle). cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(a,-) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}))$

and $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-)(\langle ArrMap \rangle) =$

(
 $\lambda f \in_{\circ} op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Arr \rangle).$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(f,-) \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{F})$
 $)$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-)(\langle HomDom \rangle) = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]:

$HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-)(\langle HomCod \rangle) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)$

{*proof*}

lemma *cf-HOM-fst-components*:

shows $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-,)(\langle ObjMap \rangle) =$

$(\lambda a \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Obj \rangle). cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(-,a) \circ_{CF} op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}))$

and $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-,)(\langle ArrMap \rangle) =$

(
 $\lambda f \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(\langle Arr \rangle).$
 $ntcf\text{-}arrow (Hom_{A.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}(HomCod))(-,f) \circ_{NTCF-CF} op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F})$
 $)$

and $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-,)(\langle HomDom \rangle) = \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$

and $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-,)(\langle HomCod \rangle) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom))) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)$

{*proof*}

context *is-functor*

begin

lemmas *cf-HOM-snd-components'* =

cf-HOM-snd-components [where $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}$, unfolded *cf-HomDom* *cf-HomCod*]

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *cf-HOM-snd-components'(3,4)*

```
lemmas cf-HOM-fst-components' =
cf-HOM-fst-components[where  $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}$ , unfolded cf-HomDom cf-HomCod]
```

```
lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = cf-HOM-snd-components'(3,4)
```

```
end
```

29.12.2 Object map

```
mk-VLambda cf-HOM-snd-components(1)
|vsv cf-HOM-snd-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
```

```
mk-VLambda (in is-functor) cf-HOM-snd-components'(1)[unfolded cat-op-simps]
|vdomain cf-HOM-snd-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app cf-HOM-snd-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|
```

```
mk-VLambda cf-HOM-snd-components(1)
|vsv cf-HOM-fst-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
```

```
mk-VLambda (in is-functor) cf-HOM-fst-components'(1)[unfolded cat-op-simps]
|vdomain cf-HOM-fst-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app cf-HOM-fst-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|
```

29.12.3 Arrow map

```
mk-VLambda cf-HOM-snd-components(2)
|vsv cf-HOM-snd-ArrMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
```

```
mk-VLambda (in is-functor) cf-HOM-snd-components'(2)[unfolded cat-op-simps]
|vdomain cf-HOM-snd-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app cf-HOM-snd-ArrMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|
```

```
mk-VLambda cf-HOM-fst-components(2)
|vsv cf-HOM-fst-ArrMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
```

```
mk-VLambda (in is-functor) cf-HOM-fst-components'(2)[unfolded cat-op-simps]
|vdomain cf-HOM-fst-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app cf-HOM-fst-ArrMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|
```

29.12.4 Opposite HOM-functor

```
lemma (in is-functor) cf-HOM-snd-op[cat-op-simps]:
 $HOM_{C\alpha}(op\text{-}cf \mathfrak{F}-) = HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-,)$ 
{proof}
```

```
lemmas [cat-op-simps] = is-functor.cf-HOM-snd-op
```

```
context is-functor
begin
```

```
lemmas cf-HOM-fst-op[cat-op-simps] =
is-functor.cf-HOM-snd-op[OF is-functor-op, unfolded cat-op-simps, symmetric]
```

```
end
```

```
lemmas [cat-op-simps] = is-functor.cf-HOM-fst-op
```

29.12.5 *HOM*-functor is a functor

lemma (in is-functor) cf-HOM-snd-is-functor:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-) : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\beta} cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-HOM-snd-is-functor'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{D} = cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha)$
shows $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-) : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = is-functor.cf-HOM-snd-is-functor'

lemma (in is-functor) cf-HOM-fst-is-functor:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-) : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\beta} cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha (op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{A}) (cat\text{-Set } \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) cf-HOM-fst-is-functor'[cat-cs-intros]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{D} = cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha (op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{A}) (cat\text{-Set } \alpha)$
shows $HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-) : \mathfrak{C}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{D}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = is-functor.cf-HOM-fst-is-functor'

29.13 Evaluation functor

29.13.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-2 in [7].

definition cf-eval :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C} =$
 $[$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{F} d \in_0 (cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj). \mathfrak{F} d(\emptyset)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{F} d(1_N)),$
 $($
 $\lambda \mathfrak{N} f \in_0 (cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Arr).$
 $cf\text{-eval-arrow } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N} f(\emptyset)) (\mathfrak{N} f(1_N))$
 $),$
 $cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C},$
 $cat\text{-Set } \beta$
 $]_0$

Components.

lemma cf-eval-components:
shows $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(ObjMap) =$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{F} d \in_0 (cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Obj). \mathfrak{F} d(\emptyset)(ObjMap)(\mathfrak{F} d(1_N)))$
and $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap) =$
 $($
 $\lambda \mathfrak{N} f \in_0 (cat\text{-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(Arr).$
 $cf\text{-eval-arrow } \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{N} f(\emptyset)) (\mathfrak{N} f(1_N))$
 $)$

and [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:
 $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{HomDom}) = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
and [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]: $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{HomCod}) = \text{cat-Set } \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.13.2 Object map

lemma $cf\text{-eval-ObjMap-vsv}[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{intros}]$: $vsv (cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-eval-ObjMap-vdomain}[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]$:
 $D_\circ (cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap})) = (\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cf\text{-eval-ObjMap-app}[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{F}c = [cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}, c]$.
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
and $c \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{F}c) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cf*-*eval-ObjMap-app*

29.13.3 Arrow map

lemma $cf\text{-eval-ArrMap-vsv}[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{intros}]$: $vsv (cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf\text{-eval-ArrMap-vdomain}[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]$:
 $D_\circ (cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C})(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cf\text{-eval-ArrMap-app}[\text{cat}\text{-}\text{cs}\text{-}\text{simps}]$:
assumes $\mathfrak{N}f = [ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}, f]$.
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{N}f) = cf\text{-eval-arrow } \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-arrow } \mathfrak{N}) f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *category.cf*-*eval-ArrMap-app*

29.13.4 Evaluation functor is a functor

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-eval-is-functor}$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
shows $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C} : \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\beta} \text{cat-Set } \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) $cat\text{-}cf\text{-eval-is-functor}'$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = \text{cat-Set } \beta$
and $\beta' = \beta$
shows $cf\text{-eval } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\beta'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*intros*] = *category.cat*-*cf*-*eval-is-functor'*

29.14 N -functor

29.14.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-2 in [7].

definition $cf\text{-}nt :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} =$
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$

Alternative definition.

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-}nt\text{-}def'$:

$cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} =$
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip \mathfrak{B} (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Components.

lemma $cf\text{-}nt\text{-components}:$

shows $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap) =$
 $($
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $)ObjMap$
and $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap) =$
 $($
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $)ArrMap$
and $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) =$
 $($
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $)HomDom$
and $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) =$
 $($
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip (\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)) (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)) (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $)HomCod$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-}nt\text{-components}':$

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \epsilon_\circ \beta$
shows $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(ObjMap) =$
 $($
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip \mathfrak{B} (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $)ObjMap$
and $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(ArrMap) =$
 $($
 $bifunctor\text{-}flip \mathfrak{B} (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(Hom_{O.C}\beta cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)(HOM_{C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}-),-, -))$
 $)ArrMap$
and $[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]:$
 $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(HomDom) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
and $[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps]:$
 $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(HomCod) = cat\text{-}Set \beta$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor.cf-nt-components'*(3,4)

29.14.2 Object map

lemma *cf-nt-ObjMap-vsv*[*cat*-*cs*-*intros*]: *vsv* (*cf-nt* α β $\mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap})$)

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-nt-ObjMap-vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{cf-nt } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})) = (\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Obj})$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor.cf-nt-ObjMap-vdomain*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-nt-ObjMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$

and $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

and $\mathfrak{G} b = [\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{G}, b]_{\circ}$

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$

shows $\text{cf-nt } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(\mathfrak{G} b) = \text{Hom}$

$(\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha))$

$(\text{cf-map } (\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}(b, -) \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F}))$

$(\text{cf-map } \mathfrak{G})$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor.cf-nt-ObjMap-app*

29.14.3 Arrow map

lemma *cf-nt-ArrMap-vsv*[*cat*-*cs*-*intros*]: *vsv* (*cf-nt* α β $\mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap})$)

{proof}

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-nt-ArrMap-vdomain*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows $\mathcal{D}_{\circ} (\text{cf-nt } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})) = (\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{B})(\text{Arr})$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor.cf-nt-ArrMap-vdomain*

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-nt-ArrMap-app*[*cat*-*cs*-*simps*]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$

and $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

and $\mathfrak{N} f = [\text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{N}, f]_{\circ}$

and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{G} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{A} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$

and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{B}} b$

shows $\text{cf-nt } \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F}(\text{ArrMap})(\mathfrak{N} f) = \text{cf-hom}$

$(\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{A} (\text{cat-Set } \alpha))$

$[\text{ntcf-arrow } (\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}(f, -) \circ_{NTCF-CF} \mathfrak{F}), \text{ntcf-arrow } \mathfrak{N}]_{\circ}$

{proof}

lemmas [*cat*-*cs*-*simps*] = *is-functor.cf-nt-ArrMap-app*

29.14.4 N-functor is a functor

lemma (in is-functor) *cf-nt-is-functor*:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in_{\circ} \beta$

shows $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} : cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\beta} cat\text{-}Set \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in is-functor) $cf\text{-}nt\text{-}is\text{-}functor'$:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \epsilon_\circ \beta$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{A} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{B}$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-}Set \beta$
and $\beta' = \beta$
shows $cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\beta'} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$] = $is\text{-}functor.cf\text{-}nt\text{-}is\text{-}functor'$

29.15 Yoneda natural transformation arrow

29.15.1 Definition and elementary properties

The following subsection is based on the elements of the content of Chapter III-2 in [7].

definition $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} r =$
 $[$
 $($
 $\lambda \psi \epsilon_\circ Hom (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)) (cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -))) \mathfrak{F}.$
 $Yoneda\text{-}map \alpha (cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \mathfrak{F}) r ()$
 $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \psi$
 $)$
 $),$
 $Hom (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)) (cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -))) \mathfrak{F},$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$
 $]_\circ$

Components

lemma $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow\text{-}components$:
shows $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} r(\text{ArrVal}) =$
 $($
 $\lambda \psi \epsilon_\circ Hom (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)) (cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -))) \mathfrak{F}.$
 $Yoneda\text{-}map \alpha (cf\text{-}of\text{-}cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \mathfrak{F}) r ()$
 $ntcf\text{-}of\text{-}ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \psi$
 $)$
 $)$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]: $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} r(\text{ArrDom}) =$
 $Hom (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha)) (cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -))) \mathfrak{F}$
and [$cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$]: $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} r(\text{ArrCod}) = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.15.2 Arrow map

mk-VLambda $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow\text{-}components(1)$
 $| vsv ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros] |$
 $| vdomain ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps] |$

context category
begin

context
fixes $\mathfrak{F} :: V$

```

begin

mk-VLambda ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-components(1)[where  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}=\langle cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}\rangle$ ]
|app ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-app'|

lemmas ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-app =
ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-app'[unfolded in-Hom-if, cat-CS-simps]

end

end

lemmas [cat-CS-simps] = category.ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-app

```

29.15.3 Several technical lemmas

```

lemma (in vsv) vsv-vrange-VLambda-app:
assumes  $g : elts A = elts (\mathcal{D}_o r)$ 
shows  $\mathcal{R}_o (\lambda x \in_o A. r(g x)) = \mathcal{R}_o r$ 
{proof}

lemma (in vsv) vsv-vrange-VLambda-app':
assumes  $g : elts A = elts (\mathcal{D}_o r)$ 
and  $R = \mathcal{R}_o r$ 
shows  $\mathcal{R}_o (\lambda x \in_o A. r(g x)) = R$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in v11) v11-VLambda-v11-bij-betw-comp:
assumes bij-betw  $g (elts A) (elts (\mathcal{D}_o r))$ 
shows  $v11 (\lambda x \in_o A. r(g x))$ 
{proof}

```

29.15.4 Yoneda natural transformation arrow is an arrow in the category Set

```

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-is-arr-isomoprism:
assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
and  $\alpha \in_o \beta$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} cat\text{-Set } \alpha$ 
and  $r \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ 
shows ntcf-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} (cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}) r :$ 
Hom
(cat-FUNCT  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha)$ )
(cf-map (Hom $_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -)$ ))
(cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}$ )  $\mapsto_{iso cat\text{-Set } \beta}$ 
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-is-arr-isomoprism':
assumes  $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ 
and  $\alpha \in_o \beta$ 
and  $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-map } \mathfrak{F}$ 
and  $B = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$ 
and  $A = Hom$ 
(cat-FUNCT  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-Set } \alpha)$ )
(cf-map (Hom $_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -)$ ))
(cf-map  $\mathfrak{F}$ )
and  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{C\alpha} cat\text{-Set } \alpha$ 
and  $r \in_o \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ 

```

shows *ntcf-Yoneda-arrow* $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}' r : A \mapsto_{iso\ cat\text{-}Set} \beta B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-arrow-CS-intros*] =
category.cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-is-arr-isomorphism'

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-is-arr:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $r \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows *ntcf-Yoneda-arrow* $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C} (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) r :$
 Hom
 $(cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -)))$
 $(cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set \beta}$
 $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-is-arr' [cat-CS-intros]:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}$
and $B = \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(r)$
and $A = Hom$
 $(cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $(cf\text{-}map (Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(r, -)))$
 $(cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F})$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $r \in_0 \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows *ntcf-Yoneda-arrow* $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}' r : A \mapsto_{cat\text{-}Set} \beta B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-arrow-CS-intros*] = *category.cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-is-arr'*

29.16 Commutativity law for the Yoneda natural transformation arrow

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Yoneda-arrow-commute:

assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in_0 \beta$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
shows
ntcf-Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C} (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{G}) b \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \beta$
 $cf\text{-hom}$
 $(cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha))$
 $[ntcf\text{-}arrow Hom_{A.C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}(f, -), ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}]_o =$
 $cf\text{-eval\text{-}arrow} \mathfrak{C} (ntcf\text{-}arrow \mathfrak{N}) f \circ_A cat\text{-}Set \beta$
 $ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \in \mathfrak{C} (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.17 Yoneda Lemma: naturality

29.17.1 The Yoneda natural transformation: definition and elementary properties

The main result of this subsection corresponds to the corollary to the Yoneda Lemma on page 61 in [7].

definition *ntcf-Yoneda* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C} =$

$$[\begin{array}{l} (\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C}) (Obj)). \\ \quad ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}r(0)) (\mathfrak{F}r(1_N)) \\), \\ cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{C}), \\ cf\text{-}eval \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}, \\ cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C}, \\ cat\text{-}Set \beta \end{array}] \circ$$

Components.

lemma *ntcf-Yoneda-components*:

shows *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(NTMap) =$

$$(\lambda \mathfrak{F} \in \circ (cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C}) (Obj)).$$

$$\quad ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} (\mathfrak{F}r(0)) (\mathfrak{F}r(1_N))$$

$$)$$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(NTDom) = cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{C})$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(NTCod) = cf\text{-}eval \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]:

$$ntcf\text{-}Yoneda \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(NTDGDom) = cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C}$$

and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(NTDGDom) = cat\text{-}Set \beta$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

29.17.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda *ntcf-Yoneda-components(1)*
 $|_{vsv} ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}NTMap\text{-}vsv[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$
 $|_{vdomain} ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}NTMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros]$

lemma (in category) *ntcf-Yoneda-NTMap-app*[*cat-cs-simps*]:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$
and $\alpha \in \circ \beta$
and $\mathfrak{F}r = [cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}, r] \circ$
and $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$
and $r \in \circ \mathfrak{C}(Obj)$
shows *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C}(NTMap)(\mathfrak{F}r) = ntcf\text{-}Yoneda\text{-}arrow \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cf\text{-}map \mathfrak{F}) r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.ntcf-Yoneda-NTMap-app*

29.17.3 The Yoneda natural transformation is a natural transformation

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Yoneda-is-ntcf*:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \in \circ \beta$
shows *ntcf-Yoneda* $\alpha \beta \mathfrak{C} :$

$$cf\text{-}nt \alpha \beta (cf\text{-}id \mathfrak{C}) \mapsto_{CF.iso} cf\text{-}eval \alpha \beta \mathfrak{C} :$$

$$cat\text{-}FUNCT \alpha \mathfrak{C} (cat\text{-}Set \alpha) \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\beta} cat\text{-}Set \beta$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

29.18 Hom-map

This subsection presents some of the results stated as Corollary 2 in subsection 1.15 in [3] and the corollary following the statement of the Yoneda Lemma on page 61 in [7] in a variety of forms.

29.18.1 Definition and elementary properties

The following function makes an explicit appearance in subsection 1.15 in [3].

definition *ntcf-Hom-map* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$
where *ntcf-Hom-map* $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ $a b = (\lambda f \in \text{Hom } \mathcal{C} a b. \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(f, -))$

Elementary properties.

mk-VLambda *ntcf-Hom-map-def*

|*vsv ntcf-Hom-map-vsv*
|*vdomain ntcf-Hom-map-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]*
|*app ntcf-Hom-map-app[unfolded in-Hom-if, cat-cs-simps]*

29.18.2 Hom-map is a bijection

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-ntcf-Hom-snd-unique*:

— The following lemma approximately corresponds to the corollary on page 61 in [7].

assumes $r \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(r, -) \hookrightarrow_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(s, -) : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows *Yoneda-map* $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(s, -)$ $r(\mathfrak{N}) : s \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} r$
and $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(s, -) r(\mathfrak{N}), -)$
and $\wedge f. [[f \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Arr}); \mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(f, -)]] \implies$
 $f = \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(s, -) r(\mathfrak{N})$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-ntcf-Hom-fst-unique*:

assumes $r \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, r) \hookrightarrow_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, s) : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows *Yoneda-map* $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, s)$ $r(\mathfrak{N}) : r \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} s$
and $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, s) r(\mathfrak{N}))$
and $\wedge f. [[f \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Arr}); \mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, f)]] \implies$
 $f = \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \in \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, s) r(\mathfrak{N})$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-ntcf-Hom-snd-unique'*:

assumes $r \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(r, -) \hookrightarrow_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(s, -) : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\exists !f. f \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Arr}) \wedge \mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(f, -)$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-ntcf-Hom-fst-unique'*:

assumes $r \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, r) \hookrightarrow_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, s) : \text{op-cat } \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\exists !f. f \in \mathcal{C}(\text{Arr}) \wedge \mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, f)$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-inj*:

assumes $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(g, -) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(f, -)$
and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$
shows $g = f$

{proof}

lemma (in category) *cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-inj*:

assumes $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, g) = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha} \mathcal{C}(-, f)$

and $g : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$
and $f : a \mapsto_{\mathcal{C}} b$
shows $g = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-map:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $v11$ (*ntcf-Hom-map* $\alpha \mathcal{C} a b$)
and \mathcal{R}_{\circ} (*ntcf-Hom-map* $\alpha \mathcal{C} a b$) =
these-ntcfs $\alpha \mathcal{C}$ (*cat-Set* α) $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(b,-)$ $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(a,-)$
and $(\text{ntcf-Hom-map } \alpha \mathcal{C} a b)^{-1}_{\circ} =$
 $(\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in_{\circ} \text{these-ntcfs } \alpha \mathcal{C}$ (*cat-Set* α) $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(b,-)$ $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(a,-).$
Yoneda-map $\alpha \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(a,-)$ $b(\mathfrak{N})$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.18.3 Inverse of a Hom-map

lemma (in category) inv-ntcf-Hom-map-v11:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $v11$ ($(\text{ntcf-Hom-map } \alpha \mathcal{C} a b)^{-1}_{\circ}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) inv-ntcf-Hom-map-vdomain:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows \mathcal{D}_{\circ} ($(\text{ntcf-Hom-map } \alpha \mathcal{C} a b)^{-1}_{\circ}$) =
these-ntcfs $\alpha \mathcal{C}$ (*cat-Set* α) $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(b,-)$ $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(a,-)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.inv-ntcf-Hom-map-vdomain*

lemma (in category) inv-ntcf-Hom-map-app:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathcal{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(b,-) \mapsto_{CF} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(a,-) : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $(\text{ntcf-Hom-map } \alpha \mathcal{C} a b)^{-1}_{\circ}(\mathfrak{N}) = \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(a,-) b(\mathfrak{N})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.inv-ntcf-Hom-map-app*

lemma *inv-ntcf-Hom-map-vrange*: $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} ((\text{ntcf-Hom-map } \alpha \mathcal{C} a b)^{-1}_{\circ}) = \text{Hom } \mathcal{C} a b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.18.4 Hom-natural transformation and isomorphisms

This subsection presents further results that were stated as Corollary 2 in subsection 1.15 in [3].

lemma (in category) cat-is-iso-arr-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-iso-ntcf:
assumes $f : s \mapsto_{iso\mathcal{C}} r$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(f,-) :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(r,-) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(s,-) : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-is-iso-arr-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-iso-ntcf:
assumes $f : r \mapsto_{iso\mathcal{C}} s$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(-,f) :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(-,r) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathcal{C}(-,s) : op\text{-cat } \mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-iso-ntcf-Hom-snd-unique:

assumes $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r, -) \rightarrow_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow} C\alpha \text{ cat-Set } \alpha$
shows Yoneda-map $\alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -) r(\mathfrak{N}) : s \rightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} r$
and $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -) r(\mathfrak{N}), -)$
and $\wedge f. [[f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}); \mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -)] \implies f = \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -) r(\mathfrak{N})]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-iso-ntcf-Hom-fst-unique:

assumes $r \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $s \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, r) \rightarrow_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s) : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow} C\alpha \text{ cat-Set } \alpha$
shows Yoneda-map $\alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s) r(\mathfrak{N}) : r \rightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} s$
and $\mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s) r(\mathfrak{N}))$
and $\wedge f. [[f \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Arr}); \mathfrak{N} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, f)] \implies f = \text{Yoneda-map } \alpha \text{ Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s) r(\mathfrak{N})]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-is-iso-arr-if-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-iso-ntcf:

assumes $f : s \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} r$
and $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(f, -) :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(r, -) \rightarrow_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(s, -) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow} C\alpha \text{ cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $f : s \rightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-is-iso-arr-if-ntcf-Hom-fst-is-iso-ntcf:

assumes $f : r \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}} s$
and $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, f) :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, r) \rightarrow_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(-, s) : op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow} C\alpha \text{ cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $f : r \rightarrow_{iso\mathfrak{C}} s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.18.5 The relationship between a Hom-natural transformation and the compositions of a Hom-natural transformation and a natural transformation

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-app:

assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow} C\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, -)(\text{NTMap})(b, c)_{\bullet} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\varphi(\text{NTMap})(b), -)(\text{NTMap})(c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-ntcf-Hom-snd-NTMap-app

lemma (in category) cat-bnt-proj-snd-tcf-lcomp-Hom-ntcf-Hom-snd:

assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow} C\alpha \mathfrak{C}$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{B}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, -)_{op\text{-cat}} \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}(b, -)_{NTCF} = \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\varphi(\text{NTMap})(b), -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.cat-bnt-proj-snd-tcf-lcomp-Hom-ntcf-Hom-snd

29.18.6 The relationship between the *Hom*-natural isomorphisms and the compositions of a *Hom*-natural isomorphism and a natural transformation

```

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-if-ntcf-Hom-snd-is-iso-ntcf:
assumes  $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\wedge b. b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{Obj}) \implies \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\varphi(\text{NTMap})(b), -) :$ 
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b), -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b), -) :$ 
 $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
shows  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -) :$ 
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) :$ 
op-cat  $\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-Hom-snd-if-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf:
assumes  $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -) :$ 
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) :$ 
op-cat  $\mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
and  $b \in_0 \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{Obj})$ 
shows  $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\varphi(\text{NTMap})(b), -) :$ 
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}(\text{ObjMap})(b), -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b), -) :$ 
 $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$ 
{proof}

```

29.19 Yoneda map for arbitrary functors

The concept of the Yoneda map for arbitrary functors was developed based on the function that was used in the statement of Lemma 3 in subsection 1.15 in [3].

```

definition af-Yoneda-map ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
where af-Yoneda-map  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} =$ 
 $(\lambda \varphi \in_0 \text{these-ntcfs } \alpha (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomDom})) (\mathfrak{F}(\text{HomCod})) \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}. \text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi-, -))$ 

```

Elementary properties.

```

context
fixes  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$ 
assumes  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 
begin

```

```

interpretation  $\mathfrak{F}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}$  {proof}
interpretation  $\mathfrak{G}$ : is-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{G}$  {proof}

```

mk-VLambda

```

af-Yoneda-map-def[where  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}$ , unfolded  $\mathfrak{F}.cf\text{-HomDom } \mathfrak{F}.cf\text{-HomCod}$ ]
| vsv af-Yoneda-map-vsv |
| vdomain af-Yoneda-map-vdomain[cat-cs-simps] |
| app af-Yoneda-map-app[unfolded these-ntcfs-iff, cat-cs-simps] |

```

end

29.20 Yoneda arrow for arbitrary functors

29.20.1 Definition and elementary properties

The following natural transformation is used in the proof of Lemma 3 in subsection 1.15 in [3].

```

definition af-Yoneda-arrow ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$ 
where af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N} =$ 

```

```

[  

  (  

     $\lambda b \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{F}(HomDom))(Obj).$   

     $Yoneda-map \alpha Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b), -) (\mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(b))($   

     $\mathfrak{N}_{op-cat}(\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)), \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(b, -)_{NTCF}$   

     $)$   

  ),  

   $\mathfrak{F},$   

   $\mathfrak{G},$   

   $\mathfrak{F}(HomDom),$   

   $\mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$   

],  

].

```

Components.

lemma *af-Yoneda-arrow-components:*

```

shows af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}(NTMap) =$   

  (  

     $\lambda b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{F}(HomDom)(Obj).$   

     $Yoneda-map \alpha Hom_{O.C\alpha} \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(\mathfrak{F}(ObjMap)(b), -) (\mathfrak{G}(ObjMap)(b))($   

     $\mathfrak{N}_{op-cat}(\mathfrak{F}(HomDom)), \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)(b, -)_{NTCF}$   

     $)$   

  )  

and af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}(NTDom) = \mathfrak{F}$   

and af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}(NTCod) = \mathfrak{G}$   

and af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{F}(HomDom)$   

and af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}(NTDGCod) = \mathfrak{F}(HomCod)$   

(proof)

```

29.20.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda *af-Yoneda-arrow-components(1)*
 $|vsv af\text{-Yoneda-arrow-}NTMap\text{-}vsv|$

context

```

fixes  $\alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F}$   

assumes  $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$   

begin

```

interpretation $\mathfrak{F}: is\text{-functor} \alpha \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{F} \langle proof \rangle$

mk-VLambda

```

af-Yoneda-arrow-components(1) [where  $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{F}$ , unfolded  $\mathfrak{F}.cf\text{-}HomDom \mathfrak{F}.cf\text{-}HomCod]$   

 $|vdomain af\text{-Yoneda-arrow-}NTMap\text{-}vdomain[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp]$ ]  

 $|app af\text{-Yoneda-arrow-}NTMap\text{-}app[cat\text{-}cs\text{-}simp]$ ]

```

end

lemma (in category) cat-af-Yoneda-arrow-is-ntcf:

```

assumes  $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$   

and  $\mathfrak{G}: \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$   

and  $\mathfrak{N}:$   

 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}, -) \mapsto_{CF} Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}, -) :$   

 $op\text{-}cat \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Set \alpha$   

shows af-Yoneda-arrow  $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}: \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}: \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$   

(proof)

```

lemma (in category) cat-af-Yoneda-arrow-is-ntcf':

```

assumes  $\mathfrak{F}: \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ 

```

and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -) \mapsto_{CF} Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) :$
 $op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-Set } \alpha$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G}$
shows af-Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = category.cat-af-Yoneda-arrow-is-ntcf'

29.20.3 Yoneda Lemma for arbitrary functors

The following lemmas correspond to variants of the elements of Lemma 3 in subsection 1.15 in [3].

lemma (in category) cat-af-Yoneda-map-af-Yoneda-arrow-app:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -) \mapsto_{CF} Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) :$
 $op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-Set } \alpha$
shows $\mathfrak{N} = Hom_{A.C\alpha}(af\text{-Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}, -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-af-Yoneda-Lemma:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows v11 (af-Yoneda-map $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$)
and \mathcal{R}_o (af-Yoneda-map $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$) =
these-ntcfs α ($op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$) ($cat\text{-Set } \alpha$) $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$ $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -)$
and (af-Yoneda-map $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$) $^{-1} \circ$ =
 $($
 $\lambda \mathfrak{N} \in$ these-ntcfs
 α ($op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$) ($cat\text{-Set } \alpha$) $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$ $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -)$.
af-Yoneda-arrow $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$
 $)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.20.4 Inverse of the Yoneda map for arbitrary functors

lemma (in category) inv-af-Yoneda-map-v11:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows v11 ((af-Yoneda-map $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$) $^{-1} \circ$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) inv-af-Yoneda-map-vdomain:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
shows \mathcal{D}_o ((af-Yoneda-map $\alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$) $^{-1} \circ$) =
these-ntcfs α ($op\text{-cat } \mathfrak{B} \times_C \mathfrak{C}$) ($cat\text{-Set } \alpha$) $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$ $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-simps] = category.inv-af-Yoneda-map-vdomain

lemma (in category) inv-af-Yoneda-map-app:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{G}-, -) \mapsto_{CF} Hom_{O.C\alpha}\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) :$

op-cat $\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{\mathbb{C}\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $(af\text{-Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G})^{-1} \circ (\mathfrak{N}) = af\text{-Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-simps*] = *category.inv-af-Yoneda-map-app*

lemma (in category) inv-af-Yoneda-map-vrange:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
shows $\mathcal{R}_o ((af\text{-Yoneda-map } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G})^{-1} \circ) = \text{these-ntcfs } \alpha \mathcal{B} \mathcal{C} \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

29.20.5 Yoneda map for arbitrary functors and natural isomorphisms

The following lemmas correspond to variants of the elements of Lemma 3 in subsection 1.15 in [3].

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf:
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, -) : \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{G}, -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}, -) :$
op-cat $\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf':
assumes $\varphi : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{G}, -)$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}, -)$
and $\mathcal{B}' = \text{op-cat } \mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathcal{C}' = \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $\text{Hom}_{A.C\alpha}(\varphi, -) : \mathfrak{G}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{F}' : \mathcal{B}' \mapsto_{C\beta} \mathcal{C}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] =
category.cat-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf-if-is-iso-ntcf'

lemma (in category) cat-aYa-is-iso-ntcf-if-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf:
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{G}, -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}, -) :$
op-cat $\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
shows $af\text{-Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F} \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in category) cat-aYa-is-iso-ntcf-if-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf':
assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
and $\mathfrak{N} :$
 $\text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{G}, -) \mapsto_{CF.iso} \text{Hom}_{O.C\alpha}(\mathfrak{F}, -) :$
op-cat $\mathcal{B} \times_C \mathcal{C} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \text{cat-Set } \alpha$
and $\beta = \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = \mathfrak{G}$
shows $af\text{-Yoneda-arrow } \alpha \mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{N} : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathcal{B} \mapsto_{C\alpha} \mathcal{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] =

category.cat-a Ya-is-iso-ntcf-if-ntcf-lcomp-Hom-is-iso-ntcf'

lemma (in category) cat-iso-functor-if-cf-lcomp-Hom-iso-functor:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{E}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{E}$
and $\text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) \approx_{CF\alpha} \text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$
shows $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$

{proof}

lemma (in category) cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-iso-functor-if-iso-functor:

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{E}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{E}$
and $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) \approx_{CF\alpha} \text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$

{proof}

lemma (in category) cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-iso-functor-if-iso-functor':

assumes $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{E}$
and $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \mathcal{E}$
and $\mathfrak{F} \approx_{CF\alpha} \mathfrak{G}$
and $\alpha' = \alpha$
and $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$
shows $\text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{F}-, -) \approx_{CF\alpha} \text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha'}\mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{G}-, -)$

{proof}

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] =
category.cat-cf-lcomp-Hom-iso-functor-if-iso-functor'

29.21 The Yoneda Functor

29.21.1 Definition and elementary properties

See Chapter III-2 in [7].

definition Yoneda-functor :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where Yoneda-functor $\alpha \mathcal{D} =$

[
 $(\lambda r \in \text{op-cat } \mathcal{D}(\text{Obj}). \text{cf-map } (\text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{D}(r, -))),$
 $(\lambda f \in \text{op-cat } \mathcal{D}(\text{Arr}). \text{ntcf-arrow } (\text{Hom}_{A.C_\alpha}\mathcal{D}(f, -))),$
 $\text{op-cat } \mathcal{D},$
 $\text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathcal{D} \text{ (cat-Set } \alpha)$
]._o

Components.

lemma Yoneda-functor-components:

shows Yoneda-functor $\alpha \mathcal{D}(\text{ObjMap}) =$
 $(\lambda r \in \text{op-cat } \mathcal{D}(\text{Obj}). \text{cf-map } (\text{Hom}_{O.C_\alpha}\mathcal{D}(r, -)))$
and Yoneda-functor $\alpha \mathcal{D}(\text{ArrMap}) =$
 $(\lambda f \in \text{op-cat } \mathcal{D}(\text{Arr}). \text{ntcf-arrow } (\text{Hom}_{A.C_\alpha}\mathcal{D}(f, -)))$
and Yoneda-functor $\alpha \mathcal{D}(\text{HomDom}) = \text{op-cat } \mathcal{D}$
and Yoneda-functor $\alpha \mathcal{D}(\text{HomCod}) = \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathcal{D} \text{ (cat-Set } \alpha)$

{proof}

29.21.2 Object map

mk-VLambda Yoneda-functor-components(1)

|vsv Yoneda-functor-ObjMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]|
|vdomain Yoneda-functor-ObjMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]|
|app Yoneda-functor-ObjMap-app[cat-cs-simps]|

lemma (in category) Yoneda-functor-ObjMap-vrange:
 $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\text{Yoneda-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{C}(\text{ObjMap})) \subseteq_\circ \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C}(\text{cat-Set } \alpha)(\text{Obj})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.21.3 Arrow map

mk-VLambda *Yoneda-functor-components(2)*
| vsv *Yoneda-functor-ArrMap-vsv[cat-cs-intros]* |
| vdomain *Yoneda-functor-ArrMap-vdomain[cat-cs-simps]* |
| app *Yoneda-functor-ArrMap-app[cat-cs-simps]* |

lemma (in category) Yoneda-functor-ArrMap-vrange:
 $\mathcal{R}_\circ (\text{Yoneda-functor } \alpha \mathfrak{C}(\text{ArrMap})) \subseteq_\circ \text{cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C}(\text{cat-Set } \alpha)(\text{Arr})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.21.4 The Yoneda Functor is a fully faithful functor

lemma (in category) cat-Yoneda-functor-is-functor:
assumes $\mathcal{Z} \beta$ **and** $\alpha \epsilon_\circ \beta$
shows *Yoneda-functor* $\alpha \mathfrak{C} : \text{op-cat } \mathfrak{C} \leftrightarrow_{\text{ff}} \beta \text{ cat-FUNCT } \alpha \mathfrak{C}(\text{cat-Set } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30 Orders

30.1 Background

```
named-theorems cat-order-CS-simps
named-theorems cat-order-CS-intros
```

30.2 Preorder category

See Chapter I-2 in [7].

```
locale cat-preorder = category α Ć for α Ć +
assumes cat-peo:
  [[ a ∈₀ Ć(Obj); b ∈₀ Ć(Obj) ]] ==>
    (exists f. Hom Ć a b = set {f}) ∨ (Hom Ć a b = 0)
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in cat-preorder) cat-preorder-axioms'[cat-order-CS-intros]:
  assumes α' = α
  shows cat-preorder α' Ć
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
mk-ide rf cat-preorder-def[unfolded cat-preorder-axioms-def]
|intro cat-preorderI|
|dest cat-preorderD[dest]|
|elim cat-preorderE[elim]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-order-CS-intros] = cat-preorderD(1)
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma (in cat-preorder) cat-peo-HomE:
  assumes a ∈₀ Ć(Obj) and b ∈₀ Ć(Obj)
  obtains f where ⟨Hom Ć a b = set {f}⟩ | ⟨Hom Ć a b = 0⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in cat-preorder) cat-peo-is-thin-category:
  — The statement of the lemma appears in nLab [1]16.
  assumes f : a ↪ Ć b and g : a ↪ Ć b
  shows f = g
  ⟨proof⟩
```

30.3 Order relation

30.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

```
definition is-le :: V ⇒ V ⇒ V ⇒ bool (infix ⟨≤O1⟩ 50)
  where a ≤OĆ b ↔ Hom Ć a b ≠ 0
```

Rules.

```
mk-ide is-le-def
|intro is-leI|
|dest is-leD[dest]|
|elim is-leE[elim]|
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma (in cat-preorder) cat-peo-is-le[cat-order-CS-intros]:
  assumes f : a ↪ Ć b
```

¹⁶<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/preorder>

shows $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-order-CS-intros*] = *cat-preorder.cat-peo-is-le*

lemma (in cat-preorder) *cat-peo-is-le-ex1*:
assumes $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $\exists !f. f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cat-preorder) *cat-peo-is-le-ex[elim]*:
assumes $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} b$ **and** $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
obtains f **where** $f : a \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.3.2 Order relation on a preorder category is a preorder

lemma (in cat-preorder) *is-le-refl*:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
shows $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cat-preorder) *is-le-trans*:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $c \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj})$
and $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} b$
and $b \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} c$
shows $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.4 Partial order category

See Chapter I-2 in [7].

locale *cat-partial-order* = *cat-preorder* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **for** $\alpha \mathfrak{C} +$
assumes *cat-po*: $\llbracket a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} b; b \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} a \rrbracket \implies a = b$

Rules.

lemma (in cat-partial-order) *cat-partial-order-axioms'[cat-order-CS-intros]*:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows *cat-partial-order* $\alpha' \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-ide rf *cat-partial-order-def*[unfolded *cat-partial-order-axioms-def*]
|intro *cat-partial-orderI*]
|dest *cat-partial-orderD*[*dest*]]
|elim *cat-partial-orderE*[*elim*]]

lemmas [*cat-order-CS-intros*] = *cat-partial-orderD(1)*

30.5 Linear order category

See Chapter I-2 in [7].

locale *cat-linear-order* = *cat-partial-order* $\alpha \mathfrak{C}$ **for** $\alpha \mathfrak{C} +$
assumes *cat-lo*: $\llbracket a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \rrbracket \implies a \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} b \vee b \leq_{O\mathfrak{C}} a$

Rules.

```

lemma (in cat-linear-order) cat-linear-order-axioms'[cat-order-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$ 
  shows cat-linear-order  $\alpha' \in$ 
  {proof}
mk-ide rf cat-linear-order-def[unfolded cat-linear-order-axioms-def]
|intro cat-linear-orderI|
|dest cat-linear-orderD[dest]|
|elim cat-linear-orderE[elim]|

lemmas [cat-order-CS-intros] = cat-linear-orderD(1)

```

30.6 Preorder functor

30.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

See [1]¹⁷.

```

locale is-preorder-functor =
  is-functor  $\alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{F}$  + HomDom: cat-preorder  $\alpha \mathcal{A}$  + HomCod: cat-preorder  $\alpha \mathcal{B}$ 
  for  $\alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

syntax -is-preorder-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  ( $\langle \langle \cdot / \cdot \leq_{C.PEO1} \cdot \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51$ )
syntax-consts -is-preorder-functor  $\doteq$  is-preorder-functor
translations  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A} \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \mathcal{B} \doteq \text{CONST is-preorder-functor } \alpha \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 

```

Rules.

```

lemma (in is-preorder-functor) is-preorder-functor-axioms'[cat-order-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{A}' \leq_{C.PEO\alpha'} \mathcal{B}'$ 
  {proof}

mk-ide rf is-preorder-functor-def
|intro is-preorder-functorI|
|dest is-preorder-functorD[dest]|
|elim is-preorder-functorE[elim]|

lemmas [cat-order-CS-intros] = is-preorder-functorD

```

30.6.2 A preorder functor is a faithful functor

```

sublocale is-preorder-functor  $\subseteq$  is-ft-functor
  {proof}

```

```

lemmas (in is-preorder-functor) is-preorder-functor-is-ft-functor =
  is-ft-functor-axioms

```

```

lemmas [cat-order-CS-intros] =
  is-preorder-functor.is-preorder-functor-is-ft-functor

```

30.6.3 A preorder functor is a monotone function

```

lemma (in is-preorder-functor) cat-peo:
  — Based on [1]18

```

¹⁷<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/monotone+function>

¹⁸<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/monotone+function>

assumes $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{A}(\text{Obj})$ **and** $a \leq_{O\mathfrak{A}} b$
shows $\mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(a) \leq_{O\mathfrak{B}} \mathfrak{F}(\text{ObjMap})(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.6.4 Composition of preorder functors

lemma *cf-comp-is-preorder-functor*[*cat-order-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{B} \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \mathfrak{B}$
shows $\mathfrak{G} \circ_{CF} \mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cat-preorder) *cat-peo-cf-is-preorder-functor*:
cf-id $\mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{C} \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cat-preorder) *cat-peo-cf-is-preorder-functor'*[*cat-order-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{C}$ **and** $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}$
shows *cf-id* $\mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-order-cs-intros*] = *cat-preorder.cat-peo-cf-is-preorder-functor'*

31 Smallness for orders

31.1 Background

named-theorems *cat-small-order-cs-simps*
named-theorems *cat-small-order-cs-intros*

31.2 Tiny preorder category

locale *cat-tiny-preorder* = *tiny-category* α \mathfrak{C} **for** α \mathfrak{C} +
assumes *cat-tiny-peo*:
 $\llbracket a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}); b \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) \rrbracket \implies (\exists f. \text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} a b = \text{set } \{f\}) \vee (\text{Hom } \mathfrak{C} a b = 0)$

Rules.

lemma (in cat-tiny-preorder) *cat-tiny-preorder-axioms'*[*cat-order-cs-intros*]:
assumes $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows *cat-tiny-preorder* $\alpha' \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-ide rf *cat-tiny-preorder-def*[*unfolded cat-tiny-preorder-axioms-def*]
|intro *cat-tiny-preorderI*|
|dest *cat-tiny-preorderD*[*dest*]|
|elim *cat-tiny-preorderE*[*elim*]|

lemmas [*cat-small-order-cs-intros*] = *cat-tiny-preorderD*(1)

Tiny preorder is a preorder.

sublocale *cat-tiny-preorder* \subseteq *cat-preorder*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas (in cat-tiny-preorder) *cat-tiny-peo-is-cat-preoder* = *cat-preorder-axioms*

lemmas [*cat-small-order-cs-intros*] =
cat-tiny-preorder.cat-tiny-peo-is-cat-preoder

31.3 Tiny partial order category

```
locale cat-tiny-partial-order = cat-tiny-preorder α ℰ for α ℰ +
  assumes cat-tiny-po:
    [[ a ∈o ℰ(Obj); b ∈o ℰ(Obj); a ≤oℰ b; b ≤oℰ a ]] ==> a = b
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in cat-tiny-partial-order)
  cat-tiny-partial-order-axioms'[cat-order-CS-intros]:
  assumes α' = α
  shows cat-tiny-partial-order α' ℰ
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf cat-tiny-partial-order-def[unfolded cat-tiny-partial-order-axioms-def]
|intro cat-tiny-partial-orderI|
|dest cat-tiny-partial-orderD[dest]|
|elim cat-tiny-partial-orderE[elim]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-order-CS-intros] = cat-tiny-partial-orderD(1)
```

Tiny partial order is a partial order.

```
sublocale cat-tiny-partial-order ⊑ cat-partial-order
  {proof}
```

```
lemmas (in cat-tiny-preorder) cat-tiny-po-is-cat-preoder = cat-preorder-axioms
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-order-CS-intros] =
  cat-tiny-preorder.cat-tiny-peo-is-cat-preoder
```

```
lemma cat-tiny-partial-orderI':
  assumes tiny-category α ℰ
  and cat-partial-order α ℰ
  shows cat-tiny-partial-order α ℰ
  {proof}
```

31.4 Tiny linear order category

```
locale cat-tiny-linear-order = cat-tiny-partial-order α ℰ for α ℰ +
  assumes cat-tiny-lo: [[ a ∈o ℰ(Obj); b ∈o ℰ(Obj) ]] ==> a ≤oℰ b ∨ b ≤oℰ a
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in cat-tiny-linear-order)
  cat-tiny-linear-order-axioms'[cat-order-CS-intros]:
  assumes α' = α
  shows cat-tiny-linear-order α' ℰ
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf cat-tiny-linear-order-def[unfolded cat-tiny-linear-order-axioms-def]
|intro cat-tiny-linear-orderI|
|dest cat-tiny-linear-orderD[dest]|
|elim cat-tiny-linear-orderE[elim]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-order-CS-intros] = cat-tiny-linear-orderD(1)
```

Tiny linear order is a partial order.

```
sublocale cat-tiny-linear-order ⊑ cat-linear-order
  {proof}
```

```
lemmas (in cat-tiny-linear-order) cat-tiny-lo-is-cat-partial-order =
cat-linear-order-axioms
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-order-CS-intros] =
cat-tiny-linear-order.cat-tiny-lo-is-cat-partial-order
```

```
lemma cat-tiny-linear-orderI':
assumes tiny-category  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$  and cat-linear-order  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$ 
shows cat-tiny-linear-order  $\alpha$   $\mathfrak{C}$ 
{proof}
```

31.5 Tiny preorder functor

```
locale is-tiny-preorder-functor =
is-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$  +
HomDom: cat-tiny-preorder  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  +
HomCod: cat-tiny-preorder  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
for  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 
```

```
syntax -is-tiny-preorder-functor ::  $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
 $(\langle \langle - : / - \leq_{C.PEO.tiny1} - \rangle \rangle [51, 51, 51] 51)$ 
syntax-consts -is-tiny-preorder-functor  $\Leftarrow$  is-tiny-preorder-functor
translations  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A} \leq_{C.PEO.tiny\alpha} \mathfrak{B} \Leftarrow$ 
CONST is-tiny-preorder-functor  $\alpha \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{F}$ 
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in is-tiny-preorder-functor)
is-tiny-preorder-functor-axioms['cat-order-CS-intros']:
assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$  and  $\mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{B}$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{F} : \mathfrak{A}' \leq_{C.PEO.tiny\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$ 
{proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf is-tiny-preorder-functor-def
|intro is-tiny-preorder-functorI|
|dest is-tiny-preorder-functorD[dest]|
|elim is-tiny-preorder-functorE[elim]|
```

```
lemmas [cat-small-order-CS-intros] = is-tiny-preorder-functorD(1)
```

Tiny preorder functor is a tiny functor

```
sublocale is-tiny-preorder-functor  $\subseteq$  is-tiny-functor
{proof}
```

32 Ordinal numbers

32.1 Background

The content of this section is based on the treatment of the ordinal numbers from the perspective of category theory as exposed, for example, in Chapter I-2 in [7].

```
named-theorems cat-ordinal-CS-simps
named-theorems cat-ordinal-CS-intros
```

32.2 Arrows associated with an ordinal number

```
definition ordinal-arrs ::  $V \Rightarrow V$ 
where ordinal-arrs  $A \equiv \text{set} \{[a, b]_\circ \mid a \ b. \ a \in_\circ A \wedge b \in_\circ A \wedge a \leq b\}$ 
```

```

lemma small-ordinal-arrs[simp]:
  small {[a, b]_o | a b. a ∈o A ∧ b ∈o A ∧ a ≤ b}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Rules.

```

lemma ordinal-arrsI[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]:
  assumes x = [a, b]_o and a ∈o A and b ∈o A and a ≤ b
  shows x ∈o ordinal-arrs A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ordinal-arrsD[dest]:
  assumes [a, b]_o ∈o ordinal-arrs A
  shows a ∈o A and b ∈o A and a ≤ b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ordinal-arrsE[elim]:
  assumes x ∈o ordinal-arrs A
  obtains a b where a ∈o A and b ∈o A and a ≤ b and x = [a, b]_o
  ⟨proof⟩

```

32.3 Composable arrows

```

abbreviation ordinal-composable :: V ⇒ V
  where ordinal-composable A ≡ set
  {
    [[b, c]_o, [a, b]_o]_o | a b c.
    a ∈o A ∧ b ∈o A ∧ c ∈o A ∧ a ≤ b ∧ b ≤ c
  }

```

```

lemma small-ordinal-composable[simp]:
  small
  {
    [[b, c]_o, [a, b]_o]_o | a b c.
    a ∈o A ∧ b ∈o A ∧ c ∈o A ∧ a ≤ b ∧ b ≤ c
  }
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Rules.

```

lemma ordinal-composableI[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]:
  assumes x = [[b, c]_o, [a, b]_o].
  and a ∈o A
  and b ∈o A
  and c ∈o A
  and a ≤ b
  and b ≤ c
  shows x ∈o ordinal-composable A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ordinal-composableD[dest]:
  assumes [[b, c]_o, [a, b]_o]_o ∈o ordinal-composable A
  shows a ∈o A and b ∈o A and c ∈o A and a ≤ b and b ≤ c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ordinal-composableE[elim]:
  assumes x ∈o ordinal-composable A
  obtains a b c
  where x = [[b, c]_o, [a, b]_o]_o

```

```

and  $a \in_0 A$ 
and  $b \in_0 A$ 
and  $c \in_0 A$ 
and  $a \leq b$ 
and  $b \leq c$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

32.4 Ordinal number as a category

32.4.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $\text{cat-ordinal} :: V \Rightarrow V$

where $\text{cat-ordinal } A =$

```

[  

  A,  

  ordinal-arrs A,  

   $(\lambda f \in_0 \text{ordinal-arrs } A. f(\emptyset))$ ,  

   $(\lambda f \in_0 \text{ordinal-arrs } A. f(1_N))$ ,  

   $(\lambda g f \in_0 \text{ordinal-composable } A. [gf(1_N)(\emptyset), gf(\emptyset)(1_N)])$ ,  

   $(\lambda x \in_0 A. [x, x]_0)$   

]_0

```

Components.

lemma $\text{cat-ordinal-components}:$

```

shows [ $\text{cat-ordinal-CS-simps}$ ]:  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Obj}) = A$ 
and [ $\text{cat-ordinal-CS-simps}$ ]:  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Arr}) = \text{ordinal-arrs } A$ 
and  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Dom}) = (\lambda f \in_0 \text{ordinal-arrs } A. f(\emptyset))$ 
and  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Cod}) = (\lambda f \in_0 \text{ordinal-arrs } A. f(1_N))$ 
and  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Comp}) =$ 
   $(\lambda g f \in_0 \text{ordinal-composable } A. [gf(1_N)(\emptyset), gf(\emptyset)(1_N)])$ 
and  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{CId}) = (\lambda x \in_0 A. [x, x]_0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

32.4.2 Domain

mk-VLambda $\text{cat-ordinal-components}(3)$

```

|vsv  $\text{cat-ordinal-Dom-vsv}[\text{cat-ordinal-CS-intros}]$ 
|vdomain
   $\text{cat-ordinal-Dom-vdomain}[$ 
    folded  $\text{cat-ordinal-components}$ ,  $\text{cat-ordinal-CS-simps}$ 
  ]
|

```

lemma $\text{cat-ordinal-Dom-app}[\text{cat-ordinal-CS-simps}]:$

assumes $x \in_0 \text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Arr})$ **and** $x = [a, b]_0$.

shows $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Dom})(x) = a$

⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{cat-ordinal-Dom-vrange}: \mathcal{R}_0 (\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Dom})) \subseteq_0 \text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Obj})$

⟨proof⟩

32.4.3 Codomain

mk-VLambda $\text{cat-ordinal-components}(4)$

```

|vsv  $\text{cat-ordinal-Cod-vsv}[\text{cat-ordinal-CS-intros}]$ 
|vdomain
   $\text{cat-ordinal-Cod-vdomain}[$ 
    folded  $\text{cat-ordinal-components}$ ,  $\text{cat-ordinal-CS-simps}$ 
  ]

```

|

```
lemma cat-ordinal-Cod-app[cat-ordinal-CS-simps]:  
  assumes  $x \in_{\circ} \text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Arr})$  and  $x = [a, b]_{\circ}$   
  shows  $\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Cod})(x) = b$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-Cod-vrange:  $\mathcal{R}_{\circ} (\text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Cod})) \subseteq_{\circ} \text{cat-ordinal } A(\text{Obj})$   
  {proof}
```

32.4.4 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

Rules.

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-arrI[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]:  
  assumes  $a \in_{\circ} A$  and  $b \in_{\circ} A$  and  $a \leq b$  and  $f = [a, b]_{\circ}$   
  shows  $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-arrD[dest]:  
  assumes  $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$   
  shows  $a \in_{\circ} A$  and  $b \in_{\circ} A$  and  $a \leq b$  and  $f = [a, b]_{\circ}$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-arrE[elim]:  
  assumes  $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$   
  obtains  $a \in_{\circ} A$  and  $b \in_{\circ} A$  and  $a \leq b$  and  $f = [a, b]_{\circ}$   
  {proof}
```

Elementary properties.

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-arr-not:  
  assumes  $\neg a \leq b$   
  shows  $\neg f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-arr-is-unique:  
  assumes  $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$  and  $g : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$   
  shows  $f = g$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-Hom-ne:  
  assumes  $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$   
  shows  $\text{Hom } (\text{cat-ordinal } A) a b = \text{set } \{f\}$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-Hom-empty:  
  assumes  $\neg a \leq b$   
  shows  $\text{Hom } (\text{cat-ordinal } A) a b = 0$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-inj:  
  assumes  $\text{cat-ordinal } m = \text{cat-ordinal } n$   
  shows  $m = n$   
  {proof}
```

32.4.5 Composition

mk-VLambda $\text{cat-ordinal-components}(5)$

```
|vsv cat-ordinal-Comp-vsv[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]|
|vdomain cat-ordinal-Comp-vdomain[folded cat-ordinal-components, cat-CS-simps]|
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-Comp-app[cat-ordinal-CS-simps]:
  assumes  $g : b \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} c$  and  $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$ 
  shows  $g \circ_A f = [a, c]_\circ$ 
  {proof}
```

32.4.6 Identity

```
mk-VLambda cat-ordinal-components(6)
|vsv cat-ordinal-CId-vsv[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]|
|vdomain cat-ordinal-CId-vdomain[cat-ordinal-CS-simps]|
|app cat-ordinal-CId-app[cat-ordinal-CS-simps]|
```

32.4.7 Order relation

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-leD[dest]:
  assumes  $a \leq_O \text{cat-ordinal } A b$ 
  shows  $[a, b]_\circ : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-leE[elim]:
  assumes  $a \leq_O \text{cat-ordinal } A b$ 
  obtains  $[a, b]_\circ : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$ 
  {proof}
```

```
lemma cat-ordinal-is-le-iff:
   $a \leq_O \text{cat-ordinal } A b \leftrightarrow [a, b]_\circ : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-ordinal } A} b$ 
  {proof}
```

32.4.8 Every ordinal number is a category

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) cat-linear-order-cat-ordinal[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]:
  assumes Ord  $A$  and  $A \subseteq_\circ \alpha$ 
  shows cat-linear-order  $\alpha$  (cat-ordinal  $A$ )
  {proof}
```

```
lemmas [cat-ordinal-CS-intros] =  $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-linear-order-cat-ordinal}$ 
```

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) cat-tiny-linear-order-cat-ordinal[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]:
  assumes Ord  $A$  and  $A \in_\circ \alpha$ 
  shows cat-tiny-linear-order  $\alpha$  (cat-ordinal  $A$ )
  {proof}
```

```
lemmas [cat-ordinal-CS-intros] =  $\mathcal{Z}.\text{cat-linear-order-cat-ordinal}$ 
```

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) finite-category-cat-ordinal[cat-ordinal-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $a \in_\circ \omega$ 
  shows finite-category  $\alpha$  (cat-ordinal  $a$ )
  {proof}
```

```
lemmas [cat-ordinal-CS-intros] =  $\mathcal{Z}.\text{finite-category-cat-ordinal}$ 
```

33 Simplicial category

33.1 Background

The content of this section is based, primarily, on the elements of the content of Chapter I-2 in [7].

named-theorems *cat-simplicial-CS-simps*
named-theorems *cat-simplicial-CS-intros*

33.2 Composable arrows for simplicial category

definition *composable-cat-simplicial* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *composable-cat-simplicial* $\alpha A = \text{set}$

```
{  
  [g, f]_o | g f. ∃ m n p.  
  g : cat-ordinal n ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal p ∧  
  f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧  
  m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A ∧ p ∈o A  
}
```

lemma *small-composable-cat-simplicial*[simp]:

small

```
{  
  [g, f]_o | g f. ∃ m n p.  
  g : cat-ordinal n ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal p ∧  
  f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧  
  m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A ∧ p ∈o A  
}
```

(is ⟨small ?S⟩)

⟨proof⟩

Rules.

lemma *composable-cat-simplicialI*:

assumes $g : \text{cat-ordinal } n \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } p$

and $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$

and $m \in_o A$

and $n \in_o A$

and $p \in_o A$

and $gf = [g, f]_o$

shows $gf \in_o \text{composable-cat-simplicial } \alpha A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *composable-cat-simplicialE*[elim]:

assumes $gf \in_o \text{composable-cat-simplicial } \alpha A$

obtains $g f m n p$ **where** $gf = [g, f]_o$

and $g : \text{cat-ordinal } n \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } p$

and $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$

and $m \in_o A$

and $n \in_o A$

and $p \in_o A$

⟨proof⟩

33.3 Simplicial category

33.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *cat-simplicial* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *cat-simplicial* $\alpha A =$

```

[
  set {cat-ordinal m | m. m ∈o A},
  set
  {
    f. ∃ m n.
    f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧ m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A
  },
  (
    λf ∈o set
    {
      f. ∃ m n.
      f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧ m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A
    }. f(HomDom)
  ),
  (
    λf ∈o set
    {
      f. ∃ m n.
      f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧ m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A
    }. f(HomCod)
  ),
  (λgf ∈o composable-cat-simplicial α A. gf(0) ∘CF gf(1N)),
  (λm ∈o set {cat-ordinal m | m. m ∈o A}. cf-id m)
].

```

Components.

lemma *cat-simplicial-components*:

```

shows cat-simplicial α A(Obj) = set {cat-ordinal m | m. m ∈o A}
and cat-simplicial α A(Arr) =
  set {f. ∃ m n. f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧ m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A}
and cat-simplicial α A(Dom) =
  (
    λf ∈o set
    {
      f. ∃ m n.
      f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧ m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A
    }. f(HomDom)
  )
and cat-simplicial α A(Cod) =
  (
    λf ∈o set
    {
      f. ∃ m n.
      f : cat-ordinal m ≤C.PEOα cat-ordinal n ∧ m ∈o A ∧ n ∈o A
    }. f(HomCod)
  )
and cat-simplicial α A(Comp) =
  (λgf ∈o composable-cat-simplicial α A. gf(0) ∘CF gf(1N))
and cat-simplicial α A(CId) =
  (λm ∈o set {cat-ordinal m | m. m ∈o A}. cf-id m)
⟨proof⟩

```

33.3.2 Objects

lemma *cat-simplicial-ObjI[cat-simplicial-CS-intros]*:

```

assumes m ∈o A and a = cat-ordinal m
shows a ∈o cat-simplicial α A(Obj)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cat-simplicial-ObjD:
  assumes cat-ordinal  $m \in_{\circ} \text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A(\text{Obj})$ 
  shows  $m \in_{\circ} A$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma cat-simplicial-ObjE:
  assumes  $M \in_{\circ} \text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A(\text{Obj})$ 
  obtains  $m$  where  $M = \text{cat-ordinal } m$  and  $m \in_{\circ} A$ 
  {proof}

```

33.3.3 Arrows

```

lemma small-cat-simplicial-Arr[simp]:
  small  $\{f. \exists m n. f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n \wedge m \in_{\circ} A \wedge n \in_{\circ} A\}$ 
  (is ⟨small ?S⟩)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma cat-simplicial-ArrI[cat-simplicial-CS-intros]:
  assumes  $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$  and  $m \in_{\circ} A$  and  $n \in_{\circ} A$ 
  shows  $f \in_{\circ} \text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A(\text{Arr})$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma cat-simplicial-ArrE:
  assumes  $f \in_{\circ} \text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A(\text{Arr})$ 
  obtains  $m n$ 
    where  $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$  and  $m \in_{\circ} A$  and  $n \in_{\circ} A$ 
  {proof}

```

33.3.4 Domain

```

mk-VLambda cat-simplicial-components(3)
|vsv cat-simplicial-Dom-vsv[cat-simplicial-CS-intros]|
|vdomain
  cat-simplicial-Dom-vdomain[
    folded cat-simplicial-components, cat-simplicial-CS-simps
  ]
|
|app cat-simplicial-Dom-app[folded cat-simplicial-components]|

```

```

lemma cat-simplicial-Dom-app'[cat-simplicial-CS-simps]:
  assumes  $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$  and  $m \in_{\circ} A$  and  $n \in_{\circ} A$ 
  shows  $\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A(\text{Dom})(f) = \text{cat-ordinal } m$ 
  {proof}

```

33.3.5 Codomain

```

mk-VLambda cat-simplicial-components(4)
|vsv cat-simplicial-Cod-vsv[cat-simplicial-CS-intros]|
|vdomain
  cat-simplicial-Cod-vdomain[
    folded cat-simplicial-components, cat-simplicial-CS-simps
  ]
|
|app cat-simplicial-Cod-app[folded cat-simplicial-components]|

```

```

lemma cat-simplicial-Cod-app'[cat-simplicial-CS-simps]:
  assumes  $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$  and  $m \in_{\circ} A$  and  $n \in_{\circ} A$ 

```

shows $\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A(\text{Cod})(f) = \text{cat-ordinal } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.3.6 Arrow with a domain and a codomain

lemma $\text{cat-simplicial-is-arrI}:$
assumes $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
and $m \in_{\circ} A$
and $n \in_{\circ} A$
shows $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \mapsto_{\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-simplicial-is-arrI}'[\text{cat-simplicial-CS-intros}]:$
assumes $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
and $m \in_{\circ} A$
and $n \in_{\circ} A$
and $a = \text{cat-ordinal } m$
and $b = \text{cat-ordinal } n$
shows $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-simplicial-is-arrD}[\text{dest}]:$
assumes $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \mapsto_{\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
and $m \in_{\circ} A$
and $n \in_{\circ} A$
shows $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cat-simplicial-is-arrE}[\text{elim}]:$
assumes $f : a \mapsto_{\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A} b$
obtains $m \ n \ \text{where}$ $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \leq_{C.PEO\alpha} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
and $m \in_{\circ} A$
and $n \in_{\circ} A$
and $a = \text{cat-ordinal } m$
and $b = \text{cat-ordinal } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.3.7 Composition

mk-VLambda $\text{cat-simplicial-components}(5)$
 $|vsv \text{ cat-simplicial-Comp-vsv}[\text{cat-simplicial-CS-intros}]|$
 $|vdomain \text{ cat-simplicial-Comp-vdomain}[\text{cat-simplicial-CS-simps}]|$

lemma $\text{cat-simplicial-Comp-app}[\text{cat-simplicial-CS-simps}]:$
assumes $g : \text{cat-ordinal } n \mapsto_{\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A} \text{cat-ordinal } p$
and $f : \text{cat-ordinal } m \mapsto_{\text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A} \text{cat-ordinal } n$
and $m \in_{\circ} A$
and $n \in_{\circ} A$
and $p \in_{\circ} A$
shows $g \circ_A \text{cat-simplicial } \alpha A f = g \circ_{CF} f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.3.8 Identity

context
fixes $\alpha A :: V$
begin

```

mk-VLambda cat-simplicial-components(6)[where  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $A=A$ ]
|vsv cat-simplicial-CId-vsv[cat-simplicial-CS-intros]
|vdomain
|  cat-simplicial-CId-vdomain'[
|    folded cat-simplicial-components(1)[where  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $A=A$ ]
|  ]
|
|app cat-simplicial-CId-app'[
|  folded cat-simplicial-components(1)[where  $\alpha=\alpha$  and  $A=A$ ]
|  ]
|
lemmas cat-simplicial-CId-vdomain[cat-simplicial-CS-simps] =
  cat-simplicial-CId-vdomain'
lemmas cat-simplicial-CId-app[cat-simplicial-CS-simps] =
  cat-simplicial-CId-app'

end

```

33.3.9 Simplicial category is a category

```

lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) category-simplicial:
  assumes Ord  $A$  and  $A \subseteq_{\circ} \alpha$ 
  shows category  $\alpha$  (cat-simplicial  $\alpha$   $A$ )
{proof}

```

34 Example: categories with additional structure

34.1 Background

The examples that are presented in this section showcase how the framework developed in this article can be used for the formalization of the theory of categories with additional structure. The content of this section also indicates some of the potential future directions for this body of work.

34.2 Dagger category

named-theorems *dag-field-simps*

named-theorems *dagcat-cs-simps*
named-theorems *dagcat-cs-intros*

definition *DagCat* :: V **where** [*dag-field-simps*]: $\text{DagCat} = 0$
definition *DagDag* :: V **where** [*dag-field-simps*]: $\text{DagDag} = 1_{\mathbb{N}}$

abbreviation *DagDag-app* :: $V \Rightarrow V$ ($\langle \dagger_C \rangle$)
 where $\dagger_C \mathfrak{C} \equiv \mathfrak{C}(\text{DagDag})$

34.2.1 Definition and elementary properties

For further information see [1]¹⁹.

```
locale dagger-category =
  Z α +
  vfsequence C +
  DagCat: category α ⟨C(DagCat)⟩ +
  DagDag: is-functor α ⟨op-cat (C(DagCat))⟩ ⟨C(DagCat)⟩ ⟨dagger C C⟩
  for α C +
  assumes dagecat-length: vcard C = 2_N
  and dagcat-ObjMap-identity[dagcat-cs-simps]:
    a ∈_o C(DagCat)(Obj) ⟹ (dagger C C)(ObjMap)(a) = a
  and dagcat-DagCat-idem[dagcat-cs-simps]:
    dagger C C F ∘ dagger C C = cf-id (C(DagCat))
```



```
lemmas [dagcat-cs-simps] =
  dagger-category.dagcat-ObjMap-identity
  dagger-category.dagcat-DagCat-idem
```

Rules.

```
lemma (in dagger-category) dagger-category-axioms'[dagcat-cs-intros]:
  assumes α' = α
  shows dagger-category α' C
  {proof}
```

```
mk-ide rf dagger-category-def[unfolded dagger-category-axioms-def]
| intro dagger-categoryI|
| dest dagger-categoryD[dest]|
| elim dagger-categoryE[elim]|
```

```
lemma category-if-dagger-category[dagcat-cs-intros]:
  assumes C' = (C(DagCat)) and dagger-category α C
  shows category α C'
```

¹⁹<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/dagger+category>

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in dagger-category) dagcat-is-functor'[dagcat-CS-intros]:  
  assumes  $\mathfrak{A}' = op\text{-}cat (\mathfrak{C}(DagCat))$  and  $\mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{C}(DagCat)$   
  shows  $\dagger_C \mathfrak{C} : \mathfrak{A}' \rightarrowtail_{C\alpha} \mathfrak{B}'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemmas [dagcat-CS-intros] = dagger-category.dagcat-is-functor'

34.3 Rel as a dagger category

34.3.1 Definition and elementary properties

For further information see [1]²⁰.

```
definition dagcat-Rel ::  $V \Rightarrow V$   
  where  $dagcat\text{-}Rel \alpha = [cat\text{-}Rel \alpha, \dagger_{C.Rel} \alpha]_\circ$ 
```

Components.

```
lemma dagcat-Rel-components:  
  shows  $dagcat\text{-}Rel \alpha(DagCat) = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$   
    and  $dagcat\text{-}Rel \alpha(DagDag) = \dagger_{C.Rel} \alpha$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

34.3.2 Rel is a dagger category

```
lemma (in  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) dagger-category-dagcat-Rel: dagger-category  $\alpha$  (dagcat-Rel  $\alpha$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

34.4 Monoidal category

For background information see Chapter 2 in [4].

34.4.1 Background

named-theorems mcat-field-simps

named-theorems mcat-CS-simps
named-theorems mcat-CS-intros

```
definition Mcat ::  $V$  where [mcat-field-simps]:  $Mcat = 0$   
definition Mcf ::  $V$  where [mcat-field-simps]:  $Mcf = 1_{\mathbb{N}}$   
definition Me ::  $V$  where [mcat-field-simps]:  $Me = 2_{\mathbb{N}}$   
definition M $\alpha$  ::  $V$  where [mcat-field-simps]:  $M\alpha = 3_{\mathbb{N}}$   
definition Ml ::  $V$  where [mcat-field-simps]:  $Ml = 4_{\mathbb{N}}$   
definition Mr ::  $V$  where [mcat-field-simps]:  $Mr = 5_{\mathbb{N}}$ 
```

34.4.2 Definition and elementary properties

locale monoidal-category =

— See Definition 2.2.8 in [4].

$\mathcal{Z} \alpha +$

ufsequence $\mathfrak{C} +$

$Mcat$: category $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) \rangle +$

Mcf : is-functor $\alpha \langle \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) \rangle \times_C \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) \rangle \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcf) \rangle +$

$M\alpha$: is-iso-ntcf

$\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) \rangle \hat{\times}_{C3} \langle \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) \rangle \langle cf\text{-}blcomp (\mathfrak{C}(Mcf)) \rangle \langle cf\text{-}brcomp (\mathfrak{C}(Mcf)) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(M\alpha) \rangle +$

²⁰<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Rel>

```

Ml: is-iso-ntcf
 $\alpha$ 
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})</math>
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})</math>
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf})\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat}), \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Me}), -)_{CF}</math>
<math>\mathfrak{c}-id (\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat}))</math>
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Ml})</math> +
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mr})</math>

Mr: is-iso-ntcf
 $\alpha$ 
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})</math>
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})</math>
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf})\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat}), \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(-, \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Me}))_{CF}</math>
<math>\mathfrak{c}-id (\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat}))</math>
<math>\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mr})</math>

for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{C}$  +
assumes mcat-length[mcat-cs-simps]: vcard  $\mathfrak{C} = 6_{\mathbb{N}}$ 
and mcat-Me-is-obj[mcat-cs-intros]:  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Me}) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$ 
and mcat-pentagon:
[[[
  a  $\in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$ ;
  b  $\in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$ ;
  c  $\in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$ ;
  d  $\in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$ 
]]  $\implies$ 
 $(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{CId})(a) \otimes_{HM.A} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{M}\alpha)(\mathbf{NTMap})(b, c, d))_• \circ_A \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})$ 
 $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{M}\alpha)(\mathbf{NTMap})(a, b \otimes_{HM.O} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) c, d)_• \circ_A \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})$ 
 $(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{M}\alpha)(\mathbf{NTMap})(a, b, c)_• \otimes_{HM.A} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{CId})(d)) =$ 
 $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{M}\alpha)(\mathbf{NTMap})(a, b, c \otimes_{HM.O} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) d)_• \circ_A \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})$ 
 $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{M}\alpha)(\mathbf{NTMap})(a \otimes_{HM.O} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) b, c, d)_•$ 

and mcat-triangle[mcat-cs-simps]:
[[[ a  $\in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$ ; b  $\in \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{Obj})$  ]]  $\implies$ 
 $(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{CId})(a) \otimes_{HM.A} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Ml})(\mathbf{NTMap})(b)) \circ_A \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})$ 
 $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{M}\alpha)(\mathbf{NTMap})(a, \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Me}), b)_• =$ 
 $(\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mr})(\mathbf{NTMap})(a) \otimes_{HM.A} \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcf}) \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{Mcat})(\mathbf{CId})(b))$ 

lemmas [mcat-cs-intros] = monoidal-category.mcat-Me-is-obj
lemmas [mcat-cs-simps] = monoidal-category.mcat-triangle

```

Rules.

```

lemma (in monoidal-category) monoidal-category-axioms'[mcat-cs-intros]:
assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$ 
shows monoidal-category  $\alpha' \in \mathfrak{C}$ 
{proof}

```

```

mk-ide rf monoidal-category-def[unfolded monoidal-category-axioms-def]
|intro monoidal-categoryI|
|dest monoidal-categoryD[dest]|
|elim monoidal-categoryE[elim]|

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma mcat-eqI:
assumes monoidal-category  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ 
and monoidal-category  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Mcat}) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Mcat})$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Mcf}) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Mcf})$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(\mathbf{Me}) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{Me})$ 

```

and $\mathfrak{A}(M\alpha) = \mathfrak{B}(M\alpha)$
and $\mathfrak{A}(Ml) = \mathfrak{B}(Ml)$
and $\mathfrak{A}(Mr) = \mathfrak{B}(Mr)$
shows $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

34.5 Components for $M\alpha$ for Rel

34.5.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C =$

$[\lambda ab-c \in_o (A \times_o B) \times_o C. \langle vfst (vfst ab-c), \langle vsnd (vfst ab-c), vsnd ab-c \rangle \rangle,$
 $(A \times_o B) \times_o C,$
 $A \times_o (B \times_o C)$
 $]_o$

definition $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl} :: V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C =$

$[\lambda a-bc \in_o A \times_o (B \times_o C). \langle \langle vfst a-bc, vfst (vsnd a-bc) \rangle, vsnd (vsnd a-bc) \rangle,$
 $A \times_o (B \times_o C),$
 $(A \times_o B) \times_o C$
 $]_o$

Components.

lemma $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-components}:$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrVal}) =$
 $(\lambda ab-c \in_o (A \times_o B) \times_o C. \langle vfst (vfst ab-c), \langle vsnd (vfst ab-c), vsnd ab-c \rangle \rangle)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrDom}) = (A \times_o B) \times_o C$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrCod}) = A \times_o (B \times_o C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-components}:$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrVal}) =$
 $(\lambda a-bc \in_o A \times_o (B \times_o C). \langle \langle vfst a-bc, vfst (vsnd a-bc) \rangle, vsnd (vsnd a-bc) \rangle)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrDom}) = A \times_o (B \times_o C)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrCod}) = (A \times_o B) \times_o C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

34.5.2 Arrow value

mk-VLambda $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-components(1)}$

| $vsv M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}ArrVal\text{-}vsv[\text{cat-cs-intros}]$ ||
| $vdomain M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}ArrVal\text{-}vdomain[\text{cat-cs-simps}]$ ||
| $app M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}ArrVal\text{-}app'$ |

lemma $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}ArrVal\text{-}app[\text{cat-cs-simps}]:$

assumes $ab-c = \langle \langle a, b \rangle, c \rangle$ **and** $ab-c \in_o (A \times_o B) \times_o C$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C(\text{ArrVal})(ab-c) = \langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

mk-VLambda $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-components(1)}$

| $vsv M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}ArrVal\text{-}vsv[\text{cat-cs-intros}]$ ||
| $vdomain M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}ArrVal\text{-}vdomain[\text{cat-cs-simps}]$ ||
| $app M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}ArrVal\text{-}app'$ |

lemma $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}ArrVal\text{-}app[\text{cat-cs-simps}]:$

assumes $a \cdot bc = \langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle$ **and** $a \cdot bc \in_0 A \times_0 (B \times_0 C)$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C (\text{ArrVal})(a \cdot bc) = \langle \langle a, b \rangle, c \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

34.5.3 Components for $M\alpha$ for Rel are arrows

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-arr-Vset}:$
assumes $A \in_0 Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_0 Vset \alpha$ **and** $C \in_0 Vset \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : (A \times_0 B) \times_0 C \mapsto_{cat\text{-Set } \alpha} A \times_0 (B \times_0 C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-arr-Vset}:$
assumes $A \in_0 Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_0 Vset \alpha$ **and** $C \in_0 Vset \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A \times_0 (B \times_0 C) \mapsto_{cat\text{-Set } \alpha} (A \times_0 B) \times_0 C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-arr}:$
assumes $A \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : (A \times_0 B) \times_0 C \mapsto_{cat\text{-Set } \alpha} A \times_0 (B \times_0 C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}]:$
assumes $A \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = (A \times_0 B) \times_0 C$
and $B' = A \times_0 (B \times_0 C)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Set } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-arr}:$
assumes $A \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A \times_0 (B \times_0 C) \mapsto_{cat\text{-Set } \alpha} (A \times_0 B) \times_0 C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}]:$
assumes $A \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_0 cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = A \times_0 (B \times_0 C)$
and $B' = (A \times_0 B) \times_0 C$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Set } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Par-arr}:$
assumes $A \in_0 cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_0 cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_0 cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C \mapsto_{cat\text{-Par } \alpha} A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Par-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-Par-set-CS-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $B' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Par } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-rel-Par-set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Par-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Par-arr}$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C) \mapsto_{cat\text{-Par } \alpha} (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Par-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-Par-set-CS-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $B' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Par } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-rel-Par-set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Par-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Rel-arr}$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C \mapsto_{cat\text{-Rel } \alpha} A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Rel-arr}'[cat\text{-Rel-par-set-CS-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $B' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Rel } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-Rel-par-set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Rel-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Rel-arr}$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C) \mapsto_{cat\text{-Rel } \alpha} (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Rel-arr}'[\text{cat-Rel-par-set-CS-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $B' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A' \mapsto_{\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
{proof}

lemmas [$\text{cat-Rel-par-set-CS-intros}$] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Rel-arr}'$

34.5.4 Further properties

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr}[\text{cat-CS-simps}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $C \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C \circ_{A\text{cat-Set } \alpha} M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C =$
 $\text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{CId})(A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr}'[\text{cat-CS-simps}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C \circ_{A\text{cat-Set } \alpha} M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C =$
 $\text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{CId})(A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
{proof}

lemmas [cat-CS-simps] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-}M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl}[\text{cat-CS-simps}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $C \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C \circ_{A\text{cat-Set } \alpha} M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C =$
 $\text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{CId})(A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C))$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl}'[\text{cat-CS-simps}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C \circ_{A\text{cat-Set } \alpha} M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C =$
 $\text{cat-Set } \alpha(\text{CId})(A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C))$
{proof}

lemmas [cat-CS-simps] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-}M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl}'$

34.5.5 Components for $M\alpha$ for Rel are isomorphisms

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})
assumes $A \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $B \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$ **and** $C \in_{\circ} Vset \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-iso-arr-Vset}$:
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C \mapsto_{isocat-Set \alpha} A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$

and $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-iso-arr-}Vset$:

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C) \mapsto_{isocat\text{-Set } \alpha} (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}$:

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C \mapsto_{isocat\text{-Set } \alpha} A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$

and $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}$:

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C) \mapsto_{isocat\text{-Set } \alpha} (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $A' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

and $B' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$

and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Set } \alpha$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : A' \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} B'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}$] =

$\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Set } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $A' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$

and $B' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

and $\mathfrak{C}' = cat\text{-Set } \alpha$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A' \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} B'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [$cat\text{-rel-par-Set-cs-intros}$] =

$\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Set-iso-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Par-iso-arr}$:

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A \ B \ C : (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C \mapsto_{isocat\text{-Par } \alpha} A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$

and $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Par-iso-arr}$:

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A \ B \ C : A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C) \mapsto_{isocat\text{-Par } \alpha} (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Par-iso-arr}'[cat\text{-rel-Par-set-cs-intros}]$:

assumes $A \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $B \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $C \in_{\circ} cat\text{-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$

and $A' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$

and $B' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Par } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : A' \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros*] =
 $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Par-iso-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Par-iso-arr}'$ [*cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros*]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Par } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $B' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Par } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A' \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-rel-Par-set-cs-intros*] =
 $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Par-iso-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}:$
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C \mapsto_{iso\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}:$
 $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C) \mapsto_{iso\text{cat-Rel } \alpha} (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}'$ [*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $B' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr } A B C : A' \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*] =
 $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z})

$M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}'$ [*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*]:
assumes $A \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $B \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $C \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $A' = A \times_{\circ} (B \times_{\circ} C)$
and $B' = (A \times_{\circ} B) \times_{\circ} C$
and $\mathfrak{C}' = \text{cat-Rel } \alpha$
shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl } A B C : A' \mapsto_{iso\mathfrak{C}'} B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*cat-Rel-par-set-cs-intros*] =

$\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-rl-is-cat-Rel-iso-arr}'$

34.6 $M\alpha$ for Rel

34.6.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition $M\alpha\text{-Rel} :: V \Rightarrow V$

where $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C} =$

[
 $(\lambda abc \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{C}^{\wedge}_{C3})(Obj). M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr} (abc(0)) (abc(1_N)) (abc(2_N))),$
 $cf\text{-blcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C}),$
 $cf\text{-brcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C}),$
 $\mathfrak{C}^{\wedge}_{C3},$
 \mathfrak{C}
]._o

Components.

lemma $M\alpha\text{-Rel-components}:$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C}(NTMap) =$

$(\lambda abc \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{C}^{\wedge}_{C3})(Obj). M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr} (abc(0)) (abc(1_N)) (abc(2_N)))$

and [cat-cs-simps]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C}(NTDom) = cf\text{-blcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C})$

and [cat-cs-simps]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C}(NTCod) = cf\text{-brcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C})$

and [cat-cs-simps]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C}(NTDGDom) = \mathfrak{C}^{\wedge}_{C3}$

and [cat-cs-simps]: $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C}(NTDGCDom) = \mathfrak{C}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

34.6.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda $M\alpha\text{-Rel-components}(1)$

| vsv $M\alpha\text{-Rel-NTMap-vsv}[cat\text{-cs-intros}]$

| vdomain $M\alpha\text{-Rel-NTMap-vdomain}[cat\text{-cs-simps}]$

| app $M\alpha\text{-Rel-NTMap-app}'$

lemma $M\alpha\text{-Rel-NTMap-app}[cat\text{-cs-simps}]:$

assumes $ABC = [A, B, C]_{\circ}$ and $ABC \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{C}^{\wedge}_{C3})(Obj)$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel } \mathfrak{C}(NTMap)(ABC) = M\alpha\text{-Rel-arrow-lr} A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

34.6.3 $M\alpha$ for Rel is a natural isomorphism

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-is-iso-ntcf}:$

$M\alpha\text{-Rel } (cat\text{-Rel } \alpha) :$

$cf\text{-blcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } (cat\text{-Rel } \alpha)) \mapsto_{CF.iso}$

$cf\text{-brcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } (cat\text{-Rel } \alpha)) :$

$cat\text{-Rel } \alpha^{\wedge}_{C3} \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-Rel } \alpha$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $M\alpha\text{-Rel-is-iso-ntcf}'[cat\text{-cs-intros}]:$

assumes $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-blcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } (cat\text{-Rel } \alpha))$

and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-brcomp } (cf\text{-prod-2-Rel } (cat\text{-Rel } \alpha))$

and $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-Rel } \alpha^{\wedge}_{C3}$

and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-Rel } \alpha$

and $\alpha' = \alpha$

shows $M\alpha\text{-Rel } (cat\text{-Rel } \alpha) : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [cat-cs-intros] = $\mathcal{Z}.M\alpha\text{-Rel-is-iso-ntcf}'$

34.7 *Ml* and *Mr* for *Rel*

34.7.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *Ml-Rel* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *Ml-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} a =$

[
 $(\lambda B \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) . \text{vsnd-arrow} (\text{set } \{a\}) B),$
 $\text{cf-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}}(\text{set } \{a\}, -)_{CF},$
 $\text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C},$
 $\mathfrak{C},$
 \mathfrak{C}
]._o

definition *Mr-Rel* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *Mr-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} b =$

[
 $(\lambda A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) . \text{vfst-arrow} A (\text{set } \{b\})),$
 $\text{cf-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}}(-, \text{set } \{b\})_{CF},$
 $\text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C},$
 $\mathfrak{C},$
 \mathfrak{C}
]._o

Components.

lemma *Ml-Rel-components*:

shows *Ml-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} a(\text{NTMap}) = (\lambda B \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) . \text{vsnd-arrow} (\text{set } \{a\}) B)$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Ml-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} a(\text{NTDom}) = \text{cf-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}}(\text{set } \{a\}, -)_{CF}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Ml-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} a(\text{NTCod}) = \text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Ml-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} a(\text{NTDGDom}) = \mathfrak{C}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Ml-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} a(\text{NTDGCod}) = \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Mr-Rel-components*:

shows *Mr-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} b(\text{NTMap}) = (\lambda A \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(\text{Obj}) . \text{vfst-arrow} A (\text{set } \{b\}))$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Mr-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} b(\text{NTDom}) = \text{cf-prod-2-Rel } \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}}(-, \text{set } \{b\})_{CF}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Mr-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} b(\text{NTCod}) = \text{cf-id } \mathfrak{C}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Mr-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} b(\text{NTDGDom}) = \mathfrak{C}$
and [*cat-cs-simps*]: *Mr-Rel* $\mathfrak{C} b(\text{NTDGCod}) = \mathfrak{C}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

34.7.2 Natural transformation map

mk-VLambda *Ml-Rel-components(1)*

vsv Ml-Rel-components-NTMap-vsv[*cat-cs-intros*]	
vdomain Ml-Rel-components-NTMap-vdomain[*cat-cs-simps*]	
app Ml-Rel-components-NTMap-app[*cat-cs-simps*]	

mk-VLambda *Mr-Rel-components(1)*

vsv Mr-Rel-components-NTMap-vsv[*cat-cs-intros*]	
vdomain Mr-Rel-components-NTMap-vdomain[*cat-cs-simps*]	
app Mr-Rel-components-NTMap-app[*cat-cs-simps*]	

34.7.3 *Ml* and *Mr* for *Rel* are natural isomorphisms

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *Ml-Rel-is-iso-ntcf*:

assumes $a \in_{\circ} \text{cat-Rel } \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows *Ml-Rel* (*cat-Rel* α) a :
 $\text{cf-prod-2-Rel } (\text{cat-Rel } \alpha)_{\text{cat-Rel } \alpha, \text{cat-Rel } \alpha}(\text{set } \{a\}, -)_{CF} \mapsto_{CF.iso}$

cf-id (*cat-Rel* α) :
cat-Rel $\alpha \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *Ml-Rel-is-iso-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $a \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-prod-2-Rel} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)_{cat\text{-}Rel \alpha, cat\text{-}Rel \alpha} (set \{a\}, -)_{CF}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-id} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
and $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows *Ml-Rel (cat-Rel α)* $a : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.Ml\text{-}Rel\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf'$

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *Mr-Rel-is-iso-ntcf*:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
shows *Mr-Rel (cat-Rel α)* $b :$
 $cf\text{-prod-2-Rel} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)_{cat\text{-}Rel \alpha, cat\text{-}Rel \alpha} (-, set \{b\})_{CF} \mapsto_{CF.iso}$
cf-id (cat-Rel α) :
 $cat\text{-}Rel \alpha \mapsto_{C\alpha} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
{proof}

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *Mr-Rel-is-iso-ntcf' [cat-cs-intros]*:
assumes $b \in_{\circ} cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\text{Obj})$
and $\mathfrak{F}' = cf\text{-prod-2-Rel} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)_{cat\text{-}Rel \alpha, cat\text{-}Rel \alpha} (-, set \{b\})_{CF}$
and $\mathfrak{G}' = cf\text{-id} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)$
and $\mathfrak{A}' = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
and $\mathfrak{B}' = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
and $\alpha' = \alpha$
shows *Mr-Rel (cat-Rel α)* $b : \mathfrak{F}' \mapsto_{CF.iso} \mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{A}' \mapsto_{C\alpha'} \mathfrak{B}'$
{proof}

lemmas [*cat-cs-intros*] = $\mathcal{Z}.Mr\text{-}Rel\text{-}is\text{-}iso\text{-}ntcf'$

34.8 Rel as a monoidal category

34.8.1 Definition and elementary properties

For further information see [2]²¹.

definition *mcat-Rel* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *mcat-Rel* α $a =$
 $[$
 $cat\text{-}Rel \alpha,$
 $cf\text{-prod-2-Rel} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha),$
 $set \{a\},$
 $M\alpha\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha),$
 $Ml\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha) a,$
 $Mr\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha) a$
 $]$ \circ

Components.

lemma *mcat-Rel-components*:
shows *mcat-Rel* $\alpha a(Mcat) = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$
and *mcat-Rel* $\alpha a(Mcf) = cf\text{-prod-2-Rel} (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)$

²¹https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category_of_relations

and $mcat\text{-}Rel \alpha a(Me) = set \{a\}$
and $mcat\text{-}Rel \alpha a(M\alpha) = M\alpha\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)$
and $mcat\text{-}Rel \alpha a(Ml) = Ml\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha) a$
and $mcat\text{-}Rel \alpha a(Mr) = Mr\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha) a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

34.8.2 Rel is a monoidal category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) $monoidal\text{-}category\text{-}mcat\text{-}Rel$:
assumes $a \in cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\mathbb{Obj})$
shows $monoidal\text{-}category \alpha (mcat\text{-}Rel \alpha a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

34.9 Dagger monoidal categories

34.9.1 Background

See [13] for further information.

named-theorems $dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$

named-theorems $dmcat\text{-}cs\text{-}simps$

named-theorems $dmcat\text{-}cs\text{-}intros$

definition $DMcat :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DMcat = 0$
definition $DMdag :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DMdag = 1_{\mathbb{N}}$
definition $DMcf :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DMcf = 2_{\mathbb{N}}$
definition $DMe :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DMe = 3_{\mathbb{N}}$
definition $DM\alpha :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DM\alpha = 4_{\mathbb{N}}$
definition $DML :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DML = 5_{\mathbb{N}}$
definition $DMr :: V$ **where** [$dmcat\text{-}field\text{-}simps$]: $DMr = 6_{\mathbb{N}}$

abbreviation $DMDag\text{-}app :: V \Rightarrow V (\dagger_{MC})$
where $\dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C} \equiv \mathfrak{C}(DMdag)$

34.9.2 Slicing

Dagger category.

definition $dmcat\text{-}dagcat :: V \Rightarrow V$
where $dmcat\text{-}dagcat \mathfrak{C} = [\mathfrak{C}(DMcat), \mathfrak{C}(DMdag)]_o$

lemma $dmcat\text{-}dagcat\text{-}components[slicing\text{-}simps]$:
shows $dmcat\text{-}dagcat \mathfrak{C}(DagCat) = \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)$
and $dmcat\text{-}dagcat \mathfrak{C}(DagDag) = \mathfrak{C}(DMdag)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Monoidal category.

definition $dmcat\text{-}mcat :: V \Rightarrow V$
where $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C} = [\mathfrak{C}(DMcat), \mathfrak{C}(DMcf), \mathfrak{C}(DMe), \mathfrak{C}(DM\alpha), \mathfrak{C}(DML), \mathfrak{C}(DMr)]_o$

lemma $dmcat\text{-}mcat\text{-}components[slicing\text{-}simps]$:
shows $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C}(Mcat) = \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)$
and $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C}(Mcf) = \mathfrak{C}(DMcf)$
and $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C}(Me) = \mathfrak{C}(DMe)$
and $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C}(M\alpha) = \mathfrak{C}(DM\alpha)$
and $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C}(Ml) = \mathfrak{C}(DML)$
and $dmcat\text{-}mcat \mathfrak{C}(Mr) = \mathfrak{C}(DMr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

34.9.3 Definition and elementary properties

```

locale dagger-monoidal-category =  $\mathcal{Z} \alpha + vsequence \mathfrak{C}$  for  $\alpha \mathfrak{C} +$ 
assumes dmcat-length[dmcat-cs-simps]: vcard  $\mathfrak{C} = \gamma_{\mathbb{N}}$ 
and dmcat-dagger-category: dagger-category  $\alpha$  (dmcat-dagcat  $\mathfrak{C}$ )
and dmcat-monoidal-category: monoidal-category  $\alpha$  (dmcat-mcat  $\mathfrak{C}$ )
and dmcat-compatibility:

$$[[ g : c \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}(DMcat)} d; f : a \hookrightarrow_{\mathfrak{C}(DMcat)} b ]] \implies$$


$$\dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)(g \otimes_{H.M.A} \mathfrak{C}(DMcf) f) =$$


$$\dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)(g) \otimes_{H.M.A} \mathfrak{C}(DMcf) \dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)(f)$$

and dmcat-Mα-unital:  $A \in_{\circ} (\mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \wedge_{C_3})(Obj) \implies$ 

$$\dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{C}(DM\alpha)(NTMap)(A)) = (\mathfrak{C}(DM\alpha)(NTMap)(A))^{-1} C \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)$$

and dmcat-Ml-unital:  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)(Obj) \implies$ 

$$\dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{C}(DML)(NTMap)(a)) = (\mathfrak{C}(DML)(NTMap)(a))^{-1} C \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)$$

and dmcat-Mr-unital:  $a \in_{\circ} \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)(Obj) \implies$ 

$$\dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C}(ArrMap)(\mathfrak{C}(DMr)(NTMap)(a)) = (\mathfrak{C}(DMr)(NTMap)(a))^{-1} C \mathfrak{C}(DMcat)$$


```

Rules.

```

lemma (in dagger-monoidal-category)
dagger-monoidal-category-axioms'[dmcat-cs-intros]:
assumes  $\alpha' = \alpha$ 
shows dagger-monoidal-category  $\alpha' \mathfrak{C}$ 
{proof}

```

mk-ide rf

```

dagger-monoidal-category-def[unfolded dagger-monoidal-category-axioms-def]
|intro dagger-monoidal-categoryI[intro]|
|dest dagger-monoidal-categoryD[dest]|
|elim dagger-monoidal-categoryE[elim]|

```

Elementary properties.

```

lemma dmcat-eqI:
assumes dagger-monoidal-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{A}$ 
and dagger-monoidal-category  $\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DMcat) = \mathfrak{B}(DMcat)$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DMdag) = \mathfrak{B}(DMdag)$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DMcf) = \mathfrak{B}(DMcf)$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DMe) = \mathfrak{B}(DMe)$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DM\alpha) = \mathfrak{B}(DM\alpha)$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DML) = \mathfrak{B}(DML)$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}(DMr) = \mathfrak{B}(DMr)$ 
shows  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}$ 
{proof}

```

Slicing.

```

context dagger-monoidal-category
begin

```

```

interpretation dagcat: dagger-category  $\alpha \langle dmcat-dagcat \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ 
{proof}

```

```

sublocale DMCat: category  $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle$ 
{proof}

```

```

sublocale DMDag: is-functor  $\alpha \langle op-cat(\mathfrak{C}(DMcat)) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle \langle \dagger_{MC} \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
  dmcat-Dom-vdomain[dmcat-CS-simps] = dagcat.dagcat-ObjMap-identity
  and dmcat-DagCat-idem[dmcat-CS-simps] = dagcat.dagcat-DagCat-idem
  and dmcat-is-functor'[dmcat-CS-intros] = dagcat.dagcat-is-functor'

end

lemmas [dmcat-CS-simps] =
  dagger-monoidal-category.dmcat-Dom-vdomain
  dagger-monoidal-category.dmcat-DagCat-idem

lemmas [dmcat-CS-intros] = dagger-monoidal-category.dmcat-is-functor'

context dagger-monoidal-category
begin

interpretation meat: monoidal-category  $\alpha \langle dmcat-mcat \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale DMcf: is-functor  $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \times_C \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcf) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale DMα: is-iso-ntcf
   $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle^{\wedge}_{C3} \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle \langle cf-blcomp (\mathfrak{C}(DMcf)) \rangle \langle cf-brcomp (\mathfrak{C}(DMcf)) \rangle \langle \mathfrak{C}(DM\alpha) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale DML: is-iso-ntcf
   $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcf) \mathfrak{C}(DMcat), \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) (\mathfrak{C}(DMe), -)_{CF} \rangle$ 
   $\langle cf-id (\mathfrak{C}(DMcat)) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \mathfrak{C}(DML) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale DMr: is-iso-ntcf
   $\alpha \langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \mathfrak{C}(DMcf) \mathfrak{C}(DMcat), \mathfrak{C}(DMcat) (-, \mathfrak{C}(DMe))_{CF} \rangle$ 
   $\langle cf-id (\mathfrak{C}(DMcat)) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \mathfrak{C}(DMr) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas-with [unfolded slicing-simps]:
  dmcat-Me-is-obj[dmcat-CS-intros] = mcat.mcat-Me-is-obj
  and dmcat-pentagon = mcat.mcat-pentagon
  and dmcat-triangle[dmcat-CS-simps] = mcat.mcat-triangle

end

lemmas [dmcat-CS-intros] = dagger-monoidal-category.dmcat-Me-is-obj
lemmas [dmcat-CS-simps] = dagger-monoidal-category.dmcat-triangle

```

34.10 *Rel* as a dagger monoidal category

34.10.1 Definition and elementary properties

definition *dmcat-Rel* :: $V \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow V$

where *dmcat-Rel* α $a =$

```
[  
  cat-Rel  $\alpha$ ,  
  †C.Rel  $\alpha$ ,  
  cf-prod-2-Rel (cat-Rel  $\alpha$ ),  
  set { $a$ },  
  M $\alpha$ -Rel (cat-Rel  $\alpha$ ),  
  Ml-Rel (cat-Rel  $\alpha$ )  $a$ ,  
  Mr-Rel (cat-Rel  $\alpha$ )  $a$   
].o
```

Components.

lemma *dmcat-Rel-components*:

```
shows dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DMcat\}) = cat\text{-}Rel \alpha$   
and dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DMdag\}) = \dagger_{C.Rel} \alpha$   
and dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DMcf\}) = cf\text{-}prod\text{-}2\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)$   
and dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DMe\}) = set \{a\}$   
and dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DM\alpha\}) = M\alpha\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha)$   
and dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DML\}) = Ml\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha) a$   
and dmcat-Rel  $\alpha$   $a(\{DMR\}) = Mr\text{-}Rel (cat\text{-}Rel \alpha) a$   
(proof)
```

Slicing.

lemma *dmcat-dagcat-dmcat-Rel*: *dmcat-dagcat* (*dmcat-Rel* α a) = *dagcat-Rel* α
(proof)

lemma *dmcat-mcat-dmcat-Rel*: *dmcat-mcat* (*dmcat-Rel* α a) = *mcat-Rel* α a
(proof)

34.10.2 *Rel* is a dagger monoidal category

lemma (in \mathcal{Z}) *dagger-monoidal-category-dmcat-Rel*:
assumes $A \in_o cat\text{-}Rel \alpha(\{Obj\})$
shows *dagger-monoidal-category* α (*dmcat-Rel* α A)
(proof)

References

- [1] nLab. URL <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/HomePage>.
- [2] Wikipedia, 2001. URL <https://www.wikipedia.org/>.
- [3] P. Bodo. *Categories and Functors*. Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [4] P. I. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. *Tensor Categories*. Number 205 in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2015. ISBN 978-1-4704-2024-6.
- [5] S. Feferman and G. Kreisel. Set-Theoretical Foundations of Category Theory. In M. Barr, P. Berthiaume, B. J. Day, J. Duskin, S. Feferman, G. M. Kelly, S. Mac Lane, M. Tierney, and R. F. C. Walters, editors, *Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar III*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 201–247, Heidelberg, 1969. Springer.
- [6] F. Haftmann. Sketch-and-Explore, 2021. URL https://isabelle.in.tum.de/library/HOL/HOL-ex/Sketch_and_Explore.html.
- [7] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Number 5 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2 edition, 2010. ISBN 978-1-4419-3123-8.
- [8] M. Milehins. Category Theory for ZFC in HOL I: Foundations: Design Patterns, Set Theory, Digraphs, Semicategories. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2021.
- [9] S. Obua. Partizan Games in Isabelle/HOLZF. In K. Barkaoui, A. Cavalcanti, and A. Cerone, editors, *ICTAC 2006*, volume 4281, pages 272–286. Springer, Berlin, 2006. ISBN 978-3-540-48815-6.
- [10] L. C. Paulson. Natural Deduction as Higher-Order Resolution. *The Journal of Logic Programming*, 3(3):237–258, 1986.
- [11] L. C. Paulson. Zermelo Fraenkel Set Theory in Higher-Order Logic. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2019.
- [12] E. Riehl. *Category Theory in Context*. Emily Riehl, 2016.
- [13] P. Selinger. A Survey of Graphical Languages for Monoidal Categories. In B. Coecke, editor, *New Structures for Physics*, volume 813, pages 289–355. Springer, Heidelberg, 2010. ISBN 978-3-642-12820-2.
- [14] G. Takeuti and W. M. Zaring. *Introduction to Axiomatic Set Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1971. ISBN 0-387-05302-6.