

Birkhoff's Representation Theorem For Finite Distributive Lattices

Matthew Doty

March 24, 2023

Abstract

This theory proves a theorem of Birkhoff that asserts that any finite distributive lattice is isomorphic to the set of *down-sets* of that lattice's join-irreducible elements. The isomorphism preserves order, meets and joins as well as complementation in the case the lattice is a Boolean algebra. A consequence of this representation theorem is that every finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a powerset algebra.

Contents

1	Atoms, Join Primes and Join Irreducibles	2
2	Birkhoff's Representation Theorem For Finite Distributive Lattices	3
3	Finite Distributive Lattice Isomorphism	5
4	Cardinality	6

```

theory Birkhoff-Finite-Distributive-Lattices
  imports
    HOL-Library.Finite-Lattice
    HOL.Transcendental
begin

```

```

unbundle lattice-syntax

```

The proof of Birkhoff's representation theorem for finite distributive lattices [1] presented here follows Davey and Priestley [2].

1 Atoms, Join Primes and Join Irreducibles

Atomic elements are defined as follows.

```

definition (in bounded-lattice-bot) atomic :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  atomic  $x \equiv x \neq \perp \wedge (\forall y. y \leq x \longrightarrow y = \perp \vee y = x)$ 

```

Two related concepts are *join-prime* elements and *join-irreducible* elements.

```

definition (in bounded-lattice-bot) join-prime :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  join-prime  $x \equiv x \neq \perp \wedge (\forall y z. x \leq y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x \leq y \vee x \leq z)$ 

```

```

definition (in bounded-lattice-bot) join-irreducible :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  join-irreducible  $x \equiv x \neq \perp \wedge (\forall y z. y < x \longrightarrow z < x \longrightarrow y \sqcup z < x)$ 

```

```

lemma (in bounded-lattice-bot) join-irreducible-def':
  join-irreducible  $x = (x \neq \perp \wedge (\forall y z. x = y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x = y \vee x = z))$ 
  <proof>

```

Every join-prime is also join-irreducible.

```

lemma (in bounded-lattice-bot) join-prime-implies-join-irreducible:
  assumes join-prime  $x$ 
  shows join-irreducible  $x$ 
  <proof>

```

In the special case when the underlying lattice is distributive, the join-prime elements and join-irreducible elements coincide.

```

class bounded-distrib-lattice-bot = bounded-lattice-bot +
  assumes sup-inf-distrib1:  $x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)$ 
begin

```

```

subclass distrib-lattice
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

context complete-distrib-lattice
begin

```

subclass *bounded-distrib-lattice-bot*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma (in *bounded-distrib-lattice-bot*) *join-irreducible-is-join-prime*:
 join-irreducible x = join-prime x
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Every atomic element is join-irreducible.

lemma (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *atomic-implies-join-prime*:
 assumes *atomic x*
 shows *join-irreducible x*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

In the case of Boolean algebras, atomic elements and join-prime elements are one-in-the-same.

lemma (in *boolean-algebra*) *join-prime-is-atomic*:
 atomic x = join-prime x
 ⟨*proof*⟩

All atomic elements are disjoint.

lemma (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *atomic-disjoint*:
 assumes *atomic α*
 and *atomic β*
 shows $(\alpha = \beta) \longleftrightarrow (\alpha \sqcap \beta \neq \perp)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *atomic-elements* (\mathcal{A}) **where**
 $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{a . \text{atomic } a\}$

definition (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *join-irreducible-elements* (\mathcal{J}) **where**
 $\mathcal{J} \equiv \{a . \text{join-irreducible } a\}$

2 Birkhoff's Representation Theorem For Finite Distributive Lattices

Birkhoff's representation theorem for finite distributive lattices follows from the fact that every non- \perp element can be represented by the join-irreducible elements beneath it.

In this section we merely demonstrate the representation aspect of Birkhoff's theorem. In §3 we show this representation is a lattice homomorphism.

The first step to representing elements is to show that there *exist* join-irreducible elements beneath them. This is done by showing if there is

no join-irreducible element, we can make a descending chain with more elements than the finite Boolean algebra under consideration.

fun (in order) *descending-chain-list* :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
descending-chain-list [] = True
| *descending-chain-list* [x] = True
| *descending-chain-list* (x # x' # xs)
= (x < x' \wedge *descending-chain-list* (x' # xs))

lemma (in order) *descending-chain-list-tail*:
assumes *descending-chain-list* (s # S)
shows *descending-chain-list* S
<proof>

lemma (in order) *descending-chain-list-drop-penultimate*:
assumes *descending-chain-list* (s # s' # S)
shows *descending-chain-list* (s # S)
<proof>

lemma (in order) *descending-chain-list-less-than-others*:
assumes *descending-chain-list* (s # S)
shows $\forall s' \in \text{set } S. s < s'$
<proof>

lemma (in order) *descending-chain-list-distinct*:
assumes *descending-chain-list* S
shows *distinct* S
<proof>

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducible-lower-bound-exists*:
assumes $\neg (x \leq y)$
shows $\exists z \in \mathcal{J}. z \leq x \wedge \neg (z \leq y)$
<proof>

definition (in *bounded-lattice-bot*)
join-irreducibles-embedding :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set ($\{\} - \}$ [50]) **where**
 $\{\} x \} \equiv \{a \in \mathcal{J}. a \leq x\}$

We can now show every element is exactly the suprema of the join-irreducible elements beneath them in any distributive lattice.

theorem (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *sup-join-prime-embedding-ident*:
 $x = \bigsqcup \{\} x \}$
<proof>

Just as $x = \bigsqcup \{\} x \}$, the reverse is also true; $\lambda x. \{\} x \}$ and $\lambda S. \bigsqcup S$ are inverses where $S \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$, the set of downsets in $\text{Pow } \mathcal{J}$.

definition (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *down-irreducibles* ($\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$) **where**
 $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J} \equiv \{ S \in \text{Pow } \mathcal{J} . (\exists x . S = \{\} x \}) \}$

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducible-embedding-sup-ident*:

assumes $S \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$

shows $S = \{\sqcup S\}$

<proof>

Given that $\lambda x. \{x\}$ has a left and right inverse, we can show it is a *bijection*.

The bijection below is recognizable as a form of *Birkhoff's Representation Theorem* for finite distributive lattices.

theorem (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *birkhoffs-theorem*:

bij-betw ($\lambda x. \{x\}$) *UNIV* $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$

<proof>

3 Finite Ditributive Lattice Isomorphism

The form of Birkhoff's theorem presented in §2 simply gave a bijection between a finite distributive lattice and the downsets of its join-irreducible elements. This relationship can be extended to a full-blown *lattice homomorphism*. In particular we have the following properties:

- \perp and \top are preserved; specifically $\{\perp\} = \{\}$ and $\{\top\} = \mathcal{J}$.
- Order is preserved: $x \leq y = (\{x\} \subseteq \{y\})$.
- $\lambda x. \{x\}$ is a lower complete semi-lattice homomorphism, mapping $\{\sqcup X\} = (\bigcup x \in X. \{x\})$.
- In addition to preserving arbitrary joins, $\lambda x. \{x\}$ is a lattice homomorphism, since it also preserves finitary meets with $\{x \sqcap y\} = \{x\} \cap \{y\}$. Arbitrary meets are also preserved, but relative to a top element \mathcal{J} , or in other words $\{\sqcap X\} = \mathcal{J} \cap (\bigcap x \in X. \{x\})$.
- In the case of a Boolean algebra, complementation corresponds to relative set complementation via $\{-x\} = \mathcal{J} - \{x\}$.

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-bot*:

$\{\perp\} = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-top*:

$\{\top\} = \mathcal{J}$

<proof>

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-order-isomorphism*:

$x \leq y = (\{x\} \subseteq \{y\})$

<proof>

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-join-homomorphism*:

$$\{ x \sqcup y \} = \{ x \} \cup \{ y \}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-sup-homomorphism*:

$$\{ \bigsqcup X \} = \bigcup x \in X . \{ x \}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-meet-homomorphism*:

$$\{ x \sqcap y \} = \{ x \} \cap \{ y \}$$

<proof>

Arbitrary meets are also preserved, but relative to a top element \mathcal{J} .

lemma (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-inf-homomorphism*:

$$\{ \prod X \} = \mathcal{J} \cap \left(\bigcap x \in X . \{ x \} \right)$$

<proof>

Finally, we show that complementation is preserved.

To begin, we define the class of finite Boolean algebras. This class is simply an extension of *boolean-algebra*, extended with *finite UNIV* as per the axiom class *finite*. We also extend the language of the class with *infima* and *suprema* (i.e. $\prod A$ and $\bigsqcup A$ respectively).

```
class finite-boolean-algebra = boolean-algebra + finite + Inf + Sup +
  assumes Inf-def:  $\prod A = \text{Finite-Set.fold } (\prod) \top A$ 
  assumes Sup-def:  $\bigsqcup A = \text{Finite-Set.fold } (\sqcup) \perp A$ 
begin
```

Finite Boolean algebras are trivially a subclass of finite distributive lattices, which are necessarily *complete*.

```
subclass finite-distrib-lattice-complete
  <proof>
```

```
subclass bounded-distrib-lattice-bot
  <proof>
end
```

lemma (in *finite-boolean-algebra*) *join-irreducibles-complement-homomorphism*:

$$\{ - x \} = \mathcal{J} - \{ x \}$$

<proof>

4 Cardinality

Another consequence of Birkhoff's theorem from §2 is that every finite Boolean algebra has a cardinality which is a power of two. This gives a

bound on the number of atoms/join-prime/irreducible elements, which must be logarithmic in the size of the finite Boolean algebra they belong to.

We first show that $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$, the downsets of the join-irreducible elements \mathcal{J} , are the same as the powerset of \mathcal{J} in any finite Boolean algebra.

lemma (in *finite-boolean-algebra*) *$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J}$ -is-Pow- \mathcal{J}* :

$\mathcal{O}\mathcal{J} = \text{Pow } \mathcal{J}$
<proof>

lemma (in *finite-boolean-algebra*) *UNIV-card*:

$\text{card } (\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}) = \text{card } (\text{Pow } \mathcal{J})$
<proof>

lemma *finite-Pow-card*:

assumes *finite X*
shows $\text{card } (\text{Pow } X) = 2^{\text{powr } (\text{card } X)}$
<proof>

lemma (in *finite-boolean-algebra*) *UNIV-card-powr-2*:

$\text{card } (\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}) = 2^{\text{powr } (\text{card } \mathcal{J})}$
<proof>

lemma (in *finite-boolean-algebra*) *join-irreducibles-card-log-2*:

$\text{card } \mathcal{J} = \log 2 (\text{card } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}))$
<proof>

end

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff. Rings of sets. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 3(3):443–454, Sept. 1937.
- [2] B. A. Davey and H. A. Priestley. Chapter 5. Representation: The finite case. In *Introduction to Lattices and Order*, pages 112–124. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK ; New York, NY, 2nd ed edition, 2002.