

Bounded Natural Functors with Covariance and Contravariance

Andreas Lochbihler and Joshua Schneider

June 24, 2019

Abstract

Bounded natural functors (BNFs) provide a modular framework for the construction of (co)datatypes in higher-order logic. Their functorial operations, the mapper and relator, are restricted to a subset of the parameters, namely those where recursion can take place. For certain applications, such as free theorems, data refinement, quotients, and generalised rewriting, it is desirable that these operations do not ignore the other parameters. In this article, we formalise the generalisation BNF_{CC} [2] that extends the mapper and relator to covariant and contravariant parameters. We show that (i) BNF_{CC} s are closed under functor composition and least and greatest fixpoints, (ii) subtypes inherit the BNF_{CC} structure under conditions that generalise those for the BNF case, and (iii) BNF_{CC} s preserve quotients under mild conditions. These proofs are carried out for abstract BNF_{CC} s similar to the AFP entry BNF Operations [1]. In addition, we apply the BNF_{CC} theory to several concrete functors.

For an informal description of the abstract proofs see [2].

Contents

1	Preliminaries	4
2	Axiomatisation	5
2.1	First abstract BNF_{CC}	5
2.1.1	Axioms and basic definitions	5
2.1.2	Derived rules	8
2.1.3	F is a BNF	9
2.1.4	Composition witness	10
2.2	Second abstract BNF_{CC}	11
2.2.1	Axioms and basic definitions	11
2.2.2	Derived rules	13
2.2.3	G is a BNF	14
2.2.4	Composition witness	15
3	Simple operations: demotion, merging, composition	15
3.1	Composition in a live position	16
3.2	Composition in a covariant position	21
3.3	Composition in a contravariant position	25
3.4	Composition in a fixed position	30
4	Least and greatest fixpoints	33
4.1	Least fixpoint	33
4.1.1	BNF_{CC} structure	33
4.1.2	Parametricity laws	38
4.2	Greatest fixpoints	38
4.2.1	BNF_{CC} structure	38
4.2.2	Parametricity laws	42
5	Subtypes	43
5.1	BNF_{CC} structure	43
5.2	Closedness under zippings	47
5.3	Subtypes of BNFs without co- and contravariance	49
6	Quotient preservation	51
7	Concrete BNF_{CCs}	51
7.1	Function space	51
7.2	Covariant powerset	53
7.3	Bounded sets	53
7.4	Contravariant powerset (sets as predicates)	54
7.5	Filter	56
7.6	Almost-everywhere equal sequences	58

8	Example: deterministic discrete system	59
8.1	Definition and generalised mapper and relator	59
8.2	Evenness of partial sums	60
8.3	Composition	60
8.4	Graph traversal: refinement and quotients	61
8.5	Generalised rewriting	63

1 Preliminaries

theory *Preliminaries* **imports**

Main

begin

alias *Grp* = *BNF-Def.Grp*

alias *vimage2p* = *BNF-Def.vimage2p*

lemma *Domainp-conversep*: $\text{Domainp } R^{-1-1} = \text{Rangep } R$
<proof>

lemma *Grp-apply*: $\text{Grp } A \ f \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow y = f \ x \wedge x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *conversep-Grp-id*: $(\text{Grp } A \ \text{id})^{-1-1} = \text{Grp } A \ \text{id}$
<proof>

lemma *eq-onp-compp-Grp*: $\text{eq-onp } P \ \text{OO } \text{Grp } A \ f = \text{Grp } (\text{Collect } P \cap A) \ f$
<proof>

consts *relcompp-witness* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \times 'c \Rightarrow 'b$

specification (*relcompp-witness*)

relcompp-witness1: $(A \ \text{OO } B) \ (\text{fst } xy) \ (\text{snd } xy) \Longrightarrow A \ (\text{fst } xy) \ (\text{relcompp-witness } A \ B \ xy)$

relcompp-witness2: $(A \ \text{OO } B) \ (\text{fst } xy) \ (\text{snd } xy) \Longrightarrow B \ (\text{relcompp-witness } A \ B \ xy) \ (\text{snd } xy)$
<proof>

lemmas *relcompp-witness*[*of - - (x, y) for x y, simplified*] = *relcompp-witness1*
relcompp-witness2

hide-fact (**open**) *relcompp-witness1* *relcompp-witness2*

lemma *relcompp-witness-eq* [*simp*]: *relcompp-witness* (=) (=) $(x, x) = x$
<proof>

lemma *Quotient-equiv-abs1*: $\llbracket \text{Quotient } R \ \text{Abs } \text{Rep } T; R \ x \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T \ x \ (\text{Abs } y)$
<proof>

lemma *Quotient-equiv-abs2*: $\llbracket \text{Quotient } R \ \text{Abs } \text{Rep } T; R \ x \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T \ y \ (\text{Abs } x)$
<proof>

lemma *Quotient-rep-equiv1*: $\llbracket \text{Quotient } R \ \text{Abs } \text{Rep } T; T \ a \ b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R \ a \ (\text{Rep } b)$
<proof>

lemma *Quotient-rep-equiv2*: $\llbracket \text{Quotient } R \text{ Abs Rep } T; T \text{ a } b \rrbracket \implies R (\text{Rep } b) a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

2 Axiomatisation

theory *Axiomatised-BNF-CC* **imports**

Preliminaries

HOL-Library.Rewrite

begin

unbundle *cardinal-syntax*

This theory axiomatises two BNF_{CCS} , which will be used to demonstrate the closedness of BNF_{CCS} under various operations.

2.1 First abstract BNF_{CC}

2.1.1 Axioms and basic definitions

typedecl (*'l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f*) *F*

F has each three live, co-, and contravariant parameters, and one fixed parameter.

consts

rel-F :: (*'l1* \Rightarrow *'l1'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow (*'l2* \Rightarrow *'l2'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow (*'l3* \Rightarrow *'l3'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow
 (*'co1* \Rightarrow *'co1'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow (*'co2* \Rightarrow *'co2'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow (*'co3* \Rightarrow *'co3'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow
 (*'contra1* \Rightarrow *'contra1'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow (*'contra2* \Rightarrow *'contra2'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow
 (*'contra3* \Rightarrow *'contra3'* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow
 (*'l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f*) *F* \Rightarrow
 (*'l1', 'l2', 'l3', 'co1', 'co2', 'co3', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'contra3', 'f*) *F* \Rightarrow *bool*
map-F :: (*'l1* \Rightarrow *'l1'*) \Rightarrow (*'l2* \Rightarrow *'l2'*) \Rightarrow (*'l3* \Rightarrow *'l3'*) \Rightarrow
 (*'co1* \Rightarrow *'co1'*) \Rightarrow (*'co2* \Rightarrow *'co2'*) \Rightarrow (*'co3* \Rightarrow *'co3'*) \Rightarrow
 (*'contra1'* \Rightarrow *'contra1'*) \Rightarrow (*'contra2'* \Rightarrow *'contra2'*) \Rightarrow (*'contra3'* \Rightarrow *'contra3'*) \Rightarrow
 (*'l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f*) *F* \Rightarrow
 (*'l1', 'l2', 'l3', 'co1', 'co2', 'co3', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'contra3', 'f*) *F*

axiomatization where

rel-F-mono [*mono*]:

$\bigwedge L1 \ L1' \ L2 \ L2' \ L3 \ L3' \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Co3 \ Co3'$

Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra2* *Contra2'* *Contra3* *Contra3'*.

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; L3 \leq L3'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3';$

Contra1' \leq *Contra1*; *Contra2'* \leq *Contra2*; *Contra3'* \leq *Contra3* $\rrbracket \implies$

rel-F *L1* *L2* *L3* *Co1* *Co2* *Co3* *Contra1* *Contra2* *Contra3* \leq

rel-F *L1'* *L2'* *L3'* *Co1'* *Co2'* *Co3'* *Contra1'* *Contra2'* *Contra3'* **and**

rel-F-eq: *rel-F* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) **and**

rel-F-conversep: $\bigwedge L1 \ L2 \ L3 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \ Contra3$.

$rel-F L1^{-1-1} L2^{-1-1} L3^{-1-1} Co1^{-1-1} Co2^{-1-1} Co3^{-1-1} Contra1^{-1-1} Contra2^{-1-1} Contra3^{-1-1} =$
 $(rel-F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3)^{-1-1}$ **and**
 $map-F-id0: map-F id id id id id id id id id = id$ **and**
 $map-F-comp: \bigwedge l1 l1' l2 l2' l3 l3' co1 co1' co2 co2' co3 co3'$
 $contra1 contra1' contra2 contra2' contra3 contra3'.$
 $map-F l1 l2 l3 co1 co2 co3 contra1 contra2 contra3 \circ$
 $map-F l1' l2' l3' co1' co2' co3' contra1' contra2' contra3' =$
 $map-F (l1 \circ l1') (l2 \circ l2') (l3 \circ l3') (co1 \circ co1') (co2 \circ co2') (co3 \circ co3')$
 $(contra1' \circ contra1) (contra2' \circ contra2) (contra3' \circ contra3)$ **and**
 $map-F-parametric:$
 $\bigwedge L1 L1' L2 L2' L3 L3' Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$
 $Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'.$
 $rel-fun (rel-fun L1 L1') (rel-fun (rel-fun L2 L2')) (rel-fun (rel-fun L3 L3'))$
 $(rel-fun (rel-fun Co1 Co1')) (rel-fun (rel-fun Co2 Co2')) (rel-fun (rel-fun Co3 Co3'))$
 $(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1)) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2))$
 $(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra3' Contra3))$
 $(rel-fun (rel-F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3))$
 $(rel-F L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'))))))))$
 $map-F map-F$

definition $rel-F-pos-distr-cond :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra3 \Rightarrow 'contra3' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra3' \Rightarrow 'contra3'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'l3 \times 'l3' \times 'l3'' \times 'f) itself \Rightarrow bool$

where

$rel-F-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$
 $Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' - \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow bool) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool)$
 $(L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow bool) (L2' :: 'l2' \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow bool)$
 $(L3 :: 'l3 \Rightarrow 'l3' \Rightarrow bool) (L3' :: 'l3' \Rightarrow 'l3'' \Rightarrow bool).$
 $(rel-F L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 ::$
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F \Rightarrow -) OO$
 $rel-F L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' \leq$
 $rel-F (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (L3 OO L3') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')$
 $(Co3 OO Co3')$
 $(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3'))$

definition $rel-F-neg-distr-cond :: ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$

$(\text{'contra3} \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'l2} \times \text{'l2}' \times \text{'l2}'' \times \text{'l3} \times \text{'l3}' \times \text{'l3}'' \times \text{'f}) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{rel-F-neg-distr-cond } \text{Co1 } \text{Co1}' \text{ Co2 } \text{Co2}' \text{ Co3 } \text{Co3}'$
 $\text{Contra1 } \text{Contra1}' \text{ Contra2 } \text{Contra2}' \text{ Contra3 } \text{Contra3}' - \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: \text{'l1} \Rightarrow \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{'l1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $(L2 :: \text{'l2} \Rightarrow \text{'l2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: \text{'l2}' \Rightarrow \text{'l2}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $(L3 :: \text{'l3} \Rightarrow \text{'l3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L3' :: \text{'l3}' \Rightarrow \text{'l3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $\text{rel-F } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (L2 \text{ OO } L2') (L3 \text{ OO } L3') (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2')$
 $(Co3 \text{ OO } Co3')$
 $(\text{Contra1 OO Contra1}') (\text{Contra2 OO Contra2}') (\text{Contra3 OO Contra3}') \leq$
 $(\text{rel-F } L1 \text{ L2 } L3 \text{ Co1 } \text{Co2 } \text{Co3 } \text{Contra1 } \text{Contra2 } \text{Contra3} ::$
 $(\neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \text{'f}) \text{ F} \Rightarrow \neg) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-F } L1' \text{ L2}' \text{ L3}' \text{ Co1}' \text{ Co2}' \text{ Co3}' \text{ Contra1}' \text{ Contra2}' \text{ Contra3}'$

axiomatization where

$\text{rel-F-pos-distr-cond-eq:}$
 $\bigwedge \text{tytok. rel-F-pos-distr-cond } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$
 tytok
and
 $\text{rel-F-neg-distr-cond-eq:}$
 $\bigwedge \text{tytok. rel-F-neg-distr-cond } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$
 tytok

Restrictions to live variables.

definition $\text{rell-F } L1 \text{ L2 } L3 = \text{rel-F } L1 \text{ L2 } L3 (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$

definition $\text{mapl-F } l1 \text{ l2 } l3 = \text{map-F } l1 \text{ l2 } l3 \text{ id id id id id id}$

typedecl $(\text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ Fbd}$

consts

$\text{set1-F} :: (\text{'l1}, \text{'l2}, \text{'l3}, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ F} \Rightarrow \text{'l1}$
 set
 $\text{set2-F} :: (\text{'l1}, \text{'l2}, \text{'l3}, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ F} \Rightarrow \text{'l2}$
 set
 $\text{set3-F} :: (\text{'l1}, \text{'l2}, \text{'l3}, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ F} \Rightarrow \text{'l3}$
 set
 $\text{bd-F} :: (\text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ Fbd rel}$

axiomatization where

$\text{set1-F-map: } \bigwedge l1 \text{ l2 } l3. \text{set1-F} \circ \text{mapl-F } l1 \text{ l2 } l3 = \text{image } l1 \circ \text{set1-F}$ **and**
 $\text{set2-F-map: } \bigwedge l1 \text{ l2 } l3. \text{set2-F} \circ \text{mapl-F } l1 \text{ l2 } l3 = \text{image } l2 \circ \text{set2-F}$ **and**
 $\text{set3-F-map: } \bigwedge l1 \text{ l2 } l3. \text{set3-F} \circ \text{mapl-F } l1 \text{ l2 } l3 = \text{image } l3 \circ \text{set3-F}$ **and**
 $\text{bd-F-card-order: card-order bd-F}$ **and**
 $\text{bd-F-cinfinite: cinfinite bd-F}$ **and**
 $\text{set1-F-bound: } \bigwedge x :: (\neg, \neg, \neg, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ F.}$
 $\text{card-of } (\text{set1-F } x) \leq o (\text{bd-F} :: (\text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3},$
 $\text{'f}) \text{ Fbd rel})$ **and**
 $\text{set2-F-bound: } \bigwedge x :: (\neg, \neg, \neg, \text{'co1}, \text{'co2}, \text{'co3}, \text{'contra1}, \text{'contra2}, \text{'contra3}, \text{'f}) \text{ F.}$

$\text{card-of } (\text{set2-F } x) \leq o \text{ (bd-F :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3,$
'f) Fbd rel) and
 $\text{set3-F-bound: } \bigwedge x :: (-, -, \neg, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F.$
 $\text{card-of } (\text{set3-F } x) \leq o \text{ (bd-F :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3,$
'f) Fbd rel) and
 $\text{mapl-F-cong: } \bigwedge l1 \ l1' \ l2 \ l2' \ l3 \ l3' \ x.$
 $\llbracket \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set1-F } x \implies l1 \ z = l1' \ z; \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set2-F } x \implies l2 \ z = l2' \ z;$
 $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set3-F } x \implies l3 \ z = l3' \ z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mapl-F } l1 \ l2 \ l3 \ x = \text{mapl-F } l1' \ l2' \ l3' \ x \text{ and}$
 $\text{rell-F-mono-strong: } \bigwedge L1 \ L1' \ L2 \ L2' \ L3 \ L3' \ x \ y.$
 $\llbracket \text{rell-F } L1 \ L2 \ L3 \ x \ y;$
 $\bigwedge a \ b. a \in \text{set1-F } x \implies b \in \text{set1-F } y \implies L1 \ a \ b \implies L1' \ a \ b;$
 $\bigwedge a \ b. a \in \text{set2-F } x \implies b \in \text{set2-F } y \implies L2 \ a \ b \implies L2' \ a \ b;$
 $\bigwedge a \ b. a \in \text{set3-F } x \implies b \in \text{set3-F } y \implies L3 \ a \ b \implies L3' \ a \ b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rell-F } L1' \ L2' \ L3' \ x \ y$

2.1.2 Derived rules

lemmas $\text{rel-F-mono}' = \text{rel-F-mono}[\text{THEN predicate2D, rotated } -1]$

lemma $\text{rel-F-eq-refl: rel-F } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) x \ x$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma $\text{map-F-id: map-F id id id id id id id id id id } x = x$
\langle proof \rangle

lemmas $\text{map-F-rel-cong} = \text{map-F-parametric}[\text{unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format, rotated } -1]$

lemma $\text{rell-F-mono: } \llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; L3 \leq L3' \rrbracket \implies \text{rell-F } L1 \ L2 \ L3 \leq$
 $\text{rell-F } L1' \ L2' \ L3'$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma $\text{mapl-F-id0: mapl-F id id id} = \text{id}$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma $\text{mapl-F-id: mapl-F id id id } x = x$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma $\text{mapl-F-comp: mapl-F } l1 \ l2 \ l3 \circ \text{mapl-F } l1' \ l2' \ l3' = \text{mapl-F } (l1 \circ l1') \ (l2$
 $\circ l2') \ (l3 \circ l3')$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma $\text{map-F-mapl-F: map-F } l1 \ l2 \ l3 \ co1 \ co2 \ co3 \ contra1 \ contra2 \ contra3 \ x =$
 $\text{map-F id id id } co1 \ co2 \ co3 \ contra1 \ contra2 \ contra3 \ (\text{mapl-F } l1 \ l2 \ l3 \ x)$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma $\text{mapl-F-map-F: mapl-F } l1 \ l2 \ l3 \ (\text{map-F id id id } co1 \ co2 \ co3 \ contra1 \ contra2$
 $\text{contra3 } x) =$

$map-F\ l1\ l2\ l3\ co1\ co2\ co3\ contra1\ contra2\ contra3\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Parametric mappers are unique:

lemma *rel-F-Grp-weak*: $rel-F\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l1)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l2)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l3)$
 $(Grp\ UNIV\ co1)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ co2)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ co3)$
 $(Grp\ UNIV\ contra1)^{-1-1}\ (Grp\ UNIV\ contra2)^{-1-1}\ (Grp\ UNIV\ contra3)^{-1-1}$
 $=$
 $Grp\ UNIV\ (map-F\ l1\ l2\ l3\ co1\ co2\ co3\ contra1\ contra2\ contra3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas

$rel-F-pos-distr = rel-F-pos-distr-cond-def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule-format]$ **and**
 $rel-F-neg-distr = rel-F-neg-distr-cond-def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule-format]$

lemma *rell-F-compp*:

$rell-F\ (L1\ OO\ L1')\ (L2\ OO\ L2')\ (L3\ OO\ L3') = rell-F\ L1\ L2\ L3\ OO\ rell-F\ L1'$
 $L2'\ L3'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.3 F is a BNF

lemma *rell-F-eq-onp*: $rell-F\ (eq-onp\ P1)\ (eq-onp\ P2)\ (eq-onp\ P3) =$
 $eq-onp\ (\lambda x. (\forall z \in set1-F\ x. P1\ z) \wedge (\forall z \in set2-F\ x. P2\ z) \wedge (\forall z \in set3-F\ x. P3\ z))$
 $(is\ ?rel-eq-onp = ?eq-onp-pred)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rell-F-Grp*: $rell-F\ (Grp\ A1\ f1)\ (Grp\ A2\ f2)\ (Grp\ A3\ f3) =$
 $Grp\ \{x. set1-F\ x \subseteq A1 \wedge set2-F\ x \subseteq A2 \wedge set3-F\ x \subseteq A3\}\ (mapl-F\ f1\ f2\ f3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rell-F-compp-Grp*: $rell-F\ L1\ L2\ L3 =$
 $(Grp\ \{x. set1-F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge set2-F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\} \wedge set3-F\ x$
 $\subseteq \{(x, y). L3\ x\ y\}\}$
 $(mapl-F\ fst\ fst\ fst)^{-1-1}\ OO$
 $Grp\ \{x. set1-F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge set2-F\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\} \wedge set3-F\ x$
 $\subseteq \{(x, y). L3\ x\ y\}\}$
 $(mapl-F\ snd\ snd\ snd)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *F-in-rell*: $rell-F\ L1\ L2\ L3 = (\lambda x\ y. \exists z. (set1-F\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge$
 $set2-F\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\} \wedge set3-F\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L3\ x\ y\}) \wedge$
 $mapl-F\ fst\ fst\ fst\ z = x \wedge mapl-F\ snd\ snd\ snd\ z = y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

bnf $(l1, l2, l3, co1, co2, co3, contra1, contra2, contra3, f)\ F$
 $map: mapl-F$
 $sets: set1-F\ set2-F\ set3-F$
 $bd: bd-F :: (co1, co2, co3, contra1, contra2, contra3, f)\ Fbd\ rel$

rel: *rell-F*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

2.1.4 Composition witness

consts

rel-F-witness :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('l2 ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('l3 ⇒ 'l3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1'' ⇒ 'co1''' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2'' ⇒ 'co2''' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('co3 ⇒ 'co3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co3'' ⇒ 'co3''' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1'' ⇒ 'contra1''' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2'' ⇒ 'contra2''' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3'' ⇒ 'contra3''' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'f) F ×
 ('l1'', 'l2'', 'l3'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'co3'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'contra3'', 'f) F ⇒
 ('l1 × 'l1'', 'l2 × 'l2'', 'l3 × 'l3'', 'co1', 'co2', 'co3', 'contra1', 'contra2',
 'contra3',
 'f) F

specification (*rel-F-witness*)

rel-F-witness1: $\bigwedge L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$
 Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'
 (tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' ×
 'l3 × ('l3 × 'l3'') × 'l3'' × 'f) itself)
 (x :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F)
 (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', 'l3'', -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F).
 \llbracket *rel-F-neg-distr-cond* Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
 Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' tytok;
rel-F L1 L2 L3 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
 (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
 x y \rrbracket ⇒
rel-F (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L1 x y) (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L2 x y)
 (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L3 x y) Co1 Co2 Co3 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 x
 (*rel-F-witness* L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
 Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' (x, y))
rel-F-witness2: $\bigwedge L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'$
 Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3'
 (tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' ×
 'l3 × ('l3 × 'l3'') × 'l3'' × 'f) itself)
 (x :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F)
 (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', 'l3'', -, -, -, -, -, 'f) F).
 \llbracket *rel-F-neg-distr-cond* Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3'
 Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' tytok;
rel-F L1 L2 L3 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')
 (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
 x y \rrbracket ⇒
rel-F (λ(x, y') y. y' = y ∧ L1 x y) (λ(x, y') y. y' = y ∧ L2 x y)
 (λ(x, y') y. y' = y ∧ L3 x y) Co1' Co2' Co3' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'

(*rel-F-witness* $L1\ L2\ L3\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Co3\ Co3'$
 $Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'\ Contra3\ Contra3'$ (x, y)) y
 ⟨*proof*⟩

2.2 Second abstract BNF_{CC}

2.2.1 Axioms and basic definitions

typeddecl ($'l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f$) G

G has each two live, co, and contravariant parameters, and one fixed parameter.

consts

$rel-G :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G \Rightarrow$
 $('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) G \Rightarrow bool$
 $map-G :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1') \Rightarrow ('l2 \Rightarrow 'l2') \Rightarrow$
 $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1') \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2') \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2) \Rightarrow$
 $('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G \Rightarrow$
 $('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) G$

axiomatization where

rel-G-mono [*mono*]:

$\bigwedge L1\ L1'\ L2\ L2'\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$
 $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1;$
 $Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $rel-G\ L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2 \leq rel-G\ L1'\ L2'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'$
 $Contra2'$ **and**

rel-G-eq: $rel-G\ (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$ **and**

rel-G-conversep: $\bigwedge L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2$.

$rel-G\ L1^{-1-1}\ L2^{-1-1}\ Co1^{-1-1}\ Co2^{-1-1}\ Contra1^{-1-1}\ Contra2^{-1-1} = (rel-G\ L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2)^{-1-1}$ **and**

map-G-id0: $map-G\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id = id$ **and**

map-G-comp: $\bigwedge l1\ l1'\ l2\ l2'\ co1\ co1'\ co2\ co2'\ contra1\ contra1'\ contra2\ contra2'$.

$map-G\ l1\ l2\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2 \circ map-G\ l1'\ l2'\ co1'\ co2'\ contra1'\ contra2'$
 =

$map-G\ (l1 \circ l1')\ (l2 \circ l2')\ (co1 \circ co1')\ (co2 \circ co2')$
 $(contra1' \circ contra1)\ (contra2' \circ contra2)$ **and**

map-G-parametric:

$\bigwedge L1\ L1'\ L2\ L2'\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$.

$rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ L1\ L1')\ (rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ L2\ L2')$
 $(rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Co1\ Co1')\ (rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Co2\ Co2')$
 $(rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Contra1'\ Contra1)\ (rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Contra2'\ Contra2)$
 $(rel-fun\ (rel-G\ L1\ L2\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2)$
 $(rel-G\ L1'\ L2'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'))))))))$
 $map-G\ map-G$

definition *rel-G-pos-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself ⇒ bool **where**
rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
←→
(∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool)
(L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2' ⇒ bool) (L2' :: 'l2' ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool).
(*rel-G* L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) G ⇒ -) OO
rel-G L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' ≤
rel-G (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2'))

definition *rel-G-neg-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself ⇒ bool **where**
rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
←→
(∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool)
(L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2' ⇒ bool) (L2' :: 'l2' ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool).
rel-G (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') ≤
(*rel-G* L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) G ⇒ -) OO
rel-G L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')

axiomatization where

rel-G-pos-distr-cond-eq:

∧ *tytok*. *rel-G-pos-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok* **and**

rel-G-neg-distr-cond-eq:

∧ *tytok*. *rel-G-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*

Restrictions to live variables.

definition *rell-G* L1 L2 = *rel-G* L1 L2 (=) (=) (=) (=)

definition *mapl-G* l1 l2 = *map-G* l1 l2 id id id id

typedecl ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd

consts

set1-G :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G ⇒ 'l1 set

set2-G :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G ⇒ 'l2 set

bd-G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd rel

wit-G :: 'l2 ⇒ ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G

— non-emptiness witness for least fixpoint

axiomatization where

set1-G-map: $\bigwedge l1\ l2. \text{set1-G} \circ \text{mapl-G } l1\ l2 = \text{image } l1 \circ \text{set1-G}$ **and**

set2-G-map: $\bigwedge l1\ l2. \text{set2-G} \circ \text{mapl-G } l1\ l2 = \text{image } l2 \circ \text{set2-G}$ **and**

bd-G-card-order: *card-order* *bd-G* **and**

bd-G-cinfinite: *cinfinite* *bd-G* **and**

set1-G-bound: $\bigwedge x :: (_, _, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G.$

card-of (*set1-G* *x*) $\leq o$ (*bd-G* :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) *Gbd rel*) **and**

set2-G-bound: $\bigwedge x :: (_, _, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G.$

card-of (*set2-G* *x*) $\leq o$ (*bd-G* :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) *Gbd rel*) **and**

mapl-G-cong: $\bigwedge l1\ l1'\ l2\ l2'\ l3\ l3' x.$

$\llbracket \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set1-G } x \implies l1\ z = l1'\ z; \bigwedge z. z \in \text{set2-G } x \implies l2\ z = l2'\ z \rrbracket \implies$

mapl-G *l1* *l2* *x* = *mapl-G* *l1'* *l2'* *x* **and**

rell-G-mono-strong: $\bigwedge L1\ L1'\ L2\ L2' x\ y.$

$\llbracket \text{rell-G } L1\ L2\ x\ y;$

$\bigwedge a\ b. a \in \text{set1-G } x \implies b \in \text{set1-G } y \implies L1\ a\ b \implies L1'\ a\ b;$

$\bigwedge a\ b. a \in \text{set2-G } x \implies b \in \text{set2-G } y \implies L2\ a\ b \implies L2'\ a\ b \rrbracket \implies$

rell-G *L1'* *L2'* *x* *y* **and**

wit-G-set1: $\bigwedge l2\ x. x \in \text{set1-G } (\text{wit-G } l2) \implies \text{False}$ **and**

wit-G-set2: $\bigwedge l2\ x. x \in \text{set2-G } (\text{wit-G } l2) \implies x = l2$

2.2.2 Derived rules

lemmas *rel-G-mono'* = *rel-G-mono*[*THEN predicate2D, rotated -1*]

lemma *rel-G-eq-refl*: *rel-G* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *x x*
(*proof*)

lemma *map-G-id*: *map-G id id id id id id x = x*
(*proof*)

lemmas *map-G-rel-cong* = *map-G-parametric*[*unfolded rel-fun-def, rule-format, rotated -1*]

lemma *rell-G-mono*: $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2' \rrbracket \implies \text{rell-G } L1\ L2 \leq \text{rell-G } L1'\ L2'$
(*proof*)

lemma *mapl-G-id0*: *mapl-G id id = id*
(*proof*)

lemma *mapl-G-id*: *mapl-G id id x = x*
(*proof*)

lemma *mapl-G-comp*: *mapl-G* *l1* *l2* \circ *mapl-G* *l1'* *l2'* = *mapl-G* (*l1* \circ *l1'*) (*l2* \circ *l2'*)
(*proof*)

lemma *map-G-mapl-G*:

map-G *l1* *l2* *co1* *co2* *contra1* *contra2* *x* = *map-G id id co1 co2 contra1 contra2*
(*mapl-G* *l1* *l2* *x*)

<proof>

lemma *mapl-G-map-G:*

$mapl-G\ l1\ l2\ (map-G\ id\ id\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2\ x) = map-G\ l1\ l2\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2\ x$

<proof>

Parametric mappers are unique:

lemma *rel-G-Grp-weak:* $rel-G\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l1)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ l2)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ co1)\ (Grp\ UNIV\ co2)$

$(Grp\ UNIV\ contra1)^{-1-1}\ (Grp\ UNIV\ contra2)^{-1-1} = Grp\ UNIV\ (map-G\ l1\ l2\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2)$

<proof>

lemmas

$rel-G-pos-distr = rel-G-pos-distr-cond-def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule-format]$ **and**

$rel-G-neg-distr = rel-G-neg-distr-cond-def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule-format]$

lemma *rell-G-compp:*

$rell-G\ (L1\ OO\ L1')\ (L2\ OO\ L2') = rell-G\ L1\ L2\ OO\ rell-G\ L1'\ L2'$

<proof>

2.2.3 G is a BNF

lemma *rell-G-eq-onp:*

$rell-G\ (eq-onp\ P1)\ (eq-onp\ P2) = eq-onp\ (\lambda x. (\forall z \in set1-G\ x. P1\ z) \wedge (\forall z \in set2-G\ x. P2\ z))$

(**is** $?rel-eq-onp = ?eq-onp-pred$)

<proof>

lemma *rell-G-Grp:*

$rell-G\ (Grp\ A1\ f1)\ (Grp\ A2\ f2) = Grp\ \{x. set1-G\ x \subseteq A1 \wedge set2-G\ x \subseteq A2\}$

$(mapl-G\ f1\ f2)$

<proof>

lemma *rell-G-compp-Grp:* $rell-G\ L1\ L2 =$

$(Grp\ \{x. set1-G\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge set2-G\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\}\} (mapl-G\ fst\ fst))^{-1-1}\ OO$

$Grp\ \{x. set1-G\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge set2-G\ x \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\}\} (mapl-G\ snd\ snd)$

<proof>

lemma *G-in-rell:* $rell-G\ L1\ L2 = (\lambda x\ y. \exists z. (set1-G\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L1\ x\ y\} \wedge$

$set2-G\ z \subseteq \{(x, y). L2\ x\ y\}) \wedge mapl-G\ fst\ fst\ z = x \wedge mapl-G\ snd\ snd\ z = y)$

<proof>

bnf (*'l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f*) *G*

map: $mapl-G$

sets: $set1-G\ set2-G$

```

bd: bd-G :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) Gbd rel
wits: wit-G
rel: rel-G
⟨proof⟩

```

2.2.4 Composition witness

consts

```

rel-G-witness :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('l2 ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) G ×
('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) G ⇒
('l1 × 'l1'', 'l2 × 'l2'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) G

```

specification (*rel-G-witness*)

```

rel-G-witness1: ∧L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' × 'f) itself)
(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) G) (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) G).
[[ rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
tytok;
rel-G L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2
OO Contra2') x y ]] ⇒
rel-G (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L1 x y) (λx (x', y). x' = x ∧ L2 x y) Co1 Co2
Contra1 Contra2 x
(rel-G-witness L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(x, y))
rel-G-witness2: ∧L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(tytok :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' × 'f) itself)
(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) G) (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) G).
[[ rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
tytok;
rel-G L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2
OO Contra2') x y ]] ⇒
rel-G (λ(x, y') y. y' = y ∧ L1 x y) (λ(x, y') y. y' = y ∧ L2 x y) Co1' Co2'
Contra1' Contra2'
(rel-G-witness L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(x, y)) y
⟨proof⟩

```

end

3 Simple operations: demotion, merging, composition

theory *Composition* imports

Axiomatised-BNF-CC

begin

We illustrate the composition of BNF_{CCs} with one example for each kind of parameters (live/co-/contravariant/fixed). We do not show demotion and merging in isolation, as the examples for composition use these operations, too.

3.1 Composition in a live position

type-synonym

$(l1, l2, l3, co1, co2, co3, co4, contra1, contra2, contra3, contra4, f1, f2)$ $\text{FGL} =$
 $((l1, l2, co1, co2, contra1, contra2, f1) G,$
 $l1, l3, co1, co3, co4, contra1, contra3, contra4, f2) F$

The type variables $l1, co1$ and $contra1$ have each been merged.

definition $\text{rel-FGL } L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 =$
 $=$
 $\text{rel-F } (\text{rel-G } L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 L3 Co1 Co3 Co4 Contra1$
 $Contra3 Contra4$

definition $\text{map-FGL } l1 l2 l3 co1 co2 co3 co4 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 =$
 $\text{map-F } (\text{map-G } l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2) l1 l3 co1 co3 co4 contra1 contra3$
 $contra4$

lemma rel-FGL-mono :

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; L3 \leq L3'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3'; Co4 \leq Co4';$
 $Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2; Contra3' \leq Contra3; Contra4' \leq$
 $Contra4 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rel-FGL } L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 \leq$
 $\text{rel-FGL } L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Contra4'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-FGL-eq : $\text{rel-FGL } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-FGL-conversep :

$\text{rel-FGL } L1^{-1-1} L2^{-1-1} L3^{-1-1} Co1^{-1-1} Co2^{-1-1} Co3^{-1-1} Co4^{-1-1} Con-$
 $tra1^{-1-1} Contra2^{-1-1} Contra3^{-1-1} Contra4^{-1-1} =$
 $(\text{rel-FGL } L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4)^{-1-1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma map-FGL-id0 : $\text{map-FGL } id id id id id id id id id id id id = id$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma map-FGL-comp : $\text{map-FGL } l1 l2 l3 co1 co2 co3 co4 contra1 contra2 contra3$
 $contra4 \circ$

$\text{map-FGl } l1' \ l2' \ l3' \ co1' \ co2' \ co3' \ co4' \ \text{contra1}' \ \text{contra2}' \ \text{contra3}' \ \text{contra4}' =$
 $\text{map-FGl } (l1 \circ l1') \ (l2 \circ l2') \ (l3 \circ l3') \ (co1 \circ co1') \ (co2 \circ co2') \ (co3 \circ co3')$
 $(co4 \circ co4')$
 $(\text{contra1}' \circ \text{contra1}) \ (\text{contra2}' \circ \text{contra2}) \ (\text{contra3}' \circ \text{contra3}) \ (\text{contra4}' \circ$
 $\text{contra4})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-FGl-parametric*:

$\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L1 \ L1') \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L2 \ L2') \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L3 \ L3')$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co1 \ Co1') \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co2 \ Co2')$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co3 \ Co3') \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co4 \ Co4')$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra1' \ Contra1) \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra2' \ Contra2)$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra3' \ Contra3) \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra4' \ Contra4)$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-FGl } L1 \ L2 \ L3 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Co4 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \ Contra3 \ Contra4)$
 $(\text{rel-FGl } L1' \ L2' \ L3' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Co3' \ Co4' \ Contra1' \ Contra2' \ Contra3' \ Con-$
 $\text{tra4}') \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{)))))) \ \text{))))))$
 $\text{map-FGl } \text{map-FGl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond* :: $(co1 \Rightarrow co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co1' \Rightarrow co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $bool) \Rightarrow$
 $(co2 \Rightarrow co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co2' \Rightarrow co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(co3 \Rightarrow co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co3' \Rightarrow co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(co4 \Rightarrow co4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co4' \Rightarrow co4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra1 \Rightarrow contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra1' \Rightarrow contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra2 \Rightarrow contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra2' \Rightarrow contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra3 \Rightarrow contra3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra3' \Rightarrow contra3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra4 \Rightarrow contra4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra4' \Rightarrow contra4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times l2 \times l2' \times l2'' \times l3 \times l3' \times l3'' \times f1 \times f2) \ \text{itself}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond } Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Co3 \ Co3' \ Co4 \ Co4'$
 $\text{Contra1 } \text{Contra1}' \ \text{Contra2 } \text{Contra2}' \ \text{Contra3 } \text{Contra3}' \ \text{Contra4 } \text{Contra4}' \ - \ \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \ (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $(L2 :: l2 \Rightarrow l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \ (L2' :: l2' \Rightarrow l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $(L3 :: l3 \Rightarrow l3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \ (L3' :: l3' \Rightarrow l3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $(\text{rel-FGl } L1 \ L2 \ L3 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Co4 \ \text{Contra1 } \text{Contra2 } \text{Contra3 } \text{Contra4} ::$
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, f1, f2) \ \text{FGl } \Rightarrow -) \ \text{OO}$
 $\text{rel-FGl } L1' \ L2' \ L3' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Co3' \ Co4' \ \text{Contra1}' \ \text{Contra2}' \ \text{Contra3}'$
 $\text{Contra4}' \leq$
 $\text{rel-FGl } (L1 \ \text{OO } L1') \ (L2 \ \text{OO } L2') \ (L3 \ \text{OO } L3') \ (Co1 \ \text{OO } Co1') \ (Co2 \ \text{OO}$
 $Co2') \ (Co3 \ \text{OO } Co3') \ (Co4 \ \text{OO } Co4')$
 $(\text{Contra1} \ \text{OO } \text{Contra1}') \ (\text{Contra2} \ \text{OO } \text{Contra2}') \ (\text{Contra3} \ \text{OO } \text{Contra3}')$
 $(\text{Contra4} \ \text{OO } \text{Contra4}')$

definition *rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond* :: $(co1 \Rightarrow co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co1' \Rightarrow co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $bool) \Rightarrow$
 $(co2 \Rightarrow co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co2' \Rightarrow co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(co3 \Rightarrow co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co3' \Rightarrow co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$

```

('co4 ⇒ 'co4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co4' ⇒ 'co4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra3 ⇒ 'contra3' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra3' ⇒ 'contra3'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra4 ⇒ 'contra4' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra4' ⇒ 'contra4'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' × 'f1 × 'f2) itself
⇒ bool
where
rel-FGL-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' - <→
(∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool)
  (L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2' ⇒ bool) (L2' :: 'l2' ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool)
  (L3 :: 'l3 ⇒ 'l3' ⇒ bool) (L3' :: 'l3' ⇒ 'l3'' ⇒ bool).
rel-FGL (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (L3 OO L3')
  (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3') (Co4 OO Co4')
  (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')
(Contra4 OO Contra4') ≤
  (rel-FGL L1 L2 L3 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 ::
    (¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, ¬, 'f1, 'f2) FGL ⇒ ¬) OO
    rel-FGL L1' L2' L3' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'
    Contra4')

```

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

lemma *rel-FGL-pos-distr-imp*:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
and tytok-F :: (('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) G ×
  ('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f1) G ×
  ('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f1) G ×
  'l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' × 'f1) itself
and tytok-G :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f1) itself
and tytok-FGL :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' ×
  'f1 × 'f2) itself
assumes rel-F-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-F
and rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-G
shows rel-FGL-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-FGL
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-FGL-neg-distr-imp*:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool and Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool and Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒

```

```

'contra1'' ⇒ bool
  and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒
'contra2'' ⇒ bool
  and tytok-F :: (('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) G ×
('l1', 'l2', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f1) G ×
('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f1) G ×
'l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' × 'f2) itself
  and tytok-G :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f1) itself
  and tytok-FGl :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' ×
'f1 × 'f2) itself
assumes rel-F-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-F
  and rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Con-
tra2' tytok-G
shows rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4'
  Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-FGl
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond-eq*:

```

fixes tytok :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' ×
'f1 × 'f2) itself
shows rel-FGl-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond-eq*:

```

fixes tytok :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'l3 × 'l3' × 'l3'' ×
'f1 × 'f2) itself
shows rel-FGl-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok
⟨proof⟩

```

definition *rell-FGl L1 L2 L3 = rel-FGl L1 L2 L3 (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)*
(=)

definition *mapl-FGl l1 l2 l3 = map-FGl l1 l2 l3 id id id id id id id id*

type-synonym ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGlbd =
('co1, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) Fbd ×
('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) Gbd +
('co1, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) Fbd

definition *set1-FGl* :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4,
'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGl ⇒ 'l1 set **where**
set1-FGl x = (⋃ y∈set1-F x. set1-G y) ∪ set2-F x

definition *set2-FGl* :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4,
'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGl ⇒ 'l2 set **where**
set2-FGl x = (⋃ y∈set1-F x. set2-G y)

definition $set3\text{-FGl} :: ('l1, 'l2, 'l3, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) \text{FGl} \Rightarrow 'l3 \text{ set}$ **where**
 $set3\text{-FGl } x = set3\text{-F } x$

definition

$bd\text{-FGl} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) \text{FGlbd rel}$
where $bd\text{-FGl} = bd\text{-F} *c\ bd\text{-G} +c\ bd\text{-F}$

lemma $set1\text{-FGl-map}: set1\text{-FGl} \circ map1\text{-FGl } l1\ l2\ l3 = image\ l1 \circ set1\text{-FGl}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set2\text{-FGl-map}: set2\text{-FGl} \circ map1\text{-FGl } l1\ l2\ l3 = image\ l2 \circ set2\text{-FGl}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set3\text{-FGl-map}: set3\text{-FGl} \circ map1\text{-FGl } l1\ l2\ l3 = image\ l3 \circ set3\text{-FGl}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bd\text{-FGl-card-order}: card\text{-order } bd\text{-FGl}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bd\text{-FGl-cinfinite}: cinfinite\ bd\text{-FGl}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

fixes $x :: (-, -, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) \text{FGl}$

shows $set1\text{-FGl-bound}: card\text{-of } (set1\text{-FGl } x) \leq o$

$(bd\text{-FGl} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) \text{FGlbd rel})$

and $set2\text{-FGl-bound}: card\text{-of } (set2\text{-FGl } x) \leq o$

$(bd\text{-FGl} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) \text{FGlbd rel})$

and $set3\text{-FGl-bound}: card\text{-of } (set3\text{-FGl } x) \leq o$

$(bd\text{-FGl} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) \text{FGlbd rel})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map1\text{-FGl-cong}$:

assumes $\bigwedge z. z \in set1\text{-FGl } x \Longrightarrow l1\ z = l1'\ z$ **and** $\bigwedge z. z \in set2\text{-FGl } x \Longrightarrow l2\ z = l2'\ z$

and $\bigwedge z. z \in set3\text{-FGl } x \Longrightarrow l3\ z = l3'\ z$

shows $map1\text{-FGl } l1\ l2\ l3\ x = map1\text{-FGl } l1'\ l2'\ l3'\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rell\text{-FGl-mono-strong}$:

assumes $rell\text{-FGl } L1\ L2\ L3\ x\ y$

and $\bigwedge a\ b. a \in set1\text{-FGl } x \Longrightarrow b \in set1\text{-FGl } y \Longrightarrow L1\ a\ b \Longrightarrow L1'\ a\ b$

and $\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set2-FGl } x \implies b \in \text{set2-FGl } y \implies L2 \ a \ b \implies L2' \ a \ b$
and $\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set3-FGl } x \implies b \in \text{set3-FGl } y \implies L3 \ a \ b \implies L3' \ a \ b$
shows $\text{rell-FGl } L1' \ L2' \ L3' \ x \ y$
 <proof>

3.2 Composition in a covariant position

type-synonym

$(l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1,$
 $'f2) \text{FGco} =$
 $(l1, 'co1, 'co5, ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) \ G, 'co3, 'co6,$
 $'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) \ F$

The type variables $'co1$, $'co3$ and $'contra1$ have each been merged.

definition $\text{rel-FGco } L1 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Co4 \ Co5 \ Co6 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \ Contra3$
 $Contra4 =$
 $\text{rel-F } L1 \ Co1 \ Co5 \ (\text{rel-G } Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Co4 \ Contra1 \ Contra2) \ Co3 \ Co6 \ Contra1$
 $Contra3 \ Contra4$

definition $\text{map-FGco } l1 \ co1 \ co2 \ co3 \ co4 \ co5 \ co6 \ contra1 \ contra2 \ contra3 \ contra4$
 $=$
 $\text{map-F } l1 \ co1 \ co5 \ (\text{map-G } co1 \ co2 \ co3 \ co4 \ contra1 \ contra2) \ co3 \ co6 \ contra1$
 $contra3 \ contra4$

lemma rel-FGco-mono :

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3'; Co4 \leq Co4'; Co5 \leq Co5';$
 $Co6 \leq Co6';$
 $Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2; Contra3' \leq Contra3; Contra4' \leq$
 $Contra4 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rel-FGco } L1 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Co4 \ Co5 \ Co6 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \ Contra3 \ Contra4 \leq$
 $\text{rel-FGco } L1' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Co3' \ Co4' \ Co5' \ Co6' \ Contra1' \ Contra2' \ Contra3'$
 $Contra4'$
 <proof>

lemma rel-FGco-eq : $\text{rel-FGco } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) =$
 $(=)$
 <proof>

lemma $\text{rel-FGco-conversep}$:

$\text{rel-FGco } L1^{-1-1} \ Co1^{-1-1} \ Co2^{-1-1} \ Co3^{-1-1} \ Co4^{-1-1} \ Co5^{-1-1} \ Co6^{-1-1}$
 $Contra1^{-1-1} \ Contra2^{-1-1} \ Contra3^{-1-1} \ Contra4^{-1-1} =$
 $(\text{rel-FGco } L1 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Co3 \ Co4 \ Co5 \ Co6 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \ Contra3 \ Contra4)^{-1-1}$
 <proof>

lemma map-FGco-id0 : $\text{map-FGco } id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id \ id = id$
 <proof>

lemma map-FGco-comp : $\text{map-FGco } l1 \ co1 \ co2 \ co3 \ co4 \ co5 \ co6 \ contra1 \ contra2$
 $contra3 \ contra4 \circ$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{map-FGco } l1' \text{ } co1' \text{ } co2' \text{ } co3' \text{ } co4' \text{ } co5' \text{ } co6' \text{ } contra1' \text{ } contra2' \text{ } contra3' \text{ } contra4' \\ = & \\ & \text{map-FGco } (l1 \circ l1') \text{ } (co1 \circ co1') \text{ } (co2 \circ co2') \text{ } (co3 \circ co3') \text{ } (co4 \circ co4') \text{ } (co5 \circ \\ & co5') \text{ } (co6 \circ co6') \\ & (contra1' \circ contra1) \text{ } (contra2' \circ contra2) \text{ } (contra3' \circ contra3) \text{ } (contra4' \circ \\ & contra4) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *map-FGco-parametric*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L1 \text{ } L1') \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co1 \text{ } Co1') \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co2 \text{ } Co2') \\ & (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co3 \text{ } Co3') \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co4 \text{ } Co4') \\ & (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co5 \text{ } Co5') \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co6 \text{ } Co6') \\ & (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra1' \text{ } Contra1) \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra2' \text{ } Contra2) \\ & (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra3' \text{ } Contra3) \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra4' \text{ } Contra4) \\ & (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-FGco } L1 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co3 \text{ } Co4 \text{ } Co5 \text{ } Co6 \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra3 \\ & Contra4) \\ & (\text{rel-FGco } L1' \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Co3' \text{ } Co4' \text{ } Co5' \text{ } Co6' \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2' \text{ } Contra3' \\ & Contra4'))))))))))) \\ & \text{map-FGco } \text{map-FGco} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition *rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bool} \Rightarrow \\ & ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('co3 \Rightarrow 'co3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co3' \Rightarrow 'co3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('co4 \Rightarrow 'co4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co4' \Rightarrow 'co4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('co5 \Rightarrow 'co5' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co5' \Rightarrow 'co5'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('co6 \Rightarrow 'co6' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co6' \Rightarrow 'co6'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('contra3 \Rightarrow 'contra3' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra3' \Rightarrow 'contra3'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('contra4 \Rightarrow 'contra4' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('contra4' \Rightarrow 'contra4'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \\ & ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f1 \times 'f2) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where} \\ & \text{rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond } Co1 \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Co3 \text{ } Co3' \text{ } Co4 \text{ } Co4' \text{ } Co5 \text{ } Co5' \text{ } Co6 \\ & Co6' \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Contra1 } \text{Contra1}' \text{ } \text{Contra2 } \text{Contra2}' \text{ } \text{Contra3 } \text{Contra3}' \text{ } \text{Contra4 } \text{Contra4}' \text{ } - \longleftrightarrow \\ & (\forall (L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: 'l1' \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}). \\ & (\text{rel-FGco } L1 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co3 \text{ } Co4 \text{ } Co5 \text{ } Co6 \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra3 \text{ } Contra4 :: \\ & (-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2) \text{ FGco} \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO} \\ & \text{rel-FGco } L1' \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Co3' \text{ } Co4' \text{ } Co5' \text{ } Co6' \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2' \text{ } Contra3' \\ & \text{Contra4}' \leq \\ & \text{rel-FGco } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') \text{ } (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') \text{ } (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2') \text{ } (Co3 \text{ OO } Co3') \\ & (Co4 \text{ OO } Co4') \text{ } (Co5 \text{ OO } Co5') \text{ } (Co6 \text{ OO } Co6') \\ & (\text{Contra1 } \text{OO } \text{Contra1}') \text{ } (\text{Contra2 } \text{OO } \text{Contra2}') \text{ } (\text{Contra3 } \text{OO } \text{Contra3}') \\ & (\text{Contra4 } \text{OO } \text{Contra4}') \end{aligned}$$

definition *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bool} \Rightarrow \\ & ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$(\text{'co3} \Rightarrow \text{'co3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co3}' \Rightarrow \text{'co3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co4} \Rightarrow \text{'co4}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co4}' \Rightarrow \text{'co4}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co5} \Rightarrow \text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{'co5}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co6} \Rightarrow \text{'co6}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co6}' \Rightarrow \text{'co6}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra2} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra2}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra2}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra3} \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra4} \Rightarrow \text{'contra4}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra4}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra4}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'f1} \times \text{'f2}) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5' Co6 Co6'}$
 $\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' } \leftarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: \text{'l1} \Rightarrow \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{'l1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $\text{rel-FGco (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Co3 OO Co3')}$
 $\text{(Co4 OO Co4') (Co5 OO Co5') (Co6 OO Co6')}$
 $\text{(Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') (Contra3 OO Contra3')}$
 $\text{(Contra4 OO Contra4')} \leq$
 $(\text{rel-FGco L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Co6 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4} ::$
 $(\text{-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1, 'f2}) \text{FGco} \Rightarrow \text{-}) \text{OO}$
 $\text{rel-FGco L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Co6' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'}$
 Contra4')

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

lemma *rel-FGco-pos-distr-imp*:

fixes $\text{Co1} :: \text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co1}' :: \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{'co1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{Co2} :: \text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co2}' :: \text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{'co2}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{Co5} :: \text{'co5} \Rightarrow \text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co5}' :: \text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{'co5}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{tytok-F} :: (\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'co1} \times \text{'co1}' \times \text{'co1}'' \times \text{'co5} \times \text{'co5}' \times \text{'co5}'' \times \text{'f2}) \text{ itself}$
and $\text{tytok-G} :: (\text{'co1} \times \text{'co1}' \times \text{'co1}'' \times \text{'co2} \times \text{'co2}' \times \text{'co2}'' \times \text{'f1}) \text{ itself}$
and $\text{tytok-FGco} :: (\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'f1} \times \text{'f2}) \text{ itself}$
assumes *rel-F-pos-distr-cond*
 $(\text{rel-G Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2} :: (\text{-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1}) \text{G} \Rightarrow \text{-})$
 $(\text{rel-G Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2'}) \text{Co3 Co3' Co6 Co6'}$
 $\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-F}$
and *rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G*
shows *rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5' Co6 Co6'*
 $\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-FGco}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-FGco-neg-distr-imp*:

fixes $\text{Co1} :: \text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co1}' :: \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{'co1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{Co2} :: \text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co2}' :: \text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{'co2}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{Co5} :: \text{'co5} \Rightarrow \text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co5}' :: \text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{'co5}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{tytok-F} :: (\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'co1} \times \text{'co1}' \times \text{'co1}'' \times \text{'co5} \times \text{'co5}' \times \text{'co5}'' \times \text{'f2}) \text{ itself}$

and *tytok-G* :: ('co1 × 'co1' × 'co1'' × 'co2 × 'co2' × 'co2'' × 'f1) *itself*
and *tytok-FGco* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) *itself*
assumes *rel-F-neg-distr-cond*
(*rel-G Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Contra1 Contra2* :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f1) *G* ⇒ -)
(*rel-G Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Contra1' Contra2'*) *Co3 Co3' Co6 Co6'*
Contra1 Contra1' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-F
and *rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G*
shows *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5' Co6 Co6'*
Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' tytok-FGco
<proof>

lemma *rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond-eq*:
fixes *tytok* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) *itself*
shows *rel-FGco-pos-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
(=)
(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*
<proof>

lemma *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond-eq*:
fixes *tytok* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f1 × 'f2) *itself*
shows *rel-FGco-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
(=)
(=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*
<proof>

definition *rel-FGco L1* = *rel-FGco L1* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
(=)

definition *mapl-FGco l1* = *map-FGco l1 id id id id id id id id id id*

type-synonym ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,
'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) *FGcobd* =
(('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1) *G*,
'co3, 'co6, 'contra1, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f2) *Fbd*

definition *set1-FGco* :: ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,
'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) *FGco* ⇒ 'l1 **set** **where**
set1-FGco x = *set1-F x*

definition *bd-FGco* :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,
'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) *FGcobd* **rel** **where**
bd-FGco = *bd-F*

lemma *set1-FGco-map*: *set1-FGco* ∘ *mapl-FGco l1* = *image l1* ∘ *set1-FGco*
<proof>

lemma *bd-FGco-card-order*: *card-order bd-FGco*
<proof>

lemma *bd-FGco-cinfinite*: *cinfinite bd-FGco*

<proof>

lemma *set1-FGco-bound*:

fixes $x :: (-, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,$

$'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGco$

shows $card-of (set1-FGco x) \leq_o (bd-FGco :: ('co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'co6,$

$'contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'f1, 'f2) FGcobd rel)$

<proof>

lemma *mapl-FGco-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge z. z \in set1-FGco x \implies l1 z = l1' z$

shows $mapl-FGco l1 x = mapl-FGco l1' x$

<proof>

lemma *rell-FGco-mono-strong*:

assumes $rell-FGco L1 x y$

and $\bigwedge a b. a \in set1-FGco x \implies b \in set1-FGco y \implies L1 a b \implies L1' a b$

shows $rell-FGco L1' x y$

<proof>

3.3 Composition in a contravariant position

type-synonym

$(l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'co3, 'co4, 'co5, 'contra1,$
 $'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'contra5, 'f1, 'f2) FGcontra =$
 $(l1, 'co1, 'co3, 'co1, 'co4, 'co5, ('contra1, 'contra2, 'contra3, 'contra4, 'co1,$
 $'co2, 'f1) G,$
 $'contra1, 'contra5, 'f2) F$

The type variables *'co1* and *'contra1* have each been merged.

definition *rel-FGcontra* $L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Contra5 =$

$rel-F L1 Co1 Co3 Co1 Co4 Co5 (rel-G Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Co1 Co2) Contra1 Contra5$

definition *map-FGcontra* $l1 co1 co2 co3 co4 co5 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 contra5 =$

$map-F l1 co1 co3 co1 co4 co5 (map-G contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 co1 co2) contra1 contra5$

lemma *rel-FGcontra-mono*:

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Co3 \leq Co3'; Co4 \leq Co4'; Co5 \leq Co5';$
 $Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2; Contra3' \leq Contra3;$
 $Contra4' \leq Contra4; Contra5' \leq Contra5 \rrbracket \implies$
 $rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Contra5 \leq$
 $rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3' Con-$

tra4' Contra5'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rel-FGcontra-eq*: *rel-FGcontra* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)
 (=) = (=)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rel-FGcontra-conversep*:
rel-FGcontra L1⁻¹⁻¹ Co1⁻¹⁻¹ Co2⁻¹⁻¹ Co3⁻¹⁻¹ Co4⁻¹⁻¹ Co5⁻¹⁻¹ Contra1⁻¹⁻¹ Contra2⁻¹⁻¹ Contra3⁻¹⁻¹ Contra4⁻¹⁻¹ Contra5⁻¹⁻¹ =
(rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4 Contra5)⁻¹⁻¹
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-FGcontra-id0*: *map-FGcontra id id id id id id id id id id id id = id*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-FGcontra-comp*:
map-FGcontra l1 co1 co2 co3 co4 co5 contra1 contra2 contra3 contra4 contra5 ◦
map-FGcontra l1' co1' co2' co3' co4' co5' contra1' contra2' contra3' contra4' contra5' =
map-FGcontra (l1 ◦ l1') (co1 ◦ co1') (co2 ◦ co2') (co3 ◦ co3') (co4 ◦ co4') (co5
◦ co5')
(contra1' ◦ contra1) (contra2' ◦ contra2) (contra3' ◦ contra3)
(contra4' ◦ contra4) (contra5' ◦ contra5)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-FGcontra-parametric*:
rel-fun (rel-fun L1 L1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co1 Co1') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co2 Co2')
(rel-fun (rel-fun Co3 Co3') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co4 Co4') (rel-fun (rel-fun Co5
Co5')
(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra1' Contra1) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra2' Contra2)
(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra3' Contra3) (rel-fun (rel-fun Contra4' Contra4)
(rel-fun (rel-fun Contra5' Contra5)
(rel-fun (rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Con-
tra4' Contra5'))))))))))))
map-FGcontra map-FGcontra
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond* :: (*'co1* ⇒ *'co1'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'co1'* ⇒ *'co1''*
 ⇒ *bool*) ⇒
 (*'co2* ⇒ *'co2'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'co2'* ⇒ *'co2''* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒
 (*'co3* ⇒ *'co3'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'co3'* ⇒ *'co3''* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒
 (*'co4* ⇒ *'co4'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'co4'* ⇒ *'co4''* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒
 (*'co5* ⇒ *'co5'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'co5'* ⇒ *'co5''* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒
 (*'contra1* ⇒ *'contra1'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'contra1'* ⇒ *'contra1''* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒
 (*'contra2* ⇒ *'contra2'* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ (*'contra2'* ⇒ *'contra2''* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒

$(\text{'contra3} \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra4} \Rightarrow \text{'contra4}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra4}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra4}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra5} \Rightarrow \text{'contra5}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra5}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra5}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'f1} \times \text{'f2}) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$
 $\text{rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'}$
 $\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Contra5 Contra5' -} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: \text{'l1} \Rightarrow \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{'l1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $(\text{rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4}$
 $\text{Contra5} ::$
 $(\neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \text{'f1}, \text{'f2}) \text{ FGcontra} \Rightarrow \neg) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'}$
 $\text{Contra4' Contra5' } \leq$
 $\text{rel-FGcontra (L1 OO L1')} (\text{Co1 OO Co1'}) (\text{Co2 OO Co2'}) (\text{Co3 OO Co3'})$
 $(\text{Co4 OO Co4'}) (\text{Co5 OO Co5'})$
 $(\text{Contra1 OO Contra1'}) (\text{Contra2 OO Contra2'}) (\text{Contra3 OO Contra3'})$
 $(\text{Contra4 OO Contra4'}) (\text{Contra5 OO Contra5'})$

definition $\text{rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond} :: (\text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{'co1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co2} \Rightarrow \text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co2}' \Rightarrow \text{'co2}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co3} \Rightarrow \text{'co3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co3}' \Rightarrow \text{'co3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co4} \Rightarrow \text{'co4}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co4}' \Rightarrow \text{'co4}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'co5} \Rightarrow \text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'co5}' \Rightarrow \text{'co5}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra2} \Rightarrow \text{'contra2}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra2}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra2}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra3} \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra3}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra4} \Rightarrow \text{'contra4}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra4}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra4}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'contra5} \Rightarrow \text{'contra5}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'contra5}' \Rightarrow \text{'contra5}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'l1} \times \text{'l1}' \times \text{'l1}'' \times \text{'f1} \times \text{'f2}) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$
 $\text{rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Co3 Co3' Co4 Co4' Co5 Co5'}$
 $\text{Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' Contra3 Contra3' Contra4 Contra4' Contra5 Contra5' -} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: \text{'l1} \Rightarrow \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: \text{'l1}' \Rightarrow \text{'l1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $\text{rel-FGcontra (L1 OO L1')} (\text{Co1 OO Co1'}) (\text{Co2 OO Co2'}) (\text{Co3 OO Co3'})$
 $(\text{Co4 OO Co4'}) (\text{Co5 OO Co5'})$
 $(\text{Contra1 OO Contra1'}) (\text{Contra2 OO Contra2'}) (\text{Contra3 OO Contra3'})$
 $(\text{Contra4 OO Contra4'}) (\text{Contra5 OO Contra5'}) \leq$
 $(\text{rel-FGcontra L1 Co1 Co2 Co3 Co4 Co5 Contra1 Contra2 Contra3 Contra4}$
 $\text{Contra5} ::$
 $(\neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \neg, \text{'f1}, \text{'f2}) \text{ FGcontra} \Rightarrow \neg) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-FGcontra L1' Co1' Co2' Co3' Co4' Co5' Contra1' Contra2' Contra3'}$
 Contra4' Contra5'

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

lemma $\text{rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-imp}$:

fixes $\text{Co1} :: \text{'co1} \Rightarrow \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co1}' :: \text{'co1}' \Rightarrow \text{'co1}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{Co3} :: \text{'co3} \Rightarrow \text{'co3}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Co3}' :: \text{'co3}' \Rightarrow \text{'co3}'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{Contra1} :: \text{'contra1} \Rightarrow \text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $\text{Contra1}' :: \text{'contra1}' \Rightarrow$

'contra1'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra2* :: *'contra2* \Rightarrow *'contra2'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra2'* :: *'contra2'* \Rightarrow
'contra2'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *tytok-F* :: (*'l1* \times *'l1'* \times *'l1''* \times *'co1* \times *'co1'* \times *'co1''* \times *'co3* \times *'co3'* \times
'co3'' \times
'f2) *itself*
and *tytok-G* :: (*'contra1* \times *'contra1'* \times *'contra1''* \times *'contra2* \times *'contra2'* \times
'contra2'' \times
'f1) *itself*
and *tytok-FGcontra* :: (*'l1* \times *'l1'* \times *'l1''* \times *'f1* \times *'f2*) *itself*
assumes *rel-F-pos-distr-cond* *Co1* *Co1'* *Co4* *Co4'* *Co5* *Co5'*
(*rel-G* *Contra1* *Contra2* *Contra3* *Contra4* *Co1* *Co2* :: (-, -, -, -, -, *'f1*) *G* \Rightarrow
-)
(*rel-G* *Contra1'* *Contra2'* *Contra3'* *Contra4'* *Co1'* *Co2'*)
Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra5* *Contra5'* *tytok-F*
and *rel-G-neg-distr-cond* *Contra3* *Contra3'* *Contra4* *Contra4'* *Co1* *Co1'* *Co2*
Co2' *tytok-G*
shows *rel-FGcontra-pos-distr-cond* *Co1* *Co1'* *Co2* *Co2'* *Co3* *Co3'* *Co4* *Co4'* *Co5*
Co5'
Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra2* *Contra2'* *Contra3* *Contra3'* *Contra4* *Contra4'* *Contra5*
Contra5'
tytok-FGcontra
<proof>

lemma *rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-imp*:

fixes *Co1* :: *'co1* \Rightarrow *'co1'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Co1'* :: *'co1'* \Rightarrow *'co1''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Co3* :: *'co3* \Rightarrow *'co3'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Co3'* :: *'co3'* \Rightarrow *'co3''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra1* :: *'contra1* \Rightarrow *'contra1'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra1'* :: *'contra1'* \Rightarrow
'contra1'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra2* :: *'contra2* \Rightarrow *'contra2'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra2'* :: *'contra2'* \Rightarrow
'contra2'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *tytok-F* :: (*'l1* \times *'l1'* \times *'l1''* \times *'co1* \times *'co1'* \times *'co1''* \times *'co3* \times *'co3'* \times
'co3'' \times
'f2) *itself*
and *tytok-G* :: (*'contra1* \times *'contra1'* \times *'contra1''* \times *'contra2* \times *'contra2'* \times
'contra2'' \times
'f1) *itself*
and *tytok-FGcontra* :: (*'l1* \times *'l1'* \times *'l1''* \times *'f1* \times *'f2*) *itself*
assumes *rel-F-neg-distr-cond* *Co1* *Co1'* *Co4* *Co4'* *Co5* *Co5'*
(*rel-G* *Contra1* *Contra2* *Contra3* *Contra4* *Co1* *Co2* :: (-, -, -, -, -, *'f1*) *G* \Rightarrow
-)
(*rel-G* *Contra1'* *Contra2'* *Contra3'* *Contra4'* *Co1'* *Co2'*)
Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra5* *Contra5'* *tytok-F*
and *rel-G-pos-distr-cond* *Contra3* *Contra3'* *Contra4* *Contra4'* *Co1* *Co1'* *Co2*
Co2' *tytok-G*
shows *rel-FGcontra-neg-distr-cond* *Co1* *Co1'* *Co2* *Co2'* *Co3* *Co3'* *Co4* *Co4'* *Co5*
Co5'
Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra2* *Contra2'* *Contra3* *Contra3'* *Contra4* *Contra4'* *Contra5*
Contra5' *tytok-FGcontra*

lemma *mapl-FGcontra-contrang*:

assumes $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set1-FGcontra } x \implies l1 \ z = l1' \ z$
shows $\text{mapl-FGcontra } l1 \ x = \text{mapl-FGcontra } l1' \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rell-FGcontra-mono-strong*:

assumes $\text{rell-FGcontra } L1 \ x \ y$
and $\bigwedge a \ b. a \in \text{set1-FGcontra } x \implies b \in \text{set1-FGcontra } y \implies L1 \ a \ b \implies L1' \ a \ b$
shows $\text{rell-FGcontra } L1' \ x \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4 Composition in a fixed position

type-synonym $(l1, l2, co1, co2, contra1, contra2, f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, f6, f7) \text{ FGf} =$
 $(l1, l2, f2, co1, co2, f4, contra1, contra2, f6, (f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, f6, f7) \ G) \ F$

The type variables $f2, f4$ and $f6$ have each been merged.

definition $\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 =$
 $\text{rel-F } L1 \ L2 \ (=) \ Co1 \ Co2 \ (=) \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \ (=)$

definition $\text{map-FGf } l1 \ l2 \ co1 \ co2 \ contra1 \ contra2 = \text{map-F } l1 \ l2 \ \text{id} \ co1 \ co2 \ \text{id} \ \text{contra1} \ \text{contra2} \ \text{id}$

lemma *rel-FGf-mono*:

$\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; L2 \leq L2'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 \leq \text{rel-FGf } L1' \ L2' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Contra1' \ Contra2'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-FGf-eq*: $\text{rel-FGf } (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-FGf-conversep*:

$\text{rel-FGf } L1^{-1-1} \ L2^{-1-1} \ Co1^{-1-1} \ Co2^{-1-1} \ Contra1^{-1-1} \ Contra2^{-1-1} = (\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2)^{-1-1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-FGf-id0*: $\text{map-FGf } \text{id} \ \text{id} \ \text{id} \ \text{id} \ \text{id} \ \text{id} \ \text{id} = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-FGf-comp*: $\text{map-FGf } l1 \ l2 \ co1 \ co2 \ contra1 \ contra2 \circ$

$\text{map-FGf } l1' \ l2' \ co1' \ co2' \ contra1' \ contra2' =$
 $\text{map-FGf } (l1 \circ l1') \ (l2 \circ l2') \ (co1 \circ co1') \ (co2 \circ co2') \ (contra1' \circ contra1) \ (contra2' \circ contra2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-FGf-parametric*:

$\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L1 \ L1') \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L2 \ L2'))$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co1 \ Co1') \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co2 \ Co2'))$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra1' \ Contra1) \ (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra2' \ Contra2))$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2)$
 $(\text{rel-FGf } L1' \ L2' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Contra1' \ Contra2'))))))))$
 $\text{map-FGf } \text{map-FGf}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond* :: $(Co1 \Rightarrow Co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Co1' \Rightarrow Co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$

$\text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(Co2 \Rightarrow Co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Co2' \Rightarrow Co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(Contra1 \Rightarrow Contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Contra1' \Rightarrow Contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(Contra2 \Rightarrow Contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Contra2' \Rightarrow Contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times l2 \times l2' \times l2'' \times$
 $f1 \times f2 \times f3 \times f4 \times f5 \times f6 \times f7) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond } Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $- \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $(L2 :: l2 \Rightarrow l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: l2' \Rightarrow l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $(\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 ::$
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, f6, f7) \text{ FGf} \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-FGf } L1' \ L2' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Contra1' \ Contra2' \leq$
 $\text{rel-FGf } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (L2 \text{ OO } L2') (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2')$
 $(Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1') (Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2'))$

definition *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond* :: $(Co1 \Rightarrow Co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Co1' \Rightarrow Co1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$

$\text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(Co2 \Rightarrow Co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Co2' \Rightarrow Co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(Contra1 \Rightarrow Contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Contra1' \Rightarrow Contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(Contra2 \Rightarrow Contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (Contra2' \Rightarrow Contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times l2 \times l2' \times l2'' \times$
 $f1 \times f2 \times f3 \times f4 \times f5 \times f6 \times f7) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond } Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $- \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $(L2 :: l2 \Rightarrow l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L2' :: l2' \Rightarrow l2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $\text{rel-FGf } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') (L2 \text{ OO } L2') (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2')$
 $(Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1') (Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2') \leq$
 $(\text{rel-FGf } L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co2 \ Contra1 \ Contra2 ::$
 $(-, -, -, -, -, -, f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, f6, f7) \text{ FGf} \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-FGf } L1' \ L2' \ Co1' \ Co2' \ Contra1' \ Contra2')$

Sufficient conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition.

lemma *rel-FGf-pos-distr-imp*:

fixes *tytok-F* :: $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times l2 \times l2' \times l2'' \times f2 \times f2 \times f2 \times$
 $(f1, f2, f3, f4, f5, f6, f7) \ G) \text{ itself}$

and *tytok-FGf* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' ×
'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*
assumes *rel-F-pos-distr-cond* Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒
-)
Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra2* *Contra2'* ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) *tytok-F*
shows *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond* Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' *Contra1* *Contra1'* *Contra2*
Contra2' *tytok-FGf*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rel-FGf-neg-distr-imp*:
fixes *tytok-F* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f2 × 'f2 × 'f2 ×
'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *G* *itself*
and *tytok-FGf* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' ×
'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*
assumes *rel-F-neg-distr-cond* Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f4 ⇒
-)
Contra1 *Contra1'* *Contra2* *Contra2'* ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) ((=) :: 'f6 ⇒ -) *tytok-F*
shows *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond* Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' *Contra1* *Contra1'* *Contra2*
Contra2' *tytok-FGf*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond-eq*:
fixes *tytok* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' ×
'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*
shows *rel-FGf-pos-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond-eq*:
fixes *tytok* :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' ×
'f1 × 'f2 × 'f3 × 'f4 × 'f5 × 'f6 × 'f7) *itself*
shows *rel-FGf-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *rell-FGf* L1 L2 = *rel-FGf* L1 L2 (=) (=) (=) (=)

definition *mapl-FGf* l1 l2 = *map-FGf* l1 l2 *id id id id*

type-synonym ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *FGfbd*
= ('co1, 'co2, 'f4, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f6, ('f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *G*) *Fbd*

definition *set1-FGf* :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,
'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *FGf* ⇒ 'l1 *set* **where**
set1-FGf x = *set1-F* x

definition *set2-FGf* :: ('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,
'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7) *FGf* ⇒ 'l2 *set* **where**
set2-FGf x = *set2-F* x

definition *bd-FGf* :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7)

FGfbd rel

where $bd\text{-FGf} = bd\text{-F}$

lemma *set1-FGf-map*: $set1\text{-FGf} \circ map1\text{-FGf} l1\ l2 = image\ l1 \circ set1\text{-FGf}$

<proof>

lemma *bd-FGf-card-order*: *card-order* $bd\text{-FGf}$

<proof>

lemma *bd-FGf-cinfinite*: *cinfinite* $bd\text{-FGf}$

<proof>

lemma

fixes $x :: (-, -, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7)\ FGf$

shows *set1-FGf-bound*: *card-of* ($set1\text{-FGf}\ x$) $\leq o$ ($bd\text{-FGf} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,$

$'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7)\ FGfbd\ rel$)

and *set2-FGf-bound*: *card-of* ($set2\text{-FGf}\ x$) $\leq o$ ($bd\text{-FGf} :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2,$

$'f1, 'f2, 'f3, 'f4, 'f5, 'f6, 'f7)\ FGfbd\ rel$)

<proof>

lemma *map1-FGf-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge z. z \in set1\text{-FGf}\ x \implies l1\ z = l1'\ z$ **and** $\bigwedge z. z \in set2\text{-FGf}\ x \implies l2\ z = l2'\ z$

shows $map1\text{-FGf}\ l1\ l2\ x = map1\text{-FGf}\ l1'\ l2'\ x$

<proof>

lemma *rell-FGf-mono-strong*:

assumes $rell\text{-FGf}\ L1\ L2\ x\ y$

and $\bigwedge a\ b. a \in set1\text{-FGf}\ x \implies b \in set1\text{-FGf}\ y \implies L1\ a\ b \implies L1'\ a\ b$

and $\bigwedge a\ b. a \in set2\text{-FGf}\ x \implies b \in set2\text{-FGf}\ y \implies L2\ a\ b \implies L2'\ a\ b$

shows $rell\text{-FGf}\ L1'\ L2'\ x\ y$

<proof>

end

4 Least and greatest fixpoints

theory *Fixpoints* **imports**

Axiomatised-BNF-CC

begin

4.1 Least fixpoint

4.1.1 BNF_{CC} structure

context **notes** $[[typedef\text{-overloaded}, bnf\text{-internals}]$

begin

```

datatype (set-T: 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T =
  C-T (D-T: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T, 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1,
'contra2, 'f) G)
  for
    map: mapl-T
    rel: rell-T

```

end

```

inductive rel-T :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T ⇒
  ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T ⇒ bool
  for L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 where
    rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 (C-T x) (C-T y)
      if rel-G (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x
y

```

```

primrec map-T :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1') ⇒ ('co1 ⇒ 'co1') ⇒ ('co2 ⇒ 'co2') ⇒
  ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1') ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2') ⇒
  ('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T ⇒
  ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T where
  map-T l1 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 (C-T x) =
    C-T (map-G id id co1 co2 contra1 contra2 (mapl-G (map-T l1 co1 co2 contra1
contra2) l1 x))

```

The mapper and relator generated by the datatype package coincide with our generalised definitions restricted to live arguments.

lemma *rell-T-alt-def*: $rell-T L1 = rel-T L1 (=) (=) (=) (=)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mapl-T-alt-def*: $mapl-T l1 = map-T l1 id id id id$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rel-T-mono* [mono]:
 $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 \leq rel-T L1' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rel-T-eq*: $rel-T (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rel-T-conversep*:
 $rel-T L1^{-1-1} Co1^{-1-1} Co2^{-1-1} Contra1^{-1-1} Contra2^{-1-1} = (rel-T L1 Co1$
 $Co2 Contra1 Contra2)^{-1-1}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-T-id0*: $\text{map-T id id id id id} = \text{id}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-T-id*: $\text{map-T id id id id id } x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-T-comp*: $\text{map-T } l1 \text{ } co1 \text{ } co2 \text{ } contra1 \text{ } contra2 \circ \text{map-T } l1' \text{ } co1' \text{ } co2' \text{ } contra1' \text{ } contra2' =$
 $\text{map-T } (l1 \circ l1') \text{ } (co1 \circ co1') \text{ } (co2 \circ co2') \text{ } (contra1' \circ contra1) \text{ } (contra2' \circ$
 $contra2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-T-parametric*: $\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } L1 \text{ } L1')$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co1 \text{ } Co1') \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Co2 \text{ } Co2'))$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra1' \text{ } Contra1) \text{ } (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-fun } Contra2' \text{ } Contra2)$
 $(\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-T } L1 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra2) \text{ } (\text{rel-T } L1' \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1'$
 $Contra2'))))))$
 map-T map-T
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *rel-T-pos-distr-cond* :: $(co1 \Rightarrow co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co1' \Rightarrow co1'' \Rightarrow$
 $\text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(co2 \Rightarrow co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co2' \Rightarrow co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra1 \Rightarrow contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra1' \Rightarrow contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra2 \Rightarrow contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra2' \Rightarrow contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-T-pos-distr-cond } Co1 \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra2' -$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $\text{rel-T } L1 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, f) \text{ T} \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-T } L1' \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2' \leq$
 $\text{rel-T } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') \text{ } (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') \text{ } (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2') \text{ } (Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1')$
 $(Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2')$

definition *rel-T-neg-distr-cond* :: $(co1 \Rightarrow co1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co1' \Rightarrow co1'' \Rightarrow$
 $\text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(co2 \Rightarrow co2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (co2' \Rightarrow co2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra1 \Rightarrow contra1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra1' \Rightarrow contra1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(contra2 \Rightarrow contra2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (contra2' \Rightarrow contra2'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(l1 \times l1' \times l1'' \times f) \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-T-neg-distr-cond } Co1 \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2 \text{ } Contra2' -$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall (L1 :: l1 \Rightarrow l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) (L1' :: l1' \Rightarrow l1'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}).$
 $\text{rel-T } (L1 \text{ OO } L1') \text{ } (Co1 \text{ OO } Co1') \text{ } (Co2 \text{ OO } Co2') \text{ } (Contra1 \text{ OO } Contra1')$
 $(Contra2 \text{ OO } Contra2') \leq$
 $(\text{rel-T } L1 \text{ } Co1 \text{ } Co2 \text{ } Contra1 \text{ } Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, f) \text{ T} \Rightarrow -) \text{ OO}$
 $\text{rel-T } L1' \text{ } Co1' \text{ } Co2' \text{ } Contra1' \text{ } Contra2')$

We inherit the conditions for subdistributivity over relation composition via

a composition witness, which is derived from a witness for the underlying functor G .

primrec *rel-T-witness* :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \Rightarrow
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \Rightarrow
('l1 \times 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T **where**
rel-T-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' (C-T
x) Cy = C-T
(mapl-G (λ ((x, f), y). f y) id
(rel-G-witness (λ (x, f) y. rel-T (λ x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1 x y) Co1 Co2 Contra1
Contra2 x (f y) \wedge
rel-T (λ (x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L1 x y) Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' (f y) y)
L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
(mapl-G (λ x. (x, rel-T-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
Contra2' x)) id x,
D-T Cy)))

lemma *rel-T-pos-distr-imp*:

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool **and** Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool **and** Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool **and** Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow
'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool **and** Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow
'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool
and tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times
('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T \times
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times 'l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f) itself
and tytok-T :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f) itself
assumes rel-G-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2
Contra2' tytok-G
shows rel-T-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
tytok-T
⟨proof⟩

lemma

fixes L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool
and Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool **and** Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool **and** Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool **and** Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow
'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool **and** Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow
'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool
and tytok-G :: (((('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times
(('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T
 \Rightarrow ('l1 \times 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times

$((('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$
 $((('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$
 $\Rightarrow ('l1 \times 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T) \times$
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times$
 $'l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \textit{ itself}$
and $x :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) T$
assumes $\textit{ cond: rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G}$
and $\textit{ rel-OO: rel-T L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2')} x y$
shows $\textit{ rel-T-witness1: rel-T } (\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1 x y) Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x$
 $(\textit{ rel-T-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' x y})$
and $\textit{ rel-T-witness2: rel-T } (\lambda(x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L1 x y) Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'$
 $(\textit{ rel-T-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' x y}) y$
 $\langle \textit{ proof} \rangle$

lemma $\textit{ rel-T-neg-distr-imp:}$

fixes $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$ **and** $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$
and $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$ **and** $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$
and $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$ **and** $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$
and $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$ **and** $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow \textit{ bool}$
and $\textit{ tytok-G} :: (((('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$
 $((('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$
 $\Rightarrow ('l1 \times 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$
 $((('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) T \times$
 $((('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T$
 $\Rightarrow ('l1 \times 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) T)) \times$
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T) \times$
 $('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) T \times$
 $'l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \textit{ itself}$
and $\textit{ tytok-T} :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f) \textit{ itself}$
assumes $\textit{ rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G}$
shows $\textit{ rel-T-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-T}$
 $\langle \textit{ proof} \rangle$

lemma $\textit{ rel-T-pos-distr-cond-eq:}$

$\bigwedge \textit{ tytok. rel-T-pos-distr-cond } (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) \textit{ tytok}$
 $\langle \textit{ proof} \rangle$

lemma $\textit{ rel-T-neg-distr-cond-eq:}$

\wedge *tytok*. *rel-T-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*
 <proof>

The BNF axioms are proved by the datatype package.

thm *T.set-map T.bd-card-order T.bd-cinfinite T.set-bd T.map-cong[OF refl]*
T.rel-mono-strong T.wit

4.1.2 Parametricity laws

context includes *lifting-syntax* **begin**

lemma *C-T-parametric: (rel-G (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2*
Contra1 Contra2 ===>
rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) C-T C-T
 <proof>

lemma *D-T-parametric: (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ===>*
rel-G (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) D-T
D-T
 <proof>

lemma *rec-T-parametric:*
((rel-G (rel-prod (rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) A) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1
Contra2 ===> A) ===>
rel-T L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ===> A) rec-T rec-T
 <proof>

end

4.2 Greatest fixpoints

4.2.1 BNF_{CC} structure

context notes *[[typedef-overloaded, bnf-internals]]*
begin

codatatype *(set-U: 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U =*
C-U (D-U: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U, 'l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1,
'contra2, 'f) G)
for
map: mapl-U
rel: rell-U

end

coinductive *rel-U :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow*
('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \Rightarrow
('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U \Rightarrow bool

for $L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2$ **where**
 $rel-U\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2\ x\ y$
if $rel-G\ (rel-U\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2)\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2$
 $(D-U\ x)\ (D-U\ y)$

primcorec $map-U :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1') \Rightarrow ('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1') \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2') \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1') \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2') \Rightarrow$
 $('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f)\ U \Rightarrow$
 $('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f)\ U$ **where**
 $D-U\ (map-U\ l1\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2\ x) =$
 $mapl-G\ (map-U\ l1\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2)\ l1\ (map-G\ id\ id\ co1\ co2\ contra1$
 $contra2\ (D-U\ x))$

lemma $rell-U-alt-def: rell-U\ L1 = rel-U\ L1\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mapl-U-alt-def: mapl-U\ l1 = map-U\ l1\ id\ id\ id\ id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel-U-mono$ [*mono*]:
 $\llbracket L1 \leq L1'; Co1 \leq Co1'; Co2 \leq Co2'; Contra1' \leq Contra1; Contra2' \leq Contra2$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $rel-U\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2 \leq rel-U\ L1'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1'\ Contra2'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel-U-eq: rel-U\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel-U-conversep:$
 $rel-U\ L1^{-1-1}\ Co1^{-1-1}\ Co2^{-1-1}\ Contra1^{-1-1}\ Contra2^{-1-1} = (rel-U\ L1\ Co1$
 $Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2)^{-1-1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map-U-id0: map-U\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map-U-id: map-U\ id\ id\ id\ id\ id\ x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map-U-comp: map-U\ l1\ co1\ co2\ contra1\ contra2 \circ map-U\ l1'\ co1'\ co2'$
 $contra1'\ contra2' =$
 $map-U\ (l1 \circ l1')\ (co1 \circ co1')\ (co2 \circ co2')\ (contra1' \circ contra1)\ (contra2' \circ$
 $contra2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map-U-parametric: rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ L1\ L1')$
 $(rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Co1\ Co1'))\ (rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Co2\ Co2'))$
 $(rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Contra1'\ Contra1))\ (rel-fun\ (rel-fun\ Contra2'\ Contra2))$
 $(rel-fun\ (rel-U\ L1\ Co1\ Co2\ Contra1\ Contra2))\ (rel-U\ L1'\ Co1'\ Co2'\ Contra1')$

Contra2'))))))
map-U map-U
<proof>

definition *rel-U-pos-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f) itself ⇒ bool **where**
rel-U-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
↔
(∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool).
(*rel-U* L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) U ⇒ -) OO
rel-U L1' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' ≤
rel-U (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2'))

definition *rel-U-neg-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f) itself ⇒ bool **where**
rel-U-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
↔
(∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool).
rel-U (L1 OO L1') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2') ≤
(*rel-U* L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) U ⇒ -) OO
rel-U L1' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')

primcorec *rel-U-witness* :: ('l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U ⇒
('l1 × 'l1'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U **where**
D-U (*rel-U-witness* L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
xy) =
mapl-G (*rel-U-witness* L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2') *id*
(*rel-G-witness* (*rel-U* L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1')
(Contra2 OO Contra2'))
L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' (*D-U* (fst xy),
D-U (snd xy)))

lemma *rel-U-pos-distr-imp*:

fixes $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times ('l1', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) U \times ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U \times 'l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f)$
itself
and $tytok-T :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'f)$ *itself*
assumes *rel-G-pos-distr-cond* $Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'$ *tytok-G*
shows *rel-U-pos-distr-cond* $Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'$ *tytok-T*
<proof>

lemma *rel-U-witness1*:

fixes $L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U \times ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U) \times ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U \times 'l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'f)$ *itself*
and $x :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) U$
assumes *cond: rel-G-neg-distr-cond* $Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'$ *tytok-G*
and *rel-OO: rel-U* $L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2')$ $x y$
shows *rel-U* $(\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1 x y)$ $Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x$
(rel-U-witness $L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'$
 $(x, y))$
<proof>

lemma *rel-U-witness2*:

fixes $L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool$

```

and tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
  (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
  ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U) ×
  ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U ×
  'l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'f) itself
and x :: (-, -, -, -, -, 'f) U
assumes cond: rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G
and rel-OO: rel-U L1 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') x y
shows rel-U ( $\lambda(x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L1 x y$ ) Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2'
  (rel-U-witness L1 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
  (x, y)) y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-U-neg-distr-imp*:

```

fixes Co1 :: 'co1  $\Rightarrow$  'co1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Co1' :: 'co1''  $\Rightarrow$  'co1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and Co2 :: 'co2  $\Rightarrow$  'co2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Co2' :: 'co2''  $\Rightarrow$  'co2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1  $\Rightarrow$  'contra1'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Contra1' :: 'contra1''  $\Rightarrow$ 
'contra1''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2  $\Rightarrow$  'contra2'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and Contra2' :: 'contra2''  $\Rightarrow$ 
'contra2''  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and tytok-G :: (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
  (('l1, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) U ×
  ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U) ×
  ('l1'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) U ×
  'l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'f) itself
and tytok-T :: ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'f) itself
assumes rel-G-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-G
shows rel-U-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' tytok-T
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-U-pos-distr-cond-eq*:

```

 $\bigwedge$  tytok. rel-U-pos-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-U-neg-distr-cond-eq*:

```

 $\bigwedge$  tytok. rel-U-neg-distr-cond (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) tytok
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The BNF axioms are proved by the datatype package.

```

thm U.set-map U.bd-card-order U.bd-cinfinite U.set-bd U.map-cong[OF refl]
  U.rel-mono-strong U.wit

```

4.2.2 Parametricity laws

context includes *lifting-syntax* **begin**

lemma *C-U-parametric*: $(rel-G (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ==>$
 $rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) C-U C-U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *D-U-parametric*: $(rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 ==>$
 $rel-G (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) D-U$
 $D-U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *corec-U-parametric*:
 $((A ==> rel-G (rel-sum (rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) A) L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) ==>$
 $A ==> rel-U L1 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2) corec-U corec-U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

5 Subtypes

theory *Subtypes imports*
Axiomatised-BNF-CC
HOL-Library.BNF-Axiomatization
begin

5.1 BNF_{CC} structure

consts $P :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) G \Rightarrow bool$

axiomatization where

$P\text{-map}: \bigwedge x l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2. P x \implies P (\text{map-G } l1 l2 co1 co2 contra1 contra2 x)$

— $\{x. P x\}$ is closed under the mapper of G

and

$ex\text{-}P: \exists x. P x$ — $\{x. P x\}$ is non-empty

typedef (overloaded) $('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S =$
 $\{x :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) G. P x\} \langle proof \rangle$

The subtype S is isomorphic to the set $\{x. P x\}$.

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

definition $rel\text{-}S :: ('live1 \Rightarrow 'live1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('live2 \Rightarrow 'live2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$

map-S map-S
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *map-S-rel-cong* = *map-S-parametric*[*unfolded rel-fun-def*, *rule-format*, *rotated -1*]

end

definition *rel-S-pos-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ⇒ ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself ⇒ bool **where**
rel-S-pos-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
 ←→
 (∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool)
 (L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2' ⇒ bool) (L2' :: 'l2' ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool).
 (rel-S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) S ⇒ -) OO
 rel-S L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2' ≤
 rel-S (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
 (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2'))

definition *rel-S-neg-distr-cond* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒
 bool) ⇒ ('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) itself ⇒ bool **where**
rel-S-neg-distr-cond Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2' -
 ←→
 (∀ (L1 :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1' ⇒ bool) (L1' :: 'l1' ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool)
 (L2 :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2' ⇒ bool) (L2' :: 'l2' ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool).
 rel-S (L1 OO L1') (L2 OO L2') (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2')
 (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2 OO Contra2') ≤
 (rel-S L1 L2 Co1 Co2 Contra1 Contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) S ⇒ -) OO
 rel-S L1' L2' Co1' Co2' Contra1' Contra2')

axiomatization where

rel-S-neg-distr-cond-eq:

∧ *tytok. rel-S-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) (=) *tytok*

The subtype inherits the conditions for positive subdistributivity.

lemma *rel-S-pos-distr-imp*:

fixes Co1 :: 'co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool **and** Co1' :: 'co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool
and Co2 :: 'co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool **and** Co2' :: 'co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool
and Contra1 :: 'contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool **and** Contra1' :: 'contra1' ⇒
 'contra1'' ⇒ bool
and Contra2 :: 'contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool **and** Contra2' :: 'contra2' ⇒

$'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $tytok-G :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'f) \textit{itself}$
and $tytok-S :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2'' \times 'f) \textit{itself}$
assumes $rel-G\text{-pos}\text{-distr}\text{-cond} \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2$
 $Contra2' \ tytok-G$
shows $rel-S\text{-pos}\text{-distr}\text{-cond} \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2' \ tytok-S$
 $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$

lemma $rel-S\text{-pos}\text{-distr}\text{-cond}\text{-eq}$:
 $\bigwedge tytok. rel-S\text{-pos}\text{-distr}\text{-cond} \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ (=) \ tytok$
 $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$

lemmas
 $rel-S\text{-pos}\text{-distr} = rel-S\text{-pos}\text{-distr}\text{-cond}\text{-def}[THEN \textit{iff}D1, \textit{rule}\text{-format}]$ **and**
 $rel-S\text{-neg}\text{-distr} = rel-S\text{-neg}\text{-distr}\text{-cond}\text{-def}[THEN \textit{iff}D1, \textit{rule}\text{-format}]$

The following composition witness depends only on the abstract condition $rel-S\text{-neg}\text{-distr}\text{-cond}$, without additional assumptions.

consts
 $rel-S\text{-witness} :: ('l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('l2 \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('l1, 'l2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'f) \ S \times$
 $('l1'', 'l2'', 'co1'', 'co2'', 'contra1'', 'contra2'', 'f) \ S \Rightarrow$
 $('l1 \times 'l1'', 'l2 \times 'l2'', 'co1', 'co2', 'contra1', 'contra2', 'f) \ S$

specification ($rel-S\text{-witness}$)
 $rel-S\text{-witness}1: \bigwedge L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $(tytok :: ('l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times ('l2 \times 'l2'') \times 'l2'' \times 'f) \textit{itself})$
 $(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) \ S) \ (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) \ S).$
 $\llbracket rel-S\text{-neg}\text{-distr}\text{-cond} \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $tytok;$
 $rel-S \ L1 \ L2 \ (Co1 \ OO \ Co1') \ (Co2 \ OO \ Co2') \ (Contra1 \ OO \ Contra1') \ (Contra2$
 $OO \ Contra2') \ x \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $rel-S \ (\lambda x \ (x', y). \ x' = x \wedge L1 \ x \ y) \ (\lambda x \ (x', y). \ x' = x \wedge L2 \ x \ y) \ Co1 \ Co2$
 $Contra1 \ Contra2 \ x$
 $(rel-S\text{-witness} \ L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $(x, y))$
 $rel-S\text{-witness}2: \bigwedge L1 \ L2 \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $(tytok :: ('l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times ('l2 \times 'l2'') \times 'l2'' \times 'f) \textit{itself})$
 $(x :: ('l1, 'l2, -, -, -, -, 'f) \ S) \ (y :: ('l1'', 'l2'', -, -, -, -, 'f) \ S).$
 $\llbracket rel-S\text{-neg}\text{-distr}\text{-cond} \ Co1 \ Co1' \ Co2 \ Co2' \ Contra1 \ Contra1' \ Contra2 \ Contra2'$
 $tytok;$
 $rel-S \ L1 \ L2 \ (Co1 \ OO \ Co1') \ (Co2 \ OO \ Co2') \ (Contra1 \ OO \ Contra1') \ (Contra2$
 $OO \ Contra2') \ x \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $rel-S \ (\lambda(x, y') \ y. \ y' = y \wedge L1 \ x \ y) \ (\lambda(x, y') \ y. \ y' = y \wedge L2 \ x \ y) \ Co1' \ Co2'$

Contra1' Contra2'
 (rel-S-witness L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'
 (x, y)) y
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *set1-S* :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S ⇒ 'live1
set

where *set1-S* = *set1-G* ◦ *Rep-S*

definition *set2-S* :: ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S ⇒ 'live2
set

where *set2-S* = *set2-G* ◦ *Rep-S*

lemma *rel-S-alt*:

rel-S L1 L2 (=) (=) (=) (=) x y ↔ (∃ z. (*set1-S z* ⊆ {(x, y). *L1 x y*} ∧
set2-S z ⊆ {(x, y). *L2 x y*}) ∧ *map-S fst fst id id id id z = x* ∧ *map-S snd snd*
id id id id z = y)
 ⟨proof⟩

bnf ('live1, 'live2, 'co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) S

map: λl1 l2. *map-S l1 l2 id id id id*

sets: *set1-S set2-S*

bd: *bd-G* :: ('co1, 'co2, 'contra1, 'contra2, 'fixed) Gbd rel

rel: λL1 L2. *rel-S L1 L2 (=) (=) (=) (=)*

⟨proof⟩

5.2 Closedness under zippings

lemma *P-zip-closed*: — This is **lift-bnf**'s property that is too strong.

assumes *P (mapl-G fst fst z)* *P (mapl-G snd snd z)*

shows *P z*

⟨proof⟩

consts *rel-S-neg-distr-cond'* :: ('co1 ⇒ 'co1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co1' ⇒ 'co1'' ⇒ bool)
 ⇒

('co2 ⇒ 'co2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('co2' ⇒ 'co2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra1 ⇒ 'contra1' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra1' ⇒ 'contra1'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('contra2 ⇒ 'contra2' ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('contra2' ⇒ 'contra2'' ⇒ bool) ⇒
 ('l1 × 'l1' × 'l1'' × 'l2 × 'l2' × 'l2'' × 'f) *itself* ⇒ bool

If the set {x. *P x*} is closed under zippings for *rel-S-neg-distr-cond'*, we inherit the condition for negative subdistributivity from *G*.

axiomatization where

P-rel-G-zipping: ∧(*L1* :: 'l1 ⇒ 'l1'' ⇒ bool) (*L2* :: 'l2 ⇒ 'l2'' ⇒ bool)

Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'

(*tytok* :: ('l1 × ('l1 × 'l1'') × 'l1'' × 'l2 × ('l2 × 'l2'') × 'l2'' × 'f) *itself*) x
 y z.

[*P x*; *P y*;

rel-G L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1') (Co2 OO Co2') (Contra1 OO Contra1') (Contra2

$OO\ Contra2'$ $x\ y$;
 $rel-G\ (\lambda x\ (x',\ y).\ x' = x \wedge L1\ x\ y)\ (\lambda x\ (x',\ y).\ x' = x \wedge L2\ x\ y)\ Co1\ Co2$
 $Contra1\ Contra2\ x\ z$;
 $rel-G\ (\lambda(x,\ y')\ y.\ y' = y \wedge L1\ x\ y)\ (\lambda(x,\ y')\ y.\ y' = y \wedge L2\ x\ y)\ Co1'\ Co2'$
 $Contra1'\ Contra2'\ z\ y$;
 $rel-S-neg-distr-cond'\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$
 $tytok\]$
 $\implies P\ z$
and
 $rel-S-neg-distr-cond'-stronger:\ \bigwedge Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2$
 $Contra2'\ tytok.$
 $rel-S-neg-distr-cond'\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$
 $tytok\ \implies$
 $rel-G-neg-distr-cond\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Contra2'$
 $tytok$
and
 $rel-S-neg-distr-cond'-eq:$
 $\bigwedge tytok.\ rel-S-neg-distr-cond'\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ (=)\ tytok$

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

definition $rel-S-witness' :: ('live1 \Rightarrow 'live1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('live2 \Rightarrow 'live2'' \Rightarrow bool)$
 \Rightarrow
 $('co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra1' \Rightarrow 'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('contra2' \Rightarrow 'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $('live1,\ 'live2,\ 'co1,\ 'co2,\ 'contra1,\ 'contra2,\ 'fixed)\ S \times$
 $('live1'',\ 'live2'',\ 'co1'',\ 'co2'',\ 'contra1'',\ 'contra2'',\ 'fixed)\ S \Rightarrow$
 $('live1 \times 'live1'',\ 'live2 \times 'live2'',\ 'co1',\ 'co2',\ 'contra1',\ 'contra2',\ 'fixed)\ S$
where
 $rel-S-witness' = (id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ id\ ---->$
 $id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ id\ ---->\ map-prod\ Rep-S\ Rep-S\ ---->\ Abs-S)$
 $rel-G-witness$

lemma $rel-S-witness'1:$

fixes $L1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1'' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $L2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co1 :: 'co1 \Rightarrow 'co1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co1' :: 'co1' \Rightarrow 'co1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Co2 :: 'co2 \Rightarrow 'co2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Co2' :: 'co2' \Rightarrow 'co2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra1 :: 'contra1 \Rightarrow 'contra1' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra1' :: 'contra1' \Rightarrow$
 $'contra1'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $Contra2 :: 'contra2 \Rightarrow 'contra2' \Rightarrow bool$ **and** $Contra2' :: 'contra2' \Rightarrow$
 $'contra2'' \Rightarrow bool$
and $tytok :: ('l1 \times ('l1 \times 'l1'') \times 'l1'' \times 'l2 \times ('l2 \times 'l2'') \times 'l2'' \times 'f)\ itself$
and $x :: (-,\ -,\ -,\ -,\ -,\ -,\ 'f)\ S$
assumes $rel-S\ L1\ L2\ (Co1\ OO\ Co1')\ (Co2\ OO\ Co2')\ (Contra1\ OO\ Contra1')$
 $(Contra2\ OO\ Contra2')\ x\ y$
and $rel-S-neg-distr-cond'\ Co1\ Co1'\ Co2\ Co2'\ Contra1\ Contra1'\ Contra2\ Con-$

tra2' *tytok*
shows *rel-S* ($\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L1 x y$) ($\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L2 x y$) *Co1*
Co2 Contra1 Contra2 x
(*rel-S-witness'* *L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'*
(*x, y*)
<proof>)

lemma *rel-S-witness'2*:
fixes *L1* :: *'l1* \Rightarrow *'l1''* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *L2* :: *'l2* \Rightarrow *'l2''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Co1* :: *'co1* \Rightarrow *'co1'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Co1'* :: *'co1'* \Rightarrow *'co1''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Co2* :: *'co2* \Rightarrow *'co2'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Co2'* :: *'co2'* \Rightarrow *'co2''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra1* :: *'contra1* \Rightarrow *'contra1'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra1'* :: *'contra1'* \Rightarrow
'contra1'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra2* :: *'contra2* \Rightarrow *'contra2'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra2'* :: *'contra2'* \Rightarrow
'contra2'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *tytok* :: (*'l1* \times (*'l1* \times *'l1''*) \times *'l1''* \times *'l2* \times (*'l2* \times *'l2''*) \times *'l2''* \times *'f*) *itself*
and *x* :: (*-*, *-*, *-*, *-*, *-*, *-*, *'f*) *S*
assumes *rel-S L1 L2 (Co1 OO Co1')* (*Co2 OO Co2'*) (*Contra1 OO Contra1'*)
(*Contra2 OO Contra2'*) *x y*
and *rel-S-neg-distr-cond'* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'* *tytok*
shows *rel-S* ($\lambda(x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L1 x y$) ($\lambda(x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L2 x y$) *Co1'*
Co2' Contra1' Contra2'
(*rel-S-witness'* *L1 L2 Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'*
(*x, y*) *y*
<proof>)

lemma *rel-S-neg-distr-imp*:
fixes *Co1* :: *'co1* \Rightarrow *'co1'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Co1'* :: *'co1'* \Rightarrow *'co1''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Co2* :: *'co2* \Rightarrow *'co2'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Co2'* :: *'co2'* \Rightarrow *'co2''* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra1* :: *'contra1* \Rightarrow *'contra1'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra1'* :: *'contra1'* \Rightarrow
'contra1'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *Contra2* :: *'contra2* \Rightarrow *'contra2'* \Rightarrow *bool* **and** *Contra2'* :: *'contra2'* \Rightarrow
'contra2'' \Rightarrow *bool*
and *tytok-S'* :: (*'l1* \times (*'l1* \times *'l1''*) \times *'l1''* \times *'l2* \times (*'l2* \times *'l2''*) \times *'l2''* \times *'f*)
itself
and *tytok-S* :: (*'l1* \times *'l1'* \times *'l1''* \times *'l2* \times *'l2'* \times *'l2''* \times *'f*) *itself*
assumes *rel-S-neg-distr-cond'* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2*
Contra2' tytok-S'
shows *rel-S-neg-distr-cond* *Co1 Co1' Co2 Co2' Contra1 Contra1' Contra2 Contra2'* *tytok-S*
<proof>

end

5.3 Subtypes of BNFs without co- and contravariance

If all variables are live, **lift-bnf**'s requirement *P-zip-closed* is equivalent to our closedness under zippings, and Popescu's weaker condition is equivalent

to negative subdistributivity restricted to the subset.

bnf-axiomatization 'a H

consts Q :: 'a H \Rightarrow bool

axiomatization where

Q-map: $\bigwedge x l. Q x \Longrightarrow Q (\text{map-H } l x)$

lemma Q-rel-H-zipping:

fixes x :: 'a H **and** y :: 'c H **and** z :: ('a \times 'c) H

assumes Q-zip: $\bigwedge z :: ('a \times 'c) H. \llbracket Q (\text{map-H } \text{fst } z); Q (\text{map-H } \text{snd } z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q z$

and Q x **and** Q y **and** rel-H L x y

and related: rel-H ($\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L x y$) x z rel-H ($\lambda(x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L x y$) z y

shows Q z

<proof>

lemma Q-zip:

fixes z :: ('a \times 'c) H

assumes Q-rel-H-zipping: $\bigwedge(L :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow -) x y z.$

$\llbracket Q x; Q y; \text{rel-H } L x y; \text{rel-H } (\lambda x (x', y). x' = x \wedge L x y) x z;$

$\text{rel-H } (\lambda(x, y') y. y' = y \wedge L x y) z y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q z$

and Q (map-H fst z) **and** Q (map-H snd z)

shows Q z

<proof>

lemma Q-neg-distr:

fixes x :: 'a H **and** y :: 'c H

assumes Q-zip-weak: $\bigwedge z :: ('a \times 'c) H. \llbracket Q (\text{map-H } \text{fst } z); Q (\text{map-H } \text{snd } z) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\exists z'. Q z' \wedge \text{set-H } z' \subseteq \text{set-H } z \wedge \text{map-H } \text{fst } z' = \text{map-H } \text{fst } z \wedge \text{map-H } \text{snd } z' = \text{map-H } \text{snd } z$

and Q x **and** Q y **and** related: rel-H (L OO L') x y

shows (rel-H L OO eq-onp Q OO rel-H L') x y

<proof>

lemma Q-zip-weak:

fixes z :: ('a \times 'c) H

assumes Q-neg-distr: $\bigwedge(L :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \times 'c) \Rightarrow -) (L' :: ('a \times 'c) \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}) x y.$

$\llbracket Q x; Q y; \text{rel-H } (L \text{ OO } L') x y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\text{rel-H } L \text{ OO eq-onp } Q \text{ OO rel-H } L') x$

y

and Q (map-H fst z) **and** Q (map-H snd z)

obtains z' **where** Q z' **and** set-H z' \subseteq set-H z

and map-H fst z' = map-H fst z **and** map-H snd z' = map-H snd z

<proof>

end

6 Quotient preservation

theory *Quotient-Preservation* **imports**

Axiomatised-BNF-CC

begin

lemma *G-Quotient*:

fixes $T-l1 :: 'l1 \Rightarrow 'l1' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $T-l2 :: 'l2 \Rightarrow 'l2' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

and $tytok :: ('l1 \times 'l1' \times 'l1 \times 'l2 \times 'l2' \times 'l2 \times 'f)$ *itself*

assumes *Quotient R-l1 Abs-l1 Rep-l1 T-l1* **and** *Quotient R-l2 Abs-l2 Rep-l2 T-l2*

and *Quotient R-co1 Abs-co1 Rep-co1 T-co1* **and** *Quotient R-co2 Abs-co2*

Rep-co2 T-co2

and *Quotient R-contra1 Abs-contra1 Rep-contra1 T-contra1*

and *Quotient R-contra2 Abs-contra2 Rep-contra2 T-contra2*

and $rel-G\text{-pos-distr-cond } T-co1\ T-co1^{-1-1}\ T-co2\ T-co2^{-1-1}\ T-contra1\ T-contra1^{-1-1}$

$T-contra2\ T-contra2^{-1-1}$

tytok

shows *Quotient (rel-G R-l1 R-l2 R-co1 R-co2 R-contra1 R-contra2)*

(map-G Abs-l1 Abs-l2 Abs-co1 Abs-co2 Rep-contra1 Rep-contra2)

(map-G Rep-l1 Rep-l2 Rep-co1 Rep-co2 Abs-contra1 Abs-contra2)

(rel-G T-l1 T-l2 T-co1 T-co2 T-contra1 T-contra2 :: (-, -, -, -, -, -, 'f) G \Rightarrow -)

<proof>

end

theory *Operation-Examples* **imports**

Composition

Fixpoints

Subtypes

Quotient-Preservation

begin

end

7 Concrete BNF_{CCS}

theory *Concrete-Examples* **imports**

Preliminaries

HOL-Library.Rewrite

HOL-Library.Cardinality

begin

context **includes** *lifting-syntax*

begin

7.1 Function space

lemma *rel-fun-mono*: $(A \text{ ===> } B) \leq (A' \text{ ===> } B')$ **if** $A' \leq A$ $B \leq B'$

<proof>

lemma *rel-fun-eq*: $((=) \implies (=)) = (=)$ *<proof>*

lemma *rel-fun-conversep*: $(A^{-1-1} \implies B^{-1-1}) = (A \implies B)^{-1-1}$ *<proof>*

lemma *map-fun-id0*: $(id \dashrightarrow id) = id$ *<proof>*

lemma *map-fun-comp*: $(f \dashrightarrow g) \circ (f' \dashrightarrow g') = ((f' \circ f) \dashrightarrow (g \circ g'))$
<proof>

lemma *map-fun-parametric*: $((A \implies A') \implies (B \implies B')) \implies (A' \implies B) \implies (A \implies B')$ $(\dashrightarrow) (\dashrightarrow)$
<proof>

definition *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b' \times 'b'') \text{ itself} \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
rel-fun-pos-distr-cond A A' - $\longleftrightarrow (\forall (B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b' \Rightarrow bool) (B' :: 'b' \Rightarrow 'b'' \Rightarrow bool)).$
 $(A \implies B) \text{ OO } (A' \implies B') \leq (A \text{ OO } A') \implies (B \text{ OO } B')$

definition *rel-fun-neg-distr-cond* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b' \times 'b'') \text{ itself} \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
rel-fun-neg-distr-cond A A' - $\longleftrightarrow (\forall (B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b' \Rightarrow bool) (B' :: 'b' \Rightarrow 'b'' \Rightarrow bool)).$
 $(A \text{ OO } A') \implies (B \text{ OO } B') \leq (A \implies B) \text{ OO } (A' \implies B')$

lemmas

rel-fun-pos-distr = *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond-def*[*THEN iffD1*, *rule-format*] **and**
rel-fun-neg-distr = *rel-fun-neg-distr-cond-def*[*THEN iffD1*, *rule-format*]

lemma *rel-fun-pos-distr-iff* [*simp*]: *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond* A A' *tytok* = *True*
<proof>

lemma *rel-fun-neg-distr-imp*: $\llbracket \text{left-unique } A; \text{right-total } A; \text{right-unique } A'; \text{left-total } A' \rrbracket \implies$
rel-fun-neg-distr-cond A A' *tytok*
<proof>

lemma *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond-eq*: *rel-fun-pos-distr-cond* (=) (=) *tytok*
<proof>

lemma *rel-fun-neg-distr-cond-eq*: *rel-fun-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=) *tytok*
<proof>

thm *fun.set-map fun.map-cong0 fun.rel-mono-strong*

7.2 Covariant powerset

lemma *rel-set-mono*: $A \leq A' \implies \text{rel-set } A \leq \text{rel-set } A'$ *<proof>*

lemma *rel-set-eq*: $\text{rel-set } (=) = (=)$ *<proof>*

lemma *rel-set-conversep*: $\text{rel-set } A^{-1-1} = (\text{rel-set } A)^{-1-1}$ *<proof>*

lemma *map-set-id0*: $\text{image } id = id$ *<proof>*

lemma *map-set-comp*: $\text{image } f \circ \text{image } g = \text{image } (f \circ g)$ *<proof>*

lemma *map-set-parametric*: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**
 $((A \implies B) \implies \text{rel-set } A \implies \text{rel-set } B)$ *image image*
<proof>

definition *rel-set-pos-distr-cond* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-set-pos-distr-cond } A A' \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-set } A \text{ OO rel-set } A' \leq \text{rel-set } (A \text{ OO } A')$

definition *rel-set-neg-distr-cond* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-set-neg-distr-cond } A A' \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-set } (A \text{ OO } A') \leq \text{rel-set } A \text{ OO rel-set } A'$

lemmas

$\text{rel-set-pos-distr} = \text{rel-set-pos-distr-cond-def}[\text{THEN } \text{iffD1}, \text{rule-format}]$ **and**
 $\text{rel-set-neg-distr} = \text{rel-set-neg-distr-cond-def}[\text{THEN } \text{iffD1}, \text{rule-format}]$

lemma *rel-set-pos-distr-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{rel-set-pos-distr-cond } A A' = \text{True}$
<proof>

lemma *rel-set-neg-distr-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{rel-set-neg-distr-cond } A A' = \text{True}$
<proof>

lemma *rel-set-pos-distr-eq*: $\text{rel-set-pos-distr-cond } (=) (=)$
<proof>

lemma *rel-set-neg-distr-eq*: $\text{rel-set-neg-distr-cond } (=) (=)$
<proof>

7.3 Bounded sets

We define bounded sets as a subtype, with an additional fixed parameter which controls the bound. Using the BNF_{CC} structure on the covariant powerset functor, it suffices to show the preconditions for the closedness of BNF_{CC} under subtypes.

typedef $('a, 'k)$ *bset* = $\{A :: 'a \text{ set. finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq \text{CARD}('k)\}$
<proof>

setup-lifting *type-definition-bset*

lemma *bset-map-closed*:

fixes $f A$

defines $B \equiv \text{image } f A$

assumes $\text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq \text{CARD}('k)$

shows $\text{finite } B \wedge \text{card } B \leq \text{CARD}('k)$

<proof>

lift-definition *map-bset* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \text{ bset} \Rightarrow ('b, 'k) \text{ bset}$ **is** *image*

<proof>

lift-definition *rel-bset* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \text{ bset} \Rightarrow ('b, 'k) \text{ bset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

is *rel-set* *<proof>*

definition *neg-distr-cond-bset* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'k \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{neg-distr-cond-bset } C C' - \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-bset } (C \text{ OO } C') \leq \text{rel-bset } C \text{ OO } (\text{rel-bset } C')$
:: $(-, 'k) \text{ bset} \Rightarrow -)$

lemma *right-unique-rel-set-lemma*:

assumes *right-unique* R **and** *rel-set* $R X Y$

obtains f **where** $Y = \text{image } f X$ **and** $\forall x \in X. R x (f x)$

<proof>

lemma *left-unique-rel-set-lemma*:

assumes *left-unique* R **and** *rel-set* $R Y X$

obtains f **where** $Y = \text{image } f X$ **and** $\forall x \in X. R (f x) x$

<proof>

lemma *neg-distr-cond-bset-right-unique*:

right-unique $C \implies \text{neg-distr-cond-bset } C D \text{ tytok}$

<proof>

lemma *neg-distr-cond-bset-left-unique*:

left-unique $D \implies \text{neg-distr-cond-bset } C D \text{ tytok}$

<proof>

lemma *neg-distr-cond-bset-eq*: $\text{neg-distr-cond-bset } (=) (=) \text{ tytok}$

<proof>

7.4 Contravariant powerset (sets as predicates)

type-synonym $'a \text{ pred} = 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

definition *map-pred* :: $('b \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ pred}$ **where**

$\text{map-pred } f = (f \text{ ----} \> \text{id})$

definition *rel-pred* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ pred} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ pred} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$rel\text{-}pred\ R = (R ===> (\longleftrightarrow))$

lemma *rel-pred-mono*: $A' \leq A \implies rel\text{-}pred\ A \leq rel\text{-}pred\ A'$ *<proof>*

lemma *rel-pred-eq*: $rel\text{-}pred\ (=) = (=)$
<proof>

lemma *rel-pred-conversep*: $rel\text{-}pred\ A^{-1-1} = (rel\text{-}pred\ A)^{-1-1}$
<proof>

lemma *map-pred-id0*: $map\text{-}pred\ id = id$
<proof>

lemma *map-pred-comp*: $map\text{-}pred\ f \circ map\text{-}pred\ g = map\text{-}pred\ (g \circ f)$
<proof>

lemma *map-pred-parametric*: $((A' ===> A) ===> rel\text{-}pred\ A ===> rel\text{-}pred\ A')$ *map-pred map-pred*
<proof>

definition *rel-pred-pos-distr-cond* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ A\ B \longleftrightarrow rel\text{-}pred\ A\ OO\ rel\text{-}pred\ B \leq rel\text{-}pred\ (A\ OO\ B)$

definition *rel-pred-neg-distr-cond* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a' \Rightarrow 'a'' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ A\ B \longleftrightarrow rel\text{-}pred\ (A\ OO\ B) \leq rel\text{-}pred\ A\ OO\ rel\text{-}pred\ B$

lemmas

$rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr = rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\text{-}def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule\text{-}format]$ **and**
 $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr = rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\text{-}def[THEN\ iffD1,\ rule\text{-}format]$

lemma *rel-pred-pos-distr-iff* [*simp*]: $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ A\ B = True$
<proof>

lemma *rel-pred-pos-distr-cond-eq*: $rel\text{-}pred\text{-}pos\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ (=) (=)$
<proof>

lemma *neg-fun-distr3*:

assumes 1: *left-unique* R *right-total* R

and 2: *right-unique* S *left-total* S

shows $rel\text{-}fun\ (R\ OO\ R')\ (S\ OO\ S') \leq rel\text{-}fun\ R\ S\ OO\ rel\text{-}fun\ R'\ S'$
<proof>

As there are no live variables, we can get a weaker condition than if we derived it from $(===>)$'s condition!

lemma *rel-pred-neg-distr-imp*:

$right\text{-}unique\ B \wedge left\text{-}total\ B \vee left\text{-}unique\ A \wedge right\text{-}total\ A \implies rel\text{-}pred\text{-}neg\text{-}distr\text{-}cond\ A\ B$

<proof>

lemma *rel-pred-neg-distr-cond-eq*: *rel-pred-neg-distr-cond* (=) (=)
<proof>

lemma *left-unique-rel-pred*: *left-total A* \implies *left-unique (rel-pred A)*
<proof>

lemma *right-unique-rel-pred*: *right-total A* \implies *right-unique (rel-pred A)*
<proof>

lemma *left-total-rel-pred*: *left-unique A* \implies *left-total (rel-pred A)*
<proof>

lemma *right-total-rel-pred*: *right-unique A* \implies *right-total (rel-pred A)*
<proof>

end

7.5 Filter

Similarly to bounded sets, we exploit the definition of filters as a subtype in order to lift the BNF_{CC} operations. Here we use that the *is-filter* predicate is closed under zippings.

lemma *map-filter-closed*:
includes *lifting-syntax*
assumes *is-filter F*
shows *is-filter* (((*f* ---- *id*) ---- *id*) *F*)
<proof>

definition *rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond* :: (*'a* \implies *'a'* \implies *bool*) \implies (*'a'* \implies *'a''* \implies *bool*) \implies *bool* **where**
rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond A B \longleftrightarrow
rel-pred (rel-pred (A OO B)) \leq *rel-pred (rel-pred A) OO rel-pred (rel-pred B)*

consts *rel-pred2-witness* :: (*'a* \implies *'a'* \implies *bool*) \implies (*'a'* \implies *'a''* \implies *bool*) \implies
((*'a* \implies *bool*) \implies *bool*) \times ((*'a''* \implies *bool*) \implies *bool*) \implies (*'a'* \implies *bool*) \implies *bool*

specification (*rel-pred2-witness*)
rel-pred2-witness1: $\bigwedge K K' x y. \llbracket \text{rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond } K K'; \text{rel-pred (rel-pred (K OO K')) } x y \rrbracket \implies$
rel-pred (rel-pred K) x (rel-pred2-witness K K' (x, y))
rel-pred2-witness2: $\bigwedge K K' x y. \llbracket \text{rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond } K K'; \text{rel-pred (rel-pred (K OO K')) } x y \rrbracket \implies$
rel-pred (rel-pred K') (rel-pred2-witness K K' (x, y)) y
<proof>

lemmas *rel-pred2-witness* = *rel-pred2-witness1 rel-pred2-witness2*

context includes *lifting-syntax*

begin

definition *rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'* :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool **where**

rel-filter-neg-distr-cond' C C' \longleftrightarrow left-total C ∧ right-unique C ∨ right-total C' ∧ left-unique C'

lemma *rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'-stronger*:

assumes *rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'* C C'

shows *rel-pred2-neg-distr-cond* C C'

<proof>

lemma *rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'-eq*: *rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'* (=) (=)

<proof>

lemma *is-filter-rel-witness*:

assumes F: *is-filter* F **and** G: *is-filter* G

and FG: *rel-pred* (*rel-pred* (C OO C')) F G

and cond: *rel-filter-neg-distr-cond'* C C'

shows *is-filter* (*rel-pred2-witness* C C' (F, G))

<proof>

end

The following example shows that filters do not satisfy **lift-bnf**'s condition.

experiment begin

unbundle *lifting-syntax*

definition *raw-filtermap* f = ((f ----> id) ----> id)

lemma *raw-filtermap-apply*: *raw-filtermap* f F = (λP. F (λx. P (f x)))

<proof>

lemma *filtermap* f = *Abs-filter* ∘ *raw-filtermap* f ∘ *Rep-filter*

<proof>

definition Z **where**

Z = { {(False, False), (False, True)}, {(False, False), (True, False)},
{(False, False), (False, True), (True, False), (True, True)} }

abbreviation Z' ≡ (λP. Collect P ∈ Z)

lemma *is-filter* (*raw-filtermap* fst Z')

<proof>

lemma *is-filter* (*raw-filtermap* snd Z')

<proof>

lemma \neg is-filter Z'
<proof>

end

7.6 Almost-everywhere equal sequences

inductive aeseq-eq :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool **for** f g **where**
aeseq-eq f g **if** finite { n . f n \neq g n }

lemma equivp-aeseq-eq: equivp aeseq-eq
<proof>

quotient-type 'a aeseq = nat \Rightarrow 'a / aeseq-eq *<proof>*

lift-definition map-aeseq :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a aeseq \Rightarrow 'b aeseq **is** (\circ)
<proof>

lemma map-aeseq-id: map-aeseq id x = x
<proof>

lemma map-aeseq-comp: map-aeseq f (map-aeseq g x) = map-aeseq (f \circ g) x
<proof>

lift-definition rel-aeseq :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a aeseq \Rightarrow 'b aeseq \Rightarrow bool **is**
 $\lambda R f g$. finite { n . \neg R (f n) (g n)}
<proof>

lemma rel-aeseq-mono: $R \leq S \implies$ rel-aeseq $R \leq$ rel-aeseq S
<proof>

lemma rel-aeseq-eq: rel-aeseq (=) = (=)
<proof>

lemma rel-aeseq-conversep: rel-aeseq $R^{-1-1} =$ (rel-aeseq R) $^{-1-1}$
<proof>

lemma map-aeseq-parametric: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**
((A $====$ B) $====>$ rel-aeseq A $====>$ rel-aeseq B) map-aeseq map-aeseq
<proof>

lemma rel-aeseq-distr: rel-aeseq (R OO S) = rel-aeseq R OO rel-aeseq S
<proof>

end

8 Example: deterministic discrete system

theory *DDS imports*

Concrete-Examples

HOL-Library.Rewrite

HOL-Library.FSet

begin

unbundle *lifting-syntax*

8.1 Definition and generalised mapper and relator

codatatype (a, b) *dds* = *DDS* (*run*: $a \Rightarrow b \times (a, b)$ *dds*)

for *map*: *map-dds'*

rel: *rel-dds'*

primcorec *map-dds* :: $(a' \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow b') \Rightarrow (a, b)$ *dds* \Rightarrow (a', b') *dds*

where

run (*map-dds* *f g* *S*) = $(\lambda a. \text{map-prod } g (\text{map-dds } f g) (\text{run } S (f a)))$

lemma *map-dds-id*: *map-dds id id* *S* = *S*

<proof>

lemma *map-dds-comp*: *map-dds f g* (*map-dds f' g' S*) = *map-dds* ($f' \circ f$) ($g \circ g'$) *S*

<proof>

coinductive *rel-dds* :: $(a \Rightarrow a' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow b' \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (a, b)$ *dds* \Rightarrow (a', b') *dds* \Rightarrow *bool*

for *A B* **where**

rel-dds A B S S' **if** *rel-fun A* (*rel-prod B* (*rel-dds A B*)) (*run S*) (*run S'*)

lemma *rel-dds'-rel-dds*: *rel-dds' B* = *rel-dds* (=) *B*

<proof>

lemma *rel-dds-eq* [*relator-eq*]: *rel-dds* (=) (=) = (=)

<proof>

lemma *rel-dds-mono* [*relator-mono*]: *rel-dds A B* \leq *rel-dds A' B'* **if** $A' \leq A$ $B \leq B'$

<proof>

lemma *rel-dds-conversep*: *rel-dds* A^{-1-1} B^{-1-1} = (*rel-dds A B*)⁻¹⁻¹

<proof>

lemma *DDS-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:

$((A \text{ ===> } \text{rel-prod } B (\text{rel-dds } A B)) \text{ ===> } \text{rel-dds } A B)$ *DDS DDS*

<proof>

lemma *run-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:

$(rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B\ ==>\ A\ ==>\ rel\text{-}prod\ B\ (rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B))\ run\ run$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *corec-dds-parametric* [transfer-rule]:
 $((S\ ==>\ A\ ==>\ rel\text{-}prod\ B\ (rel\text{-}sum\ (rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B)\ S))\ ==>\ S\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B)\ corec\text{-}dds\ corec\text{-}dds$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-dds-parametric* [transfer-rule]:
 $((A'\ ==>\ A)\ ==>\ (B\ ==>\ B'))\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ A'\ B'$
 $map\text{-}dds\ map\text{-}dds$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *map-dds-rel-cong* = *map-dds-parametric*[*unfolded rel-fun-def*, *rule-format*, *rotated -1*]

lemma *rel-dds-Grp*:
 $rel\text{-}dds\ (Grp\ UNIV\ f)^{-1-1}\ (Grp\ UNIV\ g) = Grp\ UNIV\ (map\text{-}dds\ f\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-dds-pos-distr* [relator-distr]:
 $rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B\ OO\ rel\text{-}dds\ C\ D \leq rel\text{-}dds\ (A\ OO\ C)\ (B\ OO\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Quotient-dds* [quot-map]:
assumes *Quotient R1 Abs1 Rep1 T1 and Quotient R2 Abs2 Rep2 T2*
shows *Quotient (rel-dds R1 R2) (map-dds Rep1 Abs2) (map-dds Abs1 Rep2)*
 $(rel\text{-}dds\ T1\ T2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This is just the co-iterator.

primcorec *dds-of* :: $('s \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's)) \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ dds$ **where**
 $run\ (dds\text{-}of\ f\ s) = map\text{-}prod\ id\ (dds\text{-}of\ f) \circ f\ s$

lemma *dds-of-parametric* [transfer-rule]:
 $((S\ ==>\ A\ ==>\ rel\text{-}prod\ B\ S)\ ==>\ S\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B)\ dds\text{-}of\ dds\text{-}of$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2 Evenness of partial sums

definition *even-psum* :: $(int, bool)\ dds$ **where**
 $even\text{-}psum = dds\text{-}of\ (\lambda psum\ n.\ (even\ (psum + n),\ psum + n))\ 0$

definition *even-psum-nat* :: $(nat, bool)\ dds$ **where**
 $even\text{-}psum\text{-}nat = map\text{-}dds\ int\ id\ even\text{-}psum$

8.3 Composition

primcorec *compose* :: $('a, 'b)\ dds \Rightarrow ('b, 'c)\ dds \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)\ dds$ (**infixl** · 120)
where

$run (S1 \cdot S2) = (\lambda a. let (b, S1') = run S1 a; (c, S2') = run S2 b in (c, S1' \cdot S2'))$

lemma *compose-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ B\ C\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ A\ C)\ (\cdot)\ (\cdot)$
<proof>

For the following lemma, a direct proof by induction is easy as the inner functor of the *dds* codatatype is fairly simple.

lemma *map-dds* $f\ g\ S1 \cdot S2 = map\text{-}dds\ f\ id\ (S1 \cdot map\text{-}dds\ g\ id\ S2)$
<proof>

However, we can also follow the systematic route via parametricity:

lemma *compose-map1*: $map\text{-}dds\ f\ g\ S1 \cdot S2 = map\text{-}dds\ f\ id\ (S1 \cdot map\text{-}dds\ g\ id\ S2)$
for $S1 :: ('a, 'b)\ dds$ **and** $S2 :: ('b, 'c)\ dds$
<proof>

lemma *compose-map2*: $S1 \cdot map\text{-}dds\ f\ g\ S2 = map\text{-}dds\ id\ g\ (map\text{-}dds\ id\ f\ S1 \cdot S2)$
for $S1 :: ('a, 'b)\ dds$ **and** $S2 :: ('b, 'c)\ dds$
<proof>

primcorec *parallel* :: $('a, 'b)\ dds \Rightarrow ('c, 'd)\ dds \Rightarrow ('a + 'c, 'b + 'd)\ dds$ (**infixr** \parallel 130) **where**
 $run (S1 \parallel S2) = (\lambda x. case\ x\ of$
 $\quad Inl\ a \Rightarrow let\ (b, S1') = run\ S1\ a\ in\ (Inl\ b, S1' \parallel S2)$
 $\quad | Inr\ c \Rightarrow let\ (d, S2') = run\ S2\ c\ in\ (Inr\ d, S1 \parallel S2'))$

lemma *parallel-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(rel\text{-}dds\ A\ B\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ C\ D\ ==>\ rel\text{-}dds\ (rel\text{-}sum\ A\ C)\ (rel\text{-}sum\ B\ D))$
 $(\parallel)\ (\parallel)$
<proof>

lemma *map-parallel*:
 $map\text{-}dds\ f\ h\ S1 \parallel map\text{-}dds\ g\ k\ S2 = map\text{-}dds\ (map\text{-}sum\ f\ g)\ (map\text{-}sum\ h\ k)\ (S1 \parallel S2)$
<proof>

8.4 Graph traversal: refinement and quotients

lemma *finite-Image*:
 $finite\ A \Rightarrow finite\ (R\ \text{“}\ A) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. finite\ \{y. (x, y) \in R\})$
<proof>

context includes *fset.lifting* **begin**

lift-definition *fImage* :: $('a \times 'b)\ fset \Rightarrow 'a\ fset \Rightarrow 'b\ fset$ **is** *Image* **parametric**
Image-parametric
<proof>

```

lemmas fImage-iff = Image-iff[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas fImageI [intro] = ImageI[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas fImageE [elim!] = ImageE[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas rev-fImageI = rev-ImageI[Transfer.transferred]
lemmas fImage-mono = Image-mono[Transfer.transferred]

```

```

lifting-update fset.lifting
lifting-forget fset.lifting
end

```

```

type-synonym 'a graph = ('a × 'a) fset

```

```

definition traverse :: 'a graph ⇒ ('a fset, 'a fset) dds where
  traverse E = dds-of (λvisited A. ((fImage E A) |-| visited, visited |∪| A)) {||}

```

```

type-synonym 'a graph' = ('a × 'a) list

```

```

definition traverse-impl :: 'a graph' ⇒ ('a list, 'a list) dds where
  traverse-impl E =
    dds-of (λvisited A. (map snd [(x, y)←E . x ∈ set A ∧ y ∉ visited],
      visited |∪| fset-of-list A)) {||}

```

```

definition list-fset-rel :: 'a list ⇒ 'a fset ⇒ bool where
  list-fset-rel xs A ↔ fset-of-list xs = A

```

lemma *traverse-refinement*: — This is the refinement lemma.
(list-fset-rel ===> rel-dds list-fset-rel list-fset-rel) traverse-impl traverse
<proof>

lemma *fset-of-list-parametric* [transfer-rule]:
(list-all2 A ===> rel-fset A) fset-of-list fset-of-list
including *fset.lifting* *<proof>*

lemma *traverse-impl-parametric* [transfer-rule]:
assumes [transfer-rule]: *bi-unique A*
shows *(list-all2 (rel-prod A A) ===> rel-dds (list-all2 A) (list-all2 A) traverse-impl*
traverse-impl
<proof>

By constructing finite sets as a quotient of lists, we can synthesise an abstract version of *traverse-impl* automatically, together with a polymorphic refinement lemma.

```

quotient-type 'a fset' = 'a list / vimage2p set set (=)
  <proof>

```

```

lift-definition traverse'' :: ('a × 'a) fset' ⇒ ('a fset', 'a fset') dds
is traverse-impl :: 'a graph' ⇒ - parametric traverse-impl-parametric
  <proof>

```

8.5 Generalised rewriting

definition *accumulate* :: ('a fset, 'a fset) dds **where**
accumulate = dds-of ($\lambda A X. (A \mid\cup\mid X, A \mid\cup\mid X)$) {||}

lemma *accumulate-mono*: rel-dds ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) *accumulate accumulate*
<proof>

lemma *traverse-mono*: ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) \implies rel-dds (=) ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) *traverse traverse*
<proof>

lemma
assumes $G \mid\subseteq\mid H$
shows rel-dds (=) ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) (*traverse G · accumulate*) (*traverse H · accumulate*)
<proof>

definition *seen* :: ('a fset, 'a fset) dds **where**
seen = dds-of ($\lambda S X. (S \mid\cap\mid X, S \mid\cup\mid X)$) {||}

lemma *seen-mono*: rel-dds ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) *seen seen*
<proof>

lemma
assumes $G \mid\subseteq\mid H$
shows rel-dds (=) ($\mid\subseteq\mid$) (*traverse G · seen*) (*traverse H · seen*)
<proof>

end

References

- [1] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Operations on bounded natural functors. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Dec. 2017. http://isa-afp.org/entries/BNF_Operations.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] A. Lochbihler and J. Schneider. Relational parametricity and quotient preservation for modular (co)datatypes. In J. Avigad and A. Mahboubi, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2018)*, LNCS. Springer, 2018.